The Effect of Local Tax, Fiscal Decentralization and Capital Expenditure on Budget Implementation

by Cek Turnitin

Submission date: 07-Oct-2025 04:59AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2773186386

File name: IJISRT21NOV378_2.pdf (215.52K)

Word count: 3536 Character count: 19947

The Effect of Local Tax, Fiscal Decentralization and Capital Expenditure on Budget Implementation

Elia Rossa Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya University, Indonesia

Abstract:- The phenomenon of budget implementation in Indonesia is still an important concern of several previous studies. This condition is caused by the implementation of the budget is one classes of local government performance appraisal. This study aims to examine and analyze the effect of local tax, fiscal decentralize capital expenditures on budget implementation. This study uses a sample of all local governments in Indonesia during 2019-2020 using the purposive sampling method. This study uses eviews version 11 to test the hyper hesis. The results of this study found that local tax have no significant effect on budget implementation, 4while fiscal decentralization and capital expenditures have a positive and significant effect on budget implementation. The contribution of this study to local government policies to achieve economic stability, local governments need to manage regional revenues and regional expenditures efficiently, effectively, and economically.

Keywords:- local tax, fiscal decentralization, capital expenditure, budget implementation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Budget implementation is still the concern of various previous researchers because budget implementation shows the ability of local governments to carry out budget planning to improve people's welfare. Rakhman (2019) explains that budget implementation is a comparison between the realization of the budget and the total budget. This condition shows how much the local government can execute the expenditures that have been budgeted. Mahmudi (2019) explained that the budget implementation process is a local government accounting system that aims to increase efficiency, support daily routine operations, improve the quality of financial reports, decision making, financial accountability, and protect local government assets.

Sourced from CNBC Indonesia in 2020, it can be seen that the implementation of the budget is still very low even though the budget is in the context of national economic recovery. Some expenditures whose budget implementation is still very low in the national economic recovery are related to health spending. If we look at the Rp30-4 trillion allocated health budget ceiling, it can be seen that the implementation has only reached Rp13,3 trillion. Furthermore, for the expenditure of social assistance from the budget ceiling of Rp.22,8 trillion, but the implementation has only reached Rp 11,7 trillion, or about 51%. In terms of economic support, from the allocation of Rp19,24 trillion, it can be seen that the implementation was only Rp. 2.6 trillion until the end of September or only reached 13,7%. This condition indicates that there are various obstacles to improving budget implementation.

Important factors that can be used to improve budget implementation are local taxes. Seal decentralization, and capital expenditures. Local tax are mandatory contributions made by individuals or entities to local governitants without direct remuneration that can be appointed, which can be imposed based on applicable laws and regulations (Darwin, 2010). This condition indicates that individuals or entities are obliged to deposit contributions to the local government. Mardiasmo (2011) explains that are owed by individuals or entities that are coercive under the law, with no direct compensation and are used for regional needs for the greatest prosperity of the people. Ningsih (2017) explains that local tax has a positive contribution to increasing local expenditure.

Local tax has a positive impact on budget implementation. This means that the contributions paid by individual or corporate taxpayers to the regions will be used to meet regional expenditure needs. Nugroho & Kurnia (2020) explained that local tax paid to local governments can increase local income. The same condition was also found by Lampunu & Mintarsih (2020), Ramdhan (2019), and Iqbal & Sunardika (2018) that local tax can increase local income. The implication is that local income is then used to finance local expenditures. Sunarto & Sunyoto (2016) explained that local tax as contributions that are mandatory to be paid by individuals or entities to the region can increase the independence of the region. This condition indicates that an independent region represents a high level of local tax revenue and is well managed to finance local expenditures. Jatmiko & Farhan (2016) explain that local tax has a positive contribution to the financial performance of local governments.

The second important factor influencing budget implementation is fiscal decentralization. Fiscal decentralization shows the degree of contribution of local original revenues to total locs revenues (Mahmudi, 2019). This condition indicates that the higher the contribution of local revenue, the higher the ability of local governments to implement decentralization. However, if local governments receive more grants or transfers from the central government, then local fernments tend to waste by spending that does not reflect the needs of their local residents, so that fiscal decentralization is considered less effective (Ashwort et al., 2013; Rodden, 2003). This condition shows that when local expenditure is sourced from local taxes, the potential for effective utilization is higher than sourced from grants or central government transfers.

