E-ISSN : 2541-2019
P-ISSN : 2541-044X

SinkrOn

INFORMATICS ENGINEERING JOURNALS & RESEARCH

Volume 7 | Number 4 | October 2022

Dipublikasi oleh :

POLITEKNIK GANESHA Medan

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Muhammad Khoiruddin Harahap *(Politeknik Ganesha, Indonesia)*
Department: Informatics Engineering of Ganesha Polytechnic, North Sumatera, Indonesia.
Interest: Cryptography, Digital Image Processing, Decision Support System

Mail sinta Google Scopus

Associate Editor

Rahmat Widya Sembiring *(Politeknik Negeri Medan, Indonesia)*
Department: Informatics Management of Medan State Polytechnic, North Sumatera, Indonesia
Interest: Data Mining, Information System

Mail Google Scopus

Dedy Hartama ***(****STIKOM Tunas Bangsa, Indonesia)*
Department: Informatics Engineering of Stikom Tunas Bangsa, North Sumatera, Indonesia
Interest: Data Mining, Big Data, Cryptography

sinta Google Scopus

Editor Board Member

Siti Mutrofin *(Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya, Indonesia)*
Interest : Artificial Intelligence, Data Mining, Machine Learning

---

Nasir Abdul Jalil *(Sunway University Business School, Malaysia)*

Department: Business Analytics of Sunway University, Malaysia

Interest: Business Intelligence, ERP System, Business Analytics, Business Statistics, Big Data

---

Mohammed Saad Talib *(University of Babylon, Iraq)*

Department: Information Networks of University of Babylon, Iraq

Interest: Vehicular Communication, Wireless Communication, ITS, VANETs, MANETs, WSNs and IoT

Ali Abdul Jabbar Mohammed (*Technical University of Malaysia Malacca)*

Department: Computer System & Communication of Technical University of Malaysia, Malaysia

Interest: Data Mining, IoT, Smart City

Mario di Nardo *(Università degli studi di Napoli "Federico II", Italy)*

Department: Materials Engineering and Operations Management, D.I.C. Ma.Pi

Universita' degli Studi di Napoli, Iltaly.

Interest: Applied Sciences, Communications in Computer and Information Science, Production and Manufacturing

---

Dirja Nur Ilham *(Politeknik Aceh Selatan indonesia)*

Department: Informatics Engineering of Politeknik Aceh Selatan Indonesia.

Interest: Artificial Intelligence, Neural Network, Decision Support System, Internet of Things

---

Accredited by Ristekdikti

CONTACT US
EDITORIAL BOARD
AIMS & SCOPE
COPYRIGHT & LICENSE
REVIEWER
FACEBOOK FANPAGE

Vol. 7 No. 4 (2022): Article Research: October 2022

Cover Book

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4

Published: 2022-10-01

Articles

Simulation of Priority Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm

Tri Dharma Putra, Rakhmat Purnomo

2170-2181

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665

Citations ?

Information System for State-owned inventories Management at the Faculty of Computer Science

Tjahjanto, Artika Arista, Ermatita

2182-2192

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11678

Citations ?

Classification of Tuberculosis Based on Lung X-Ray Image With Data Science Approach Using Convolutional Neural Network

Mawaddah Harahap, Alfeus P. S. Pasaribu, Dedy Ridoly Sinaga, Romulus Sipangkar, Samuel

2193-2197

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11711

Citations ?

Usability Evaluation of Wedding Administrative Information System using System Usability Scale

Novrisyah Hasibuan, Raissa Amanda Putri

2198-2207

DOWNLOAD PDF

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i3.11749

Citations 0

Abstract views: 58

Data Mining implementation on SMUN Scholarship recipient candidates using the C4.5 algorithm

Rusdiansyah, Hendra Supendar, Nining Suharyanti, Tuslaela

2208-2213

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11767

Citations ?

Abstract views: 21

Predicting Employee Attrition Using Logistic Regression With Feature Selection

Fitri Herinda Wardhani, Kemas Muslim Lhaksmana

2214-2222

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11783

Citations ?

Abstract views: 36

Decision Tree Algorithm to Measure Employee Performance Discipline

Linda Marlinda, Evita Fitri , Siti Nurhasanah Nugraha , Faruq Aziz, Santoso Setiawan

2223-2230

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11796

Citations ?

Abstract views: 12

Application of the AHP-ELECTRE Method for Selection OOP Based Apps Programs

Akmaludin, Adhi Dharma Suriyanto, Nandang Iriadi, Budi Santoso, Bilal Abdul Wahid

2231-2240

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11370

Citations ?

Abstract views: 42

Development of Game Recognition Covid-19 Variants, Symptoms, and Vaccinations Based on RPG

Fiqih Hana Saputri, Ferawati

2241-2247

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11584

Citations ?

Abstract views: 4

Web-Based Laboratory Inventory Application Using QR Code and RFID in Telecommunication Engineering Laboratories/Workshops

Nur Nabila Rabiah, Lindawati, Sarjana

2248-2261

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11624

Citations ?

Abstract views: 4

Analysis Clustering Using Normalized Cross Correlation In Fuzzy C-Means Clustering Algorithm

Ricky Crist Geoversam Imantara Kembaren, Opim Salim Sitompul, Sawaluddin

2262-2271

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11666

Citations ?

Abstract views: 4

Application of Certainty Factor Method in Intelligent System for Diagnosis of Periodontal Disease in Cigarette AddictsApplication of Certainty Factor Method in Intelligent System for Diagnosis of Periodontal Disease in Cigarette Addicts

Ahmadi Irmansyah Lubis, Nur Yanti Lumban Gaol

2272-2279

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11695

Citations ?

