

Rahmadya Trias <rahmadya.trias@gmail.com>

[Fwd: [ISI2012] Your paper #1569661397 ('Real-time Surveillance System Using Pattern Matching')]

1 pesan

rahmadya_handayanto@unismabekasi.ac.id <rahmadya_handayanto@unismabekasi.ac.id> Kepada: mariskha@unpar.ac.id 17 September 2012 pukul 09.41

Dear Mariskha Tri Adhitia, PD.Eng.

I have received acceptance letter from isi2012-charis but I have difficulty for payment as Indonesian author by using credit card because the total amount shows \$595 which is the same as International author. Can I pay with another mean as long as register as Indonesian Author (\$295)?.

Regards, Rahmadya Trias Handayanto

------ Original Message

Subject: [ISI2012] Your paper #1569661397 ('Real-time Surveillance System

Using Pattern Matching')

From: "ISI2012" <isi2012-chairs@edas.info> Date: Sun, September 9, 2012 12:52 am

To: "Rahmadya Handayanto" <rahmadya_handayanto@unismabekasi.ac.id>

Cc: "David Al-Dabass" <david.al-dabass@ntu.ac.uk>

Dear Mr. Rahmadya Handayanto:

Congratulations - your paper #1569661397 ('Real-time Surveillance System Using Pattern Matching') for ISI2012 has been accepted subject to final checking for compliance with:

- 1. the reviewers instructions and
- 2. the IEEE format.

As well as implementing any instructions given in the reviews below, it is vital that you follow the IEEE formatting instructions strictly before uploading your revised paper into EDAS by the deadline given on the website for final checking. Kindly note that EDAS expects you to have registered (paid for) your paper before attempting to upload the revised version.

The reviews are below or can be found at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1569661397, using your EDAS user name rahmadya handayanto@unismabekasi.ac.id.

===== Review 1 ======

> *** Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

The authors present a technical paper with relevant content and good scenario.

- > *** Relevance: Is the content relevant to the conference themes? Yes (1)
- > *** Quality of Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?

High (2) > *** Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the conference? Medium (1) > *** English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the conference? Yes (2) > *** Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand? High (2) > *** Decision: Assign a mark to your decision Accept (3) > *** Title: Is the paper title relevant to the conference themes? No (0) ====== Review 2 ====== > *** Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author? 1. Remove the formatting instructions, e.g. Heading 1 aside the Introduction title 2. Many sentences lack the verb, such as "They usually based on video" instead on "They are usually based on video" 3. The authors' only claim is that their detection system is simple. Actually, it is too simple to make it the result of a scientific investigation. And it is too simple to perform well in trying conditions. Two images may differ not just because somebody has entered the picture, but also because of other conditions (e.g., lighting conditions). 4. The system's performance should be evaluated more thoroughly. > *** Relevance: Is the content relevant to the conference themes? Yes (1) > *** Quality of Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality? Low (0) > *** Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the conference? Low (0) > *** English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the conference? Needs complete re-write. (0) > *** Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand? Low (0) > *** Decision: Assign a mark to your decision Reject (0)

> *** Title: Is the paper title relevant to the conference themes? Yes (1)

Regards, ISI2012 Chairs



Total_Amount_ISI2012.jpg 102K