

The screenshot shows the TELKOMNIKA journal website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the journal's name, ISSN (1493-4930), and a CiteScore 2022 of 3.8. The main content area is titled "#9309 Review" and includes sections for "Submission", "Peer Review", and "Editor Decision".

Submission Details:

- Authors:** Rahmadya Trias Handayanto, Nitin Kumar Tripathi, Sohee Minson Kim, Herlawati Herlawati
- Title:** Land Use Growth Simulation and Optimization for Achieving a Sustainable Urban Form
- Section:** Computer Science and Information System
- Editor:** Franco Frattonillo, Ph.D. (Review), Total Herawan (Review), Wamquan Liu (Review), Yin Liu (Review), Dr. Dera Sirewan (Review)

Peer Review History:

Round	Review Version	Initiated	Last modified	Uploaded file
Round 1	9309-21546-1-REV0001	2018-03-19	2018-04-07	None

Editor Decision:

- Decision:** Accept Submission 2018-07-15
- Notify Editor:** Editor/Author Email Record 2018-07-22
- Editor Version:** None
- Author Version:** 9309-21546-1-REV0001 2018-06-28 [DELETE]
- Upload Author Version:** [Choose File] | No file chosen [Upload]

At the bottom left, there is contact information for TELKOMNIKA: "TELKOMNIKA Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control, ISSN: 1493-4930, e-ISSN: 2302-9293, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, 4th Campus, Jl. Ringroad Selatan, Kranggan, Taman, Sempur, Banjarsari, Indonesia 55191, Phone: +62 (274) 363315, 511830, 379418, 371130, Fax: +62 274 364604".

[TELKOMNIKA] Editor Decision

Kotak Masuk

Telusuri semua pesan berlabel Kotak Masuk

Hapus label Kotak Masuk dari percakapan ini



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno <tole@journal.uad.ac.id>

Rab, 6
Jun
2018,
22.31

kepada saya, Nitin, Sohee, Herlawati

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Dr. Rahmadya Trias Handayanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control), "Land Use Growth Simulation and Optimization in the Urban Area".

It is our policy that all submitted papers in this year is reviewed and considered for 2018 International Conference and Workshop on Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control (2018 ICW-TELKOMNIKA, <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/TELKOMNIKA/pages/view/conference>). Corresponding author of the accepted paper will be invited to present his/her work in this conference. There is no proceeding for the ICW TELKOMNIKA international conference. We will be only prepare book abstract & seminar kit. Then, all accepted and presented papers (after revisions based upon feedback at the conference & scientific writing workshop, if necessary) will be published on TELKOMNIKA Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics

and Control (Scopus indexed journal, Q3) or our other Scopus indexed journals.

Based on our first review, your paper need some improvements to be considered for next step review.

1. Please re-read our instructions (at: <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/TELKOMNIKA/about/editorialPolicies#custom-1>) carefully and follow the checklist strictly, as any spelling mistakes and errors may be translated into the typeset version.

2. You should improve your analyzing and present comparison of your results to those obtained in similar studies. The “result and discussion” section reports the most important findings, including analysing results as appropriate. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

3. Please re-check that all references are already cited in your article, and order of your citation is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4], (NOT random)

4. Your references must be integrated with some published papers on IAES:

- <http://iaescore.com/journals/index.php/ijece>
- <http://iaescore.com/journals/index.php/ijeecs>
- <http://iaescore.com/journals/index.php/ijpeds>
- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/TELKOMNIKA>
- <http://journal.portalgaruda.org/index.php/EEI>
- <http://section.iaesonline.com/index.php/IJEEI>

Please use “Search” at menu "Journal Content" in right side of the site.

A good research paper has a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing, the proposed solution(s), and results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new. Submit your revised paper as soon (within 20 days) through our online system at the same paper ID (as author version). When your first revised paper reached us, it will be reviewed by minimum three (3) independent reviewers based on the following criteria: Relevance, Significance, Novelty, Technical correctness, Experimental/evidential support, Clarity of presentation and Reference to prior work and publications. The Editor shall inform you of the results of the review as soon as possible. All correspondence, including notification of the Editors’ decision and requests for revisions, will be sent by email.