Abstract views: 4

Validation Information System Munaqasyah Exam Registration Based Android

Muhamad Alda, Fathiyah Hasyifah Sibarani, Aspiraikhani Nasution

2280-2291

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11737

Abstract views: 4

Evaluation Using Black Box Testing and System Usability Scale in the Kidung Sekar Madya Application

Gede Surya Mahendra, I Kadek Andy Asmarajaya
2292-2302

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11755

Abstract views: 39

Implementation of Data Mining to predict sales of Bogo helmets using the Naïve Bayes algorithm

Kartika Mariskhana, Ita Dewi Sintawati, Widiarina
2303-2310

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11768

Abstract views: 19

Decision Support System for The Program Indonesia Pintar Scholarship Using Simple Additive Weighting Method

Yosep Septiana, Fitri Nuraeni, Kamelia Anisa
2311-2316

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11786

Abstract views: 33

A New Approach to Motorcycle Theft Prevention System Based on Arduino Uno

Alex Wenda
2317-2328

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11815

Abstract views: 7

Analysis and Design of UI/UX Mobile Applications for Marketing of UMKM Products Using Design Thinking Method

Eva Zuliana Dewi, May Fransisca, Rani Irma Handayani, F. Lia Dwi Cahyanti
2329-2339

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11505

Abstract views: 36

Forest fire predicting using Naive Bayes and KNN algorithm

Muhammad Salimy Ahsan, Zakaria Zakaria, Zulpan Hadi, Samuel Everth Andrias Kurni, Kusrini

2340-2347

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11609

Abstract views: 8

Analysis performance of content delivery network by used Rateless Code method

Sahat Parulian Sitorus, Elysa Rohayani Hasibuan, Rohani

2348-2359

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11651

Abstract views: 16

Classification of beetle type using the Convolutional Neural Network algorithm

Insidini Fawwaz, Tomy Candra, Delima Agustina Margareta Marpaung, Arun Dinis, M Reza Fachrozi

2340-2348

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11673

Abstract views: 6

Comparison of Feature Extraction Methods on Sentiment Analysis in Hotel Reviews

Arie Satia Dharma, Yosua Giat Raja Saragih

2349-2354

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11706

Abstract views: 5

Data Mining using clustering method to predict the spread of Covid 19 based on screening and tracing results

Allwin M. Simarmata, Riwanto Manik, Ourent Chrisin Renatta Simanjorang, Dymas Frepian Purba

2355-2360

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11740

Abstract views: 22

Mobile-Based Design of Information System for Vocational Internship Activities for Vocational Students

Rizwana Alya, Ali Ikhwan

2361-2368

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11764

Citations ?

Abstract views: 9

Application of the Kerthi Bali Economy in a Web-Based Geospatial Visualization Information System

I Putu Ferry Karyada, Kadek Oky Sanjaya, Made Gede Arthadana, I Gusti Agung Paramita, I Gede Aryana Mahayasa

2369-2379

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11777

Citations ?

Abstract views: 27

Village fund cash credit direct assistance recipient decision support system using the Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique (SMART) method

Harmayani Harmayani, Ardian Asti, Dicky Apdilah

2380-2390

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11794

Citations ?

Abstract views: 13

Implementation of Web-based of E-Marketplace for UMKM at XYZ University

Mariza Devega, Walhidayat, Yuhelmi

2391-2399

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11761

Citations ?

Abstract views: 49

Development of based learning media with App Inventor

Erwinsyah Satria, Zulkifli Musthan, Pandu Adi Cakranegara, ardian Arifin, Zulvia Trinova

2400-2406

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11611

Citations ?
Abstract views: 32

Stratified K-fold cross validation optimization on machine learning for prediction

Slamet Widodo, Herlambang Brawijaya, Samudi Samudi
2407-2414

PDF DOWNLOAD
DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11792
Citations ?
Abstract views: 8

Implementation of Generative Pre-Trained Transformer 3 Classify-Text in Determining Thesis Supervisor

Yoga Handoko Agustin
2415-2420

PDF DOWNLOAD
DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11757
Citations ?
Abstract views: 18

Comparison of Evaluation and Selection of SmartPhones Recommended AHP, Weight Sum Model, and Weight Product

Akmaludin, Erene Gernaria Sihombing , Linda Sari Dewi, Rinawati , Ester Arisawati
2160-2169

PDF DOWNLOAD
DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11366
Citations ?
Abstract views: 55

The search for alternative algorithms of the iteration method on a system of linear equation

Aam Jon Mintase Tarigan, Mardiningsih , Saib Suwilo
2124-2424

PDF DOWNLOAD
DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11817
Citations ?
Abstract views: 4

Role of Artificial Intelligence in Livestock and Poultry Farming

Hrishitva patel , Abdul Samad, Muhammad Hamza, Ayesha Muazzam, Muhammad Khoiruddin Harahap
2425-2429

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11837

Citations ?

Abstract views: 11

The effect of Chi-Square Feature Selection on Question Classification using Multinomial Naïve Bayes

Novi Yusliani, Syechky Al Qodrin Aruda, Mastura Diana Marieska, Danny Mathew Saputra, Abdiansah

2430-2436

PDF DOWNLOAD

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11788

Citations ?

Abstract views: 0

Implementation of Web Scraping for Journal Data Collection on the SINTA Website

Nelawati Adila

DOI : 10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11576

Citations ?

Abstract views: 10

Accredited by Ristekdikti

CONTACT US

EDITORIAL BOARD

AIMS & SCOPE

COPYRIGHT & LICENSE

REVIEWER

FACEBOOK FANPAGE

AUTHOR PROCESSING CHARGE

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

TEMPLATE

PEER REVIEW PROCESS

PUBLICATION ETHICS

STATISTIC VIEWER

E-BOOK VERSION

ARCHIVING

CROSSMARK POLICY

FREQUENCY

PLAGIARISM POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

HISTORY

CALL REVIEWER

SinkrOn Citation : SinkrOn Cited

Cited Information

	Semua	Sejak 2017
Kutipan	1316	1311
indeks-h	16	16
indeks-i10	35	35

Citation Graphic / Year



SinkrOn Cited

Visit Counting

Visit Counting

OJS Statistic

Contact Support :

Muhammad Khoiruddin harahap

BROWSE BY



Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

Google Scholar

Moraref

PKP Index

Garuda Ristekdikti

Indonesia OneSearch

OCLC Worldcat

Dimensions

Index Copernicus

Scilit

Lens.org

MEMBER OF

Crossref

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INTERNATIONAL CENTRE

RELAWAN JURNAL INDONESIA

Current Issue

ATOM 1.0

RSS 2.0

RSS 1.0

Make a Submission

Open Journal Systems

This Journal Managed by

Politeknik Ganesha Medan
Teknik Informatika Department
Jl. Veteran No. 194, Pasar VI, Labuhan Deli, Deli Serdang
North Sumatera, Indonesia

Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X

Simulation of Priority Round-Robin Scheduling Algorithm

Tri Dharma Putra[1], Rakhmat Purnomo[2*]
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
rakhmat.purnomo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Submitted : Aug 9, 2022 | **Accepted** : Aug 18, 2022 | **Published** : Oct 3, 2022

Abstract: In this journal, simulation of priority round robin scheduling algorithm is presented. To imitate the processes of operating system operation, simulation can be used. By simulation, model is used, namely models that represent the characteristics or behaviour of systems. Process scheduling is one important operation in operating system. OS-SIM can be used to model and simulate the operations of process scheduling. Some scheduling algorithms are available in modern operating systems, like First come First Serve (FCFS), Shortest Job First (SJF), Round Robin (RR), Priority Scheduling or combination of these algorithms. One important scheduling algorithm for real-time or embedded system is priority round robin scheduling algorithm. Priority round robin scheduling algorithm is a preemptive algorithm. Each process is given time quantum. Each process has a priority. Here time quantum 3 is given. The higher the time quantum, the more the context switching. By the use of OS-SIM, simulation can be understood easily and thoroughly. The statistics, will be calculated automatically by the system by the simulator, like the number of context switching, average waiting time, average turn around time, and average responds time. With one example, by using quantum=3. The average turn around time is 18.25 ms. The Average Waiting Time is 12 ms. The Average Responds time is 2.75 ms. The total burst time is 25 ms.