Weingast (2014) explains that local governments that receive transfers from the central government or grants tend to experience a decrease in local government accountability and are not in accordance with the principle of tax benefits. Cassette & Paty (2010) explained that local governments that

Criteria	Yes
Provincial government in Indonesia during	34
2019-2020	
Incomplete study data	(1)
Analysis year 2019-2020	2 years
Number of study observation	66

Table 1: Sample Selection Process Source: data obtained by researcher, 2021

This study uses the variables of local taxes, fiscal decentralization, capital expenditures, and budget implementation. The following is the operational definition and measurement of these variable.

Definition	Measurement
Budget Implementation	
(BUDI):	Emenditure Actual
Budget implementation is	$BUDI = \frac{Expenditure\ Actual}{Expenditure\ Budget} X100\%$
a comparison between the	
realization of the budget and the total budget.	
Local Tax (LOCT):	
Local tax paid to local	Local Tax Actual
governments can increase	$LOCT = \frac{1}{Local Tax Budget} X100\%$
local income.	
Fiscal Decentralization	
(FISD):	
Fiscal decentralization	
shows the degree of	$FISD = \frac{Local\ Revenue\ Budget}{Total\ Revenue\ Budget}X100\%$
contribution of local	Total Revenue Budget
original revenues to total	
local revenues.	
Capital Expenditure	
19 APE):	
Capital expenditure is a	Capital Expenditure Actual
productive expenditure intended to meet the	$CAPE = \frac{1}{Capital\ Expenditure\ Budget} X100\%$
public's need for public	
facilities and infrastructure	
provided by local	
governments.	

Table 2 : Defenition and Measurement of Variables

hypothesis with the help of eviews version 11. Therefore, this study needs to perform several classical assumption tests such as multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation tests. Multicollinearity test uses VIF < 10 parameters. This

This study uses ordinary least squares (OLS) to test the

condition indicates that the regression model does not experience multicollinearity problems or there is no correlation between independent variables. The heteroscedasticity test uses Huber-White-Hinkley consistent variance and standard error to correct a regression model that has heteroscedasticity problems, so that the test results can be used with the results of the hypothesis (Ghozali & Ratmono, 2017). This study uses the Durbin-Watson parameter with a range of 1,54-2,46 (Winarno, 2015). This condition indicates that the regression model does not experience autocorrelation problems. This study does not use the normality test because it has met the minimum sample in regression testing (Cooper & Schindler, 2013).

finance regional expenditures sourced from local tax will be more selective, effective, and efficient. Mahi & Supriyanti (2019) explained that the higher degree of decentralization tends to reduce the volatility of real spending by local governments because the fiscal capacity of local governments tends to increase. This condition indicates that local governments that have local financial capacity to achieve a high level of regional decentralization have the potential to increase regional spending.

The third factor that can affect budget implementation is capital expenditure. Sutjipto et al. (2019) explains that capital expenditure is a productive expenditure intended to meet the public's need for public facilities and infrastructure provided by local gottoments. Budget implementation as one of the parameters for assessing the performance of local governments has the potential to cause moral hazard behavior to emerge. This condition can occur because agents can use the budget for their personal interests, for example through mark-ups when proposing a budget and mark-down when proposing a revenue budget (Halim & Abdullah, 2006). This condition can lead to budget bias because the budget prepared for implementation does not reflect the actual conditions.

In the context of capital expenditure. A well-planned capital expenditure budget will affect the implementation of the budget. Abdullah et al. (2019) explains that the amount of the budget determined by the regional government based on its needs aims to improve services to the community. One form of service to the community is through improving facilities and infrastructure related to capital expenditures. Therefore, high capital expenditures will increase the implementation of the budget with the aim of improving services to the community. However, the implementation of a high budget needs to be supported by the availability of competent human resources.

Based in the description of the background that has been explained, this study aims to examine and analyze the effect of local tax, fiscal decention, and capital expenditures on budget implementation. The hypotheses proposed in this study are as follows.

H₁:Local tax has a positive effect on budget implementation.

H₂:Fiscal decentralization has a positive effect on budget

13 plementation.

H₃:Capital expenditure has a positive effect on budget implementation.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a sample of all provincial governments in Indonesia during 2019-2020. The data source comes from the website of the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance (DJPK). The method of determining the sample uses purposive sampling with the following criteria.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Descriptive statistics aim to describe the variables used in this study related to the minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation values. The following table shows the results of the descriptive statistical test of this study variable.

