

# An accounting review of regional and central budgeting dynamics in Indonesia

Bukti Index Scopus Q2

 **EnPress**  
Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development

Home | About | Account | Articles | Online Submission | Editorial Board

Home > Vol 8, No 8 (2024) > **Herianti**

## An accounting review of regional and central budgeting dynamics in Indonesia

Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto

Article ID: 6355  
Vol 8, Issue 8, 2024

VIEWS - **55** (Abstract) **88** (PDF)

Abstract

This research aims to assess the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation while also considering the deviation in capital expenditure as a moderating factor. The research sample included 34 provincial governments in Indonesia between 2019 and 2022. The sample determination method used purposive sampling, so the final sample size was 134 observations. The research employed panel data regression to test the hypotheses and continued with the Chow, Lagrange multiplier, and Hausman tests. The study results indicate that bargaining power has a positive and significant effect on budget implementation, with the deviation in capital expenditure not diminishing its impact. The research's practical implication is that regional governments must effectively manage their revenues to finance regional spending needs through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. The study contributes to signaling theory by highlighting that regional governments can finance regional spending needs through fiscal independence and

significant effect on budget implementation, with the deviation in capital expenditure not diminishing its impact. The research's practical implication is that regional governments must effectively manage their revenues to finance regional spending needs through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. The study contributes to signaling theory by highlighting that regional governments can finance regional spending needs through fiscal independence and society's involvement. It also contributes to agency theory by demonstrating that capital expenditure deviation in the form of information asymmetry in regional governments does not reduce their ability to finance regional expenditure needs. Nonetheless, the study suggests that the proxies used in this research are limited, and further exploration of other proxies to measure tested variables. This research provides new knowledge for stakeholders regarding the dynamics of regional budgeting, especially regarding assessing the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation and considering deviations in capital expenditure as a moderating factor.

### Keywords

agency theory; bargaining power; budget implementation; capital expenditure deviation; signaling theory

### Full Text:

PDF

### References

- Abdellateef Mah'd, O. (2014). The Ministry of Higher Education's role in private Jordanian universities and the hidden tool of the budget. *Education, Business and Society: Contemporary Middle Eastern Issues*, 7(2/3), 133-151. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ebs-01-2014-0009>
- Algifari. (2021). Pengolahan data panel untuk penelitian bisnis dan ekonomi dengan evIEWS 11. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Amin, A., Juanda, B., & Rindayati, W. (2023). Effect of Fiscal Independence and Economic Growth on Reducing Poverty and Unemployment in Indonesia. *Economics, Business, Accounting & Society Review*, 2(2), 97-109. <https://doi.org/10.55980/ebasr.v2i2.62>
- Benito, B., Guillamón, M., & Bastida, F. (2015). Budget Forecast Deviations in Municipal Governments: Determinants and Implications. *Australian Accounting Review*, 25(1), 45-70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/auar.12071>

### Editor-in-Chief



Assoc. Prof. Qingyang Gu

Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore, Singapore

### ISSN

2572-7923 (Print)  
2572-7931 (Online)



### Publication Frequency

Monthly

### Article Processing Charges (APCs)

US \$ 1800

indexing





# Source details

Feedback > Compare sources >

## Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development

Years currently covered by Scopus: from 2017 to 2024

Publisher: EnPress Publisher, LLC

ISSN: 2572-7923 E-ISSN: 2572-7931

Subject area: Social Sciences: Social Sciences (miscellaneous) Social Sciences: Urban Studies Social Sciences: Public Administration  
Social Sciences: Development

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

CiteScore 2023 **1.0**

SJR 2023 **0.291**

SNIP 2023 **0.487**


[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

### Link Scimago Scopus

<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21101052847&tip=sid&clean=0>

The screenshot shows a web browser displaying search results for the journal 'Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development'. The browser's address bar shows the URL: <https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21101052847&tip=sid&clean=0>. The page content is organized into several sections:

- COUNTRY:** United States. Includes buttons for 'Universities and research institutions in United States' and 'Media Ranking in United States'.
- SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY:** Social Sciences, with sub-categories: Development, Public Administration, Social Sciences (miscellaneous), and Urban Studies.
- PUBLISHER:** EnPress Publisher, LLC.
- H-INDEX:** 10.
- PUBLICATION TYPE:** Premium Science online.
- Advertisement:** A banner for 'IJFMR - Academic Journal' with the text 'Submit Paper for Publication' and an 'Open' button.



**Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development**

Q2 Social Sciences (miscellaneous) best quartile

SJR 2023 0.29

powered by scimagojr.com

Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scima
```

**SCImago Graphica**

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool.**



**BUKTI KORESPONDENSI**  
**ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNATIONAL BEREPUTASI**

Judul Artike l:

**An accounting review of regional and central budgeting dynamics in Indonesia**

Jurnal :

***Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development***

Penulis :


**Eva Herianti<sup>1</sup>, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto**

<b>No</b>	<b>Perihal</b>	<b>Tanggal</b>
1	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit	12 April 2024
2	Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan hasil review pertama	14 mei 2024
3	Bukti konfirmasi submit hasil review pertama, respon kepada review, dan artikel yang diresubmit	17 Juni 2024
4	Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan hasil review kedua	6 juli 2024
5	Bukti konfirmasi submit hasil review kedua, respon kepada review, dan artikel yang diresubmit	21 juli 2024
6	Bukti konfirmasi artikel accepted	4 juni 2024
7	Bukti Konfirmasi artikel published online	8 Agustus 2024
8	Bukti publish online	23 Agustus 2024

Active Submissions

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author

Announcements Special Issues About EnPress



**EnPress**  
Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development

Home About Account Articles Online Submission Editorial Board


### Active Submissions

ACTIVE ARCHIVE

ID	MI	SE	AUTHORS	TITLE	STATUS
63	05	AR	Herianti, Marundha, Haryanto	AN ACCOUNTING REVIEW OF REGIONAL AND CENTRAL BUDGETING...	IN EDITING
55	-1	T			
	2				

1 - 1 of 1 Items

**Editor-in-Chief**



Assoc. Prof. Qingyang Gu  
Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore, Singapore

**ISSN**

2572-7923 (Print)

Active Submissions

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author

1 - 1 of 1 Items


Start a New Submission  
CLICK HERE to go to step one of the five-step submission process.

### Refbacs

ALL NEW PUBLISHED IGNORED

DATE ADDED	URL	ARTICLE	TITLE	STATUS	ACTION
There are currently no refbacs.					

[Publish](#) [Ignore](#) [Delete](#) [Select All](#)



This site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

2572-7923 (Print)  
2572-7931 (Online)

**Impact Factor**  
0.7

**CiteScore 2022**  
1.6

**Publication Frequency**  
Monthly

**Article Processing Charges (APCs)**  
US \$ 1200  
Notice: The APC will be US \$1800 for

#6355 Summary

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submission/6355

Announcements Special Issues About EnPress

EnPress  
Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development

Home About Account Articles Online Submission Editorial Board

User Home


## #6355 Summary

SUMMARY REVIEW EDITING

### Submission

Authors	Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto
Title	An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia
Original file	6355-20677-1-SM.DOCX 2024-05-12
Supp. files	None <a href="#">ADD A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE</a>
Submitter	Dr Eva Herianti
Date submitted	May 12, 2024 - 01:08 PM
Section	Article
Editor	Polaris Lau
Author comments	Dear Editorial Team, We would like to submit an original manuscript titled "An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia" to be published in your esteemed journal.

**Editor-in-Chief**



Assoc. Prof.  
Qingyang Gu  
Lee Kuan Yew School  
of Public Policy,  
National University of  
Singapore, Singapore

**ISSN**  
2572-7923 (Print)

#6355 Summary

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submission/6355

Author comments

Dear Editorial Team,  
We would like to submit an original manuscript titled "An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia" to be published in your esteemed journal. Dr. Amor Marundha and Dr. Haryanto Haryanto are co-authors of this paper. We would fully expect our submission will be accepted and published in your journal soon.

Best regards  
Dr. Eva Herianti  
Corresponding Author

---

**Status**

Status	In Editing
Initiated	2024-06-05
Last modified	2024-06-05

---

**Submission Metadata**

EDIT METADATA

**Authors**

Name	Eva Herianti
Affiliation	Department of Accounting Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia
Country/Region	Indonesia
Competing interests	—
CI POLICY	—
Bio Statement	<b>Eva Herianti</b> holds the associate professor position at Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, having earned her doctoral degree from Universitas YAI, Indonesia. Her commitment to financial accounting and taxation is unwavering, and she approaches her research with a genuine passion. Her contributions to the accounting and

**ISSN**  
2572-7923 (Print)  
2572-7931 (Online)

Impact Factor  
**0.7**

CiteScore  
2022  
**1.6**

**Publication Frequency**  
Monthly

**Article Processing Charges (APCs)**  
US \$ 1200

#6355 Summary

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submission/6355

**Bio Statement**  
**Eva Herianti** holds the associate professor position at Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, having earned her doctoral degree from Universitas YAI, Indonesia. Her commitment to financial accounting and taxation is unwavering, and she approaches her research with a genuine passion. Her contributions to the accounting and taxation field are both significant and far-reaching, as evidenced by her numerous published works, including accounting books and research articles

Principal contact for editorial correspondence.  
**Name** Amor Marundha  
**Affiliation** Department of Accounting  
 Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Indonesia  
**Country/Region** Indonesia  
**Competing interests** —  
**CI POLICY** —  
**Bio Statement**

**Amor Marundha**, is a distinguished faculty member and an Associate Professor of Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Indonesia. With a doctorate degree in Management Accounting from Universitas Persada YAI, Indonesia, he has authored numerous articles in reputable international journals. His work has garnered widespread acclaim in the academic community and has been a source of inspiration for many aspiring scholars seeking to advance research in the field of public accounting and tax.

**Name** Haryanto Haryanto  
**Affiliation** Department of Accounting  
 Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Mulla Singkawang, Indonesia  
**Country/Region** Indonesia  
**Competing interests** —  
**CI POLICY** —  
**Bio Statement**

**Haryanto Haryanto** is a lecturer at the Accounting Department of Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Mulla Singkawang, Indonesia. His educational background includes a doctorate in strategic management accounting from Padjadjaran University, Indonesia, and international certifications in Enterprise Risk Governance and Management Accounting. His research interests are predominantly focused on strategic management accounting, strategic human resources, and strategic management. Dr. Haryanto also holds the esteemed position of Managing Director at a multinational logistics company since 2013

Charges (APC)  
 US \$ 1200  
 Notice: The APC will be US \$1800 for each manuscript submitted after July 1, 2024.

indexing  
  
 WEB OF SCIENCE  
  
  
  


#6355 Summary



systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submission/6355

**Title and Abstract**  
**Title** An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia  
**Abstract** The inadequacy of local government budgets is a pressing concern for various stakeholders. This research aims to assess the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation while also considering the deviation in capital expenditure as a moderating factor. The research sample included 34 provincial governments in Indonesia between 2019 and 2022. The research employed panel data regression to test the hypotheses and continued with the Chow, Lagrange multiplier, and Hausman tests. The study results indicate that bargaining power has a positive and significant effect on budget implementation, with the deviation in capital expenditure not diminishing its impact. The research's practical implication is that regional governments must effectively manage their revenues to finance regional spending needs through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. The study contributes to signaling theory by highlighting that regional governments can finance regional spending needs through fiscal independence and society's involvement. It also contributes to agency theory by demonstrating that capital expenditure deviation in the form of information asymmetry in regional governments does not reduce their ability to finance regional expenditure needs. Nonetheless, the study suggests that the proxies used in this research are limited, and further exploration of other proxies to measure tested variables

**Indexing**  
**Academic discipline and sub-disciplines** Accounting, Economics  
**Subject classification** Public Accounting  
**Keywords** agency theory; bargaining power; budget implementation; capital expenditure deviation; signaling theory  
**Geo-spatial coverage** Indonesia  
**Chronological or historical coverage** Public Data  
**Research sample characteristics** Public Data  
**Type, method or approach** Quantitative  
**Language** en

**Supporting Agencies**  
**Agencies** —

**References**

**user**  
 you are logged in as...  
 999evaherianti  
 ◦ my journals  
 ◦ my profile  
 ◦ log out

**author submissions**  
 ◦ active (1)  
 ◦ archive (0)  
 ◦ new submission

**notifications**  
 ◦ view  
 ◦ manage

**journal content search**


#6355 Summary

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submission/6355

Chronological or historical coverage: Public Data  
 Research sample characteristics: Quantitative  
 Type, method or approach: en  
 Language: en

Supporting Agencies: —  
 Agencies: —

References: —  
 References: —



This site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

- active (1)
- archive (0)
- new submission

notifications

- view
- manage

journal content search

search scope: All

search

browse

- by issue
- by author
- by title
- other journals

EnPress Publisher LLC. 9650 Telstar Avenue, Unit A, Suit 121, El Monte, CA 91731, USA.  
 Tel:2312398888

18/06/2024 10:02

#6355 Review

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submissionReview/6355

SUMMARY **REVIEW** EDITING

Submission

Authors: Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto  
 Title: An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia  
 Section: Article  
 Editor: Polaris Lau


Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version: 6355-20679-1-RV.DOCX 2024-05-12  
 Initiated: 2024-05-27  
 Last modified: 2024-06-05  
 Uploaded file: None

Editor Decision

Decision: Accept Submission 2024-06-05  
 Notify Editor: Editor/Author Email Record No Comments  
 Editor Version: 6355-22771-1-ED.DOCX 2024-06-05  
 Author Version: None  
 Upload Author Version:  No file chosen



This site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Assoc. Prof. Qingyang Gu  
 Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore, Singapore

ISSN  
 2572-7923 (Print)  
 2572-7931 (Online)

Impact Factor  
 0.7

CiteScore 2022  
 1.6

18/06/2024 10:03



## #6355 Editing

SUMMARY REVIEW **EDITING**

### Submission

Authors Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto  
 Title An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia  
 Section Article  
 Editor Polaris Lau

### Copyediting

#### COPYEDIT INSTRUCTIONS

REVIEW METADATA	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Initial Copyedit File: None	—	—	—

#### Editor-in-Chief



Assoc. Prof.  
Qingyang Gu  
Lee Kuan Yew School  
of Public Policy,  
National University of  
Singapore, Singapore

#### ISSN

2572-7923 (Print)

#6355 Editing

systems.enpress-publisher.com/index.php/jipd/author/submissionEditing/6355

REVIEW METADATA	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Initial Copyedit File: None	—	—	—
2. Author Copyedit File: None <input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen <input type="button" value="Upload"/>	—	—	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Final Copyedit File: None	—	—	—

Copyedit Comments

### Layout

Galley Format  None

Supplementary Files  None

Layout Comments

### Proofreading

REVIEW METADATA	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Author	—	—	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Proofreader	—	—	—
3. Layout Editor	—	—	—

Proofreading Corrections  **PROOFING INSTRUCTIONS**

**ISSN**  
2572-7923 (Print)  
2572-7931 (Online)

**Impact Factor**  
**0.7**

**CiteScore 2022**  
**1.6**

**Publication Frequency**  
Monthly

**Article Processing Charges (APCs)**  
US \$ 1200

1 - 1 of 1 Items

### Start a New Submission

[CLICK HERE](#) to go to step one of the five-step submission process.

### Refbacks

**ALL** NEW PUBLISHED IGNORED

DATE ADDED	HITS	URL	ARTICLE	TITLE	STATUS
------------	------	-----	---------	-------	--------

*There are currently no refbacks.*

[Publish](#) [Ignore](#) [Delete](#) [Select All](#)



This site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023

2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023

**Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development**

← Show this widget in your own website

**Q2** Social Sciences (miscellaneous)

best quartile

**SJR 2023**  
0.29

powered by scimagojr.com

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 2px 5px; display: inline-block;">https://www.scimagojr.com
```

**SCImago Graphica**

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool.**

## Source details Feedback > Compare sources >

**Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development**

Scopus coverage years: from 2017 to Present

Publisher: EnPress Publisher, LLC

ISSN: 2572-7923 E-ISSN: 2572-7931

Subject area: Social Sciences: Social Sciences (miscellaneous) Social Sciences: Urban Studies Social Sciences: Public Administration

Social Sciences: Development

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2022 ⓘ

1.6

---

SJR 2022 ⓘ

0.298

---

SNIP 2022 ⓘ

0.487

[View all documents >](#) [Full document list](#) [Full document list](#)



Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**Manuscript Submission - May 12, 2024**

2 pesan

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

12 Mei 2024 pukul 20.24

Kepada: jacky.lau@ep-pub.net

Cc: haryanto2689@gmail.com, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Dear Mr. Jacky Lau,

I would like to inform you that I have just submitted an original manuscript titled "An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia" to be published in your esteemed journal. Dr. Amor Marundha and Dr. Haryanto Haryanto are co-authors of this paper.

We believe that the findings presented in this manuscript will be of significant interest to the readers of your journal. We confirm that the manuscript is original, has not been published previously, and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. We declare that we have no conflicts of interest associated with this publication, and no financial support has influenced the research outcome. As the corresponding author, I confirm that all authors listed have read and approved the manuscript for submission.

Given its originality, relevance, and rigorous research methodology, our manuscript is an excellent fit for publication in your esteemed journal. We are excited to contribute to the scholarly discourse in your journal and look forward to receiving your response.

Sincerely,

Dr. Eva Herianti  
Corresponding Author  
Department of Accounting  
Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia  
Email: [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

---

**Jacky Lau** <jacky.lau@ep-pub.net>

13 Mei 2024 pukul 16.00

Kepada: Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

Dear Dr. Eva Herianti,

Thanks for your submission. I have sent you an APC confirmation email, please reply accordingly.

Your manuscript has been sent to the Editor-in-Chief for pre-review. I will contact you if there is any update.

In case of any questions, please feel free to contact me. I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards,

Jacky

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**Manuscript Submission - May 12, 2024**

2 pesan

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

12 Mei 2024 pukul 20.24

Kepada: jacky.lau@ep-pub.net

Cc: haryanto2689@gmail.com, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Dear Mr. Jacky Lau,

I would like to inform you that I have just submitted an original manuscript titled "An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia" to be published in your esteemed journal. Dr. Amor Marundha and Dr. Haryanto Haryanto are co-authors of this paper.

We believe that the findings presented in this manuscript will be of significant interest to the readers of your journal. We confirm that the manuscript is original, has not been published previously, and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. We declare that we have no conflicts of interest associated with this publication, and no financial support has influenced the research outcome. As the corresponding author, I confirm that all authors listed have read and approved the manuscript for submission.

Given its originality, relevance, and rigorous research methodology, our manuscript is an excellent fit for publication in your esteemed journal. We are excited to contribute to the scholarly discourse in your journal and look forward to receiving your response.

Sincerely,

Dr. Eva Herianti  
Corresponding Author  
Department of Accounting  
Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia  
Email: [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

---

**Jacky Lau** <jacky.lau@ep-pub.net>

13 Mei 2024 pukul 16.00

Kepada: Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

Dear Dr. Eva Herianti,

Thanks for your submission. I have sent you an APC confirmation email, please reply accordingly.

Your manuscript has been sent to the Editor-in-Chief for pre-review. I will contact you if there is any update.

In case of any questions, please feel free to contact me. I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards,

Jacky

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**[JIPD] Article-6355- APC Confirmation and Invoice Information**

3 pesan

Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

13 Mei 2024 pukul 15.53

Kepada: eva.herianti@umj.ac.id, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com

Dear authors,

Glad to receive your submission "**An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia**" to *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*. We appreciate your interest in JIPD.