Keywords: Context Switching, OS-SIM, Priority Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm, Process Scheduling, Quantum

INTRODUCTION

The role of Operating System (OS) is as an interface between computer hardware and user. Operating Systems acts as a resource manager. Process scheduling in operating system is one important and fundamental design (Putra, 2020) (Putra & Purnomo, 2022) (Tri Dharma Putra, 2021). Process scheduling is a set of rules, mechanism, and policies that govern the allocated resources to many processes and finishing the scheduling. Process scheduling is a method of how to manage many queues of process to make delay as minimum as possible. Also to make the performance of the system optimal (Dhruv, 2019). The scheduler is operating system's module that arranging the policy of scheduling. The main purpose of scheduling is to make performance of the system optimal that match with the criteria set by designer (Vinay Kumar Reddy & Aakash, 2021) (Putra, 2022).

The prime concept of scheduling in real-time and multiprocessing operating systems design is by arranging switching among the processes of CPU. Priority round robin scheduling algorithm is a well known concept of algorithm in CPU processing (Putra & Purnomo, 2022) (Putra & Purnomo, 2021). A scheduler module is a tool to arrange the policy of scheduling. The main purpose of the scheduler is to optimize the performance of system, that is in line with the criteria set by system designer (m. LaxmiJeevani, T.S.P. Madhuri, 2018). Operating System Concepts Simulator, OS-SIM, is an application to simulate the concept of operating system's scheduling and support the learning process of students (Alexmazinho, 2022) .

There are many existing process scheduling algorithms in the real world. Some are just theoretical some can be implemented. Here are several well known scheduling algorithms: The Shortest Job First (SJF) algorithm. System will execute the process which has the shortest burst time first. In this algorithm, starvation can occur. With this algorithm, system can get average waiting time which is minimum. If compared to other scheduling

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022 e-ISSN : 2541-2019
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

algorithms (Asma Joshita Trisha, 2019). In priority scheduling, priority is given to each process. The process which have the same priority will be executed on first come first serve basis (Kunal Chandiramani, Rishabh Verma, 2019). Priority is defined externally or internally. Priorities which defined internally uses some measurable qualities or quantities to computer priority of a process (Chandra Shekar N, 2017). Priority algorithm arranges processes based on the priority on its queue. Other thing on priority scheduling, system gives priority on running state can be pre-emption (Ledina Hoxha Karteri, 2015). The last algorithm is First Come First Serve (FCFS). The process is executed based on which one arrives first. The one that exist in the queue will be executed the first time. The concept of FSCS is the same as FIFO (First In First Out) (Kunal Chandiramani, Rishabh Verma, 2019). FCFS is one simplest algorithm. Once the process is exist in the ready queue, it will be executed by the CPU until it is terminated. But, this algorithm has a high average waiting time (Hoger K. Omar, Kamal H. Jihad, n.d.).

In this journal, discussion will given about the variant of round robin scheduling algorithm thoroughly. For round robin algorithm, it is a known algorithm which usually used in real-time application. Context switching is one important concept in round robin scheduling algorithm. Round robin is a pre-emption algorithm. System gives allocation of a slice of context switching. If the context switching is finish, the current process will be preemptived, and will be put in the back of ready queue. The application of this is in real-time and embedded systems. But, the classic round robin algorithm has a high turn around time, small throughput, high waiting time, and high context switching (Hoger K. Omar, Kamal H. Jihad, n.d.) (Sakshi et al., 2022).

Our main subject for discussion in this journal is about priority round robin scheduling algorithm. In priority round robin scheduling algorithm, system provides each process an average share of time to utilize the CPU and gives a small responds time (Freire et al., 2021). Also the priority is given to each process. The higher the priority, the higher the chance the process will be executed. In this journal, the priority is given based on number. The higher the number, the less the priority. The idea of using priority is one best effective solution of this algorithm. The priority scheduling algorithm for round robin architecture is a modified version of simple round robin scheduling (Putra, 2020).

METHOD

This journal is consisting of five chapters. The first chapter is introduction. Here, we discuss the concept about the CPU process scheduling algorithm simulation and the basic concept of priority round robin scheduling algorithm. Existing process scheduling algorithms are also discussed. The second chapter is explanation about methodology of simulation. Discussions about OS-SIM is presented. The third chapter is about result of the simulation. Here, some displays of OS-SIM are discussed thoroughly. The fourth chapter is about discussion and analysis of the simulation result. The last chapter is conclusion and future works.

In this simulation the data of four processes scheduling are presented. Why four processes? This sampling technique is used because if it is too many than the explanation will be too long. Four processes are used so that it can be explained easily. The burst time of the four processes are chosen randomly. In real world, hundreds of processes will be running inside the system. So in this journal, only a small amount of processes will be analysed. That is the sampling technique that is used. Each process is given with priority. The methods in choosing the priority data is to show clearly the each processes will pre-empt the system by priority. The data priority, which are 1, 3, 2, 4 are chosen not arbitrary, but are chosen to show how the system pre-empt the running process. The quantum is 3. The quantum is chosen based on the scheduling system to show the analysis more deeply. Arrival times are 0, 1, 3, 4. The arrival time 0 means that the running process directly executed without waiting. Arrival time 1 means after 1 ms then the process arrives in the ready queue. The same happens with arrival times 3 and 4. These data are chosen to show at what time processes arrive in the ready queue.

Computer simulation is the process of mathematical modelling, done on a computer, that is designed to predict the behaviour of a physical system or the behaviour of a real-world. Computer simulations are a useful tool for mathematical modelling in many subject of computer systems (Wikipedia, 2022). Computer simulations can be used to gain insight into new technology and to imitate the performance of systems which is too complex to analyse. By simulations, computer can model situation in real-life, so that the working of the system can be seen and studied. In simulation, variable can be changed, and system can predict the behaviour of systems.

