







ICI World of Journals (/search/form) / International Journal of Educational Research & Social Sciences



## International Journal of Educational Research & Social Sciences



English title:

International Journal of Educational Research & Social Sciences

ISSN:

2774-5406 (online)

GICID:

n/d

DOI:

10.51601/ijersc.v1i1.5

Website:

https://ijersc.org (https://ijersc.org)

**Publisher:** 

Cv. Inara

Country:

ID

Language of publication:

EN ID

Deposited publications: 5 > Full text: 100% | Abstract: 100% | Keywords: 100% | References: 100%

Issues and contents

As part of our website we use cookies to provide you with services at the highest level, including in a manner tailored to individual needs. Using the site without changing the settings for cookies results in saving them in Joyunal description than ge Cookies explains any inner your web things and in our Cookies Policy

Got it!

## Publisher ()

International Journal of Educational Research and Social Sciences (IJSERSC) is to provide a research medium and an important reference for the advancement and dissemination of research results that support highlevel research in the fields of Educational Research and Social Sciences, Original theoretical work and application-based studies, which contributes to a better understanding all fields of Education Research and Social Sciences. Publication Ethics This statement clarifies ethical behaviour of all parties involved in the act of publishing an article in our journals, including the authors, the editors, the peer-reviewers and the publisher (Indonesian Science Journal). This statement is based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. Ethical Guideline for Journal Publication The publication of an article in a peer-reviewed IJERSC is an essential building block in the development of a coherent and respected network of knowledge. It is a direct reflection of the quality of the work of the authors and the institutions that support them. Peer-reviewed articles support and embody the scientific method. It is therefore important to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the authors, the journal editors, the peer reviewers, the publisher and the society. Indonesian Science Journal as the publisher of this Journal takes its duties of guardianship over all stages of publishing extremely seriously and we recognize our ethical and other responsibilities. We are committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions. In addition, the Journal of Indonesian Scientific and Editorial Board will assist in communications with other journals and/or publishers where this is useful and necessary. Publication decisions The editors of the IJERSC are responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The validation of the work in question and its importance to researchers and readers must always drive such decisions. The editors may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editors may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision. Fair play An editor at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors. Confidentiality The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. Disclosure and conflicts of interest Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Duties of Reviewers Contribution to Editorial Decisions Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper. Promptness Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process. Confidentiality Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor. Standards of Objectivity Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments. Acknowledgement of Sources Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge. Disclosure and Conflict of Interest Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers. Duties of Authors Reporting standards Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate acedante of the walk and the property of the contract of the c oti egada sariye an ketikusen aniyanaro hasani be anidase isang ay oddiya diang in anida sariye an bang anidasi oddana sariya diang anidasi oddana sariya diang anidasi oddana sariya diang anidasi oddana sariya anidasi oddana DEVANT CHINES. YOU FAIRCHAME COOKIES' FALLINGE AT YOUR WHAM THIS COURT WE SHARE WARE MORE HELD INDENTICAL

Cookies Policy

behaviour and are unacceptable. Data Access and Retention Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data (consistent with the ALPSP-STM Statement on Data and Databases), if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication. Originality and Plagiarism The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable. Confirmation from the source Recognition of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Authorship of the paper Otorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to concept, design, execution, or interpretation of the studies reported. All the people who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-author. Where there are other people who participated in aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The author concerned should ensure that all authors with the tools and no scribe is not worth including in a newspaper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of newspaper and have agreed to the submission of the newspaper. Danger, human or animal. If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment which has an unusual danger attached to them, the author must clearly identify these in the manuscript. Disclosure and conflict of interest All authors should disclose in their scripts any Financial or conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their script. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Error is Fundamental in the published work When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in the published work itself, it is the obligation of the author to promptly notify the Journal editors or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct paper.

## MAAn-ige axed in the delloyenals. Moster List 2023

(http://indexcopernicus.com/images/PDF/Regulamin\_serwisu\_internetowego.pdf) . Privacy policy Evaluation pending

(http://indexcopernicus.com/images/PDF/Polityka\_prywatnosci.pdf) . Return policy Archival ratings ▶

(http://indexcopernicus.com/images/PDF/Polityka\_zwrotow.pdf)

© Nordex Copperniques 2022



INTERNATIONAL

(http://indexcopernicus.com)

As part of our website we use cookies to provide you with services at the highest level, including in a manner tailored to individual needs. Using the site without changing the settings for cookies results in saving them in your device. You can change cookies' settings any time you want in your web browser. More details in our Cookies Policy