Before starting your article processing, please confirm the APC of your manuscript if it is accepted for publication and send the invoice information to me.

APC (Article Processing Charge): \$1200

**Invoice information****Name:****Affiliation:****Email:**

Additionally, we provide an Advance Payment Option if you would like to pay the APC in advance. Please note that any advance payment will not affect the final decision as to whether an article is accepted for publication.

The benefits of choosing **Advance Payment Option** are as follows:

1. Your paper will be peer-reviewed with the highest priority, no need to wait in line for peer review results;
2. Your paper will be produced at the final stage and published online with the highest priority;

In order not to cause any unnecessary delay in your manuscript processing, please kindly reply to this email at your earliest convenience.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,  
Mr. Jacky Lau  
Commissioning Editor

EnPress Publisher

Address: [14701 Myford Road, Suite B-1, Tustin, CA 92780, United State](#)

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

13 Mei 2024 pukul 17.01

Kepada: Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Dear Mr. Jacky Lau,

Thank you for confirming our online submission in your esteemed journal. As the corresponding author, I would like to confirm the Article Processing Charge (APC) of \$1200. I would also like to inform you that our team of authors has chosen to pay the APC once we have received the official acceptance letter with a clear publication schedule from your prestigious journal.

Please find the billing data as follows:

- Invoice information
- Name: Dr. Eva Herianti
- Affiliation: Department of Accounting, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia
- Email: [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

Sincerely,  
Dr. Eva Herianti  
Corresponding Author  
Department of Accounting

Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

---

**Jacky Lau** <[jacky.lau@ep-pub.net](mailto:jacky.lau@ep-pub.net)>  
Kepada: Eva Herianti <[eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)>

14 Mei 2024 pukul 09.36

Dear Dr. Herianti,

Thank you for providing the invoice information. We will continue to process your high construction and will contact you if there is any update.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,  
Jacky

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**[JIPD] Article-6355- APC Confirmation and Invoice Information**

3 pesan

Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

13 Mei 2024 pukul 15.53

Kepada: eva.herianti@umj.ac.id, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com

Dear authors,

Glad to receive your submission "**An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia**" to *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*. We appreciate your interest in JIPD.

Before starting your article processing, please confirm the APC of your manuscript if it is accepted for publication and send the invoice information to me.

APC (Article Processing Charge): \$1200

**Invoice information****Name:****Affiliation:****Email:**

Additionally, we provide an Advance Payment Option if you would like to pay the APC in advance. Please note that any advance payment will not affect the final decision as to whether an article is accepted for publication.

The benefits of choosing **Advance Payment Option** are as follows:

1. Your paper will be peer-reviewed with the highest priority, no need to wait in line for peer review results;
2. Your paper will be produced at the final stage and published online with the highest priority;

In order not to cause any unnecessary delay in your manuscript processing, please kindly reply to this email at your earliest convenience.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,  
Mr. Jacky Lau  
Commissioning Editor

EnPress Publisher

Address: [14701 Myford Road, Suite B-1, Tustin, CA 92780, United State](#)

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

13 Mei 2024 pukul 17.01

Kepada: Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Dear Mr. Jacky Lau,

Thank you for confirming our online submission in your esteemed journal. As the corresponding author, I would like to confirm the Article Processing Charge (APC) of \$1200. I would also like to inform you that our team of authors has chosen to pay the APC once we have received the official acceptance letter with a clear publication schedule from your prestigious journal.

Please find the billing data as follows:

- Invoice information
- Name: Dr. Eva Herianti
- Affiliation: Department of Accounting, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia
- Email: [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

Sincerely,  
Dr. Eva Herianti  
Corresponding Author  
Department of Accounting



Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

---

**Jacky Lau** <[jacky.lau@ep-pub.net](mailto:jacky.lau@ep-pub.net)>  
Kepada: Eva Herianti <[eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)>

14 Mei 2024 pukul 09.36

Dear Dr. Herianti,

Thank you for providing the invoice information. We will continue to process your high construction and will contact you if there is any update.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,  
Jacky

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

# Review Report

## Reviewer A

### Comments to the Author:

I appreciate this article, which is essential in Indonesia's governance context. However, there are several things that writers must improve to improve the quality of their writing.

1. The abstract has been further refined because this study uses studies of official documents reported by the government, making it easier for readers to understand this article. It is necessary to explain the methodology used by the researcher. New knowledge also needs to be presented at the end of the abstract.
2. In the Introduction section, it is essential to provide the context of how provinces in Indonesia carry out their roles, including in the budget context. For this reason, it is necessary to provide a conceptual framework to readers that decentralization was Indonesia's new spirit of reform in 1998. Previously, the state took over everything, giving a significant role to each province. It is essential to reveal various dynamics and more critical aspects of this, including those related to social and political research in Indonesia.
3. At the end of the Introduction, the authors must clearly explain the research objectives and research questions because they will answer them in the results section. The novelty of this research also needs to be presented to attract readers to read the next section.
4. In the discussion section, each justification for the findings needs to be provided with more concrete evidence based on the findings. The authors must also compare the findings with other research findings to show that the discussion section is based on the findings. In the part that states that there is a need for a check and balance mechanism between the centre and the provinces, it is essential to support supervisors in taking a role. If not, what obstacles have been a problem between them?
5. The article needs to explain in depth how capital expenditure deviations are in the Indonesian context. It is essential to define the context because the complexity of governance in Indonesia can be different from other countries, especially in the region.

## **Reviewer B**

### **1. How do you rate the significance of the research (in a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most significant)?**

Rating: 4

Reason: the research addresses a critical issue in public finance, specifically the budgeting dynamics in Indonesian regional and central governments. The focus on bargaining power and budget implementation is highly relevant to stakeholders in the field of public administration and finance. The findings can influence policy and practice in regional budget management, making the study significant.

### **2. How do you rate the originality (in a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest)?**

Rating: 3

Reason: While the study provides valuable insights, its originality is moderate. The concepts of bargaining power and budget implementation have been explored before, though this study's context within Indonesian regional governments adds a unique perspective. However, the methods and theoretical framework used are standard within the field.

### **3. How do you rate the experimental design and quality of data (in a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest)?**

Rating: 4

Reason: The use of panel data regression and additional test to validate the findings is robust. The data covers a significant period and includes a comprehensive sample of 34 provincial governments. This thorough ensures reliable results.

### **4. Is the organization of the article appropriate?**

Appropriate

Reason: The manuscript is well-organized, following a logical structure with clear section: introduction, theoretical framework, methodology, results, and conclusion. This organization helps in understanding the research flow and findings effectively.

### **5. Did you find any language problem?**

No major problems

### **6. Your decision for this manuscript: accept, minor, major or reject**

**Minor revision**

Reason: The manuscript is strong in its significance and data quality but requires some refinement in language and clarity of presentation. Addressing these minor issues will enhance its overall impact.

## **7. Comments to the Author:**

### **Clarity and Language:**

- Ensure that the language is clear and free of grammatical errors. Consider having the manuscript proofread by a native English speaker or a professional editor.
- Some sentences are complex and could be simplified for better readability.

### **Theoretical Framework**

- While the application of signaling and agency theory is appropriate, consider elaborating on how these theories specifically apply to your findings in the discussion section.

### **Data Presentation:**

- The tables and figures are useful but could benefit from more descriptive captions. Ensure that all data points are clearly explained in the text.

### **Methodology:**

- The methodology is strong but providing a brief rationale for choosing the specific test (Chow, Lagrange multiplier, Hausman) would enhance the readers' understanding of your approach.

### **Conclusion:**

- The conclusion is well-written but could be strengthened by explicitly stating the practical implications of your findings for policymakers.

### **Further Research:**

- Highlight potential areas for further research, especially given the limitations mentioned regarding the proxies used.

## **Reviewer C**

### **Comments to the Author:**

#### **General Comments:**

This article is interesting and provides an overview of the Indonesian context, but it is necessary to bring the context of this article to a global context. The English language and presentation of articles still need to be improved. More references should come from primary sources such as journals that have been published globally/internationally.

Some comments for improvement:

- 1) Line 53: no need for the word "below".
- 2) Line 57: why does it have to be 35%? Whether it should be? If so, what is the basis?
- 3) Line 59: The sentence "These findings suggest that" should be replaced because this sentence describes Table 1, not the results of the analysis.
- 4) Line 73 – 76: revise this sentence (for example, made into 2 sentences) so that the meaning is clearer.
- 5) Line 83 -84: revise the way of writing references base on journal template.
- 6) Theoretical framework: Literature review to be made shorter and concise with the support of more references from international/globally reputable journals.
- 7) A conceptual framework needs to be created in the theoretical framework section, in the form of a chart that describes the research conceptual framework.
- 8) Line 404: [www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id](http://www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id) should be included in the "References" section
- 9) Line 405: This sentence should be corrected, ending with the sign "."
- 10) Line 408: Remove the sentence "Table 2 shows 34 provinces in Indonesia" because it is already in the next sentence.
- 11) Line 423 – 426. Revise the sentence. The reference to Rakhman 2019 does not appear in every sentence.
- 12) Line 461: Revise the sentence, end with a "."
- 13) Line 463: remove the words "by researchers".
- 14) Line 473 – 479: moved to the top of Table 5.
- 15) Line 480 – 486: moved to the top of Table 6.
- 16) Line 487: Table 4. Under the Province, Year row should be given a line below it. Tables should be together. If you have to disconnect, pay attention to the Table header.
- 17) Line 488: remove the words "by researchers".
- 18) Lines 489 – 498: moved below Table 4
- 19) Table 499: Table 5. Under the Province, Year row should be given a line below it. Tables should be together. If you have to disconnect, pay attention to the Table header.
- 20) Line 500: remove the words "by researchers".
- 21) Line 504: fix D.I. Yogyakarta.
- 22) Line 510: fix D.K.I. Jakarta.
- 23) Line 513: Table 6. Under the Province, Year row should be given a line below it. Tables should be together. If you have to disconnect, pay attention to the Table header.
- 24) Line 514: remove the words "by researchers".
- 25) Line 517 – 518: delete the sentence "The data reveals that some provinces fall below and above the mean 518 value. For example,".

- 26) Line 530: remove the words "by researchers".
- 27) Line 536: revise the sentence "Therefore, Models I and II's panel data regression hypothesis test yields 537 random effects".
- 28) Line 541: remove the words "by researchers".
- 29) Line 543: revise into "The hypothesis H1".

## Reviewer D

### 1. Did you find any language problem?

Yes I suggestion must proof reading by professional english editing

### 2. Comments to the Author:

This research will provide benefits for stakeholders but many things need to be improved. i suggestions for improvement of this article include the following:

1. Quality of english I suggestion must proof reading by professional english editing because many sentences do not use good English standards.

2. Abstract too long max 250 words with the order of research objectives, methods used qualitative / quantitative or mix method, output of research, benefits for anyone mentioned and implications for whom?

3. The introduction is too long and does not show research gaps, this research reinforces what previous research and where are the gaps? Many statement sentences have no citation, such as lines 32-41, there is only 1 citation, as well as other lines, please makesure the statement sentence must use citation. citation format improved with standard APA 7th edition.

Line 47-48 not standard sentence for article, pls check.

Line 56-68 pls check and makesure use citation

Line 69-151 pls check sentence and Make it shorter but rich in meaning. Introduction too long.

4. Theoretical framework too long and not focus to objective research, no citation properly (example line 155-168), etc

Agency theory no pls makesure citation properly and make it shorter.

Budget implementation pls makesure citation properly and make it shorter

What significantly bargaining power to support this objective research ?

5. Methodology

Methodology does not describe how this research is carried out in structured steps, Methology should consist of methods and materials not only materials that are described systematically. Whether this uses secondary data, please write in the methodology. The measurement method should be in theoretical not in methodology, pls revision.

6. The results of this study must answer the purpose of the study, it is good that there are research questions that are solved from this study or which hypothesis will be used. Results are

distinguished between qualitative analysis and quantitative analysis which is also not in methodology so it is confusing

7. The discussion should discuss the purpose of the research can use hypotheses and research questions so as to ensure the research objectives are achieved in this research. In this discussion, it is not clear what order to be achieved due to weak research methodology. The discussion must also compare the findings of previous research with the findings of this research. Here there is no visible e.g. Line 595-625, Line 558-592. pls makesure comparison with previous research.

8. Conclusion should answer the purpose of the research and be conveyed in clear points or fifths. Implication is delivered by citation from previous research, strengthening the findings of previous research then comparing it with what happens in countries similar to Indonesia how budgets are managed.

9. For reputable journals the use of references is very minimal and 25% more than 10 years pls check and makesure about citation and refference



Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**[JIPD ISSN 2572-7931] (CiteScore 1.6) Accept for Publication- JIPD-6355**

2 pesan

---

**polaris lau** <polaris.lau@ep-pub.net>

4 Juni 2024 pukul 16.17

Kepada: eva.herianti@umj.ac.id, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com

Cc: Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Dear Authors,

Good day to you. We are pleased to inform you that the following paper has been officially accepted for publication:

Manuscript ID: JIPD-6355

Title: An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia

Author: Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto

**Please note that once the manuscript is accepted, only typographical and grammatical changes are allowed.**

We will now make the final preparations for publication, then return it to you for proofreading as soon as possible. When there is any update, I will contact you.

**JIPD Acceptance Letter of 6355.pdf**

606K

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

5 Juni 2024 pukul 00.37

Kepada: polaris lau &lt;polaris.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Cc: amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com, Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Dear Ms. Polaris Lau,

We extend our sincerest gratitude for the official acceptance confirmation of our manuscript submission. Your assistance is greatly appreciated, and we are eagerly anticipating the final format for our forthcoming proofreading.

Best regards,

Dr. Eva Herianti

Corresponding Author

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]





Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**[JIPD] Article 6355 - Article Processing Charge Invoice**

4 pesan

---

**Jacky Lau** <jacky.lau@ep-pub.net>

5 Juni 2024 pukul 08.39

Kepada: eva.herianti@umj.ac.id, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com

Dear authors,

Congratulations on the acceptance of your manuscript JIPD-6355. Additionally, you should complete the Article-Processing Charge (APC) Payment. Please find the invoice attached.

Total Fees 1284 USD: APC 1200 USD + 84 USD Transaction Fees (7%)

The following are 3 payment methods. You can choose a more convenient method to pay.

1. Credit card and online payment please go to PayPal: <https://www.paypal.com>

Recipient account: [enpresspublishllc@gmail.com](mailto:enpresspublishllc@gmail.com)

2. Payment through the Enpress website:

<https://www.enpress-publisher.com/payments.php>

3. Bank transfer:

Account Name: Enpress Publish LLC

Bank name: Bank of America

Bank Address: 100 North Tryon Street, Charlotte, NC 28255

Account Number: 325187251949

Routing Number: 121000358

Wires Number:026009593

Swift Code: BOFAUS3N

IMPORTANT: When effecting payment, please ensure the manuscript Tracking ID number is clearly indicated in the details of the bank transfer. Bank charges should NOT be deducted from the amount due. If you have already made a successful payment, please send a copy of the payment voucher to us.

We would appreciate it if you could pay in **5 days** to ensure your manuscript is included in one of the forthcoming journal issues. In case of any problems or questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Editorial Office.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Mr. Jacky Lau

Commissioning Editor

EnPress Publisher

Address: [14701 Myford Road, Suite B-1, Tustin, CA 92780, United State](#)

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

5 Juni 2024 pukul 16.46

Kepada: Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;, polaris lau &lt;polaris.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Cc: amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Jacky Lau,

I am writing to inform you that the proof of APC payments for manuscript JIPD-6355 has been sent via Paypal. The attached files are included for your reference.

I kindly request confirmation that your finance team has received the payment safely. Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated.

Looking forward to your kind advice.

Best regards,  
Dr. Eva Herianti  
Corresponding Author

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

---

## 2 lampiran

 **Email confirmation on APC of JIPD-6355 (1).pdf**  
287K

 **APC manuscript JIPD-6355 (1).pdf**  
205K

---

**Jacky Lau** <jacky.lau@ep-pub.net>  
Kepada: Eva Herianti <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

7 Juni 2024 pukul 13.42

Dear Dr. Eva Herianti,

Thank you very much for your response. We have received your payment and appreciate your effort. If you have any further questions or need additional assistance, please feel free to contact us. It is a pleasure to collaborate with you, I hope there will be a chance for us to collaborate again in the future.

Wish you all the best in your future endeavors.

Kind regards,  
Jacky

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>  
Kepada: haryanto2689@gmail.com

7 Juni 2024 pukul 23.06

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Eva Herianti &lt;eva.herianti@umj.ac.id&gt;

---

**[JIPD ISSN 2572-7931] (CiteScore 1.6) Accept for Publication- JIPD-6355**

2 pesan

---

**polaris lau** <polaris.lau@ep-pub.net>

4 Juni 2024 pukul 16.17

Kepada: eva.herianti@umj.ac.id, amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com

Cc: Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Dear Authors,

Good day to you. We are pleased to inform you that the following paper has been officially accepted for publication:

Manuscript ID: JIPD-6355

Title: An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia

Author: Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto

**Please note that once the manuscript is accepted, only typographical and grammatical changes are allowed.**

We will now make the final preparations for publication, then return it to you for proofreading as soon as possible. When there is any update, I will contact you.

**JIPD Acceptance Letter of 6355.pdf**

606K

---

**Eva Herianti** <eva.herianti@umj.ac.id>

5 Juni 2024 pukul 00.37

Kepada: polaris lau &lt;polaris.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Cc: amor.marundha@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id, haryanto2689@gmail.com, Jacky Lau &lt;jacky.lau@ep-pub.net&gt;

Dear Ms. Polaris Lau,

We extend our sincerest gratitude for the official acceptance confirmation of our manuscript submission. Your assistance is greatly appreciated, and we are eagerly anticipating the final format for our forthcoming proofreading.

Best regards,

Dr. Eva Herianti

Corresponding Author

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

# CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE

We are pleased to confirm that article-6355:

**An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in Indonesia**

written by:


**Eva Herianti, Amor Marundha, Haryanto Haryanto**

has been accepted for publication after being peer-reviewed



EnPress Publisher, LLC

4 June 2024



*We are sincerely grateful to scholars who have contributed to our journal.*

1 Article Type

2 **An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budgeting Dynamics in**  
3 **Indonesia**4 **CITATION** 56 Author Name. (2024). Article title.  
7 Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and  
8 Development. 8(1): X.  
9 <https://doi.org/10.24294/xxxxx>10 **ARTICLE INFO**11 Received: Date Month Year  
12 Accepted: Date Month Year  
13 Available online: Date Month Year14 **COPYRIGHT**15 Copyright © 2023 by author(s).  
16 *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and*  
17 *Development* is published by EnPress  
18 Publisher, LLC. This work is licensed  
19 under the Creative Commons  
20 Attribution (CC BY) license.  
21 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

**Abstract:** The inadequacy of local government budgets is a pressing concern for various stakeholders. This research aims to assess the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation while also considering the deviation in capital expenditure as a moderating factor. The research sample included 34 provincial governments in Indonesia between 2019 and 2022. The research employed panel data regression to test the hypotheses and continued with the Chow, Lagrange multiplier, and Hausman tests. The study results indicate that bargaining power has a positive and significant effect on budget implementation, with the deviation in capital expenditure not diminishing its impact. The research's practical implication is that regional governments must effectively manage their revenues to finance regional spending needs through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. The study contributes to signaling theory by highlighting that regional governments can finance regional spending needs through fiscal independence and society's involvement. It also contributes to agency theory by demonstrating that capital expenditure deviation in the form of information asymmetry in regional governments does not reduce their ability to finance regional expenditure needs. Nonetheless, the study suggests that the proxies used in this research are limited, and further exploration of other proxies to measure tested variables.