	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std.dev.
BUDI	17,34	43,94	29,00	6,12
LOCT	14,40	80,16	42,08	8,82
FISD	5,20	70,02	35,27	15,87
CAPE	1,20	41,52	11,79	8,55
Notes: BUDI (Budget Implementation), LOCT (Local Tax),				
		centralization), CAPE	(Capital
Expenditure).				

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

Source: data obtained by researcher, 2021

The table shows that budget implementation (BUDI) has a minimum value of 29%, and a standard deviation of 6.12%. This condition shows that the implementation budget of all local governments in Indonesia that meet the criteria for this study sample is still low because it has not reached 50%. This can happen because 2019 and 2020 are years if the emergence of the Covid-19 phenomenon that can affect the financial of local governments.

The minimum value of the local tax variable (LOCT) is 14,40%, the maximum value is 80,16%, the mean value is 42.08%, and the standard deviation is 8,82%. This condition also shows that local taxes are not optimally collected by local governments. The fiscal decentraization (FISD) variable has a minimum value of 5,20%, a maximum value of 70,2%, a mean value of 35,27%, and a standard deviation of 15,87%. This condition indicates that local governments have not yet achieved high fiscal decentralizatio 23 urthermore, the capital expenditure (CAPE) variable has a minimum value of 1 [3]%, a maximum value of 41,52%, a mean value of 11,79%, and a standard deviation of 8,55%. This condition also shows that capital expenditure is not optimal.

This study uses correlation values to determine the degree of closeness between variables. Therefore, the following table shows the correlation values between the variables in this study.

	BUDI	LOCT	FISD	CAPE
BUDI	1,000			
LOCT	0,113	1,000		
FISD	0,160	-0,279	1,000	
CAPE	0,519	0,123	-0,118	1,000
Notes: BUDI (Budget Implementation), LOCT (Local Tax),				
FISD	Fiscal De	centralization), CAPE	(Capital
Expenditu	re).			

Table 3: Correlation

Source: data obtained by researcher, 2021

The table shows that the highest correlation value is found in the capital expenditure (CAPE) and budget implementation (BUDI) variables. The correlation value is

0,519 with a positive coefficient. This condition indicates that the higher the capital expenditure (CAPE), the higher the budget implementation (BUDI). Conversely, the lower the capital expenditure (CAPE), the lower the budget implementation (BUDI). Meanwhile, the lowest correlation value is found in the local tax (LOCT) and budget implementation (BUDI) variables. The correlation value is 0,113 with a positive coefficient. This condition indicates that the higher the local tax (LOCT), the higher the budget implementation (BUDI). On the other hand, the lower the local tax (LOCT), the lower the budget implementation (BUDI).

Before testing the hypotheses of this study, we first tested the classical assumptions consisting of multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation tests. If the results of the classical assumption test have passed, it will be continued with hypothesis testing. The following is a table of classical assumption and hypothesis test results.

	Dependent Variable: BUDI		
Independent	Ordinary Least Square (OLS)		
Variables	Coef.	t-stat.	
Const.	17,52	4,89***	
LOCT	0,08	1,34	
FISD	0,09	2,32**	
CAPE	0,38	5,14***	
F-stat.	10,28***		
Adjusted R ²	30%		
Durbin-Watson	1,93		
Obs.	66		

Table 4: Hypotheses Results

Source: data obtained by researcher, 2021

The table shows that the classical assumption test been met, so it is continued with hypothesis testing. The results of the study show that the effect of local tax (LOCT) on budget implementation (BUDI) has a coefficient value of 0,08; t-statistic is 1,34 with a significance > 0.05. This condition shows that local tax (LOCT) has no significant effect on budget implementation (BUDI), so H1 is not supported. Nugroho & Kurnia (2020) explained that local taxes paid to local governments can increase regional income. However, the data shows that the contribution of local tax realization to the local tax budget target is not yet low, thus indicating that local tax cannot affect budget implementation. The ability of the regions to meet local expenditure needs is sourced from local tax. Therefore, low local tax result in local governments not being able to fully finance local expenditures.