Computer simulation has become useful in modelling many scheduling algorithms in operating system. One example of using computer to simulate is by the use of OS-SIM. In such simulation, the model behaviour of process scheduling, memory management, disk, and file, can be implemented. Then, models are needed. Model that represent the characteristic and behaviour of the selected system or process. Computer is used to execute the simulation. OS-SIM is an application to simulate the characteristics or behaviours of system (Alexmazinho, 2022). Scheduling is best learned through implementation. OS-SIM, a simulator of process scheduling makes implementation easier.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022 e-ISSN : 2541-2019
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

OS-SIM is used to explore the behaviour of operating system. Each process can be analyse step by step to see when it accesses the CPU, where the system put the process in memory, and how long it accessed the system. OS-SIM is a modular system (Alexmazinho, 2022). It makes it easier to be understood. This will make implementation of different algorithms to be experimented easier. By this experiments, the user can get better understanding of the performance, behaviour, and characteristics of the operating system's elements.

In Figure 1. below, it can be seen the dashboard of OS-SIM Simulator. Five pull-down menus are displayed. Which are: File, Processes, Memory, File System, Disk, and Help. There are four major functions of operating systems to be simulated. Which are process scheduling, disk management, memory management, and file management. File Menu is for file manipulation. Menu Process is for process scheduling. Menu Memory is for memory management. Disk Menu is for disk saving simulation. The last menu, Help, is for information and help about this simulator.

FIGURE 1. SIMULATOR OS-SIM

RESULT

In this chapter discussion will be given on simulation in OS-SIM. There will be one example. The displays of OS-SIM will be given. There are four processes with arrival times, priorities, and burst time each:

TABLE 1. EXAMPLE OF PROCESS

Process	Arrival Time	Priority	Burst Time
A	0	1	4
B	1	3	8
C	3	2	7
D	4	4	6

Here there are four processes, Please take a look on table 1, The four processes, namely A, B, C, and D. Also given here, priority, arrival times and burst times. Priority 1 is the highest priority and priority 4 is the lowest priority. The total burst time is 25.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X



Figure 2. Step by Step Simulation at t=0

Please take a look on Figure 2. At t=0, process A gets in. Process A is 4 ms. B's arrival time is 1. C's arrival time is 3 and D's arrival time is 4. So at this moment, only A in the ready queue, since A's arrival time is 0. Processes B, C, D must wait four mili seconds, since this time is at 0.

Figure 3. Step by Step Simulation at t=1

Please take a look on Figure 3. At t=1, B is placed in the ready queue. And at this time, A is executed for the first time. Since the priority of B is 3 and the priority of A is 1, which is higher then B. So, A is continue to be executed until quantum 3 is finished. So A is executed for 3 ms even though B's arrival time is at 1 ms. So that B is placed at this time, in the front of ready queue.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X

Figure 4. Step by Step Simulation at t=3

Please take a look on Figure 4. In this display, A is executed until its quantum is finished, namely until t=3. B is still in ready queue, waiting to be executed. The submission (arrival time) of C is 1 now, which means, in 1 ms, C will be placed in ready queue.



Figure 5. Step by Step Simulation at t=4

Please take a look on Figure 5. At t=4, B is executed, since B is in front of C in ready queue. C is placed behind B in the ready queue. For submission (arrival time) of D, it is left 1 ms. Which means in 1 ms, D will be placed in the ready queue.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X



Figure 6. Step by Step Simulation at t=5

Please take a look on Figure 6. At t=5, B is still being executed. All processes, namely, A, B, C, and D, all of them are already placed in ready queue, waiting to be executed. In the front of ready queue is C. Followed by A and then D, in the back of the ready queue. In the mean time, B is still being executed.

Figure 7. Step by Step Simulation at t =7

Please take a look on Figure 7. Process B is executed until 6 ms. At t=7, C is being executed. So in the front of ready queue is A now. Followed by D and then in the back is B. B is left five more ms. C is executed until t=9.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X

Figure 8. Step by Step Simulation at t=10

Please take a look on Figure 8. At t=10, process A is executed. Since A is in the front of ready queue. This is the last burst time of A. Since A has only 4 ms of burst time.



Figure 9. Step by Step Simulation at t=11

Please take a look on Figure 9. At t=11, D is executed. Since D is in the front of ready queue. D is executed as the quantum which is 3. D is executed until t=13.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X



Figure 10. Step by Step Simulation at t=14

Please take a look on Figure 10. At t=14, B is in the front of ready queue, so that, B is executed. B is executed as the quantum, which is 3. So, B is executed until t=16. Then, as in Figure 10, C is in the front of ready queue. Ready to be executed the next time.

Figure 11. Step by Step Simulation at t=17

Please take a look on Figure 11. At t=17, C is in the front of ready queue. Then, C is executed for 3 ms as the time quantum. Process C is executed until t=19. Behind C is D in the ready queue. Then in the back of the ready queue is B.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X



Figure 12. Step by Step Simulation at t=20

Please take a look on Figure 12. At t=20, D is executed. D is executed for 3 ms as the quantum. D is executed until t=22. Now in the ready queue is B. And in the back of the ready queue is C.



Figure 13. Step by Step Simulation at t=23

Please take a look on Figure 13. At t=23, B is executed. B only executed for 2 ms, since B is left 2 ms. B is executed until t=24. The last process, process C, now is in the front of ready queue.

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665
e-ISSN : 2541-2019
p-ISSN : 2541-044X



Figure 14. Step by Step Simultion at t=25

Please take a look on Figure 14. This is the last process. The last process is at t=25. C is executed. Since C is the last process in the ready queue. C is executed for only 1 ms.

DISCUSSION

Please take a look at gantt chart in Figure 15. A is executed for 3 ms. Process A is executed until finish, which is 3 ms. At t=1, B gets in to the front of ready queue. But, since the priority of A is higher then the priority of B, so, A continues to be executed until finish. The system compares the priority of process A and process B. A has 1 priority which is the highest. But, process B only has 3 priority, which is lower than process A. Then at t=4, B is executed until finished, at t=6. Then afterwards, C is executed from t=7 until t=9. Then, back A again to be executed, This is the last mili second of A. At t=11, D is executed for 3 ms, until t=13. Afterwards, B from t=14 is executed until t=16. At t=17, process C is executed until t=19. Then at t=20, the last process which has the lowest priority, which is 4, is executed for 3 ms. Until t=22. At t=23, Two last mili second of B is executed, until t=24. And at the last process in ready queue, C is executed for 1 ms only.