**Keywords:** agency theory; bargaining power; budget implementation; capital expenditure deviation; signaling theory

22 **1. Introduction**

23 A budget comprises income, expenses, transfers, and financing for a specific  
24 period. Typically measured in rupiah units, it serves as a crucial tool for governments  
25 to guide their actions and ensure optimal utilization of resources (Siregar, 2017).  
26 Budgets are often utilized as administrative control systems to foster creativity,  
27 behavior, government autonomy, and the implementation of innovative ideas (Rafi,  
2020). Additionally, Rafi (2020) explains that budgets facilitate administrative  
activities, such as planning income sources, preventing overspending, and  
coordinating organizational tasks. Mah (2014) further elucidates that budgets cover all  
management aspects to control costs and enhance management performance.

The implementation of a budget is a critical process that involves two crucial  
aspects: income budget and expenditure budget. The focus of this study is on budget  
implementation and, specifically, the implementation of the expenditure budget. This  
research emphasizes the importance of budget implementation, which refers to the  
successful execution of expenditure towards the regional expenditure budget.  
Proficient budget implementation is a crucial indicator for the regional government,  
as it effectively utilizes funds for the region's development. According to Rakhman  
(2019), local governments' high budget implementation indicates optimal fiscal policy  
implementation and efforts to meet community needs. Conversely, if community  
needs are unmet, it indicates a failure to implement fiscal policy.

In recent decades, budgets have gained popularity among academics worldwide  
as a tool to maintain functionality and achieve organizational goals. Huang and Chen

(2010) suggest that budgets are a management tool for measuring progress and motivating employees in many organizations, including public sector organizations. Evaluating performance based on regional budgets can help improve regional governments' performance in managing budgets to enhance community welfare, as explained by Devlin (2001).

Budget implementation remains a crucial concern for various stakeholders, including the government, as it significantly impacts economic activities (Oliewo, 2015; Paliova & Lybek, 2014). According to data, the average value of regional budget implementation for all provincial governments in Indonesia was 30.84% from 2019 to 2022. Table 1 below presents budget implementation data for the last four years.

**Table 1.** Budget Implementation Between 2019-2022.

<b>Years</b>	<b>Budget Implementation (%)</b>
2019	31,61
2020	26,93
2021	30,52
2022	34,32
2019-2022	30,84

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023.

Table 1 displays the mean value of budget implementation between 2019 and 2022. The data indicates that the budget implementation rate has not exceeded 35% over the past four years. 2020 had the lowest implementation rate at 26.93%, while 2022 had the highest at 34.32%. These findings suggest that the average budget implementation level for all regional governments in Indonesia is suboptimal. The low implementation rate may link to the risks that regional governments face when they fail to adhere to budget regulations. Sheehan (2010) posits that regional governments encounter corruption demands and project failures during budget implementation, and they tend to mitigate these risks by implementing the budget suboptimally, especially if they receive low compensation. This inadequate implementation by regional governments could hinder regional development and impact the regional economy. Therefore, this study's significance lies in identifying the predictors of suboptimal budget implementation.

The region's lack of optimal budget implementation can be attributed to its low bargaining power, as Choi et al. (2021) explained. The region's independence often determines the level of bargaining power, as Oktaviani and Sari (2020) noted. A region is considered independent when its original regional income in regional development exceeds the transfer funds. According to Surya et al. (2021), increasing regional income can encourage fiscal independence; therefore, a region must follow applicable regulations, maintain economic potential, and increase its original regional income, as Amin et al. (2023) explained.

Amin et al. (2023) also stated that regions with higher fiscal independence experienced more significant economic growth than those without it. This fact indicates that regions with more financial resources can fund their needs more effectively. Regional governments can manage their finances better through fiscal

81 decentralization when the central government delegates authority. This strategy aims  
82 to promote economic equity between the central and regional governments, encourage  
83 growth in regional economies, and address interregional disparities (Ulum et al., 2019;  
84 Wahyuningsih et al., 2019), (Gonschorek et al., 2021), (Lewis et al., 2020).

85 The level of bargaining power that a region possesses indicates its innovation and  
86 creativity in maximizing its potential to enhance the welfare of its community. An  
87 independent and prosperous society reflects a region's capability to meet its people's  
88 needs. In order to optimize regional potential, regional governments must map out the  
89 potential and sources of income within the region. Mahmudi (2020) suggests that a  
90 region can be categorized into four significant parts based on their ownership and  
91 management capacity of regional potential: (a) regions with high potential and ability  
92 to manage, (b) regions with high potential but low management capacity, (c) regions  
93 with low potential but high management capacity, and (d) regions with low potential  
94 and low management capacity.

95 Regional governments must maintain their regional sources of income to ensure  
96 financial sustainability for regions with high potential and the ability to manage their  
97 regional potential. Promotion and expansion strategies must be implemented. For  
98 regions with high potential but low management capacity, regional governments  
99 should focus on intensification, partnerships, and increasing human resource capacity.  
100 Extensification and expansion strategies can be beneficial for regions that may have  
101 lower potential and management capabilities. These strategies can help unlock  
102 untapped potential and create new opportunities for growth and development. Lastly,  
103 education, innovation, and partnerships are required for regions with low potential and  
104 low management capabilities.

105 Research regarding the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation  
106 remains limited. Prior studies relevant to this research include those conducted by  
107 Amin et al. (2023) and Royda and Riana (2019), examining the effect of fiscal  
108 independence on economic growth. The findings suggest that fiscal independence  
109 positively and significantly influences economic growth. In another study, Permatasari  
110 and Trisaningsih (2022) investigate the effect of fiscal independence on regional  
111 financial performance and report that it has a positive and significant impact. However,  
112 Idris and Samsinar (2022) demonstrate that fiscal independence does not significantly  
113 affect economic growth.

114 To determine the potential for local original income, local governments must  
115 understand how to calculate it. Mahmudi (2020) explains that the potential regional  
116 original income can be calculated using macro and micro approaches. A  
117 comprehensive approach to enhancing regional financial independence involves using  
118 econometric regression models to estimate macroeconomic variables as proxies.  
119 Furthermore, conducting thorough data collection, surveys, and observations of tax  
120 objects and subjects helps to determine the existing income potential through a micro  
121 approach. The ultimate objective of these two approaches is to promote community  
122 welfare by bolstering regional financial autonomy.

123 According to Tehupuring (2021), research shows that regions with high resource  
124 potential benefit greatly from delegating authority from the central to regional  
125 governments. A region would become independent if the regional income generated  
126 from these resources is managed efficiently. However, not all information regarding

127 regional resources is made public, as this can signal good government performance.  
128 Heraldsson (2022) explains that deviations in capital expenditure can occur when  
129 governments wish to demonstrate competence in providing public goods and financial  
130 control.

131 According to a study by Herianti (2019), budget deviations can indicate a  
132 regional government's inability to manage its budget, which may lead to budget  
133 surpluses or deficits. This fact can result from ineffective budgeting processes and a  
134 lack of discipline in budget realization. Additionally, budget deviations can create  
135 information asymmetry, where local governments possess more information than the  
136 general public, providing an advantage for the former. This surplus showcases the  
137 government's lack of preparation and discipline in managing the budget (Johansson &  
138 Siverbo, 2014). Herianti (2019) Further, budget deviation represents a form of  
139 information asymmetry, where local governments possess more information regarding  
140 budget management compared to the community, which only has access to financial  
141 reports issued by regional governments. As such, agents with more information benefit  
142 from this information asymmetry.

143 The topic of bargaining power and its impact on budget implementation has been  
144 a subject of interest among researchers for quite some time now. However, despite  
145 numerous studies, there remains a gap in understanding the role of bargaining power  
146 when a deviation in capital expenditure is involved. Hence, this study aims to bridge  
147 this gap by providing empirical evidence on the connection between bargaining power  
148 and budget implementation while considering the impact of capital expenditure  
149 deviations. By exploring this subject in greater detail, the findings from this study can  
150 shed new light on this crucial area of research and contribute to our overall  
151 understanding of how bargaining power influences budget implementation.

## 152 **1.1. Theoretical framework and hypothesis development**

### 153 **1.1.1. Signalling Theory**

154 Michael Spence introduced the theory of signaling in 1973, which proposes that  
155 sellers use signals to communicate information to buyers, aiding them in assessing the  
156 quality of the products sold. Initially developed in the job market, the theory of  
157 signaling suggests that job seekers use educational credentials as signals to convey  
158 their competence to potential employers. Since then, the theory has been applied to  
159 other contexts, including product marketing, where companies use signals like  
160 branding, packaging, and advertising to communicate the quality of their products to  
161 consumers. The theory of signaling has had a significant impact on our comprehension  
162 of how information is conveyed and how it influences decision-making in markets.

163 Signal theory underscores that local governments can foster public trust by  
164 providing affirmative signals regarding their performance. If the regional government  
165 fails to send positive signals, the public will consider it to have neglected its duties  
166 and functions, negatively impacting its governance period. Consequently, a local  
167 administration with a good reputation, reflected in its performance accomplishments,  
168 will transmit a positive signal to the community.

### 169 **1.1.2. Agency Theory**

170 The theory of agency sheds light on the intricate relationship between the



171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215

principal and the agent. Halim (2007) expounds that in the context of public sector organizations, the government serves as the agent, while the Regional People's Representative Council (DPRD) represents the community as the principal. The principal is vested with the authority to delegate power to their agent to act in their best interest. However, the agent may not continuously operate to the fullest extent of the principal's interests, leading to conflict. It is imperative to recognize that the agent is expected to act in the principal's best interest, and any deviation from this standard of conduct can result in a dispute of interest. Therefore, it is necessary to maintain a constant vigilance of the agent's practices to ensure compliance with the principal's interests. Syamsuddin (2017) explains that this arises due to the agent's information advantage over the principal and conflicting interests. Within public sector organizations, budgeting is a political tool for the government to achieve its goals, and performance measurement and incentive systems are essential components of agency theory, as Lambert (2006) noted.

According to Dubois (2016), the government uses the budgeting process to increase public expectations about its ability to improve community welfare. Budget planning and implementation deviations in public sector organizations often stem from information asymmetry between the agent and principal. Such asymmetry arises because agents possess superior knowledge of the organization's resources, which they may leverage to advance their own interests. As a result, the principal may not have a complete understanding of the agent's motives and actions, leading to deviations from the budget plan. Establishing efficient communication channels between the agent and principal is crucial to ensure transparency in the flow of information regarding the organization's resources. It is imperative to ensure that the principal has unrestricted access to all relevant and technical details vital for the organization's smooth functioning. This approach can effectively address the problem at hand. By doing so, the principal can make informed decisions and hold the agent accountable for any deviations from the budget plan.

### **1.1.3. Budget Implementation**

According to Ogujiuba and Ehigiamusoe (2014), the government's most critical economic policy instrument is the budget. The budget document reflects the government's priorities pertaining to social and economic policies compared to other official records. It manifests the government's policies, the regional head's campaign promises, and the head's political commitment toward successfully implementing the regional budget. When a budget is implemented effectively, it can significantly impact a region's economic growth. The primary purpose of implementing a budget is to address the collective social needs of society. This can lead to faster economic growth, increased employment opportunities, and reduced poverty and income inequality between communities.

Siregar (2017) explains that the budget has several essential functions: authorization, planning, supervision, allocation, distribution, and stabilization. The budget serves as a crucial authorization for implementing income and expenditure for the year. It also functions as a planning guide for management in planning activities throughout the year. In addition, the budget acts as a means of supervision to assess regional government administrative activities. Its allocation function aims to create

216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261

jobs, reduce resource wastage, and increase economic efficiency. Furthermore, the budget has a distribution function that prioritizes justice and propriety, while its stabilization function aims to maintain a fundamental balance in the regional economy.

Schick (2007) described how the budget is formulated, which refers to output per unit and is also performance-based. As a result, public sector organizations adopt budget-based performance systems to evaluate government performance. Aliabadi et al. (2019) highlight that performance-based budgeting concentrates on the link between increased budgeted spending and output. Schick (2007) also asserts that performance-based budgeting is desirable for public sector organizations. Nonetheless, implementing performance-based budgeting is a challenge for governments. There are two main reasons why performance-based budgeting is not always achievable. Firstly, implementing performance-based budgeting requires information on the output of the budget, which can be an obstacle for countries without performance measures and accrual systems. Plains performance-based budgeting is a desirable budgeting practice in public sector organizations. However, it is hard for governments to execute performance-based budgeting practices. There are two essential reasons for not achieving performance-based budgeting in its implementation. The first is that implementing performance-based budgeting requires information on the output of the budget. Reliable performance measures and efficient accrual systems are crucial for countries' progress. The lack of such systems can pose challenges and hinder their development (Schick, 2007). Furthermore, in nations where performance-based budgets are employed, it is crucial to consider mandatory provisions and adjustments when creating these budgets, which can require considerable time and effort (Schick, 2014).

Mahmudi (2020) explained that using a performance-based budget system in local governments has led to significant changes in budget planning, filling, and reporting. Additionally, Mahmudi (2020) highlights that changes in the budget structure impact the budget paradigm, budget account code, and recording procedures. For instance, the classification of expenditures has undergone substantial changes from the old system. Consequently, performance-based budgeting recognizes financing items that were previously unknown in traditional budgets. It's vital to note that performance-based budgeting isn't solely input-oriented. It's also based on budget outputs and outcomes, implying that the budget is linked to performance targets that will be met to measure its success.

Budget implementation requires regional governments to display the expenses incurred from the allocated budget (Rakhman, 2019). Mahmudi (2019) explains that the budget implementation process involves a regional government accounting system critical for successful implementation. Even if budget planning is done well, the desired outcomes may not be achieved without an adequate accounting system during implementation. This highlights the crucial role of the regional government accounting system in implementing the budget, as a suboptimal system can lead to fraud or misuse of regional funds.

Siregar (2017) explains that the expenditure budget implementation involves various parties, documents, and processes. Various parties are involved in managing the regional government's budget, including the budget team, regional work units, officials from the regional financial management department, expenditure treasurers,

262 financial administration officials, budget users, authorized budget users, regional  
263 general treasurers, individuals with general treasurer powers, and regional and  
264 regional secretaries. The documents used for implementing the expenditure budget  
265 include budget implementation documents, cash budgets, letters of provision of funds,  
266 letters of request for payment, letters of payment orders, and orders of disbursement  
267 of funds. Implementing the expenditure budget includes preparing budget  
268 implementation documents and cash budgets, issuing letters of the provision of funds,  
269 submitting payment request letters, issuing payment orders, issuing orders for the  
270 disbursement of funds, spending funds, and issuing accountability reports.

#### 271 **1.1.4. Bargaining Power**

272 According to Choi et al. (2021), a region's bargaining power is reflected in its  
273 level of financial independence. A region is considered to have bargaining power if it  
274 is self-sufficient financially. Oktaviani and Sari (2020) elaborate on this concept,  
275 stating that a region can achieve financial independence if its original income  
276 outweighs the use of transfer funds by the regional government in local development.  
277 Regional governments must thoroughly analyze their local potential to increase their  
278 original income. Mahmudi (2019) further elaborates on potential regional income  
279 analysis, highlighting the importance of categorizing local levy regional taxes as  
280 potential, prime, developing, or underdeveloped. Once this categorization is complete,  
281 regional governments can implement appropriate policies for each category, such as  
282 intensification or extensification for potential and developing categories,  
283 intensification for prime categories, and review or removal for underdeveloped  
284 categories.

285 According to Amin et al. (2023), regions with higher local revenue levels,  
286 indicating greater fiscal independence, tend to experience higher economic growth  
287 than regions with less independence. When a region has high fiscal independence, it  
288 can finance its own spending needs, which optimizes the management of its potential  
289 and supports the welfare of its community. Mahmudi (2020) Further, the author  
290 elaborates on potential, explaining that it exists but requires significant effort to obtain  
291 and exploit. Exploration and exploitation efforts are necessary to utilize natural  
292 resources fully. Similarly, it is essential to identify taxable objects to maximize tax  
293 potential. Therefore, evaluating the scope of regional income potential is crucial for  
294 preserving and utilizing regional potential to its fullest.

#### 295 **1.1.5. Capital Expenditure Deviation**

296 According to Benito et al. (2015), past research on budget deviation focused on  
297 the correlation between political ambition and demonstrating government competency.  
298 This highlights the importance of local governments efficiently utilizing resources to  
299 enhance community well-being. Drawing from agency theory, governments act  
300 strategically and opportunistically to pursue personal interests to secure re-election  
301 and maintain their positions (Downs, 1957). Veiga and Veiga (2007) suggest that  
302 governments can benefit from signaling their competence to the public in information  
303 asymmetry. Drazen and Eslava (2010) elaborate on how governments can  
304 opportunistically allocate funds to meet their interests in capital expenditure budgets.  
305 Additionally, Drazen and Eslava (2010) and Rogoff (1990) explain that Governments  
306 can use the budgeted investment expenditure or capital expenditure budget

307 implementation as political signals to demonstrate their ability to provide public goods  
308 and services at the regional level.

309 According to Patty (2019), budget deviation arises when there are shortcomings  
310 in the regulatory aspects of budget planning, implementation, supervision, and  
311 accountability, leading to a budget surplus or deficit. Additionally, Heraldsson (2022)  
312 This explanation states that capital expenditure deviation occurs when there is a  
313 difference between realized capital expenditure and budgeted capital expenditure.  
314 Such deviation reflects the variance between the government's actual and planned  
315 capital expenditures. Herianti (2019) further elaborates that budget deviation indicates  
316 a regional government's inability to control its budget, leading to a potential budget  
317 surplus or deficit. A budget surplus or deficit shows that the budgeting process was  
318 not efficient and effective. In comparison, Johansson and Siverbo (2014) explain that  
319 a surplus indicates a lack of discipline and ability on the government's part to prepare  
320 and realize the budget.

#### 321 **1.1.6. Bargaining Power and Budget Implementation**

322 The government budget is a critical policy instrument that reflects its priorities  
323 concerning social and economic policies compared to other documents (Ogujiuba &  
324 Ehigiamusoe, 2014). According to Ratmono and Sholihin (2017), the budget is a  
325 statement of public policy, fiscal targets, and a control tool, indicating a formal  
326 agreement between the government and legislature on spending. Budgets are a crucial  
327 component of accounting and financial reporting. They reflect public policy,  
328 articulating fiscal targets for spending, income, and financing. Budgets also provide a  
329 legal foundation for control and evaluation of government performance, and they are  
330 reported in the government's financial statements as evidence of accountability to the  
331 public. As a result, budgets are a fundamental tool for governmental entities to  
332 effectively manage their finances, demonstrate transparency and accountability, and  
333 ensure that their expenditures align with their policy objectives. The significance of  
334 budgets in the public sector cannot be overstated, as they form the cornerstone of  
335 financial management and governance and serve as a critical means for governments  
336 to fulfill their obligations to their constituents.

