

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF QUESTION-ANSWER RELATIONSHIP AND ANTICIPATION-REACTION GUIDE STRATEGIES FOR TEACHING READING HORTATORY EXPOSITION TEXTS TO STUDENTS WITH HIGH AND LOW CRITICAL THINKING

A THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Magister's Degree in English Language Education

by

Haris Hamdani 0203513060

ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION GRADUATE PROGRAM SEMARANG STATE UNIVERSITY 2015

ABSTRACT

Hamdani, Haris. 2015. "The Effectiveness of Question-Answer Relationship and Anticipation-Reaction Guide Strategies for Teaching Reading Hortatory Exposition Texts to Students with High and Low Critical Thinking". *Thesis.* English Language Education. Graduate Program. Semarang State University. Advisor I: Prof. Dr. Dwi Rukmini. Advisor II: Dr. Rudi Hartono, S.S, M.Pd.

Keywords: Teaching Reading, Question-Answer Relationship, Anticipation-Reaction Guide, High Critical Thinking, Low Critical Thinking.

This study investigates the effectiveness of Question-Answer Relationship and Anticipation-Reaction Guide strategies for enhancing students' reading comprehension of hortatory exposition texts to students with high and low critical thinking. This study is a quantitative research by using experimental factorial design 2x2. The participants of this study were 51 students of the eleventh graders of *XI-IPS* namely 26 students of *XI-IPS 1* as the first experimental group treated by Question-Answer Relationship strategy in teaching reading hortatory exposition texts and 25 students of *XI-IPS 2* as the second experimental group treated by Anticipation-Reaction Guide strategy in teaching reading hortatory exposition texts at the Islamic Private Senior High School *Sultan Agung 3* Semarang.

The findings of this study show that (1) the strategy that has better effect in teaching reading hortatory exposition texts to students with high and low critical thinking is Question-Answer Relationship strategy (2) Question-Answer Relationship and Anticipation-Reaction Guide strategies are equal in the effectiveness of teaching reading hortatory exposition texts to students with high critical thinking (3) Question-Answer Relationship strategy has better effect than Anticipation-Reaction Guide strategy in teaching reading hortatory exposition texts to students with low critical thinking (4) the students with high and low critical thinking improve equally treated by using Question-Answer Relationship strategy (5) the students with high critical thinking improve better than the students with low critical thinking treated by using Anticipation-Reaction Guide strategy (6) there is interaction among teaching strategies, reading comprehension, and students' critical thinking in teaching reading hortatory exposition texts.

To sum up, there are significant differences of the effectiveness of Question-Answer Relationship and Anticipation-Reaction Guide strategies for enhancing students' reading comprehension related to students with high and low critical thinking but sometimes the effectiveness of both strategies is equal. However, there is interaction among teaching strategies, reading comprehension, and students' critical thinking in teaching reading hortatory exposition texts to students with high and low critical thinking.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	x

CHAPTER

I.	INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1	Background of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2	Reasons for Choosing the Topic	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3	Research Questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4	Objectives of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.5	Significance of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.6	Scope of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.7	Definition of Key Terms	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.8	Outline of the Thesis	Error! Bookmark not defined.

II.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Reviews of Previous Studies	Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.2 Theoretical Review Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.1 Reading Skill Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.1.1 Reading in Different Ways Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.1.2 Reading Comprehension Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.1.3 Successful Reading Comprehension Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.2 Hortatory Exposition Text Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.2.1 The Linguistic Features of Hortatory Exposition Text . Error! Bookmark
not defined.
2.2.2.2 The Elements of Hortatory Exposition Text Error! Bookmark not
defined.
2.2.3 Question-Answer Relationship Strategy Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.3.1 The Procedure of Question-Answer Relationship Strategy Error!
Bookmark not defined.
2.2.3.2 The Benefits of Question-Answer Relationship Strategy Error!
Bookmark not defined.
2.2.4 Anticipation-Reaction Guide Strategy Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.4.1 The Procedure of Anticipation-Reaction Guide Strategy Error!
Bookmark not defined.
2.2.4.2 The Benefits of Anticipation-Reaction Guide Strategy Error! Bookmark
not defined.
2.2.5 Critical Thinking Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.5.1 Core Critical Thinking Skills Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.2.5.2 Arguments..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.2.5.3 Critical Thinking and Reading Comprehension Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.3 Characteristics of Subjects under Study...... Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.4 Theoretical Framework Error! Bookmark not defined.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1 Research Design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2 Subject of the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2.1 Population of the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2.2 Sample of the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3 Setting	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4 Research Variables	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5 Hypotheses of the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6 Instruments of the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6.1 Validity, Reliability, and Practicality of the	he Research Instruments Error!
Bookmark not defined.	
367 Tests	Frror! Bookmark not defined