The results of the study show that the effect of fiscal decentralization (FISD) on budget implementation (BUDI) has a coefficient value of 0,09; t-statistic of 2,32 with a significance < 0,05. This condition shows that fiscal

decentralization (FISD) has a positive and significant effect on budget implementation (BUDI), so that H₂ is supported. Fiscal decentralization shows the existence of regional independence which is a manifestation of the region's ability to meet its needs. Sutjipto et al. (2019) explains that when regional income increases, it will be followed by an increase in regional spending. This condition occurs because fiscal decentralization illustrates the ability of regions to increase regional revenues, so that regional revenues will be used to meet regional expenditure needs.

The results of the study show that the effect of capital expenditure (CAPE) on budget implementation (BUIDI) has a coefficient value of 0.38; t-statistic of 5,14 with a significance < 0.01. This condition shows that capital expenditure (CAPE) has a positive and significant effect on budget implementation (BUDI), so H₃ is supported. Sutjipto et al. (2019) explains that capital expenditure is a productive expenditure intended to meet the public's need for public facilities and infrastructure provided by local governments. Local governments that increase capital expenditures aim to improve people's welfare, so that budget implementation will be higher. This condition is due to high budget implementation triggered by higher capital expenditures.

IV. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The phenomenon of the low implementation of local government. Dudgets is still the concern of several previous studies, so this study aims to examine and analyze the effect of local tax, fiscal decentralization, and capital expenditures budget implementation. The sample of this study used all provincial governments in 16 pnesia in 2019-2020 using the purposive sampling method. The results of this study indicate that local tax has no significant effect on budget implementation, while fiscal decentralization and capital expenditures have a positive and significant effect on budget implementation.

The important implication of this study is for local government policies to achieve economic stability, so local governments need to manage local revenues and local expenditures efficiently, effectively, and economically to improve public services. In the context of local revenue manageme local governments need to find alternative sources of local tax that local revenues, so that it will have an impact on budget implementation. Furthermore, in the context of local expenditures, regional governments need to ensure fiscal discipline through controlling expenditures and budget allocations in accordance with budget policies and priorities.

This study has important limitations, namely (1) this study has an adjusted R² value 3 30% because of the three independent variables, only two independent variables have a significant effect on the dependent variable, so future studies need to consider other variables that can affect the dependent variable, and (2) this study can only be generalized to the provincial government because the sample used is the provincial government by considering the phenomenon of this study. Therefore, future studies may use a sample of district/city governments.

REFERENCES

- [1.] Abdullah, S., Muhtar, Marwan, & Aliamin. (2019). Serapan anggaran pada organisasi perangkat daerah: Bukti empiris dari kota banda Aceh. Jurnal Akuntansi Publik, 2(1), 66-83.
- Ashworth, J., Galli, E., & Padovano, F. (2013). Decentralization as a constraint to leviathan: a panel cointegration analysis. Public Choice, 156(3-4), 491-516.
- [3.] Cassette, A., & Paty, S. (2010). Fiscal decentralization and the size of government: a european country empirical analysis. Public Choice, 143(1-2), 173-189.
- [4.] Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2013). Business research methods (12 Edition). New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- [5.] Darwin. (2010). Pajak daerah dan retribusi daerah. Mitra Wacana Media Jakarta
- [6.] Ghozali, I., & Ratmono, D. (2017). Analisis multivariat dan ekonometrika: teori, konsep, dan aplikasi dengan eviews 10. Semarang: Badan penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
 [7.] Iqbal, M., & Sunardika, W. (2018). Pengaruh
- [7.] Iqbal, M., & Sunardika, W. (2018). Pengaruh penerimaan pajak daerah dan retribusi daerah terhadap pendapatan asli daerah kabupaten Bandung. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi, 9(1), 10-35.
- [8.] Jatmiko, B., & Farhan, M. (2016). Key success factor of local revenue toward city and district government performance in Yogyakarta. International Journal of Economic Research, 13(4), 1379-1397
- [9.] Lampunu, R. I., & Mintarsih, R. A. (2020). Pengaruh pajak daerah dan retribusi daerah terhadap peningkatan pendapatan asli daerah (PAD) provinsi daerah istimewa Yogyakarta. Prima ekonomika, 11(1), 1-19.
- [10.] Mahmudi. (2019). Analisis laporan keuangan pemerintah daerah. UPP STIM YKPN.
- [11.] Mardiasmo. (2011). Perpajakan edisi revisi. Andi. Yogyakarta.
- [12.] Ningsih, H. T. K. (2017). The effect of regional tax and regional retribution on regional expenditure in local governments of north Sumatera Province. Proceedings of The Annual International Conference (AIC) Syiah Kuala University and The 6th International Conference on Multidiciplinary Research 9ICMR) in Conjuction with The International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICELTICs), 2017, October 18-20, Banda Aceh, Indonesia.
- [13.] Nugroho, I. R., Kurnia. (2020). Pengaruh pajak daerah dan retribusi daerah terhadap pendapatan asli daerah pada kabupaten/kota provinsi Jawa Timur. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi, 9(9), 1-20.
- [14.] Rakhman, F. (2019). Budget implementation in a risky environment: evidence from the Indonesian public sector. Asian Review of Accounting, 27(2), 162-176.
- [15.] Ramadhan, P. R. (2019). Pengaruh pajak daerah dan retribusi terhadap pendapatan asli daerah kabupaten/kota di Sumatera Utara. Jurnal Akuntansi dan Bisnis, 5(1), 81-87.
- [16.] Rodden, J. (2003). Reviving leviathan: fiscal federalism and the growth of government. International Organization, 57(4), 695-729.

