Reviewer A

Abstract

- The explanation in the abstract is clear and concise. However, it would be beneficial to use more varied sentence structures and avoid repeated words such as "used," which appear several times.
- The author mentions the use of "Non-Probability Sampling" and "Purposive Sampling" without a brief explanation of why these methods were chosen. Adding one or two sentences about the selection of the sampling method would provide greater clarity for the reader.

Introduction

- In the introduction, the author provides a broad context regarding the use of social media in Indonesia, particularly Twitter. However, more integration of relevant theories concerning self-control and online disinhibition is needed. This section could be enriched by including psychological theories that support the argument about the impact of self-control on online behavior.
- Some data and references are presented without sufficient context, such as mentioning some studies without explaining how their findings are relevant to this study. Always try to explicitly connect the research mentioned with your study topic.

Methods

- The author has mentioned using a quantitative method with a correlational design. However, an explanation of why the quantitative method and correlational design were chosen for this study would help strengthen the methodological choice. For instance, explain how this method helps in identifying and measuring the strength of the relationship between independent and dependent variables within the studied context.
- Further details on the choice of purposive sampling of teenage Twitter users in Bekasi are needed. An explanation of how this sample represents the target population or why this geographic area was specifically chosen would add depth to the methodology.
- The author has provided information about the self-control and toxic online disinhibition scales used, including their reliability values. It is crucial to also include information on how these scales were developed or adapted, and explain the validation process of these scales if applicable. This will increase the reader's trust in the measurement tools used.

Result

Ensure that each result presented is directly related to the research objectives. For example, the discussion about the relationship between self-control and toxic online disinhibition should be explained in depth, linking it with theories and previous research outlined in the literature review. This will strengthen the argument and show the relevance of the results to the field of study.

Discussion

- The author has linked the findings with existing theories, but adding a discussion on how these findings support, challenge, or expand existing theories would be very beneficial. For example, how do the findings about self-control affect our understanding of the impact of social media on adolescent behavior?
- Further explore the causes of the correlation between self-control and online disinhibition. Are there mediating or moderating factors? Discuss possible variables that could affect this relationship, such as age, gender, or cultural context.
- If there are findings inconsistent with existing literature, explain why this might be the case. Could it be due to differences in methodology, sample, or cultural context?
- Provide concrete recommendations for educators, parents, or policymakers based on the research findings. For example, what strategies could be implemented to enhance self-control among adolescents on social media?

Conclusion

- Begin with a brief and clear summary of the main findings. Specifically mention how self-control affects toxic online disinhibition behavior among adolescents using Twitter. Ensure to reconnect with the hypotheses or research questions previously outlined.
- Make a strong connection between the study results and the theories and research discussed in the literature section. Explain how your results expand the existing understanding or fill identified gaps in previous research.

+++