3.0.2 1	ests	Error! Bookmark not denned.
3.7 Meth	hod of Data Collection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.8 Meth	hod of Data Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1 General Findings	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.1 Critical Thinking Test Result	Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.1.2	Pretest and Posttest Result	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.3	Normality Test	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.4	Homogeneity Test	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2 H	ypothesis Testing	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.1	The Result of Hypothesis 1	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.2	The Result of Hypothesis 2	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.3	The Result of Hypothesis 3	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.4	The Result of Hypothesis 4	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.5	The Result of Hypothesis 5	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.6	The Result of Hypothesis 6	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3 D	iscussion	. Error! Bookmark not defined.

V.	CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.1	Conclusions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2	Suggestions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
RE	FERENCES	
API	PENDICES	Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF TABLES

Table Page
3.1 Research Design Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2 The Validity of the Instrument Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3 The Case Processing Summary of the Instrument Reliability Error!
Bookmark not defined.
3.4 The Reliability Statistics Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5 Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking on Analysis (The Critical Thinking Community, 2013) Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6 Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking on Interpretation (Nurgiyantoro, 2011: 73) Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.7 Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking on Self-Regulation (Street and Shine, 2015) Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.8 Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking on Evaluation (The Critical Thinking Community, 2013) Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.9 Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking on Explanation

(The Critical Thinking Community, 2013) Error! Bookmark not defined.

3.10 Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking on 2	Inference
(The Critical Thinking Community, 2013)	Error! Bookmark not defined.

- 3.11 Holistic Scoring Scale for Summarizing and Responding to Reading (Brown, 2004: 215)...... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 3.12 ANOVA Statistics..... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.1 Statistics of Pretest on Both Experimental Groups...... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.2 Statistics of Posttest on Both Experimental Groups Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.3 Normality Test of the Pretest Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4 Normality Test of the Posttest Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.5 Homogeneity Test of the Pretest Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.6 Homogeneity Test of the Posttest Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.7 Group Statistics of Hypothesis 1 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.8 Independent Samples Test of Hypothesis 1 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.9 Group Statistics of Hypothesis 2 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.10 Independent Samples Test of Hypothesis 2 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.11 Group Statistics of Hypothesis 3 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.12 Independent Samples Test of Hypothesis 3 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.13 Group Statistics of Hypothesis 4 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.14 Independent Samples Test of Hypothesis 4 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.15 Group Statistics of Hypothesis 5 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.16 Independent Samples Test of Hypothesis 5 Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.17 Table of Subject Factors Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.18 Mean and Standard Deviation of the Data Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.19 Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.20 Factorial Design 2x2 with ANOVA Analysis Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

Page

2.1 Hortatory Exposition Text with Its Linguistic Features. Error! Bookmark not

defined.

2.2 Hortatory Exposition Text with Its Schematic Structure Error! Bookmark not

defined.

- 2.3 The QAR Framework as a Developmental Progression by Raphael and Au (2005: 210)..... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 2.4 Core Critical Thinking Skills (Tilus, 2012)..... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 2.5 The Conceptual Framework for the Research on QAR and ARG Strategies in Enhancing Students' Reading Comprehension Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF APPENDICES

Page
k not
k not
fined.
fined.

- 5 Lesson Plans on the Experiments..... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6 Syllabus..... Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 7 Instruction of Posttest Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 8 Critical Thinking Level of Class XI-IPS 1. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 9 Critical Thinking Level of Class XI-IPS 2. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 10 Pretest And Posttest Score of Class *XI-IPS 1* Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 11 Pretest And Posttest Score of Class XI-IPS 2 Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 12 Pretest And Posttest Score of Class *XI-IPS 1* Sample.....**Error! Bookmark** not defined.
- 13 Pretest And Posttest Score of Class XI-IPS 2 Sample Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 14 Recommendation Letters of the Research . Error! Bookmark not defined.