Your cooperation is very appreciated.

Thank you,

Sincerely yours,
Tole Sutikno

General Chair, 2018 ICW-TELKOMNIKA
Editor-in-Chief, TELKOMNIKA Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and
Control (Scopus indexed journal, Q3)
email: tole@journal.uad.ac.id

Our events:

International Conference and Workshop on Telecommunication, Computing,
Electronics and Control (ICW-TELKOMNIKA), September 18-21, 2018 in
Yogyakarta, Indonesia

<https://s.id/icwt> or

[http://conference.uad.ac.id/index.php?conference=TELKOMNIKA&schedConf=ICWT
2018](http://conference.uad.ac.id/index.php?conference=TELKOMNIKA&schedConf=ICWT2018)

2018 5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer
Science and Informatics (EECSI 2018), October 16-18, 2018 in Malang,
Indonesia.

<http://eecs.org/2018>

Reviewer E:

Ethics (Plagiarism, Fraud, Other ethical concerns)

- If you suspect that an article is a substantial copy of another work,
please let the editor know, citing the previous work in as much detail as
possible.
- It is very difficult to detect the determined fraudster, but if you
suspect the results in an article to be untrue, inform it
- Has there been a violation of the accepted norms in the ethical?

Please provide your detailed comments to the Author(s) on the following.

:

Structure and Presentation (Layout and format, Title, Abstract,
Introduction, Method, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Language)

- Authors are required to adhere to the journal's Guide for Authors, which
includes manuscript presentation.

- Does Title clearly describe the article? Does Abstract reflect the content
of the article? Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style,
clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?

- Does Introduction section describe what the author hoped to achieve
accurately, and clearly state the problem being investigated? Normally, the
introduction should summarize relevant research to provide context, and
explain what other authors' findings, if any, are being challenged or
extended. It should describe the experiment, the hypothesis(es) and the
general experimental design or method.

- Method section: does the author accurately explain how the data was
collected? Is the design suitable for answering the question posed? Is there

sufficient information present for you to replicate the research? Does the article identify the procedures followed? Are these ordered in a meaningful way? If the methods are new, are they explained in detail? Was the sampling appropriate? Have the equipment and materials been adequately described? Does the article make it clear what type of data was recorded; has the author been precise in describing measurements?

- Results and Discussion section: This is where the author(s) should explain in words what he/she/they discovered in the research. It should be clearly laid out and in a logical sequence. You will need to consider if the appropriate analysis has been conducted. Are the statistics correct? Are the claims in this section supported by the results, do they seem reasonable? Have the authors indicated how the results relate to expectations and to earlier research? Does the article support or contradict previous theories?

- Conclusion section: Interpretation of results should be included in this section. Does the conclusion explain how the research has moved the body of scientific knowledge forward?

- If an article is poorly written due to grammatical errors, while it may make it more difficult to understand the science, you should bring this to the attention of the editor.

Please provide your detailed comments to the Author(s) on the following.

:

- 1.The summary of the relevant research is too simple, there are many research achievements on the simulation and optimization of land use,But they are not involved in the article.
- 2.There seems to be a contradiction in the article, the LCM model has its own rules of land change, but the optimization model negates these rules.
- 3.The discussion is not good enough, because it is difficult to understand the focus of the article, model or land optimization?
- 4.The difference between before and after the land optimization should be analyzed in detail.

Previous Research

If the article builds upon previous research does it reference that work appropriately? Are there any important works that have been omitted? Are the references accurate? Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?

Please provide your detailed comments to the Author(s) on the following.

:

Originality, contribution and technically sound: Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field? Is the article sufficiently novel and interesting to warrant publication? Does it add to the canon of knowledge? Does the article adhere to the journal's standards? Is the research question an important one? Is the paper technically sound?, Is the paper well written

(clear, concise, and well organized)?

Please provide your detailed comments to the Author(s) on the following.

:

The innovation of the article is not outstanding.

TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)
<http://www.journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/TELKOMNIKA>