337 Siregar (2017) explains that the budget implementation process goes through  
338 eight stages, including preparing budget implementation documents, cash budgets, and  
339 accountability reports. These reports are among the regional governments' concrete  
340 efforts to ensure transparency and accountability in financial management that fulfills  
341 timely principles and is prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting  
342 standards (Suhartini, 2019). Furthermore, if the examination of the regional budget  
343 accountability report reveals losses, the regional treasurer, as the recipient of a power  
344 of attorney for regional financial management, will compensate for the losses suffered  
345 by the region, charged according to the procedure for returning state losses in  
346 compliance with the regulations of the Financial Audit Agency.

347 According to Evans and Patton (1987), the government utilizes signal theory to  
348 increase public trust by providing information signals to the public. Regional  
349 government financial reports are one way of providing these signals as they  
350 demonstrate the performance of regional governments. In order to support government  
351 performance, a region's bargaining power is necessary. As explained by Choi et al.

(2021), regional independence is a representation of bargaining power. A region is considered independent if its original regional income for regional development is higher than the use of transfer funds (Oktaviani & Sari, 2020). This suggests that successful implementation of fiscal decentralization leads to higher original regional income.

Furthermore, Amin et al. (2023) argue that regions with higher fiscal independence experience higher economic growth compared to those that do not have this independence. Therefore, local governments must manage their resources effectively and efficiently to optimize local revenue. The more fiscally independent a region is, the more capable it is of financing its needs. This positive impact of high fiscal independence translates into higher economic growth (Gonschorek et al., 2021) and reduced inequality between regions (Lewis et al., 2020). Based on this description, we can formulate the following hypothesis:

H1: Bargaining power has a positive effect on budget implementation.

### **1.1.7. Bargaining Power, Budget Implementation, and Capital Expenditure Deviation**

Agency theory is a theoretical framework that explains the interactions between agents and principals within an organization. Within the context of regional government, the agent is typically represented by the regional government, while the principal is represented by the DPRD, which acts on behalf of the community. The principal grants authority to the agent to manage regional assets, with the ultimate aim of creating prosperity (Halim, 2007). This framework is essential for understanding the dynamics of regional government and the relationships between key stakeholders. By understanding the role of the agent and principal, it becomes possible to design effective governance structures that promote accountability, transparency, and good governance. Through effective governance, it is possible to create an environment that supports economic growth, social development, and sustainable development. However, because the agent possesses more information than the principal, their actions may not always align with the principal's interests. This information asymmetry can lead to conflicts of interest that ultimately have a negative impact on budget estimates and result in discrepancies in budget allocation (Marundha, 2020).

The budget is a crucial metric for measuring a company's performance and concerns various stakeholders. Hansen and Mowen (2009) highlight four key benefits of budgets: (a) compelling executives to plan their budgets, (b) providing valuable data to enhance decision-making, (c) setting performance evaluation criteria, and (d) facilitating communication and coordination. Regions need to possess bargaining power to ensure successful budget implementation. This can be achieved by demonstrating a high level of original regional income. A substantial regional income can finance regional requirements, leading to the overall betterment of the community. Unfortunately, if the budget managed by the regional government deviates, the bargaining power cannot be established. Deviations in capital expenditure can negatively impact the effectiveness of bargaining power in achieving budget implementation. As a result, this study puts forth the following hypothesis:

H2: Capital expenditure deviation reduces the influence of bargaining power on budget implementation.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Sample and Data Collection

The scope of this research encompasses the provincial governments of Indonesia within the timeframe of 2019-2022, resulting in a total of 134 observation samples. The data utilized in this study was obtained from credible government sources, namely the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance (DJPK) operating under the Ministry of Finance. This information is conveniently accessible to the public via the official government website, [www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id](http://www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id). Purposive sampling was employed with the following criteria to determine the research sample:

**Table 2.** Sample selection process.

Criteria	Sample
Provincial governments registered with the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance during 2019-2022.	34
Number of sample observations (34 x 4)	136
Incomplete provincial government data	(2)
Number of final sample observations	134

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 2 shows 34 provinces in Indonesia. A comprehensive research study was undertaken over a period of four years, spanning from 2019 to 2022, with a specific focus on 34 provinces. After collecting data, the sample size for the study was determined to be 136. However, two sample observations were incomplete and were subsequently removed from the study. These particular observations were from Maluku Province and Sulawesi Tenggara Province in 2021. The study concluded with a total of 134 observations being included in the final analysis.

### 2.2. Measurement Methods

This study examined four variables: dependent, independent, moderating, and control. The dependent variable was budget implementation, while the independent variable was bargaining power. Capital expenditure deviation served as the moderating variable, and budget stress was the control variable. The study's operational definitions and measurements for these four variables have been outlined below:

#### a. Budget Implementation

Rakhman (2019) explained that budget implementation is a condition of the regional government that shows the amount of actual expenditure on the total regional budget. This study adapts budget implementation measurements from Rakhman (2019) as follows:

$$\text{Budget implementation} = \frac{\text{Actual expenditure}}{\text{Budget expenditure}} \times 100\%$$

#### b. Bargaining Power

Choi et al. (2021) explain that bargaining power is represented through regional financial independence. The formula for measuring bargaining power is as follows:

$$\text{Bargaining power} = \frac{\text{Budget of Original Local Government Revenue}}{\text{Budget Revenue}} \times 100\%$$

c. Capital Expenditure Deviation

Patty (2019) explains that budget deviation occurs when there are regulatory failures in the budget's planning, implementation, supervision, and accountability, resulting in a budget surplus or deficit. This study adapts the capital expenditure deviation measurement from Heraldsson (2022) as follows:

$$\text{Capital expenditure deviation} = \frac{\text{Actual of Capital Expenditure} - \text{Budget of Capital Expenditure}}{\text{Budget of Capital Expenditure}} \times 100\%$$

d. Budget Stress

Chapman (1999) explained that budget stress is a regional fiscal condition when regional income is low, and regional expenditure is increasing. This study adapts the budget stress measurement from Boukari and Veiga (2018) as follows:

$$\text{Budget Stress} = \frac{\text{Budget Revenue} - \text{Budget Expenditure}}{\text{Budget Revenue}} \times 100\%$$

This research utilized a panel data regression methodology to scrutinize a hypothesis. The outcomes of this methodology indicated the necessity for paired examinations, comprising Chow, Lagrange multiplier, and Hausman tests. The Chow test was executed to establish the appropriate panel data regression model between common and fixed effects. If the significance value is less than 0.05, it signifies that the fixed effect model is superior to the common effect model. The Lagrange multiplier test was then conducted to determine the appropriate panel data regression model between the common and random effects. If the significance value is less than 0.05, it suggests that the random effect model is a better fit than the common effect model. Finally, the Hausman test was performed to ascertain the appropriate panel data regression model between the fixed and random effects. If the significance value is less than 0.05, it indicates that the fixed effect model is a better fit than the random effect model. It is worth noting that testing classical assumptions is not necessary if the resulting model is a random effect, as the random effects model is a generalized least-squares method (Algifari, 2021).

### 3. Results

This research examines how bargaining power influences budget implementation, moderated by capital expenditure deviation. Descriptive statistical values were utilized to determine variable profiles:

**Table 3.** Budget Stress Description

Variables	Obs.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std.dev
Budget Implementation	134	2,59	61,63	30,84	7,55
Bargaining Power	134	5,20	73,20	38,44	16,42
Capital Expenditure Deviation	134	-98,79	-34,84	-86,00	11,72

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 3 displays the descriptive statistics of the budget stress description. The budget implementation variable had a minimum value of 2.59%, which was the

466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487

implementation budget owned by North Kalimantan Province in 2021. The maximum budget implementation value of 61.63% was owned by Bengkulu Province in 2022. The mean budget implementation value for this study was 30.84%. Provinces with budget implementation values below and above the mean value of 30.84% are presented in Table 4. The standard deviation of budget implementation was 7.55%, indicating that the average percentage of the budget realized is only 30.84% for provincial governments in Indonesia.

The study also revealed that the bargaining power variable had a minimum value of 5.20%, representing West Papua Province's bargaining power in 2019. The maximum bargaining power value of 73.20% was owned by Banten Province in 2022. The mean bargaining power in this study was 38.44%. Provinces with bargaining power values below and above the mean value of 38.44% are shown in Table 5. The standard deviation of bargaining power was 16.42%, indicating that the average percentage of regional strength in fulfilling regional interests was 38.44%.

The capital expenditure deviation variable for Banten Province in 2020 had a minimum value of -98.79%. Meanwhile, the maximum deviation value of -34.84% was observed in North Sulawesi Province in 2021. The study also found a mean capital expenditure deviation of -86%. Table 6 clearly represents the bargaining power values of the provinces that fall below and above the mean value. The standard deviation for capital expenditure was found to be 11.72%, indicating that the average budget variance among provincial governments in Indonesia is -86%.

**Table 4.** Budget Implementation Category Map Based on Mean Value

<b>Budget Implementation</b>		<b>Budget Implementation</b>	
<b>&lt; mean value 30,84%</b>		<b>&gt; mean value 30,84%</b>	
Province	Year	Province	Year
Aceh	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Sumatra	2020	North Sumatra	2019,2021,2022
West Sumatra	2022	West Sumatra	2019,2020,2021
Riau	2020,2022	Riau	2019,2021
Jambi	2019,2020, 2021,2022		
South Sumatra	2020,2022	South Sumatra	2019,2020,2021
Bengkulu	2019,2020	Bengkulu	2021,2022
Lampung	2020	Lampung	2019,2021,2022
DKI Jakarta	2019,2020, 2021,2022		
West Java	2020,2021	West Java	2019,2022
Central Java	2020	Central Java	2019,2021,2022
DI Yogyakarta	2020	DI Yogyakarta	2019,2021,2022
East Java	2020,2021	East Java	2019,2022
West Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021	West Kalimantan	2022
Central Kalimantan	2020	Central Kalimantan	2019,2021,2022
		South Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022



<b>Budget Implementation</b>		<b>Budget Implementation</b>	
<b>&lt; mean value 30,84%</b>		<b>&gt; mean value 30,84%</b>	
East Kalimantan	2019,2020,2022	East Kalimantan	2021
North Sulawesi	2020,2021	North Sulawesi	2019,2022
Central Sulawesi	2020	Central Sulawesi	2019,2021,2022
South Sulawesi	2021	South Sulawesi	2019,2020,2022
Southeast Sulawesi	2019,2020,2022	Southeast Sulawesi	2021
Bali	2019,2021	Bali	2020,2022
West Nusa Tenggara	2020	West Nusa Tenggara	2020,2021,2022
East Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021	East Nusa Tenggara	2022
Maluku	2020	Maluku	2019,2021,2022
Papua	2019,2020,2021	Papua	2022
North Maluku	2020	North Maluku	2019,2021,2022
		Banten	2019,2020,2021,2022
Bangka Belitung	2021	Bangka Belitung	2019,2020,2022
		Gorontalo	2019,2020,2021,2022
		Kepulauan Riau	2019,2020,2021,2022
West Papua	2019,2021,2022	West Papua	2020
West Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021	West Sulawesi	2022
North Kalimantan	2019,2022	North Kalimantan	2020,2021

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 4 displays a budget implementation map that is based on the mean value of all provincial governments in Indonesia from 2019-2022. This data reveals that some provinces have budget implementations below the mean value while others have implementations above it. For instance, Aceh, Jambi, and DKI Jakarta have budget implementations below the mean during 2019-2022. The Aceh provincial government needs to take note of this and work towards increasing budget absorption to enhance the quality of community welfare. Conversely, South Kalimantan, Banten, Gorontalo, and the Riau Islands have budget implementations above the mean during 2019-2022. This outcome demonstrates that the regional government of these provinces has effectively used the budgets to uplift the community's welfare.

**Table 5.** Bargaining Power Category Map Based on Mean Value

<b>Bargaining Power</b>		<b>Bargaining Power</b>	
<b>&lt; mean value 38,44%</b>		<b>&gt; mean value 38,44%</b>	
Province	Year	Province	Year
Aceh	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Sumatra	2020	North Sumatra	2019,2021,2022
West Sumatra	2019,2020,2021	West Sumatra	2022
		Riau	2019,2020, 2021,2022

<b>Bargaining Power</b>		<b>Bargaining Power</b>	
<b>&lt; mean value 38,44%</b>		<b>&gt; mean value 38,44%</b>	
Jambi	2019,2020,2021	Jambi	2022
South Sumatra	2019	South Sumatra	2020,2021,2022
Bengkulu	2019,2020,2021,2022	Lampung	2019,2020,2021,2022
		DKI Jakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022
		West Java	2019,2020,2021,2022
		Central Java	2019,2020,2021,2022
DI Yogyakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022	East Java	2019,2020,2021,2022
West Kalimantan	2019	West Kalimantan	2020,2021,2022
Central Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021	Central Kalimantan	2022
		South Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022
		East Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022
North Sulawesi	2019,2021	North Sulawesi	2020,2022
Central Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022	South Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022
Southeast Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022	Bali	2019,2020,2021,2022
West Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021	West Nusa Tenggara	2022
East Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020, 2021,2022		
Maluku	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Papua	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Maluku	2019,2020,2021,2022	Banten	2019,2020,2021,2022
Bangka Belitung	2019,2021	Bangka Belitung	2020,2022
Gorontalo	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Kepulauan Riau	2019,2020,2021	Kepulauan Riau	2022
West Papua	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022		

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

A map of bargaining power categories within the Indonesian provincial governments from 2019-2022 is presented in Table 5. The data reveals that some

503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512

provinces have a bargaining power above the mean value while others fall below it. Notably, Aceh, Bengkulu, DI Yogyakarta, Central Sulawesi, Southeast Sulawesi, East Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, Papua, North Maluku, Gorontalo, West Papua, West Sulawesi, and North Kalimantan fall below the mean during this period. The governments of these 13 provinces need to address this issue by utilizing the potential of local original income to enhance the welfare of the communities. Conversely, Riau, Lampung, DKI Jakarta, West Java, Central Java, East Java, South Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, South Sulawesi, Bali, and Banten demonstrate a bargaining power above the mean during the same period. This highlights the ability of the regional government to manage local original income to meet community needs.

513

**Table 6.** Capital Expenditure Deviation Category Map Based on Mean Value

<b>Capital Expenditure Deviation</b>		<b>Capital Expenditure Deviation</b>	
<b>&lt; mean value -86%</b>		<b>&gt; mean value -86%</b>	
Province	Year	Province	Year
Aceh	2019,2020,2021	Aceh	2022
North Sumatra	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Sumatra	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Riau	2019,2020,2021	Riau	2022
Jambi	2019,2020,2021	Jambi	2022
South Sumatra	2019,2020,2022	South Sumatra	2021
Bengkulu	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Lampung	2020,2021,2022	Lampung	2019
DKI Jakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Java	2019,2020	West Java	2021,2022
Central Java	2020,2021	Central Java	2019,2022
DI Yogyakarta	2020	DI Yogyakarta	2019,2021,2022
East Java	2020,2021,2022	East Java	2019
West Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022		
		Central Kalimantan	2010,2020,2021,2022
		South Kalimantan	2019,2021,2022
East Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Sulawesi	2019,2020	North Sulawesi	2021,2022
Central Sulawesi	2021,2022	Central Sulawesi	2019,2020
South Sulawesi	2019,2020,2022	South Sulawesi	2021
Southeast Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021	Southeast Sulawesi	2022

<b>Capital Expenditure Deviation</b>		<b>Capital Expenditure Deviation</b>	
<b>&lt; mean value -86%</b>		<b>&gt; mean value -86%</b>	
Bali	2019,2021	Bali	2020,2022
West Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021	West Nusa Tenggara	2022
East Nusa Tenggara	2019,2021	East Nusa Tenggara	2020,2022
Maluku	2020,2021,2022	Maluku	2019
Papua	2019,2020,2021	Papua	2022
North Maluku	2020	North Maluku	2019,2021,2022
Provinsi Banten	2019,2020,2021	Banten	2022
Bangka Belitung	2021,2022	Bangka Belitung	2019,2020
		Gorontalo	2019,2020,2021,2022
Kepulauan Riau	2021,2022	Kepulauan Riau	2019,2020
West Papua	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021	West Sulawesi	2022
North Kalimantan	2019,2021	North Kalimantan	2020,2022

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 6 displays a map of capital expenditure deviation categories, which are categorized based on the mean value of all provincial governments in Indonesia from 2019 to 2022. The data reveals that some provinces fall below and above the mean value. For example, North Sumatra, West Sumatra, Bengkulu, DKI Jakarta, West Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, and West Papua are among the provinces that have capital expenditure deviations below the mean during 2019-2022. This highlights the ability of these regional governments to manage budget variances effectively, resulting in a budget variance value that is below the average for all provinces in Indonesia during the aforementioned period. However, in contrast to these seven provinces, the Central Kalimantan and Gorontalo Provinces had capital expenditure deviations above the mean during 2019-2022. This indicates that these two provinces experienced budget variances and, therefore, require an optimal strategy to reduce these variances. Paired tests were performed to determine the most suitable model for testing the hypotheses, and the results of the paired tests are as follows:

**Table 7.** Paired Test Results Determination of Panel Data Regression Model

	<b>Model I</b>	<b>Model II</b>
	<b>Chow Test</b>	
<i>Cross-section F (Sig.)</i>	0,003	0,026
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>Fixed Effect</b>	<b>Fixed Effect</b>
	<b>Lagrange Multiplier Test</b>	

<i>Breusch-Pagan</i> (Sig.)	0,000	0,017
Conclusion	<b>Random Effect</b>	<b>Random Effect</b>
<b>Hausman Test</b>		
<i>Cross-section random</i> (Sig.)	0,520	0,565
Conclusion	Random Effect	Random Effect
End Conclusion	Random Effect	Random Effect

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

The results of the paired tests that determine the panel data regression model are presented in Table 7. The panel data regression model comprises two models: Model I tests the main effect, while Model II tests the moderation effect. Based on the results of Models I and II, the Chow test indicates fixed effects, while the Lagrange multiplier test shows random effects. Additionally, the Hausman test results demonstrate random effects. Therefore, Models I and II's panel data regression hypothesis test yields random effects. This study investigates the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation, moderated by capital expenditure deviation. The results of the hypothesis test for this study are outlined below:

**Table 8.** Hypothesis Testing

Independent Variable	Model I			Model II		
	Dependent Variable: Budget Implementation			Dependent Variable: Budget Implementation		
	Random Effect			Random Effect		
	coef.	t-stat.	sig.	coef.	t-stat.	sig.
Const.	31,196	13,119	0,000	56,531	4,437	0,000
Bargaining Power	0,080	2,153	0,033	-0,056	-0,189	0,850
Capital Expenditure Deviation				0,294	2,041	0,043
Bargaining Power*Capital Expenditure Deviation				-0,001	-0,480	0,631
Budget Stress	-4,111	-2,505	0,013	-4,185	-2,727	0,007
F-Stat.	5,415			8,714		
Sig.	0,005			0,000		
Adjusted R2	6,2%			18,8%		
Obs.	134			134		

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

The hypothesis testing findings are presented in Table 8 based on the data collected from DJPK. The study's H1 states that bargaining power positively impacts budget implementation. The research results indicate that the coefficient of bargaining power's effect on budget implementation is 0.080, with a t-statistic of 2.153 and a

546 significance of 0.033, which is less than the threshold of 0.05. These findings  
547 demonstrate that bargaining power significantly and positively affects budget  
548 implementation, supporting H1.