Figure 15. Gantt Chart of the Processes

To get average waiting time, average turn around time, and average responds time. Please take a look on the Table 2. below. This is based on the calculation of the system, by the simulator automatically. The average responds time is 2.75 ms. The average waiting time is 12.00 ms. The average turn around time is 18.25 ms. For waiting time, it is for process A= 6, for process B and C, it is equal to 15 each. And for process D, it is 12. For turn around time, it is 10 for process A. It is 23 for process B. It is 22 for process B, and 18 for process D. For CPU usage utilization, for process A is 0.4. For process B is 0.3478. For process C is 0.3182. And for process D is 0.3333. There is no I/O utilization here, since it is not done here.

Table 2. Calculation of Turn Around Time, Waiting Time, and Responds Time

*name of corresponding author

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika
Volume 7, Number 4, October 2022 e-ISSN : 2541-2019
DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v7i4.11665 p-ISSN : 2541-044X



PID	Name	Priority	Submission	Periodic	CPU	Response	Waiting	Turnaround	% CPU	% IO
1	A	1	0	-	4	0	6	10	0.4	0.0
4	D	4	4	-	6	6	12	18	0.3333333...	0.0
2	B	3	1	-	8	2	15	23	0.3478260...	0.0
3	C	2	3	-	7	3	15	22	0.3181818...	0.0

CONCLUSION

In this journal, simulation of priority round robin scheduling algorithm is presented. With one example, by using four processes and using quantum=3. The average turn around time is 18.25 ms. And the Average Waiting Time is 12 ms. The Average Responds Time is 2.75 ms. OS-SIM is a well known operating system simulator. By using OS-SIM, it is easier to simulate the systems, and make it easy to understood the working process which is behind the scene. All processes in this example is finished at 25 ms. For future recommendations, other algorithm also can be simulated. Comparison between algorithm can also be done after simulation. It is also proposed to used other time quantum. Since in this journal, time quantum 3 is given. It is proposed for future works, other time quantum can be done also, like quantum=4 or quantum=5 and compare the results.

REFERENCES

Alexmazinho. (2022). *OS-SIM*. OS-Simulator. https://sourceforge.net/projects/oscsimulator/

Asma Joshita Trisha, S. B. (2019). A Combined Preemptive SJF and Preemptive Priority Algorithm to Enhance CPU Utilization. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, *177*(19), 26–30.

Chandra Shekar N, K. V. (2017). Analysis of Priority Scheduling Algorithm on the Basis of FCSF & SJF for Similar Priority Jobs. *International Journal of Engineering Research in Computer Science and Engineering*, *4*(3), 73–76.

Dhruv, R. (2019). Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm Based on Dynamic Time Quantum. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)*, *X*(X), 593–595.

Freire, D. L., Frantz, R. Z., Roos-frantz, F., & Fernandes, V. B. (2021). *New developments in Round Robin algorithms and their applications : a systematic mapping study New developments in Round Robin algorithms and their applications : a systematic mapping study Daniela L . Freire , Rafael Z . Frantz , Fabricia Roos-Frantz Vit. January*.

Hoger K. Omar, Kamal H. Jihad, S. F. H. (n.d.). Comparative Analysis of the Essential CPU Scheduling Algorithms. *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, *10*(5), 2742–2750.

Kunal Chandiramani, Rishabh Verma, S. M. (2019). A Modified Priority Preemptive Algorithm for CPU Scheduling. *International Confierence on Recent Trends in Advanced Computing 2019, ICRTAC 2019*, 363–369.

Ledina Hoxha Karteri, A. S. (2015). Preemptive and Non- Preemptive Priority Scheduling. *International Journal of Computer Science & Management Studies*, *19*(01), 1–5. www.ijcsms.com

m. LaxmiJeevani, T.S.P. Madhuri, Y. S. D. (2018). Improvised Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm and comparison with Existing Round Robin CPU Scheduling Algorithm. *IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering*, *20*(3), 1–4.

Putra, T. D. (2020). Analysis of Preemptive Shortest Job First (SJF) Algorithm in CPU Scheduling. *IJARCCE*, *9*(4), 41–45. https://doi.org/10.17148/ijarcce.2020.9408

Putra, T. D. (2022). Analysis of Priority Preemptive Scheduling Algorithm: Case Study. *Ijarcce*, *11*(1), 27–30. https://doi.org/10.17148/ijarcce.2022.11105

Putra, T. D., & Purnomo, R. (2021). Analisis Algoritma Round Robin pada Penjadwalan CPU. *Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi Informasi Asia*, *15*(2), 85. https://doi.org/10.32815/jitika.v15i2.481

Putra, T. D., & Purnomo, R. (2022). Case Study : Improved Round Robin Algorithm. *Sinkron : Jurnal Dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika*, *7*(3), 950–956.

Sakshi, Sharma, C., Sharma, S., Kautish, S., A. M. Alsallami, S., Khalil, E. M., & Wagdy Mohamed, A. (2022). A new median-average round Robin scheduling algorithm: An optimal approach for reducing turnaround

*name of corresponding author

and waiting time. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *61*(12), 10527–10538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.04.006

Tri Dharma Putra, A. F. (2021). Comparison Between Simple Round Robin and Intelligent Round Robin Algorithms in CPU Scheduling. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, *10*(4), 86–90.

Vinay Kumar Reddy, E., & Aakash, K. (2021). A New Proposal of Smart Time Quantum for Round Robin Algorithm and Comparison with Existing Round Robin Variants. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 1392–1402. www.irjet.net

Wikipedia. (2022). *Computer Simulation*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Computer_simulation

*name of corresponding author

Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 8%

Date: Tuesday, August 09, 2022
Statistics: 275 words Plagiarized / 3255 Total words
Remarks: Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.
---

1 Simulation of Priority Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm Tri Dharma Putra tri.dharma.putra@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id Rakhmat Purnomo rakhmat.purnomo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id Department of Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science, University of Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jalan Perjuangan Bekasi Utara, Indonesia Abstract: In this journal, simulation of priority round robin scheduling algorithm is presented. To imitate the processes of operating system operation, simulation can be used.

By simulation, model is used, namely models that represent the characteristics or behaviour of systems. Process scheduling is one important operation in operating system. OS-SIM can be used to model and simulate the operations of process scheduling. Some scheduling algorithms are available in modern operating systems, like First come First Serve (FCFS), Shortest Job First (SJF), Round Robin (RR), Priority Scheduling or combination of these algorithms. One important scheduling algorithm for real-time or embedded system is priority round robin scheduling algorithm.

Priority round robin scheduling algorithm is a preemptive algorithm. Each process is given time quantum. Each process has a priority. Here time quantum 3 is given. The higher the time quantum, the more the context switching. By the use of OS-SIM, simulation can be understood easily and thoroughly. The statistics, will be calculated automatically by the system by the simulator, like the number of context switching, average waiting time, average turn around time, and average responds time. With one example, by using quantum=3. The average turn around time is 18.25 ms. The Average Waiting Time is 12 ms.