REFERENCES

- Aloqaili, A. S. 2012. The Relationship between Reading Comprehension and Critical Thinking: A Theoretical Study. *Journal of King Saud University-Languages and Translation*. 24: 35-41.
- Alyousef, H. S. 2006. Teaching Reading Comprehension to ESL/EFL Learners. *Journal of Language and Learning*. 5 (1): 63-73.
- Bean, T. W. 2003. Using Young-Adult Literature to Enhance Comprehension in the Content Areas. Naperville: Learning Point Associates.
- Bennette, J. 2001. A Course in Light Speed Reading: A Return to Natural Intuitive Reading. Salem: Ave SE.
- Bouchard, M. 2005. *Comprehension Strategies for English Language Learners*. New York: Scholastic. Inc.
- Brown, H. D. 2004. *Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices*. New York: Pearson Education.
- Cohen, L et al. 2007. *Research Methods in Education Sixth Edition*. New York: Routledge.
- Cottrell, S. 2005. Critical Thinking Skills: Developing Effective Analysis and Argument. New York: Palcrave Macmillan.
- Dagostino, L et al. 2014. Assessment of Reading Comprehension Instrument as It Relates to Cognitive Abilities as Defined by Bloom's Revised Taxonomy. *Current Issues in Education Journal.* 17 (1): 1-15.
- Dawson, C. 2007. A Practical Guide to Research Methods: a User-Friendly Manual for Mastering Research Techniques and Projects Third Edition. Oxford: How to Books.
- El Hawa. 2009. Drugs (Hortatory Exposition). http://ukhtisyarifahelhawa. blogspot.com/2009/05/drugs-hortatoryexposition.html (Retrieved on February 6, 2015).
- Faizuddin. A. 2014. New Curriculum Give Teachers Their Core Business Back. http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2014/12/13/new-curriculum-giveteachers-their-core-business-back.html (Retrieved on February 4, 2015).

- Fard, H. M and Nikou, F. R. 2014. The Effect of Question-Answer Relationship (QAR) Strategy on First Grade High School EFL Students' Reading Comprehension. *International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World (IJLLALW)*. 7 (2): 300-312.
- Furtado, L and Pastell, H. 2012. Question Answer Relationship Strategy Increases Reading Comprehension among Kindergarten Students. Applied Research in English Journal. 1 (1): 1-19.
- Gay, L. R et al. 2011. *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Applications*. Trenton: Pearson Education.
- Goddard, W and Stuart, M. 2001. *Research Methodology: An Introduction Second Edition*. Lansdowne: JUTA.
- Hadfield, J and Charles, H. 2008. Oxford Basic: Introduction to Teaching English. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hartono, R. 2005. *Genres of Texts*. Semarang: English Department Faculty of Languages and Arts, Semarang State University.
- Hatch, E. and Farhady, H. 1982. *Research Design and Statistics for Applied Linguistics*. London: Newbury House Publisher.
- Hidayat, H. 2014. "The Effect of Graphic Organizer on High and Low Interest Students in Writing Hortatory Exposition Texts". *Thesis*. English Studies of Graduate Program of Education Department of State University of Semarang.
- Hyland, K. 2004. *Genre and Second Language Writing*. Lansing: The University of Michigan Press.
- Judge, B et al. 2009. Critical Thinking Skills for Education Students. Exeter: Learning Matters Ltd.
- Knapp, P and Megan, W. 2005. Genre, Text, Grammar: Technologies for Teaching and Assessing Writing. Sydney: A UNSW Press Book.
- Kothari, C. R. 2004. *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. New Delhi: New Age International (P) Ltd.
- Kozen et al. 2006. Increasing All Students' Change to Achieve: Using and Adapting Anticipation Guides with Middle School Learners. *Intervention in School and Clinic*. 41 (4): 195-200.