549 According to this study's findings, H2 suggests that deviations in capital  
550 expenditures have a detrimental impact on the correlation between bargaining power  
551 and budget implementation. The research results indicate that the effect of bargaining  
552 power and capital expenditure deviation on budget implementation has a coefficient  
553 of -0.001, a t-statistic of -0.480, and a significance level of 0.631, which is greater than  
554 0.05. This implies that capital expenditure deviation cannot diminish the influence of  
555 bargaining power on budget implementation, thereby rendering H2 unsupported.

## 556 **4. Discussion**

### 557 **4.1. Bargaining Power and Budget Implementation**

558 Recent research highlights the significant correlation between bargaining power  
559 and budget implementation. According to the study, bargaining power substantially  
560 influences the budget implementation process, and its impact is even higher than the  
561 threshold level. The research emphasizes the importance of bargaining power in  
562 ensuring successful and efficient budget implementation processes. The study  
563 suggests that enhancing bargaining power can be a highly effective strategy for  
564 improving the outcomes of budget implementation, which aligns with previous  
565 research in this area.

566 Our research also underscores the practical implications of regional independence  
567 in the context of bargaining power. As Choi et al. (2021) posit, regional independence  
568 serves as a tangible manifestation of bargaining power. In essence, a region attains  
569 independence when its original regional income, earmarked for regional development,  
570 surpasses the use of transfer funds. Our study demonstrates that a higher original  
571 regional income signifies successful implementation of fiscal decentralization. The  
572 bargaining power of regional governments communicates to the public that their  
573 regional spending needs can be met. Regional governments with bargaining power  
574 demonstrate that local revenues can be effectively managed to fulfill district spending  
575 requirements. Our research indicates that financing regional needs through original  
576 regional income is more prevalent than utilizing regional transfers. This highlights the  
577 real-world significance of our findings and emphasizes the practical implications of  
578 our study.

579 Evans and Patton (1987) propose that the government leverages signal theory  
580 to enhance public trust. The government utilizes regional government financial reports  
581 as a medium to communicate with the public. In essence, the government's  
582 performance, as reflected in financial reports, serves as its accountability to society.  
583 The government is duty-bound to inform the public about the organization's current  
584 state, thereby bolstering public trust. Regional government financial reports, therefore,  
585 play a pivotal role in enhancing accountability and building public trust, a key  
586 takeaway from our research.

587 The study also reveals that the signaling theory applies to regional and central  
588 government budget policy issues. The signal is transmitted via the level of the  
589 bargaining position of the regions to obtain the required budget and inform society

590 accordingly. The research highlights the importance of bargaining power and regional  
591 independence in ensuring successful budget implementation and promoting  
592 accountability and public trust in government spending.

#### 593 **4.2. Bargaining Power, Budget Implementation, and Capital Expenditure** 594 **Deviation**

595 Our research findings reveal a crucial nexus between bargaining power, deviation  
596 in capital expenditure, and their influence on budget implementation. We underscore  
597 the pivotal roles played by both bargaining power and deviation in capital expenditure  
598 in shaping the success of budget implementation. However, our study cautions against  
599 overreliance on deviation in capital expenditure as a panacea to counter the sway of  
600 bargaining power on budget implementation. In essence, a powerful entity or region  
601 may not be easily swayed by deviation in capital expenditure alone. These findings  
602 underscore the inescapable impact of bargaining power on budget implementation,  
603 which cannot be fully mitigated by deviation in capital expenditure.

604 It is worth noting that deviation in capital expenditure indicates a failure in  
605 regulatory planning, implementation, supervision, and budget accountability, leading  
606 to a budget surplus or a deficit (Patty, 2019). Despite this, the study suggests that  
607 deviation in capital expenditure cannot reduce the impact of bargaining power on  
608 budget implementation, as shown by the average bargaining power value of 38.44%.  
609 This value indicates that regional governments in Indonesia have strong fiscal  
610 independence to finance their regional spending. Even if there is a deviation in capital  
611 expenditure, regional governments in Indonesia can still finance regional expenditures  
612 without compromising their actions. However, it is crucial to note that deviations in  
613 capital expenditures may signify information asymmetry and regulatory inadequacies  
614 in the capital expenditure budget's planning, implementation, supervision, and  
615 accountability. Such deviations can impede the regional budget implementation  
616 process, and it is essential to tackle them through effective communication and  
617 transparency between agents and principals, adhering to the agency theory protocol.

618 It is essential to acknowledge that various factors may influence the lack of robust  
619 moderation of bargaining power and budget implementation by capital expenditure  
620 deviation. For instance, the presence of an inadequate check-balance mechanism in  
621 both the regions and central authorities could be a significant factor. Moreover,  
622 compromises in the political agenda could also hamper the effectiveness of capital  
623 expenditure deviation as a moderating mechanism. Other unidentified variables may  
624 also be at play, necessitating further research to uncover and analyze their potential  
625 impact.

#### 626 **5. Conclusion**

627 This research aims to comprehensively examine the relationship between  
628 bargaining power and budget implementation while considering the moderating effect  
629 of capital expenditure deviation. The study concentrates on the provincial  
630 governments of Indonesia, spanning from 2019 to 2022. The formulated hypotheses  
631 are tested through a panel data regression analysis, and insightful discoveries are made.  
632 The study's results reveal that bargaining power has a significant positive effect on

633 budget implementation. Additionally, the study found that the deviation in capital  
634 expenditure does not diminish the impact of bargaining power on budget  
635 implementation. Ultimately, these findings advance our comprehension of how  
636 bargaining power influences budget implementation, providing valuable insights for  
637 policymakers and government officials in Indonesia and beyond.

### 638 **5.1. Implications**

639 The findings of this study have significant implications for signal theory. This is  
640 because local governments signal the public that they can support regional spending  
641 requirements through fiscal independence. Fiscal independence, in turn, allows  
642 regional governments to optimize their regional revenues to finance their spending  
643 needs. Moreover, this research sheds light on agency theory by demonstrating that  
644 deviations in capital expenditure budgets do not decrease regional governments'  
645 capacity to finance regional spending needs due to their strong bargaining power. The  
646 influence of bargaining power on budget implementation underscores the efficacy and  
647 efficiency of local governments in managing budgets. As Ratmono and Sholihin (2017)  
648 explain, budgets play a vital role in accounting and financial reporting by serving as a  
649 statement of public policy, fiscal targets, and a control tool.

650 The practical implication of this study is that regional governments need to  
651 manage their own revenue optimally to finance their spending needs. This can be  
652 achieved through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. Therefore,  
653 to manage local original income effectively, local governments must analyze the  
654 potential of local original income. As Mahmudi (2019) elucidates, analyzing regional  
655 revenue potential is critical for regional government management and prospective  
656 shareholders to consider regional revenues that can still be explored and potential  
657 profits from investing. The primary objective of analyzing potential regional original  
658 income is to determine the amount of regional taxes and levies the regional  
659 government can receive.

### 660 **5.2. Limitations and Future Research**

661 One limitation of this study is that the adjusted R2 value remains relatively low,  
662 with a main effect test range of 6.2% and a moderating effect of 18.8%. This suggests  
663 that the proxies and variables utilized in this research are limited and that future studies  
664 should explore additional factors such as bargaining power, budget implementation,  
665 and budget stress. Furthermore, it should be noted that this study only examines the  
666 provincial governments of Indonesia between 2019 and 2022 due to data availability.  
667 It may be beneficial to consider district/city governments or ministries/institutions  
668 when selecting a sample for further research to expand the scope of research.

669 **Author contributions:** Eva Herianti Eva Herianti contributed significantly to the  
670 study's design. She is mainly responsible for the data collection, analysis, and  
671 interpretation, as well as the writing of the manuscript. She also assumes the  
672 corresponding author's role and takes responsibility for the overall integrity of the  
673 work. Amor Marundha provided input during the study design and data analysis  
674 process, ensuring proper methodology and providing inputs on the theoretical  
675 framework. He was also involved in the manuscript revision process. Haryanto



676 Haryanto was instrumental in the conception of the research design and provided  
677 valuable insights into the theoretical framework. He also contributed to the writing  
678 and revisions of the article. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the  
679 work.

## 680 **References**

- 681 1. Algifari. (2021). Pengolahan data panel untuk penelitian bisnis dan ekonomi dengan reviews 11. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- 682 2. Aliabadi, F.J., Mashayekhi, B., & Gal, G. (2019). Budget preparers' perceptions and performance-based budgeting  
683 implementation: The case of Iranian public universities and research institutes. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting &*  
684 *Financial Management*, 31(1), 137-156.
- 685 3. Amin, A., Juanda, B., & Ridayati, W. (2023). The effect of fiscal independence and economic growth on reducing poverty  
686 and unemployment in Indonesia. *Economics, Business, Accounting & Society Review*, 2(2), 97-109.
- 687 4. Benito, B., Guillamon, M.D., & Bastida, F. (2015). Budget forecast deviations in municipal governments: determinants and  
688 implications. *Australian Accounting Review*, 25(1), 45-70.
- 689 5. Boukari, M., & Veiga, F. J. (2018). Disentangling political and institutional determinants of budget forecast errors: A  
690 comparative approach. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 46(4), 1030-1045.
- 691 6. Chapman, J. I. (1999). Local government autonomy and fiscal stress: The case of California countries. *State and Local*  
692 *Government Review*, 35(1), 15-25.
- 693 7. Choi, Y-S., Kim, M-O., Jung, H-R., & Cho, H. (2021). Bargaining power and budget ratcheting: Evidence from South Korean  
694 local governments, *Management Accounting Research*, 53, 100767.
- 695 8. Devlin, B. (2001). Basic budget primer: choosing the best budget for your library. *The Bottom Line, Montclair Public Library*  
696 *Montclair, New Jersey*, 2(3), 20-24.
- 697 9. Downs, A. (1957). *An economic theory of democracy*. Harper & Row. New York.
- 698 10. Drazen, A., & Eslava, M. (2010). Electoral manipulation via voter-friendly spending: Theory and evidence. *Journal of*  
699 *Development Economics*, 92(1), 39-52.
- 700 11. Dubois, E. (2016). Political business cycle 40 years after Nordhaus. *Public Choice*, 166(1), 235-259.
- 701 12. Evans, J., & Patton, J. (1987). Signaling and monitoring in public sector accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 25, 130-  
702 158.
- 703 13. Gonschorek, G. J. (2021). Subnational favoritism in development grant allocation: Empirical evidence from decentralized  
704 Indonesia. *World Development*, 139, 105299.
- 705 14. Halim. A. (2007). *Akuntansi sektor publik akuntansi keuangan daerah (Edisi 3)*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- 706 15. Hansen, D. R., & Mowen, M. M. (2009). *Akuntansi manajerial (Edisi 8)*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- 707 16. Heraldsson, M. (2022). Capital expenditure deviations in a fragmented municipal context-the case of the Swedish municipal  
708 water and sewerage sector. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 34(6), 26-45.
- 709 17. Herianti, E. (2019). Budget turbulence and budget deviation: Do local governments have the ability to reduce them?. *Jurnal*  
710 *Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 5(1), 1-20.
- 711 18. <https://djpk.kemenkeu.go.id/>
- 712 19. Huang, C. L., & Chen, M. K. (2010). Playing devious games, budget-emphasis in performance evaluation, and attitudes  
713 towards the budgetary process. *Management Decision*, 48(6), 940-951.
- 714 20. Idris, H., & Samsinar. (2022). Pengaruh pertumbuhan belanja dan kemandirian keuangan daerah terhadap PDRB selama  
715 pandemi covid-19 di Sulawesi Selatan. *Seminar Nasional Hasil Penelitian 2022. LP2M-Universitas Negeri Makasar*.
- 716 21. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency cost, and ownership structure. In:  
717 Brunner K. (eds) *Economics Social Institutions. Rochester Studies in Economics and Policy Issues*, 1, 163-231.
- 718 22. Johansson, T., & Siverbo, S. (2014). The appropriateness of tight budget control in public sector organizations facing budget  
719 turbulence. *Management Accounting Research*, 26, 271-283.
- 720 23. Mah'd, O. A. (2014). The ministry of higher education's role in private Jordanian universities and the hidden tool of the budget.  
721 *Education, Business and Society: Contemporary Middle Eastern Issues*, 7(2), 133-151.
- 722 24. Mahmudi. (2019). *Analisis laporan keuangan pemerintah daerah (Edisi 4)*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- 723 25. Mahmudi. (2020). *Manajemen keuangan pemerintah daerah*. Jakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.

- 724 26. Marundha, A. (2020). Are local governments overconfident with the effect of budget forecast errors on budget deviation?.  
725 *Jurnal Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 6(2), 179-194.
- 726 27. Ogujiuba, K. K., & Ehigiamusoe, K. (2014). Capital budget implementation in Nigeria: Evidence from the 2012 capital budget.
- 727 28. Oktaviani, M. A., & Sari, D. P. (2020). Pengaruh kemandirian daerah, kinerja pemerintah daerah, dan sistem pengendalian  
728 intern terhadap akuntabilitas pelaporan keuangan. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Pajak*, 21(1), 186–193.
- 729 29. Oliewo, M. (2015). Low absorption of funds makes nonsense of the budget trillions. *Business Daily*. retrieved from  
730 [www.businessdailyafrica.com/analysis/nonsense-of-the-budget-trillions/539548-2760040-kuxeadz/index.html](http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/analysis/nonsense-of-the-budget-trillions/539548-2760040-kuxeadz/index.html)
- 731 30. Paliova, I., & Lybek, M. T. (2014). Bulgaria's EU funds absorption: Maximizing the potential, IMF Working Paper WP/14/21.
- 732 31. Patty, J. R. (2019). Budget forecast errors and budget deviation: Financial capability index as a moderating variable. *Jurnal*  
733 *Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 5(2), 157-175.
- 734 32. Permatasari, D. A., & Trisnarningsih, S. (2022). Pengaruh kemandirian, efektivitas pendapatan asli daerah dan belanja daerah  
735 terhadap kinerja keuangan daerah. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Batanghari Jambi*, 22(3), 1573-1582.
- 736 33. Rafi, M., Ahmad, K., Naeem, S. B., & Jianming, Z. (2020). Budget harmonization and challenges: understanding the  
737 competence of professionals in the budget process for structural and policy reforms in public libraries. *Performance*  
738 *Measurement and Metrics*, 21(2), 65-79.
- 739 34. Ratmono, D., & Sholihin, M. (2017). Akuntansi keuangan daerah berbasis akrual. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- 740 35. Rakhman, F. (2019). Budget implementation in a risky environment: Evidence from Indonesia public sector. *Asian Review of*  
741 *Accounting*, 27(2), 162-176.
- 742 36. Rogoff, K. (1990). Equilibrium political budget cycles. *American Economic Review*, 80, 21-36.
- 743 37. Royda., & Riana, D. (2019). Analisis kemandirian dan kemampuan keuangan daerah serta pengaruhnya terhadap pertumbuhan  
744 ekonomi kabupaten/kota di provinsi Sumatera Selatan. *Akuntansi dan Manajemen*, 14(2), 50-64.
- 745 38. Schick, A. (2007). Performance budgeting and accrual budgeting: Decision rules or analytic tools?. *OECD Journal on*  
746 *Budgeting*, 7(2), 109-138.
- 747 39. Schick, A. (2014). The metamorphoses of performance budgeting. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, 13(2), 49-79.
- 748 40. Sheehan, T. N. (2010). A risk-based approach to strategy execution. *The Journal of Business Strategy*, 31(5), 25-37.
- 749 41. Siregar, B. (2017). Akuntansi sektor publik: Akuntansi keuangan pemerintah daerah berbasis akrual (Edisi 2). Yogyakarta:  
750 UPP STIM YKPN.
- 751 42. Suhartini. (2019). Mekanisme pertanggung jawaban pengelolaan anggaran pendapatan dan belanja daerah dalam perspektif  
752 hukum keuangan negara. *Jurnal de Jure*, 11(2), 1-16.
- 753 43. Surya, B., Salim, A., Saleh, H., Abubakar, H., Suriani, S., Sose, A. T., & Kessi, A. M. P. (2021). Economic growth model and  
754 renewable energy utilization: perspective of natural resources management and sustainable development of the Gowa Regency  
755 South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 11(6), 68-90.
- 756 44. Syamsuddin. (2017). The government whistleblowers in generating audit quality: a survey on provincial audit biards in South,  
757 Central, and West Sulawesi, Indonesia. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 59(6). 1046-1058.
- 758 45. Tehupuring, R. (2021). Mapping of budget stress in Indonesia: Consequence on budget implementation. *Jurnal Tata Kelola*  
759 *dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 7(1), 39-57.
- 760 46. Ulum, A. S., Rohman, A., Harto, P., Ratmono, D., & Ghozali, I. (2019). The role of natural resources on moderating the  
761 relationship between fiscal decentralization and corruption: Evidence from Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy*  
762 *Economics and Policy*, 9(1), 67-75.
- 763 47. Veiga, L. G., & Veiga, F. J. (2007). Political business cycles at the municipal level. *Public Choice*, 131(1-2), 45-64.
- 764 48. Wahyuningsih, T., Bugis, M., & Bin-Tahir, S. Z. (2019). nalysis of the inequality on inter-regional and inter-time income  
765 distribution in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 5(1), 1-8.
- 766



**Enpress Publish LLC**  
5 June 2024 . Money Sent

**-1.284,00 \$**

### Paid with

Your Bank  
(VISA Credit Card  
x-7076)  
You'll see "PAYPAL  
\*ENPRESSPUBL" on  
your card  
statement.

### Ship to

Haryanto Haryanto  
STIE Mulia Singkawang  
Singkawang 79123 KALIMANTAN  
BARAT  
Indonesia

### Contact info

1.284,00 \$ **Message Enpress Publish LLC**

### Note

APC Manuscript JIPD-6355

### Details

Sent to Enpress Publish LLC	1.284,00 \$
--------------------------------	-------------

<b>Total</b>	<b>1.284,00 \$</b>
--------------	--------------------

### Received your order?

You can confirm the receipt of  
this order 48 hours after your  
payment.

### Transaction ID

55P97265TE9349812

### Need help?

If there's a problem, make sure to contact the seller through PayPal by **2 December 2024**. You may be **eligible for purchase protection**



# Formatted Manuscript for JIPD.docx

*por 1 1*

---

**Fecha de entrega:** 12-may-2024 01:02a.m. (UTC-0700)

**Identificador de la entrega:** 2372024925

**Nombre del archivo:** Formatted\_Manuscript\_for\_JIPD.docx (109.3K)

**Total de palabras:** 9200

**Total de caracteres:** 57421

Article Type

# An Accounting Review of Regional and Central Budget Dynamic in Indonesia

Eva Herianti<sup>1\*</sup>, Amor Marundha<sup>2</sup>, Haryanto Haryanto<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia<sup>2</sup> Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Indonesia<sup>3</sup> Department of Accounting, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Julia Singkawang, Indonesia\* Corresponding author: Eva Herianti, [eva.herianti@umj.ac.id](mailto:eva.herianti@umj.ac.id)

## CITATION

Author Name. (2024). Article title.  
Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and  
Development. 8(1): X.  
<https://doi.org/10.24294/xxxx>

## ARTICLE INFO

Received: Date Month Year  
Accepted: Date Month Year  
Available online: Date Month Year

## COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2023 by author(s).  
Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and  
Development is published by EnPress  
Publisher, LLC. This work is licensed  
under the Creative Commons  
Attribution (CC BY) license.  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

**Abstract:** The inadequacy of local government budgets is a pressing concern for various stakeholders. This research aims to assess the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation while also considering the deviation in capital expenditure as a moderating factor. The research sample included 34 provincial governments in Indonesia between 2019 and 2022. The research employed panel data regression to test the hypotheses and continued with the Chow, Levene range multiplier, and Hausman tests. The study results indicate that bargaining power has a positive and significant effect on budget implementation, with the deviation in capital expenditure not diminishing its impact. The research's practical implication is that regional governments must effectively manage their revenues to finance regional spending needs through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. The study contributes to signaling theory by highlighting that regional governments can finance regional spending needs through fiscal independence and society's involvement. It also contributes to agency theory by demonstrating that capital expenditure deviation in the form of information asymmetry in regional governments does not reduce their ability to finance regional expenditure needs. Nonetheless, the study suggests that the proxies used in this research are limited, and further exploration of other proxies to measure tested variables.