The Average Responds time is 2.75 ms. The total burst time is 25 ms. Keywords: Context

Switching, OS-SIM, Priority Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm, Process Scheduling, Quantum I. INTRODUCTION The role of Operating System (OS) is as an interface between computer hardware and user. Operating Systems acts as a resource manager.

Process scheduling in operating system is one important and fundamental design (Putra, 2020) (Putra & Purnomo, 2022) (Tri Dharma Putra, 2021). Process scheduling is a set of rules, mechanism, and policies that govern the allocated resources to many processes and finishing the scheduling. Process scheduling is a method of how to manage many queues of process to make delay as minimum as possible.

Also to make the performance of the system optimal (Dhruv, 2019). policy of scheduling. The main purpose of scheduling is to make performance of the system optimal that match with the criteria set by designer (Vinay Kumar Reddy & Aakash, 2021) (Putra, 2022). The prime concept of scheduling in real-time and multiprocessing operating systems design is by arranging switching among the processes of CPU.

Priority round robin scheduling algorithm is a well known concept of algorithm in CPU processing (Putra & Purnomo, 2022) (Putra & Purnomo, 2021). A scheduler module is tool to arrange the policy of scheduling. The main purpose of the scheduler is to optimize the performance of system, that is in line with the criteria set by system designer (m. LaxmiJeevani, T.S.P.

Madhuri, 2018). Operating System Concepts Simulator, OS-SIM, is an application to simulate the concept of oper atisystem'sng and support the learning process of students (Alexmazinho, 2022) . Here are several well known scheduling algorithms: The Shortest Job First (SJF) algorithm.

System will execute the process which has the shortest burst time first. In this algorithm, starvation can occur. With this algorithm, system can get average waiting time which is minimum. If compared to other scheduling algorithms (Asma Joshita Trisha, 2019). In priority scheduling, priority is given to each process.

The process which have the same priority will be executed on first come first serve basis (Kunal Chandiramani, Rishabh Verma, 2019). Priority is defined externally or internally. Priorities which defined internally uses some measurable qualities or quantities to computer priority of a process (Chandra Shekar N, 2017).

Priority algorithm arranges processes based on the priority on its queue. Other thing on priority scheduling, system gives priority on running state can be pre-emption (Ledina Hoxha Karteri, 2015). The last algorithm is First Come First Serve (FCFS). The process is

executed based on which one arrives first.

The one that exist in the queue will be executed the first time. The concept of FSCS is the same as FIFO (First In First Out) (Kunal Chandiramani, Rishabh Verma, 2019). FCFS is one simplest algorithm. Once the process is exist in the ready queue, it will be executed by the CPU until it is terminated. But, this algorithm has a high average waiting time (Hoger K.

Omar, Kamal H. Jihad, n.d.). 2 For round robin algorithm, it is a known algorithm which usually used in real-time application. Context switching is one important concept in round robin scheduling algorithm. Round robin is a pre-emption algorithm. System gives allocation of a slice of context switching.

If the context switching is finish, the current process will be preemptived, and will be put in the back of ready queue. The application of this is in real-time and embedded systems. But, the classic round robin algorithm has a high turn around time, small throughput, high waiting time, and high context switching (Hoger K. Omar, Kamal H.

Jihad, n.d.) (Sakshi et al., 2022). In priority round robin scheduling algorithm, system provides each process an average share of time to utilize the CPU and gives a small responds time (Freire et al., 2021). Also the priority is given to each process. The higher the priority, the higher the chance the process will be executed.

In this journal, the priority is given based on number. The higher the number, the less the priority. The idea of using priority is one best effective solution of this algorithm. The priority scheduling algorithm for round robin architecture is a modified version of simple round robin scheduling (Putra, 2020). II.

METHOD This journal is consisting of five chapters. The first chapter is introduction. Here, we discuss the concept about the CPU process scheduling algorithm simulation and the basic concept of priority round robin scheduling algorithm. Existing process scheduling algorithms are also discussed. The second chapter is explanation about methodology of simulation. Discussions about OS-SIM is presented.

The third chapter is about result of the simulation. Here, some displays of OS- SIM are discussed thoroughly. The fourth chapter is about discussion and analysis of the simulation result. The last chapter is conclusion and future works. Computer simulation is the process of mathematical modelling, done on a computer, that is designed to predict the behaviour of a physical system or the behaviour of a real-world. Computer simulations are a useful tool for mathematical modelling in many subject of computer

systems (Wikipedia, 2022).

Computer simulations can be used to gain insight into new technology and to imitate the performance of systems which is too complex to analyse. By simulations, computer can model situation in real-life, so that the working of the system can be seen and studied. In simulation, variable can be changed, and system can predict the behaviour of systems.

Computer simulation has become useful in modelling many scheduling algorithms in operating system. One example of using computer to simulate is by the use of OS-SIM. In such simulation, the model behaviour of process scheduling, memory management, disk, and file, can be implemented. Then, models are needed. Model that represent the characteristic and behaviour of the selected system or process. Computer is used to execute the simulation.

OS-SIM is an application to simulate the characteristics or behaviours of system (Alexmazinho, 2022). Scheduling is best learned through implementation. OS-SIM, a simulator of process scheduling makes implementation easier. OS-SIM is used to explore the behaviour of operating system. Each process can be analyse step by step to see when it accesses the CPU, where the system put the process in memory, and how long it accessed the system.

OS-SIM is a modular system (Alexmazinho, 2022). It makes it easier to be understood. This will make implementation of different algorithms to be experimented easier. By this experiments, the user can get better understanding of the performance, behaviour, and characteristics of the atisystem' elements. In Figure 1. below, it can be seen the dashboard of OS-SIM Simulator. Five pull-down menus are displayed. Which are: File, Processes, Memory, File System, Disk, and Help.

There are four major functions of operating systems to be simulated. Which are process scheduling, disk management, memory management, and file management. File Menu is for file manipulation. Menu Process is for process scheduling. Menu Memory is for memory management. Disk Menu is for disk saving simulation. The last menu, Help, is for information and help about this simulator. 3 FIGURE 1. SIMULATOR OS-SIM III.

RESULT In this chapter discussion will be given on simulation in OS-SIM. There will be one example. The displays of OS-SIM will be given. There are four processes with arrival times, priorities, and burst time each: TABLE 1. EXAMPLE OF PROCESS Process Arrival Time Priority Burst Time A 0 1 4 B 1 3 8 C 3 2 7 D 4 4 6 Here there are four processes, Please take a look on table 1, The four processes, namely A, B, C, and D. Also given here,

priority, arrival times and burst times. Priority 1 is the highest priority and priority 4 is the lowest priority.