- Kucer, S. B. 2009. Dimension of Literacy: A Conceptual Base for Teaching Reading and Writing in School Settings Third Edition. New York: Routledge.
- Kustini, S. 2011. "Engaging Critical Thinking in the Teaching of Reading". *Thesis.* English Education Department Post Graduate School Indonesia University of Education.
- Lems, K et al. 2010. *Teaching Reading to English Language Learners: Insights from Linguistics*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Lykins, E. 2011. *Question-Answer Relationship EL*. http://free2read. wikispaces .com /Question-Answer+Relationships+EL (Retrieved on September 28, 2015).
- Maaloe, E. 2007. Modes of Interpretation. Department of Management, Aarhus School of Business, University of Aarhus, Denmark. 1-111.
- Maezun, K. 2011. *Contoh Teks Hortatory Exposition*. http://khampuse.blogspot .com/2011/04/contoh-teks-hortaotry-exposition.html (Retrieved on March 11, 2015).
- McDonough, Jo and Steven McDonough. 1997. Research Methods for English Language Teachers. London: Arnold.
- Mikulecky, B. S and Linda Jeffries. 2007. *Advanced Reading Power*. New York: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Muijs, D. 2004. *Doing Quantitative Research in Education: with SPSS*. London: Sage Publications.
- National Behaviour Support Service. *Question Answer Relationship (QAR): Reading and Learning Strategy-After Reading Strategy*. http://www.nbss. ie/sites/default/files/publications/qar_strategy_handout.pdf (Retrieved on January 19, 2015).
- Nurgiyantoro, B. 2011. *Penilaian Otentik: Dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa*. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- OU study team. 2007. Reading and Taking Notes. London: The Open University.
- Parr, C and Vera, W. 2013. Reading Comprehension Strategy Instruction in a First-Year Course: an Instructor's Self-Study. *The Canadian Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*. 4 (2): 1-19.

- Peng Swee Goh, G. R et al. 2007. Impact of Question-Answer Rellationships on Reading Comprehension. *Pei Chun Public School and Maymount Convent Ministry of Education*. 1-19.
- Piowlski. 2014. Comprehension Strategies. http://comprehensionkatieweiers. weebly.com/strategy-2-anticipationreaction-guide.html (Retrieved on September 28, 2015).
- Priyana, J. 2008. *INTERLANGUAGE: English for Senior High School Students XI Science and Social Study Programme.* Jakarta: Pusat Perbukuan, Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- Raphael, T. E and Au, K. H. 2005. QAR: Enhancing Comprehension and Test Taking Across Grades and Content Areas. *International Reading Association*. 206-221.
- Rohim, F. 2009. *Teaching Reading*. Jakarta: BERMUTU.
- Rustipa, K. (n.d). *Genre Analysis on English Learners' Hortatory Expositions*. http://download.portalgaruda.org/article.php?article=7806&val=553 (Retrieved on January 19, 2015).
- Schick, T. Jr and Lewis, V. 2005. *How to Think About Weird Things: Critical Thinking for A New Age Fourth Edition*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Singarimbun, M and Sofian, E. 2006. Metode Penelitian Survai. Jakarta: LP3ES.
- Siregar, F. L. 2013. *Indonesia's ELT and the ASEAN Economic Community*. http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2013/02/24/indonesia-s-elt-and-aseaneconomic-community.html (Retrieved on January 4, 2015).
- Snow, C. 2002. *Reading for Understanding: toward a Research and Development Program in Reading Comprehension.* Pittsburgh: RAND Education.
- Street and Shine. 2015. *Self-Regulation Rubric*. http://capital.osd.wednet.edu/staff /leduc/assessment/self-regulation_rubric (Retrieved on September 28, 2015).
- Sudjana. 2005. Metoda Statistika. Bandung: Tarsito.
- The Critical Thinking Community. 2013. Critical Thinking Testing and Assessment. http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-testing-and-assessment/594 (Retrieved on September 28, 2015).

- Tilus, G. 2012. 6 Critical Thinking Skills You Need to Master Now. http://www.rasmussen.edu/student-life/blogs/main/critical-thinking-skillsyou-need-to-master-now/ (Retrieved on September 10, 2015).
- Utama, D. A. 2014. Contoh Teks Hortatory Exposition Bahasa Inggris. http://diyoalifutama.blogspot.com/2014/02/contoh-teks-hortatory exposition-bahasa.html (Retrieved on March 11, 2015).
- Wang, D. 2006. What Can Standardized Reading Tests Tell Us? Question-Answer Relationship and Students' Performance. *Journal of College Reading and Learning* 32 (2): 21-37.
- Willis, J. 2008. *Teaching the Brain to Read*. Richmond: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.