**Keywords:** agency theory; bargaining power; budget implementation; capital expenditure deviation; signaling theory

## 1. Introduction

A budget comprises income, expenses, transfers, and financing for a specific period. Typically measured in rupiah units, it serves as a crucial tool for governments to guide their actions and ensure optimal utilization of resources (Siregar, 2017). Budgets are often utilized as administrative control systems to foster creativity, behavior, government autonomy, and the implementation of innovative ideas (Rafi, 2020). Additionally, Rafi (2020) explains that budgets facilitate administrative activities, such as planning income sources, preventing overspending, and coordinating organizational tasks. Mah (2014) further elucidates that budgets cover all management aspects to control costs and enhance management performance.

The implementation of a budget is a critical process that involves two crucial aspects: income budget and expenditure budget. The focus of this study is on budget implementation and, specifically, the implementation of the expenditure budget. This research emphasizes the importance of budget implementation, which refers to the successful execution of expenditure towards the regional expenditure budget. A proficient implementation of the budget is a crucial indicator for the regional

government, as it ensures the effective utilization of funds towards the development of the region. According to Rakhman (2019), local governments' high budget implementation indicates optimal fiscal policy implementation and efforts to meet community needs. Conversely, if community needs are unmet, it indicates a failure to implement fiscal policy.

In recent decades, budgets have gained popularity among academics worldwide as a tool to maintain functionality and achieve organizational goals. Huang and Chen (2010) suggest that budgets are a management tool for measuring progress and motivating employees in many organizations, including public sector organizations. Evaluating performance based on regional budgets can help improve regional governments' performance in managing budgets to enhance community welfare, as explained by Devlin (2001).

Budget implementation remains a crucial concern for various stakeholders, including the government, as it significantly impacts economic activities (Oliwo, 2015; Paliova & Lybek, 2014). According to data, the average value of regional budget implementation for all provincial governments in Indonesia was 30.84% from 2019 to 2022. Table 1 below presents budget implementation data for the last four years.

**Table 1.** Budget Implementation Between 2019-2022.

Years	Budget Implementation (%)
2019	31,61
2020	26,93
2021	30,52
2022	34,32
2019-2022	30,84

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023.

Table 1 displays the mean value of budget implementation between 2019 and 2022. The data indicates that the budget implementation rate has not exceeded 35% over the past four years. 2020 had the lowest implementation rate at 26.93%, while 2022 had the highest at 34.32%. These findings suggest that the average budget implementation level for all regional governments in Indonesia is suboptimal. The low implementation rate may link to the risks that regional governments face when they fail to adhere to budget regulations. Sheehan (2010) posits that regional governments encounter corruption demands and project failures during budget implementation, and they tend to mitigate these risks by implementing the budget suboptimally, especially if they receive low compensation. This inadequate implementation by regional governments could hinder regional development and impact the regional economy. Therefore, this study's significance lies in identifying the predictors of suboptimal budget implementation.

The region's lack of optimal budget implementation can be attributed to its low bargaining power, as Choi et al. (2021) explained. The region's independence often determines the level of bargaining power, as Oktaviani and Sari (2020) noted. A region is considered independent when its original regional income in regional development exceeds the transfer funds. According to Surya et al. (2021), increasing

regional income can encourage fiscal independence; therefore, a region must follow applicable regulations, maintain economic potential, and increase its original regional income, as Amin et al. (2023) explained.

Amin et al. (2023) also, regions with higher fiscal independence experienced more significant economic growth than those without it. This fact indicates that regions with more financial resources can fund their needs more effectively. Regional governments can manage their finances better through fiscal decentralization when the central government delegates authority. This strategy aims to promote economic equity between the central and regional governments, encourage growth in region economies, and address interregional disparities (Ulum et al., 2019; Wahyuningsih et al., 2019), (Gonschorek et al., 2021), (Lewis et al., 2020).

The level of bargaining power that a region possesses indicates its innovation and creativity in maximizing its potential to enhance the welfare of its community. An independent and prosperous society reflects a region's capability to meet its people's needs. In order to optimize regional potential, regional governments must map out the potential and sources of income within the region. Mahmudi (2020) suggests that a region can be categorized into four significant parts based on their ownership and management capacity of regional potential: (a) regions with high potential and ability to manage, (b) regions with high potential but low management capacity, (c) regions with low potential but high management capacity, and (d) regions with low potential and low management capacity.

Regional governments must maintain their regional sources of income to ensure financial sustainability for regions with high potential and the ability to manage their regional potential. Promotion and expansion strategies must be implemented. For regions with high potential but low management capacity, regional governments should focus on intensification, partnerships, and increasing human resource capacity. Extensification and expansion strategies can be beneficial for regions that may have lower potential and management capabilities. These strategies can help unlock untapped potential and create new opportunities for growth and development. Lastly, education, innovation, and partnerships are required for regions with low potential and low management capabilities.

Research regarding the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation remains limited. Prior studies relevant to this research include those conducted by Amin et al. (2023) and Royda and Riana (2019), examining the effect of fiscal independence on economic growth. The findings suggest that fiscal independence positively and significantly influences economic growth. In another study, Permatasari and Trisnarningsih (2022) investigate the effect of fiscal independence on regional financial performance and report that it has a positive and significant impact. However, Idris and Samsinar (2022) demonstrate that fiscal independence does not significantly affect economic growth.

To determine the potential for local original income, local governments must understand how to calculate it. Mahmudi (2020) explains that the potential regional original income can be calculated using macro and micro approaches. A comprehensive approach to enhancing regional financial independence involves using econometric regression models to estimate macroeconomic variables as proxies. Furthermore, conducting thorough data collection, surveys, and observations of tax



objects and subjects helps to determine the existing income potential through a micro approach. The ultimate objective of these two approaches is to promote community welfare by bolstering regional financial autonomy.

According to Tehupuring's (2021), research shows that regions with high resource potential benefit greatly from delegating authority from the central to regional governments. A region would become independent if the regional income generated from these resources is managed efficiently. However, not all information regarding regional resources is made public, as this can signal good government performance. Heraldsson (2022) explains that deviations in capital expenditure can occur when governments wish to demonstrate competence in providing public goods and financial control.

According to a study by Herianti (2019), budget deviations can indicate a regional government's inability to manage its budget, which may lead to budget surpluses or deficits. This fact can result from ineffective budgeting processes and a lack of discipline in budget realization. Additionally, budget deviations can create information asymmetry, where local governments possess more information than the general public, providing an advantage for the former. This surplus showcases the government's lack of preparation and discipline in managing the budget (Johansson & Siverbo, 2014). Herianti (2019) Further, budget deviation represents a form of information asymmetry, where local governments possess more information regarding budget management compared to the community, which only has access to financial reports issued by regional governments. As such, agents with more information benefit from this information asymmetry.

The topic of bargaining power and its impact on budget implementation has been a subject of interest among researchers for quite some time now. However, despite numerous studies, there remains a gap in understanding the role of bargaining power when a deviation in capital expenditure is involved. Hence, this study aims to bridge this gap by providing empirical evidence on the connection between bargaining power and budget implementation while considering the impact of capital expenditure deviations. By exploring this subject in greater detail, the findings from this study can shed new light on this crucial area of research and contribute to our overall understanding of how bargaining power influences budget implementation.

## **1.1. Theoretical framework and hypothesis development**

### **1.1.1. Signalling Theory**

Michael Spence introduced the theory of signaling in 1973, which proposes that sellers use signals to communicate information to buyers, aiding them in assessing the quality of the products sold. Initially developed in the job market, the theory of signaling suggests that job seekers use educational credentials as signals to convey their competence to potential employers. Since then, the theory has been applied to other contexts, including product marketing, where companies use signals like branding, packaging, and advertising to communicate the quality of their products to consumers. The theory of signaling has had a significant impact on our comprehension of how information is conveyed and how it influences decision-making in markets.

Signal theory underscores that local governments can foster public trust by

providing affirmative signals regarding their performance. If the regional government fails to send positive signals, the public will consider it to have neglected its duties and functions, negatively impacting its governance period. Consequently, a local administration with a good reputation, reflected in its performance accomplishments, will transmit a positive signal to the community.

### **1.1.2. Agency Theory**

The theory of agency sheds light on the intricate relationship between the principal and the agent. Halim (2007) expounds that in the context of public sector organizations, the government serves as the agent, while the Regional People's Representative Council (DPRD) represents the community as the principal. The principal is vested with the authority to delegate power to their agent to act in their best interest. However, the agent may not always operate to the fullest extent of the principal's interests, leading to a conflict of interest. It is imperative to recognize that the agent is expected to act in the principal's best interest, and any deviation from this standard of conduct can result in a dispute of interest. Therefore, it is necessary to maintain a constant vigilance of the agent's practices to ensure compliance with the principal's interests. Syamsuddin (2017) explains that this arises due to the agent's information advantage over the principal and conflicting interests. Within public sector organizations, budgeting is a political tool for the government to achieve its goals, and performance measurement and incentive systems are essential components of agency theory, as Lambert (2006) noted.

According to Dubois (2016), the government uses the budgeting process to increase public expectations about its ability to improve community welfare. Budget planning and implementation deviations in public sector organizations often stem from information asymmetry between the agent and principal. Such asymmetry arises because agents possess superior knowledge of the organization's resources, which they may leverage to advance their own interests. As a result, the principal may not have a complete understanding of the agent's motives and actions, leading to deviations from the budget plan. To address this problem, it is important to establish effective communication channels between the agent and principal, and to ensure that the principal has access to all relevant information about the organization's resources. By doing so, the principal can make informed decisions and hold the agent accountable for any deviations from the budget plan.

### **1.1.3. Budget Implementation**

According to Ogujiuba and Ehigiamusoe (2014), the government's 1st critical economic policy instrument is the budget. The budget document reflects the government's priorities pertaining to social and economic policies compared to other official records. It manifests the government's policies, the regional head's campaign promises, and the head's political commitment toward successfully implementing the regional budget. When a budget is implemented effectively, it can significantly impact a region's economic growth. The main purpose of implementing a budget is to address the collective social needs of society. This can lead to faster economic growth, increased employment opportunities, and reduced poverty and income inequality between communities.

Siregar (2017) explains that the budget has several essential functions:

authorization, planning, supervision, allocation, distribution, and stabilization. The budget serves as a crucial authorization for implementing income and expenditure for the year. It also functions as a planning guide for management in planning activities throughout the year. In addition, the budget acts as a means of supervision to assess regional government administrative activities. Its allocation function aims to create jobs, reduce resource wastage, and increase economic efficiency. Furthermore, the budget has a distribution function that prioritizes justice and propriety, while its stabilization function aims to maintain a fundamental balance in the regional economy.

Schick (2007) described how the budget is formulated, which refers to output per unit and is also performance-based. As a result, public sector organizations adopt budget-based performance systems to evaluate government performance. Aliabadi et al. (2019) highlight that performance-based budgeting concentrates on the link between increased budgeted spending and output. Schick (2007) also asserts that performance-based budgeting is desirable for public sector organizations. Nonetheless, implementing performance-based budgeting is a challenge for governments. There are two main reasons why performance-based budgeting is not always achievable. Firstly, implementing performance-based budgeting requires information on the output of the budget, which can be an obstacle for countries without performance measures and accrual systems. Performance-based budgeting is a desirable budgeting practice in public sector organizations. However, it is hard for governments to execute performance-based budgeting practices. There are two important reasons for not achieving performance-based budgeting in its implementation. The first is that implementing performance-based budgeting requires information on the output of the budget. Reliable performance measures and efficient accrual systems are crucial for countries' progress. The lack of such systems can pose challenges and hinder their development (Schick, 2007). Furthermore, in nations where performance-based budgets are employed, it is crucial to consider mandatory provisions and adjustments when creating these budgets, which can require considerable time and effort (Schick, 2014).

Mahmudi (2020) explained that using a performance-based budget system in local governments has led to significant changes in budget planning, filling, and reporting. Additionally, Mahmudi (2020) highlights that changes in the budget structure impact the budget paradigm, budget account code, and recording procedures. For instance, the classification of expenditures has undergone substantial changes from the old system. Consequently, performance-based budgeting recognizes financing items that were previously unknown in traditional budgets. It's vital to note that performance-based budgeting isn't solely input-oriented. It's also based on budget outputs and outcomes, implying that the budget is linked to performance targets that will be met to measure its success.

Budget implementation requires regional governments to display the expenses incurred from the allocated budget (Rakhman, 2019). Mahmudi (2019) explains that the budget implementation process involves a regional government accounting system critical for successful implementation. Even if budget planning is done well, the desired outcomes may not be achieved without an adequate accounting system during implementation. This highlights the crucial role of the regional government accounting system in implementing the budget, as a suboptimal system can lead to fraud or misuse

of regional funds.

Siregar (2017) explains that the expenditure budget implementation involves various parties, documents, and processes. Various parties are involved in managing the regional government's budget, including the budget team, regional work units, officials from the regional financial management department, expenditure treasurers, financial administration officials, budget users, authorized budget users, regional general treasurers, individuals with general treasurer powers, and regional and regional secretaries. The documents used for implementing the expenditure budget include budget implementation documents, cash budgets, letters of provision of funds, letters of request for payment, letters of payment orders, and orders of disbursement of funds. Implementing the expenditure budget includes <sup>11</sup> comparing budget implementation documents and cash budgets, issuing letters of the provision of funds, submitting payment request letters, issuing payment orders, issuing orders for the disbursement of funds, spending funds, and issuing accountability reports.

#### **1.1.4. Bargaining Power**

According to Choi et al. (2021), a region's bargaining power is reflected in its level of financial independence. A region is considered to have bargaining power if it is self-sufficient financially. Oktaviani and Sari (2020) elaborate on this concept, stating that a region can achieve financial independence if its original income outweighs the use of transfer funds by the regional government in local development. Regional governments must thoroughly analyze their local potential to increase their original income. Mahmudi (2019) further elaborates on potential regional income analysis, highlighting the importance of categorizing local levy regional taxes as potential, prime, developing, or underdeveloped. Once this categorization is complete, regional governments can implement appropriate policies for each category, such as intensification or extensification for potential and developing categories, intensification for prime categories, and review or removal for underdeveloped categories. Err Prep <sup>ERS</sup>

According to Amin et al. (2023), regions with higher local revenue levels, indicating greater fiscal independence, tend to experience higher economic growth than regions with less independence. When a region has high fiscal independence, it can finance its own spending needs, which optimizes the management of its potential and supports the welfare of its community. Mahmudi (2020) Further, the author elaborates on potential, explaining that it exists but requires significant effort to obtain and exploit. Exploration and exploitation efforts are necessary to fully utilize natural resources. Similarly, it is essential to identify taxable objects to maximize tax potential. Therefore, evaluating the scope of regional income potential is crucial for preserving and utilizing regional potential to its fullest.

#### **1.1.5. Capital Expenditure Deviation** <sup>16</sup>

According to Benito et al. (2015), past research on budget deviation focused on the correlation between political ambition and demonstrating government competency. This highlights the importance of local governments efficiently utilizing resources to enhance community well-being. Drawing from agency theory, governments act strategically and opportunistically to pursue personal interests to secure re-election and maintain their positions (Downs, 1957). Veiga and Veiga (2007)

suggest that governments can benefit from signaling their competence to the public in information asymmetry. Drazen and Eslava (2010) elaborate on how governments can opportunistically allocate funds to meet their interests in capital expenditure budgets. Additionally, Drazen and Eslava (2010) and Rogoff (1990) explain that Governments can use the budgeted investment expenditure or capital expenditure budget implementation as political signals to demonstrate their ability to provide public goods and services at the regional level.

According to Patty (2019), budget deviation arises when there are shortcomings in the regulatory aspects of budget planning, implementation, supervision, and accountability, leading to a budget surplus or deficit. Additionally, Heraldsson (2022) This explanation states that capital expenditure deviation occurs when there is a difference between realized capital expenditure and budgeted capital expenditure. Such deviation reflects the variance between the government's actual and planned capital expenditures. Herianti (2019) further elaborates that budget deviation indicates a regional government's inability to control its budget, leading to a potential budget surplus or deficit. A budget surplus or deficit shows that the budgeting process was not efficient and effective. In comparison, Johansson and Siverbo (2014) explain that a surplus indicates a lack of discipline and ability on the government's part to prepare and realize the budget.

#### **1.1.6. Bargaining Power and Budget Implementation**

The government budget is a critical policy instrument that reflects its priorities concerning social and economic policies compared to other documents (Ogujiuba & Ehigiamusoe, 2014). According to Ratmono and Sholihin (2017), the budget is a statement of public policy, fiscal targets, and a control tool, indicating a formal agreement between the government and legislature on spending. Budgets are a crucial component of accounting and financial reporting. They reflect public policy, articulating fiscal targets for spending, income, and financing. Budgets also provide a legal foundation for control and evaluation of government performance, and they are reported in the government's financial statements as evidence of accountability to the public. As a result, budgets are a fundamental tool for governmental entities to effectively manage their finances, demonstrate transparency and accountability, and ensure that their expenditures align with their policy objectives. The significance of budgets in the public sector cannot be overstated, as they form the cornerstone of financial management and governance and serve as a critical means for governments to fulfill their obligations to their constituents.

Siregar (2017) explains that the budget implementation process goes through eight stages, including preparing budget implementation documents, cash budgets, and accountability reports. These reports are among the regional governments' concrete efforts to ensure transparency and accountability in financial management that fulfills timely principles and is prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards (Suhartini, 2019). Furthermore, if the examination of the regional budget accountability report reveals losses, the regional treasurer, as the recipient of a power of attorney for regional financial management, will compensate for the losses suffered by the region, charged according to the procedure for returning state losses in compliance with the regulations of the Financial Audit Agency.

According to Evans and Patton (1987), the government utilizes signal theory to increase public trust by providing information signals to the public. Regional government financial reports are one way of providing these signals as they demonstrate the performance of regional governments. In order to support government performance, a region's bargaining power is necessary. As explained by Choi et al. (2021), regional independence is a representation of bargaining power. A region is considered independent if its original regional income for regional development is higher than the use of transfer funds (Oktaviani & Sari, 2020). This suggests that successful implementation of fiscal decentralization leads to higher original regional income.

Furthermore, Amin et al. (2023) argue that regions with higher fiscal independence experience higher economic growth compared to those that do not have this independence. Therefore, local governments must manage their resources effectively and efficiently to optimize local revenue. The more fiscally independent a region is, the more capable it is of financing its needs. This positive impact of high fiscal independence translates into higher economic growth (Gonschorek et al., 2021) and reduced inequality between regions (Lewis et al., 2020). Based on this description, we can formulate the following hypothesis:

H1: Bargaining power has a positive effect on budget implementation.