The total burst time is 25. Figure 2. Step by Step Simulation at t=0 4 Please take a look on Figure 2. At t=0, process A gets in. Process A is 4 ms. B'arival me 1. s rtiis and s rtiis o thimom A the eady A'arival me 0.Pocesses must wait for mili seconds, since this time is at 0. Figure 3. Step by Step Simulation at t=1 Please take a look on Figure 3. At t=1, B is placed in the ready queue. And at this time, A is executed for the first time.

Since the priority of B is 3 and the priority of A is 1, which is higher then B. So, A is continue to be executed until quantum 3 is finished. SA executed f3 even B'arival me at ms. o B at s ti in the front of ready queue. Figure 4. Step by Step Simulation at t=3 Please take a look on Figure 4. In this display, A is executed until its quantum is finished, namely until t=3. B is still in ready queue, waiting to be executed.

The submission (arrival time) of C is 1 now, which means, in 1 ms, C will be placed in ready queue. 5 Figure 5. Step by Step Simulation at t=4 Please take a look on Figure 5. At t=4, B is executed, since B is in front of C in ready queue. C is placed behind B in the ready queue. For submission (arrival time) of D, it is left 1 ms. Which means in 1 ms, D will be placed in the ready queue. Figure 6.

Step by Step Simulation at t=5 Please take a look on Figure 6. At t=5, B is still being executed. All processes, namely, A, B, C, and D, all of them are already placed in ready queue, waiting to be executed. In the front of ready queue is C. Followed by A and then D, in the back of the ready queue. In the mean time, B is still being executed. 6 Figure 7.

Step by Step Simulation at t =7 Please take a look on Figure 7. Process B is executed until 6 ms. At t=7, C is being executed. So in the front of ready queue is A now. Followed by D and then in the back is B. B is left five more ms. C is executed until t=9. Figure 8. Step by Step Simulation at t=10 Please take a look on Figure 8. At t=10, process A is executed. Since A is in the front of ready queue.

This is the last burst time of A. Since A has only 4 ms of burst time. 7 Figure 9. Step by Step Simulation at t=11 Please take a look on Figure 9. At t=11, D is executed. Since D is in the front of ready queue. D is executed as the quantum which is 3. D is executed until t=13. Figure 10. Step by Step Simulation at t=14 Please take a look on Figure 10. At t=14, B is in the front of ready queue, so that, B is executed.

B is executed as the quantum, which is 3. So, B is executed until t=16. Then, as in Figure 10, C is in the front of ready queue. Ready to be executed the next time. 8 Figure 11.

Step by Step Simulation at t=17 Please take a look on Figure 11. At t=17, C is in the front of ready queue. Then, C is executed for 3 ms as the time quantum. Process C is executed until t=19. Behind C is D in the ready queue. Then in the back of the ready queue is B.

Figure 12. Step by Step Simulation at t=20 Please take a look on Figure 12. At t=20, D is executed. D is executed for 3 ms as the quantum. D is executed until t=22. Now in the ready queue is B. And in the back of the ready queue is C. 9 Figure 13. Step by Step Simulation at t=23 Please take a look on Figure 13. At t=23, B is executed. B only executed for 2 ms, since B is left 2 ms. B is executed until t=24. The last process, process C, now is in the front of ready queue.

Figure 14. Step by Step Simultion at t=25 Please take a look on Figure 14. This is the last process. The last process is at t=25. C is executed. Since C is the last process in the ready queue. C is executed for only 1 ms. IV. DISCUSSION Please take a look at gantt chart in Figure 15. A is executed for 3 ms. Process A is executed until finish, which is 3 ms. At t=1, B gets in to the front of ready queue.

But, since the priority of A is higher then the priority of B, so, A continues to be executed until finish. The systems compares the priority of process A and process B. A has 1 priority which is the highest. But, process B only has 3 priority, which is lower than process A. Then at t=4, B is executed until finished, at t=6.

Then afterwards, C is executed from t=7 until t=9. Then, back A again to be executed, This was the last mili second of A. At t=11, D is executed for 3 ms, until t=13. Afterwards, B from t=14 is executed until t=16. At t=17, process C is executed until t=19. Then at t=20, the last process which has the lowest prority, which is 4, is executed for 3 ms. Until t=22.

At t=23, Two last mili second of B is executed, until t=24. And at the last process in ready queue, C is executed for 1 ms only. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 A A A B B B C C C A D D D B B B C C C D 10 21 22 23 24 25 D D B B C Figure 15. Gantt Chart of the Processes To get average waiting time, average turn around time, and average responds time. Please take a look on the Table 2. below.

This is based on the calculation of the system, by the simulator automatically. The average responds time is 2.75 ms. The average waiting time is 12.00 ms. The average turn around time is 18.25 ms. For waiting time, it is for process A= 6, for process B and C, it is equal to 15 each. And for process D, it is 12. For turn around time, it is 10 for process A. It is 23 for process B. It is 22 for process B, and 18 for process D. For CPU

usage utilisation, for process A is 0.4.

For process B is 0.3478. For process C is 0.3182. And for process D is 0.3333. There is no I/O utilisation here, since it is not done here. Table 2. Calculation of Turn Around Time, Waiting Time, and Responds Time V. CONCLUSION In this journal, simulation of priority round robin scheduling algorithm is presented. With one example, by using four processes and using quantum=3. The average turn around time is 18.25 ms. And the Average Waiting Time is 12 ms.

The Average Responds Time is 2.75 ms. OS-SIM is a well known operating system simulator. By using OS-SIM, it is easier to simulate the systems, and make it easy to understood the working process which is behind the scene. All processes in this example is finished at 25 ms. For future recommendations, other algorithm also can be simulated.

Comparison between algorithm can also be done after simulation. It is also proposed to used other time quantum. Since in this journal, time quantum 3 is given. It is proposed for future works, other time quantum can be done also, like quantum=4 or quantum=5 and compare the results. REFERENCES Alexmazinho. (2022). OS-SIM. OS-Simulator. https://sourceforge.net/projects/oscsimulator/ Asma Joshita Trisha, S. B. (2019).

A Combined Preemptive SJF and Preemptive Priority Algorithm to Enhance CPU Utilization. International Journal of Computer Applications, 177(19), 26 30. Chandra Shekar N, K. V. (2017). Analysis of Priority Scheduling Algorithm on the Basis of FCSF & SJF for Similar Priority Jobs. International Journal of Engineering Research in Computer Science and Engineering, 4(3), 73 76. Dhruv, R. (2019).

Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm Based on Dynamic Time Quantum. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT), X(X), 593 595. Freire, D. L., Frantz, R. Z., Roos-frantz, F., & Fernandes, V. B. (2021). New developments in Round Robin algorithms ound Robin algorithms and their -Frantz Vit. January. Hoger K. Omar, Kamal H. Jihad, S. F. H. (n.d.). Comparative Analysis of the Essential CPU Scheduling Algorithms.

Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, 10(5), 2742 – 2750. Kunal Chandiramani, Rishabh Verma, S. M. (2019). A Modified Priority Preemptive Algorithm for CPU Scheduling. International Confierence on Recent Trends in Advanced Computing 2019, ICRTAC 2019, 363 – 369. Ledina Hoxha Karteri, A. S. (2015). Preemptive and Non- Preemptive Priority Scheduling.

International Journal of Computer Science & Management Studies, 19(01), 1 5.

www.ijcsms.com m. LaxmiJeevani, T.S.P. Madhuri, Y. S. D. (2018). Improvised Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm and comparison with Existing Round Robin CPU Scheduling Algorithm. IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering, 20(3), 1 4. Putra, T. D. (2020). Analysis of Preemptive Shortest Job First (SJF) Algorithm in CPU Scheduling. IJARCCE, 9(4), 41 45. https://doi.org/10.17148/ijarcce.2020.9408 Putra, T. D. (2022). Analysis of Priority Preemptive Scheduling Algorithm: Case Study. Ijarcce, 11(1), 27 30. 11 https://doi.org/10.17148/ijarcce.2022.11105 Putra, T. D., & Purnomo, R. (2021). Analisis Algoritma Round Robin pada Penjadwalan CPU. Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi Informasi Asia, 15(2), 85. https://doi.org/10.32815/jitika.v15i2.481 Teknik Informatika, 7(3), 950 956. Sakshi, Sharma, C., Sharma, S., Kautish, S., A. M. Alsallami, S., Khalil, E. M., & Wagdy Mohamed, A. (2022).

A new median-average round Robin scheduling algorithm: An optimal approach for reducing turnaround and waiting time. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 61(12), 10527 10538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.04.006 Tri Dharma Putra, A. F. (2021). Comparison Between Simple Round Robin and Intelligent Round Robin Algorithms in CPU Scheduling.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 10(4), 86 90. Vinay Kumar Reddy, E., & Aakash, K. (2021). A New Proposal of Smart Time Quantum for Round Robin Algorithm and Comparison with Existing Round Robin Variants. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 1392 1402. www.irjet.net Wikipedia. (2022). Computer Simulation. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Computer_simulation

INTERNET SOURCES:

<1% - https://www.coursehero.com/file/78458827/rr-and-priorityдocx/
<1% - https://id.linkedin.com/in/ajie-prasetya-24b164226
<1% - https://www.scaler.com/topics/operating-system/scheduling-algorithms-in-os/
<1% - https://github.com/tramnewin/tramnguyen_lab2
<1% - https://www.studocu.com/in/document/pes-university/real-time-operating-system/question-and-answers-3-prof-pavitra/17642025
<1% - https://iq.opengenus.org/round-robin-scheduling/
<1% - https://www.guru99.com/operating-system-tutorial.html
<1% - https://www.allbca.com/2020/04/cpu-process-scheduling-algorithms-in-os.html
<1% - https://www.slideserve.com/bjorklund/os-sim-operating-system-concepts-simulator-powerpoint-ppt-presentation

<1% - https://iq.opengenus.org/shortest-job-first-cpu-scheduling/
<1% -
https://interviewsansar.com/mcq-java-multithreading-objective-questions-answers/5/
<1% - https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/priority-queue-class-in-java/
<1% - https://www.lean.org/lexicon-terms/first-in-first-out-fifo/
<1% -
https://www.turing.com/kb/different-types-of-non-preemptive-cpu-scheduling-algorithms
<1% - http://paper.ijcsns.org/07_book/201003/20100307.pdf
<1% -
https://www.tutorialspoint.com/what-are-the-types-of-process-scheduling-algorithms-and-which-algorithms-lead-to-starvation
<1% - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simulation
<1% -
https://www.chegg.com/homework-help/questions-and-answers/computer-models-used-gain-insight-complex-systems-attempt-predict-performance-behavior-tru-q64854009
<1% - https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/cpu-scheduling-in-operating-systems/
<1% -
https://acet.ecs.baylor.edu/journal/ACETJournal_Vol5/OperatingSystemSimulator.pdf
<1% - https://www.writework.com/essay/four-major-functions-operating-system
<1% - https://pak-mcqs.net/level/18/27/23/227/1
<1% -
https://www.researchgate.net/figure/STEP-BY-STEP-SIMULATION-IMPLEMENTATION-AT-T-6-At-t7-A-is-executed-Please-take-a-look_fig5_360783034
<1% -
https://www.chegg.com/homework-help/questions-and-answers/operating-system-needs-handle-execution-5-processes-named-b-c-d-e-initial-state-consists-p-q72917091
<1% - https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/program-round-robin-scheduling-set-1/
<1% -
https://www.transtutors.com/questions/for-n-2-and-1-t-30-perform-a-step-by-step-simulation-of-the-algorithm-in-figure-4-7--2439682.htm
<1% -
https://tutorialspoint.dev/computer-science/operating-systems/gate-notes-operating-system-process-scheduling
<1% - https://www.slideshare.net/imran_chaudhry/chapter-02-simulation-examples
<1% - https://1library.net/document/zx83l4nq-process-scheduling-problems.html
<1% -
https://afteracademy.com/blog/what-is-burst-arrival-exit-response-waiting-turnaround-time-and-throughput
<1% -

https://www.ques10.com/p/64336/given-the-following-table-draw-gantt-chart-calcu-1/
1% - https://www.ijcaonline.org/archives/volume177/number19/31009-2019919634
<1% - https://www.technoarete.org/common_abstract/pdf/IJERCSE/v4/i3/Ext_91350.pdf
<1% - https://ijercse.com/manuscript-submission.php
<1% - https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Kamal-Jihad
1% - http://www.ijcsms.com/abstractdetails.aspx?abs=853
<1% - https://www.ijcsmc.com/
1% - https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341990053_An_Improved_Time_Varying_Quantum_Round_Robin_CPU_Scheduling_Algorithm
<1% - https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341621055_Analysis_of_Preemptive_Shortest_Job_First_SJF_Algorithm_in_CPU_Scheduling
1% - https://exaly.com/paper/73802324/