#### 1.1.7. Bargaining Power, Budget Implementation, and Capital Expenditure Deviation

Agency theory is a theoretical framework that explains the interactions between agents and principals within an organization. Within the context of regional government, the agent is typically represented by the regional government, while the principal is represented by the DPRD, which acts on behalf of the community. The principal grants authority to the agent to manage regional assets, with the ultimate aim of creating prosperity (Halim, 2007). This framework is essential for understanding the dynamics of regional government and the relationships between key stakeholders. By understanding the role of the agent and principal, it becomes possible to design effective governance structures that promote accountability, transparency, and good governance. Through effective governance, it is possible to create an environment that supports economic growth, social development, and sustainable development. However, because the agent possesses more information than the principal, their actions may not always align with the principal's interests. This information asymmetry can lead to conflicts of interest that ultimately have a negative impact on budget estimates and result in discrepancies in budget allocation (Marundha, 2020).

The budget is a crucial metric for measuring a company's performance and concerns various stakeholders. Hansen and Mowen (2009) highlight four key benefits of budgets: (a) compelling executives to plan their budgets, (b) providing valuable data to enhance decision-making, (c) setting performance evaluation criteria, and (d) facilitating communication and coordination. Regions need to possess bargaining power to ensure successful budget implementation. This can be achieved by demonstrating a high level of original regional income. A substantial regional income can finance regional requirements, leading to the overall betterment of the community. Unfortunately, if the budget managed by the regional government deviates, the

bargaining power cannot be established. Deviations in capital expenditure can negatively impact the effectiveness of bargaining power in achieving budget implementation. As a result, this study puts forth the following hypothesis:

H2: Capital expenditure deviation reduces the influence of bargaining power on budget implementation.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Sample and Data Collection

The scope of this research encompasses the provincial governments of Indonesia within the timeframe of 2019-2022, resulting in a total of 134 observation samples. The data utilized in this study was obtained from credible government sources, namely the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance (DJPK) operating under the Ministry of Finance. This information is conveniently accessible to the public via the official government website, [www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id](http://www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id). Purposive sampling was employed with the following criteria to determine the research sample:

**Table 2.** Sample selection process.

Criteria	Sample
Provincial governments registered with the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance during 2019-2022.	34
Number of sample observations (34 x 4)	136
Incomplete provincial government data	(2)
Number of final sample observations	134

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 2 shows 34 provinces in Indonesia. A comprehensive research study was undertaken over a period of four years, spanning from 2019 to 2022, with a specific focus on 34 provinces. After collecting data, the sample size for the study was determined to be 136. However, two sample observations were incomplete and were subsequently removed from the study. These particular observations were from Maluku Province and Sulawesi Tenggara Province in 2021. The study concluded with a total of 134 observations being included in the final analysis.

### 2.2. Measurement Methods

This study examined four variables: dependent, independent, moderating, and control. The dependent variable was budget implementation, while the independent variable was bargaining power. Capital expenditure deviation served as the moderating variable, and budget stress was the control variable. The study's operational definitions and measurements for these four variables have been outlined below:

#### a. Budget Implementation

Rakhman (2019) explained that budget implementation is a condition of the regional government that shows the amount of actual expenditure on the total regional budget. This study adapts budget implementation measurements from Rakhman

(2019) as follows:

$$\text{Budget implementation} = \frac{\text{Actual expenditure}}{\text{Budget expenditure}} \times 100\%$$

b. Bargaining Power

Choi et al. (2021) explain that bargaining power is represented through regional financial independence. The formula for measuring bargaining power is as follows:

$$\text{Bargaining power} = \frac{\text{Budget of Original Local Government Revenue}}{\text{Ort (ETS) Budget Revenue}} \times 100\%$$

c. Capital Expenditure Deviation

Patty (2019) explains that budget deviation occurs when there are regulatory failures in the budget's planning, implementation, supervision, and accountability, resulting in a budget surplus or deficit. This study adapts the capital expenditure deviation measurement from Heraldsson (2022) as follows:

$$\text{Capital expenditure deviation} = \frac{\text{Actual of Capital Expenditure} - \text{Budget of Capital Expenditure}}{\text{Budget of Capital Expenditure}} \times 100\%$$

d. Budget Stress

Chapman (1999) explained that budget stress is a regional fiscal condition when regional income is low, and regional expenditure is increasing. This study adapts the budget stress measurement from Boukari and Veiga (2018) as follows:

$$\text{Budget Stress} = \frac{\text{Budget Revenue} - \text{Budget Expenditure}}{\text{Budget Revenue}} \times 100\%$$

This research utilized a panel data regression methodology to scrutinize a hypothesis. The outcomes of this methodology indicated the necessity for paired examinations, comprising Chow, Lagrange multiplier, and Hausman tests. The Chow test was executed to establish the appropriate panel data regression model between a common and fixed effects. If the significance value is less than 0.05, it signifies that the fixed effect model is superior to the common effect model. The Lagrange multiplier test was then conducted to determine the appropriate panel data regression model between the common and random effects. If the significance value is less than 0.05, it suggests that the random effect model is a better fit than the common effect model. Finally, the Hausman test was performed to ascertain the appropriate panel data regression model between the fixed and random effects. If the significance value is less than 0.05, it indicates that the fixed effect model is a better fit than the random effect model. It is worth noting that testing classical assumptions is not necessary if the resulting model is a random effect, as the random effects model is a generalized least-squares method (Algifari, 2021).

### 3. Results

This research examines how bargaining power influences budget implementation, moderated by capital expenditure deviation. Descriptive statistical values were utilized to determine variable profiles:

**Table 3.** Budget Stress Description

Variables	Obs.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std.dev
Budget Implementation	134	2,59	61,63	30,84	7,55



Bargaining Power	134	5,20	73,20	38,44	16,42
Capital Expenditure Deviation	134	-98,79	-34,84	-86,00	11,72

2 Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 3 displays the descriptive statistics of the budget stress description. The budget implementation variable had a minimum value of 2.59%, which was the implementation budget owned by North Kalimantan Province in 2021. The maximum budget implementation value of 61.63% was owned by Bengkulu Province in 2022. The mean budget implementation value for this study was 30.84%. Provinces with budget implementation values below and above the mean value of 30.84% are presented in Table 4. The standard deviation of budget implementation was 7.55%, indicating that the average percentage of the budget realized is only 30.84% for provincial governments in Indonesia.

The study also revealed that the bargaining power variable had a minimum value of 5.20%, representing West Papua Province's bargaining power in 2019. The maximum bargaining power value of 73.20% was owned by Banten Province in 2022. The mean bargaining power in this study was 38.44%. Provinces with bargaining power values below and above the mean value of 38.44% are shown in Table 5. The standard deviation of bargaining power was 16.42%, indicating that the average percentage of regional strength in fulfilling regional interests was 38.44%.

The capital expenditure deviation variable for Banten Province in 2020 had a minimum value of -98.79%. Meanwhile, the maximum deviation value of -34.84% was observed in North Sulawesi Province in 2021. The study also found a mean capital expenditure deviation of -86%. Table 6 clearly represents the bargaining power values of the provinces that fall below and above the mean value. The standard deviation for capital expenditure was found to be 11.72%, indicating that the average budget variance among provincial governments in Indonesia is -86%.

Table 4. Budget Implementation Category Map Based on Mean Value

Budget Implementation < mean value 30,84%		Budget Implementation > mean value 30,84%	
Province	Year	Province	Year
Aceh	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Sumatra	2020	North Sumatra	2019,2021,2022
West Sumatra	2022	West Sumatra	2019,2020,2021
Riau	2020,2022	Riau	2019,2021
Jambi	2019,2020,2021,2022		
South Sumatra	2020,2022	South Sumatra	2019,2020,2021
Bengkulu	2019,2020	Bengkulu	2021,2022
Lampung	2020	Lampung	2019,2021,2022
DKI Jakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Java	2020,2021	West Java	2019,2022

Budget Implementation		Budget Implementation			
< mean value 30,84%		> mean value 30,84%			
7	Central Java	2020	4	Central Java	2019,2021,2022
	DI Yogyakarta	2020		DI Yogyakarta	2019,2021,2022
	East Java	2020,2021		East Java	2019,2022
	West Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021		West Kalimantan	2022
	Central Kalimantan	2020		Central Kalimantan	2019,2021,2022
				South Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022
	East Kalimantan	2019,2020,2022		East Kalimantan	2021
	North Sulawesi	2020,2021		North Sulawesi	2019,2022
	Central Sulawesi	2020		Central Sulawesi	2019,2021,2022
	South Sulawesi	2021		South Sulawesi	2019,2020,2022
	Southeast Sulawesi	2019,2020,2022		Southeast Sulawesi	2021
	Bali	2019,2021		Bali	2020,2022
	West Nusa Tenggara	2020		West Nusa Tenggara	2019,2021,2022
	East Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021		East Nusa Tenggara	2022
	Maluku	2020		Maluku	2019,2021,2022
	Papua	2019,2020,2021		Papua	2022
	North Maluku	2020		North Maluku	2019,2021,2022
				Banten	2019,2020,2021,2022
	Bangka Belitung	2021		Bangka Belitung	2019,2020,2022
				Gorontalo	2019,2020,2021,2022
				Kepulauan Riau	2019,2020,2021,2022
	West Papua	2019,2021,2022		West Papua	2020
	West Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021		West Sulawesi	2022
	North Kalimantan	2019,2022		North Kalimantan	2020,2021

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 4 displays a budget implementation map that is based on the mean value of all provincial governments in Indonesia from 2019-2022. This data reveals that some provinces have budget implementations below the mean value while others have implementations above it. For instance, Aceh, Jambi, and DKI Jakarta have budget implementations below the mean during 2019-2022. The Aceh provincial government needs to take note of this and work towards increasing budget absorption to enhance the quality of community welfare. Conversely, South Kalimantan, Banten, Gorontalo, and the Riau Islands have budget implementations above the mean during 2019-2022. This outcome demonstrates that the regional government of these provinces has effectively used the budgets to uplift the community's welfare.

Table 5. Bargaining Power Category Map Based on Mean Value

Bargaining Power < mean value 38,44%		Bargaining Power > mean value 38,44%	
Province	Year	Province	Year
Aceh	2019,2020,2021,2022	6	
North Sumatra	2020	North Sumatra	2019,2021,2022
West Sumatra	2019,2020,2021	West Sumatra	2022
		Riau	2019,2020,2021,2022
Jambi	2019,2020,2021	Jambi	2022
South Sumatra	2019	South Sumatra	2020,2021,2022
Bengkulu	2019,2020,2021,2022	Lampung	2019,2020,2021,2022
		DKI Jakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022
		West Java	2019,2020,2021,2022
		Central Java	2019,2020,2021,2022
DI Yogyakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022	East Java	2019,2020,2021,2022
West Kalimantan	2019	West Kalimantan	2020,2021,2022
Central Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021	Central Kalimantan	2022
		Kalimantan	
		South Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022
		East Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022
North Sulawesi	2019,2021	North Sulawesi	2020,2022
Central Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022	South Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022
Southeast Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022	Bali	2019,2020,2021,2022
West Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021	West Nusa Tenggara	2022
East Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Maluku	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Papua	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Maluku	2019,2020,2021,2022	Banten	2019,2020,2021,2022
Bangka Belitung	2019,2021	Bangka Belitung	2020,2022
Gorontalo	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Kepulauan Riau	2019,2020,2021	Kepulauan Riau	2022
West Papua	2019,2020,2021,2022		

Bargaining Power < mean value 38,44%		Bargaining Power > mean value 38,44%	
West Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022		

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

A map of bargaining power categories within the Indonesian provincial governments from 2019-2022 is presented in Table 5. The data reveals that some provinces have a bargaining power above the mean value while others fall below it. Notably, Aceh, Bengkulu, DI Yogyakarta, Central Sulawesi, Southeast Sulawesi, East Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, Papua, North Maluku, Gorontalo, West Papua, West Sulawesi, and North Kalimantan fall below the mean during this period. The governments of these 13 provinces need to address this issue by utilizing the potential of local original income to enhance the welfare of the communities. Conversely, Riau, Lampung, DKI Jakarta, West Java, Central Java, East Java, South Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, South Sulawesi, Bali, and Banten demonstrate a bargaining power above the mean during the same period. This highlights the ability of the regional government to manage local original income to meet community needs.

**Table 6.** Capital Expenditure Deviation Category Map Based on Mean Value

Capital Expenditure Deviation < mean value -86%		Capital Expenditure Deviation > mean value -86%	
Province	Year	Province	Year
Aceh	2019,2020,2021	Aceh	2022
North Sumatra	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Sumatra	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Riau	2019,2020,2021	Riau	2022
Jambi	2019,2020,2021	Jambi	2022
South Sumatra	2019,2020,2022	South Sumatra	2021
Bengkulu	2019,2020,2021,2022		
Lampung	2020,2021,2022	Lampung	2019
DKI Jakarta	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Java	2019,2020	West Java	2021,2022
Central Java	2020,2021	Central Java	2019,2022
DI Yogyakarta	2020	DI Yogyakarta	2019,2021,2022
East Java	2020,2021,2022	East Java	2019
West Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022		
		Central Kalimantan	2010,2020,2021,2022

Capital Expenditure Deviation		Capital Expenditure Deviation	
< mean value -86%		> mean value -86%	
South Kalimantan	2020	South Kalimantan	2019,2021,2022
East Kalimantan	2019,2020,2021,2022		
North Sulawesi	2019,2020	North Sulawesi	2021,2022
Central Sulawesi	2021,2022	Central Sulawesi	2019,2020
South Sulawesi	2019,2020,2022	South Sulawesi	2021
Southeast Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021	Southeast Sulawesi	2022
Bali	2019,2021	Bali	2020,2022
West Nusa Tenggara	2019,2020,2021	West Nusa Tenggara	2022
East Nusa Tenggara	2019,2021	East Nusa Tenggara	2020,2022
Maluku	2020,2021,2022	Maluku	2019
Papua	2019,2020,2021	Papua	2022
North Maluku	2020	North Maluku	2019,2021,2022
Provinsi Banten	2019,2020,2021	Banten	2022
Bangka Belitung	2021,2022	Bangka Belitung	2019,2020
		Gorontalo	2019,2020,2021,2022
Kepulauan Riau	2021,2022	Kepulauan Riau	2019,2020
West Papua	2019,2020,2021,2022		
West Sulawesi	2019,2020,2021	West Sulawesi	2022
North Kalimantan	2019,2021	North Kalimantan	2020,2022

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

Table 6 displays a map of capital expenditure deviation categories, which are categorized based on the mean value of all provincial governments in Indonesia from 2019 to 2022. The data reveals that some provinces fall below and above the mean value. For example, North Sumatra, West Sumatra, Bengkulu, DKI Jakarta, West Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, and West Papua are among the provinces that have capital expenditure deviations below the mean during 2019-2022. This highlights the ability of these regional governments to manage budget variances effectively, resulting in a budget variance value that is below the average for all provinces in Indonesia during the aforementioned period. However, in contrast to these seven provinces, the Central Kalimantan and Gorontalo Provinces had capital expenditure deviations above the mean during 2019-2022. This indicates that these two provinces experienced budget variances and, therefore, require an optimal strategy to reduce these variances.

Paired tests were performed to determine the most suitable model for testing the hypotheses, and the results of the paired tests are as follows:

**Table 7.** Paired Test Results Determination of Panel Data Regression Model

	Model I	Model II
<b>Chow Test</b>		
Cross-section F (Sig.)	0,003	0,026
Conclusion	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect
<b>Lagrange Multiplier Test</b>		
Breusch-Pagan (Sig.)	0,000	0,017
Conclusion	Random Effect	Random Effect
<b>Hausman Test</b>		
Cross-section random (Sig.)	0,520	0,565
Conclusion	Random Effect	Random Effect
End Conclusion	Random Effect	Random Effect

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

The results of the paired tests that determine the panel data regression model are presented in Table 7. The panel data regression model comprises two models: Model I tests the main effect, while Model II tests the moderation effect. Based on the results of Models I and II, the Chow test indicates fixed effects, while the Lagrange multiplier test shows random effects. Additionally, the Hausman test results demonstrate random effects. Therefore, Models I and II's panel data regression hypothesis test yields random effects. This study investigates the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation, moderated by capital expenditure deviation. The results of the hypothesis test for this study are outlined below:

**Table 8.** Hypothesis Testing

Independent Variable	Model I Dependent Variable: Budget Implementation Random Effect			Model II Dependent Variable: Budget Implementation Random Effect		
	coef	t-stat.	sig.	coef.	t-stat.	sig.
Const.	31,196	13,119	0,000	56,531	4,437	0,000
Bargaining Power	0,080	2,153	0,033	-0,056	-0,189	0,850
Capital Expenditure Deviation				0,294	2,041	0,043
Bargaining Power*Capital Expenditure Deviation				-0,001	-0,480	0,631
Budget Stress	-4,111	-2,505	0,013	-4,185	-2,727	0,007

---

F-Stat.	5,415	8,714
Sig.	0,005	0,000
Adjusted R2	6,2%	18,8%
Obs.	134	134

---

Source: Secondary data processed by researchers, 2023

The hypothesis testing findings are presented in Table 8 based on the data collected from DJPK. The study's H1 states that bargaining power positively impacts budget implementation. The research results indicate that the coefficient of bargaining power's effect on budget implementation is 0.080, with a t-statistic of 2.153 and a significance of 0.033, which is less than the threshold of 0.05. These findings demonstrate that bargaining power significantly and positively affects budget implementation, supporting H1.

According to this study's findings, H2 suggests that deviations in capital expenditures have a detrimental impact on the correlation between bargaining power and budget implementation. The research results indicate that the effect of bargaining power and capital expenditure deviation on budget implementation has a coefficient of -0.001, a t-statistic of -0.480, and a significance level of 0.631, which is greater than 0.05. This implies that capital expenditure deviation cannot diminish the influence of bargaining power on budget implementation, thereby rendering H2 unsupported.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Bargaining Power and Budget Implementation

Recent research highlights the significant correlation between bargaining power and budget implementation. According to the study, bargaining power substantially influences the budget implementation process, and its impact is even higher than the threshold level. The research emphasizes the importance of bargaining power in ensuring successful and efficient budget implementation processes. The study suggests that enhancing bargaining power can be a highly effective strategy for improving the outcomes of budget implementation, which aligns with previous research in this area.

Our research also underscores the practical implications of regional independence in the context of bargaining power. As Choi et al. (2021) posit, regional independence serves as a tangible manifestation of bargaining power. In essence, a region attains independence when its original regional income, earmarked for regional development, surpasses the use of transfer funds. Our study demonstrates that a higher original regional income signifies successful implementation of fiscal decentralization. The bargaining power of regional governments communicates to the public that their regional spending needs can be met. Regional governments with bargaining power demonstrate that local revenues can be effectively managed to fulfill district spending requirements. Our research indicates that financing regional needs through original regional income is more prevalent than utilizing regional transfers. This highlights the real-world significance of our findings and emphasizes the practical implications of our study.

Evans and Patton (1987), propose that the government leverages signal theory to enhance public trust. The government utilizes regional government financial reports as a medium to communicate with the public. In essence, the government's performance, as reflected in financial reports, serves as its accountability to society. The government is duty-bound to inform the public about the organization's current state, thereby bolstering public trust. Regional government financial reports, therefore, play a pivotal role in enhancing accountability and building public trust, a key takeaway from our research.

The study also reveals that the signaling theory applies to regional and central government budget policy issues. The signal is transmitted via the level of the bargaining position of the regions to obtain the required budget and inform society accordingly. The research highlights the importance of bargaining power and regional independence in ensuring successful budget implementation and promoting accountability and public trust in government spending.

#### **4.2. Bargaining Power, Budget Implementation, and Capital Expenditure Deviation**

Our research findings reveal a crucial nexus between bargaining power, deviation in capital expenditure, and their influence on budget implementation. We underscore the pivotal roles played by both bargaining power and deviation in capital expenditure in shaping the success of budget implementation. However, our study cautions against overreliance on deviation in capital expenditure as a panacea to counter the sway of bargaining power on budget implementation. In essence, a powerful entity or region may not be easily swayed by deviation in capital expenditure alone. These findings underscore the inescapable impact of bargaining power on budget implementation, which cannot be fully mitigated by deviation in capital expenditure.

It is worth noting that deviation in capital expenditure indicates a failure in regulatory planning, implementation, supervision, and budget accountability, leading to a budget surplus or a deficit (Patty, 2019). Despite this, the study suggests that deviation in capital expenditure cannot reduce the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation, as shown by the average bargaining power value of 38.44%. This value indicates that regional governments in Indonesia have strong fiscal independence to finance their regional spending. Even if there is a deviation in capital expenditure, regional governments in Indonesia can still finance regional expenditures without compromising their actions. However, it is crucial to note that deviations in capital expenditures may signify information asymmetry and regulatory inadequacies in the capital expenditure budget's planning, implementation, supervision, and accountability. Such deviations can impede the regional budget implementation process, and it is essential to tackle them through effective communication and transparency between agents and principals, adhering to the agency theory protocol.

It is essential to acknowledge that various factors may influence the lack of robust moderation of bargaining power and budget implementation by capital expenditure deviation. For instance, the presence of an inadequate check-balance mechanism in both the regions and central authorities could be a significant factor. Moreover, compromises in the political agenda could also hamper the effectiveness of capital



expenditure deviation as a moderating mechanism. Other unidentified variables may also be at play, necessitating further research to uncover and analyze their potential impact.

## **5. Conclusion**

This research aims to comprehensively examine the relationship between bargaining power and budget implementation while considering the moderating effect of capital expenditure deviation. The study concentrates on the provincial governments of Indonesia, spanning from 2019 to 2022. The formulated hypotheses are tested through a panel data regression analysis, and insightful discoveries are made. The study's results reveal that bargaining power has a significant positive effect on budget implementation. Additionally, the study found that the deviation in capital expenditure does not diminish the impact of bargaining power on budget implementation. Ultimately, these findings advance our comprehension of how bargaining power influences budget implementation, providing valuable insights for policymakers and government officials in Indonesia and beyond.

### **5.1. Implications**

The findings of this study have significant implications for signal theory. This is because local governments signal the public that they can support regional spending requirements through fiscal independence. Fiscal independence, in turn, allows regional governments to optimize their regional revenues to finance their spending needs. Moreover, this research sheds light on agency theory by demonstrating that deviations in capital expenditure budgets do not decrease regional governments' capacity to finance regional spending needs due to their strong bargaining power. The influence of bargaining power on budget implementation underscores the efficacy and efficiency of local governments in managing budgets. As Ratmono and Sholihin (2017) explain that budgets play a vital role in accounting and financial reporting by serving as a statement of public policy, fiscal targets, and a control tool.

The practical implication of this study is that regional governments need to manage their own revenue optimally to finance their spending needs. This can be achieved through regional tax intensification and extensification policies. Therefore, to manage local original income effectively, local governments must analyze the potential of local original income. As Mahmudi (2019) elucidates that analyzing regional revenue potential is critical for regional government management and prospective shareholders to consider the potential regional revenues that can still be explored and potential profits from investing. The primary objective of analyzing potential regional original income is to determine the amount of regional taxes and levies the regional government can receive.

14

### **5.2. Limitations and Future Research**

One limitation of this study is that the adjusted R2 value remains relatively low, with a main effect test range of 6.2% and a moderating effect of 18.8%. This suggests that the proxies and variables utilized in this research are limited and that future studies should explore additional factors such as bargaining power, budget implementation,

and budget stress. Furthermore, it should be noted that this study only examines the provincial governments of Indonesia between 2019 and 2022 due to data availability. It may be beneficial to consider district/city governments or ministries/institutions when selecting a sample for further research to expand the scope of research.

**Author contributions:** Eva Herianti contributed significantly to the study's design. She is mainly responsible for the data collection, analysis, and interpretation, as well as the writing of the manuscript. She also assumes the corresponding author's role and takes responsibility for the overall integrity of the work. Amor Marundha provided input during the study design and data analysis process, ensuring proper methodology and providing inputs on the theoretical framework. He was also involved in the manuscript revision process. Haryanto Haryanto was instrumental in the conception of the research design and provided valuable insights into the theoretical framework. He also contributed to the writing and revisions of the article. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

**Funding:** This study received no external funding.

**Acknowledgment:** We sincerely thank all parties involved in this research in Indonesia.

**Disclosure statement:** The authors report that there are no competing interests to declare.

**Final approval and accountability:** All authors have rigorously reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript and have agreed to uphold accountability for the accuracy and integrity of the work, thereby meeting the authorship criteria.

**Data availability statement:** The data supporting this study's findings are openly available in the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance (DJPK), the Ministry of Finance, at [www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id](http://www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id). The authors also confirm that they will provide the data upon a reasonable request.

## References

- Algifari. (2021). Pengolahan data panel untuk penelitian bisnis dan ekonomi dengan evIEWS 11. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Aliabadi, F.J., Mashayekhi, B., & Gal, G. (2019). Budget preparers' perceptions and performance-based budgeting implementation: The case of Iranian public universities and research institutes. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 31(1), 137-156.
- Amin, A., Juanda, B., & Rindayati, W. (2023). The effect of fiscal independence and economic growth on reducing poverty and unemployment in Indonesia. *Economics, Business, Accounting & Society Review*, 2(2), 97-109.
- Benito, B., Guillamon, M.D., & Bastida, F. (2015). Budget forecast deviations in municipal governments: determinants and implications. *Australian Accounting Review*, 25(1), 45-70.
- Boukari, M., & Veiga, F. J. (2018). Disentangling political and institutional determinants of budget forecast errors: A comparative approach. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 46(4), 1030-1045.
- Chapman, J. I. (1999). Local government autonomy and fiscal stress: The case of California countries. *State and Local Government Review*, 35(1), 15-25.
- Choi, Y-S., Kim, M-O., Jung, H-R., & Cho, H. (2021). Bargaining power and budget ratcheting: Evidence from South Korean local governments. *Management Accounting Research*, 53, 100767.

- Devlin, B. (2001). Basic budget primer: choosing the best budget for your library. *The Bottom Line*, Montclair Public Library Montclair, New Jersey, 2(3), 20-24.
- Downs, A. (1957). *An economic theory of democracy*. Harper & Row. New York.
- Drazen, A., & Eslava, M. (2010). Electoral manipulation via voter-friendly spending: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Development Economics*, 92(1), 39-52.
- Dubois, E. (2016). Political business cycle 40 years after Nordhaus. *Public Choice*, 166(1), 235-259.
- Evans, J., & Patton, J. (1987). Signaling and monitoring in public sector accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 25, 130-158.
- Gonschorek, G. J. (2021). Subnational favoritism in development grant allocation: Empirical evidence from decentralized Indonesia. *World Development*, 139, 105299.
- Halim, A. (2007). *Akuntansi sektor publik akuntansi keuangan daerah (Edisi 3)*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Hansen, D. R., & Mowen, M. M. (2009). *Akuntansi manajerial (Edisi 8)*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Heraldsson, M. (2022). Capital expenditure deviations in a fragmented municipal context-the case of the Swedish municipal water and sewerage sector. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 34(6), 26-45.
- Herianti, E. (2019). Budget turbulence and budget deviation: Do local governments have the ability to reduce them?. *Jurnal Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 5(1), 1-20.  
<https://djpk.kemenkeu.go.id/>
- Huang, C. L., & Chen, M. K. (2010). Playing devious games, budget-emphasis in performance evaluation, and attitudes towards the budgetary process. *Management Decision*, 48(6), 940-951.
- Idris, H., & Samsinar. (2022). Pengaruh pertumbuhan belanja dan kemandirian keuangan daerah terhadap PDRB selama pandemi covid-19 di Sulawesi Selatan. *Seminar Nasional Hasil Penelitian 2022. LP2M-Universitas Negeri Makasar*.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency cost, and ownership structure. In: Brunner K. (eds) *Economics Social Institutions. Rochester Studies in Economics and Policy Issues*, 1, 163-231.
- Johansson, T., & Siverbo, S. (2014). The appropriateness of tight budget control in public sector organizations facing budget turbulence. *Management Accounting Research*, 26, 271-283.
- Mah'd, O. A. (2014). The ministry of higher education's role in private Jordanian universities and the hidden tool of the budget. *Education, Business and Society: Contemporary Middle Eastern Issues*, 7(2), 133-151.
- Mahmudi. (2019). *Analisis laporan keuangan pemerintah daerah (Edisi 4)*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Mahmudi. (2020). *Manajemen keuangan pemerintah daerah*. Jakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Marundha, A. (2020). Are local governments overconfident with the effect of budget forecast errors on budget deviation?. *Jurnal Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 6(2), 179-194.
- Ogujiuba, K. K., & Ehigiamusoe, K. (2014). Capital budget implementation in Nigeria: Evidence from the 2012 capital budget.
- Oktaviani, M. A., & Sari, D. P. (2020). Pengaruh kemandirian daerah, kinerja pemerintah daerah, dan sistem pengendalian intern terhadap akuntabilitas pelaporan keuangan. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Pajak*, 21(1), 186-193.
- Oliwio, M. (2015). Low absorption of funds makes nonsense of the budget trillions. *Business Daily*. retrieved from [www.businessdailyafrica.com/analysis/nonsense-of-the-budget-trillions/539548-2760040-kuxeadz/index.html](http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/analysis/nonsense-of-the-budget-trillions/539548-2760040-kuxeadz/index.html)
- Paliova, I., & Lybek, M. T. (2014). Bulgaria's EU funds absorption: Maximizing the potential, IMF Working Paper WP/14/21.
- Patty, J. R. (2019). Budget forecast errors and budget deviation: Financial capability index as a moderating variable. *Jurnal Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 5(2), 157-175.
- Permatasari, D. A., & Trisnaningih, S. (2022). Pengaruh kemandirian, efektivitas pendapatan asli daerah dan belanja daerah terhadap kinerja keuangan daerah. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Batanghari Jambi*, 22(3), 1573-1582.
- Rafi, M., Ahmad, K., Naeem, S. B., & Jianming, Z. (2020). Budget harmonization and challenges: understanding the competence of professionals in the budget process for structural and policy reforms in public libraries. *Performance Measurement and Metrics*, 21(2), 65-79.
- Ratmono, D., & Sholihin, M. (2017). *Akuntansi keuangan daerah berbasis akrual*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Rakhman, F. (2019). Budget implementation in a risky environment: Evidence from Indonesia public sector. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 27(2), 162-176.
- Rogoff, K. (1990). Equilibrium political budget cycles. *American Economic Review*, 80, 21-36.
- Royda., & Riana, D. (2019). Analisis kemandirian dan kemampuan keuangan daerah serta pengaruhnya terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi kabupaten/kota di provinsi Sumatera Selatan. *Akuntansi dan Manajemen*, 14(2), 50-64.

- Schick, A. (2007). Performance budgeting and accrual budgeting: Decision rules or analytic tools?. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, 7(2), 109-138.
- Schick, A. (2014). The metamorphoses of performance budgeting. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, 13(2), 49-79.
- Sheehan, T. N. (2010). A risk-based approach to strategy execution. *The Journal of Business Strategy*, 31(5), 25-37.
- Siregar, B. (2017). *Akuntansi sektor publik: Akuntansi keuangan pemerintah daerah berbasis akrual (Edisi 2)*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Suhartini. (2019). Mekanisme pertanggung jawaban pengelolaan anggaran pendapatan dan belanja daerah dalam perspektif hukum keuangan negara. *Jurnal de Jure*, 11(2), 1-16.
- Surya, B., Salim, A., Saleh, H., Abubakar, H., Suriani, S., Sose, A. T., & Kessi, A. M. P. (2021). Economic growth model and renewable energy utilization: perspective of natural resources management and sustainable development of the Gowa Regency South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 11(6), 68-90.
- Syamsuddin. (2017). The government whistleblowers in generating audit quality: a survey on provincial audit biards in South, Central, and West Sulawesi, Indonesia. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 59(6), 1046-1058.
- Tehupuring, R. (2021). Mapping of budget stress in Indonesia: Consequence on budget implementation. *Jurnal Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 7(1), 39-57.
- Ulum, A. S., Rohman, A., Harto, P., Ratmono, D., & Ghozali, I. (2019). The role of natural resources on moderating the relationship between fiscal decentralization and corruption: Evidence from Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 9(1), 67-75.
- Veiga, L. G., & Veiga, F. J. (2007). Political business cycles at the municipal level. *Public Choice*, 131(1-2), 45-64.
- Wahyuningsih, T., Bugis, M., & Bin-Tahir, S. Z. (2019). nalysis of the inequality on inter-regional and inter-time income distribution in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 5(1), 1-8.

# Formatted Manuscript for JIPD.docx

## INFORME DE ORIGINALIDAD

9%

INDICE DE SIMILITUD

7%

FUENTES DE INTERNET

5%

PUBLICACIONES

5%

TRABAJOS DEL  
ESTUDIANTE

## FUENTES PRIMARIAS

1	Submitted to Universitas Mercu Buana Trabajo del estudiante	2%
2	openaccessojs.com Fuente de Internet	1%
3	Submitted to Universitas Terbuka Trabajo del estudiante	1%
4	jurnal.untirta.ac.id Fuente de Internet	1%
5	jurnal.bpk.go.id Fuente de Internet	<1%
6	qdoc.tips Fuente de Internet	<1%
7	article.sciencepublishinggroup.com Fuente de Internet	<1%
8	repository.stie-sak.ac.id Fuente de Internet	<1%
9	wseas.com Fuente de Internet	<1%

10	<a href="http://www.atlantis-press.com">www.atlantis-press.com</a> Fuente de Internet	<1 %
11	<a href="http://www.jurnal.bpk.go.id">www.jurnal.bpk.go.id</a> Fuente de Internet	<1 %
12	<a href="http://www.equity.ubb.ac.id">www.equity.ubb.ac.id</a> Fuente de Internet	<1 %
13	Submitted to University of Fort Hare Trabajo del estudiante	<1 %
14	<a href="http://www.etimm.ase.ro">www.etimm.ase.ro</a> Fuente de Internet	<1 %
15	<a href="http://www.econstor.eu">www.econstor.eu</a> Fuente de Internet	<1 %
16	Mattias Haraldsson. "Capital expenditure deviations in a fragmented municipal context – the case of the Swedish municipal water and sewerage sector", Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management, 2021 Publicación	<1 %
17	Herybert Eybel Kii, Harmono, Maxion Sumtaky. "The Effect of Regional Taxes, Regional Levies, Balancing Funds, and other Legitimate Regional Revenues on Regional Independence Through Direct Expenditure (Empire Study: District/City Governments in East Nusa Tenggara Province)", European	<1 %

# Journal of Business and Management Research, 2022

Publicación

18

Aris Sudomo, Budi Leksono, Hesti Lestari  
Tata, Anita Apriliani Dwi Rahayu et al. "Can  
Agroforestry Contribute to Food and  
Livelihood Security for Indonesia's  
Smallholders in the Climate Change Era?",  
Agriculture, 2023

Publicación

<1 %

19

Submitted to School of Business and  
Management ITB

Trabajo del estudiante

<1 %

20

Submitted to University of Malta

Trabajo del estudiante

<1 %

21

[publikasi.mercubuana.ac.id](http://publikasi.mercubuana.ac.id)

Fuente de Internet

<1 %

22

[zbw.eu](http://zbw.eu)

Fuente de Internet

<1 %

Excluir citas

Activo

Excluir coincidencias < 10 words

Excluir bibliografía

Activo

# Formatted Manuscript for JIPD.docx

---

PÁGINA 1



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo.**the**.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo.**the**.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Nom Prop** Si esta palabra es un nombre propio, necesita iniciar con letra mayúscula.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo.**the**.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Voz Pas** Ha usado voz pasiva en esta oración. Se recomienda cambiar a voz activa.



**Voz Pas** Ha usado voz pasiva en esta oración. Se recomienda cambiar a voz activa.

PÁGINA 2



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Err Prep** Usted está usando la Preposición incorrecta.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **its** en esta oración. Necesita usar **it's** en su lugar.


PÁGINA 3

---





 **Err Prep** Usted está usando la Preposición incorrecta.

 **Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.

 **Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.

 **Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.

 **Co-Est!** This Esta parte de la oración contiene un error o esta mal redactada lo que hace difícil de entender lo que usted desea transmitir.

 **Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.

 **For Inc Pal** Ha usado la forma incorrecta de esta palabra.


 **Err Prep** Usted está usando la Preposición incorrecta.

 **Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.

 **Voz Pas** Ha usado voz pasiva en esta oración. Se recomienda cambiar a voz activa.

 **Err Prep** Usted está usando la Preposición incorrecta.

 **Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo **the**.

 **Fra Com Fal** Esta oración es solo un fragmento o contiene puntuación incorrecta. Lea la oración nuevamente y asegúrese que tiene correcta redacción con sujeto y verbo.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Err Prep** Usted está usando la Preposición incorrecta.

PÁGINA 11

---



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo.**the**.

PÁGINA 12

---



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Voz Pas** Ha usado voz pasiva en esta oración. Se recomienda cambiar a voz activa.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo.**the**.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Voz Pas** Ha usado voz pasiva en esta oración. Se recomienda cambiar a voz activa.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo.**the**.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo aquí.

PÁGINA 14

---



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.

PÁGINA 15

---



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Suj-Ver Act** El sujeto y el verbo en esta oración no están correctamente usados. Lea nuevamente la oración y ponga atención en el sujeto y el verbo.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Suj-Ver Act** El sujeto y el verbo en esta oración no están correctamente usados. Lea nuevamente la oración y ponga atención en el sujeto y el verbo.



**Co-Est!** This Esta parte de la oración contiene un error o está mal redactada lo que hace difícil de entender lo que usted desea transmitir.



**Pal Conf** Ha usado **Effect** en esta oración. Necesita usar **affect** en su lugar.



**Pal Conf** tiene una falta de ortografía **Effect** que hace **Effect** ver la palabra confusa.



**Fra II** Esta oración es confusa porque contiene errores de gramática y ortografía.



**Ort** Esta oración no está correctamente escrita. Use un diccionario o pase la revisión de ortografía del programa en este documento.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.

PÁGINA 18

---



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo aquí.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita un artículo antes de esta palabra.

PÁGINA 19

---



**Fal Com** Necesita usar una coma después de esta palabra.

PÁGINA 20

---



**Fal ti Art Ex** Necesita usar un artículo antes de esta palabra. Considere usar un artículo **the**.

PÁGINA 21

---

PÁGINA 22

---

PÁGINA 23

---