- [17.] Sunarto, & Sunyoto, Y. (2016). Pengaruh pajak daerah dan retribusi daerah terhadap kemandirian daerah yang berdampak pada pertumbuhan ekonomi daerah: Studi empiris pada kabupaten dan kota di Jawa Tengah. Dharma Ekonomi, 43(23), 13-22.
 [18.] Sutjipto, H., Suci, S. C., Umbara, Y. S. (2019). Analisis desentralisasi fiskal terhadap belanja modal pemerintah daerah di Indonesia. Substansi, 3(2), 104-117.
 [19.] Weingast, B. R. (2014). Second generation fiscal federalism: Political aspects of decentralization and economic development. World Development, 53, 14-25.
 [20.] Winarno, W. W. (2015). Analisis ekonometrika dan

- [20.] Winarno, W. W. (2015). Analisis ekonometrika dan statistika dengan eviews. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM Yogyakarta.

IJISRT21NOV378 574 www.ijisrt.com

The Effect of Local Tax, Fiscal Decentralization and Capital Expenditure on Budget Implementation

	ALITY REPORT	on Budget Impler	TIETICACIOTI	
SIMILA	% ARITY INDEX	6% INTERNET SOURCES	8% PUBLICATIONS	2% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	etd.uum Internet Sour	n.edu.my		1 %
2	jepi.fe.u Internet Sour			1 %
3	"Improvand Ver Governa Data", 2	Wibowo, Tesar S ring Data Securit acity using Blocl ance, Case Study 019 Internation rt Society (ICISS)	ry, Interoperab kchain for One y of Local Tax I al Conference	e Data Big
4	eprints. Internet Sour	staffs.ac.uk		1 %
5	www.ta	ndfonline.com		1 %
6	Bone, M Yudarud budgets	anti, Fibriyani Nu luhammad Abad ddin. "Structure s and local fiscal donesia", Public , 2022	dan Syakura, R of local goverr autonomy: Ev	lizky nment idence
7	reposito	ory.ung.ac.id		<1 %
8	Submitt School Student Pape	ed to IPMI Inter	national Busin	ess <1 %
9	Submitt	ed to Universita	s Putera Batar	m

Submitted to Universitas Putera Batam Student Paper

		<1%
10	ejournal.uksw.edu Internet Source	<1%
11	Iwan Hermawan, Rita Myrna, Heru Nurasa, Nina Karlina. "Motor Vehicle Tax Contribution to Regional Original Income in Banten Province", KnE Social Sciences, 2022	<1%
12	Sev Rahmiyanti, Didit Prasetyo. "PENGARUH REALISASI PENERIMAAN PAJAK DAERAH DAN RETRIBUSI DAERAH TERHADAP REALISASI PENDAPATAN ASLI DAERAH (PAD) KOTA CILEGON TAHUN 2014-2018", Progress: Jurnal Pendidikan, Akuntansi dan Keuangan, 2020 Publication	<1%
13	www.ije3.esc-id.org Internet Source	<1%
14	Fuad Rakhman. "Budget implementation in a risky environment: evidence from the Indonesian public sector", Asian Review of Accounting, 2019 Publication	<1%
15	Hilary Flora AT Lasar, Magda Siahaan. "Liquidity as Moderator: Affect Business Risk to Company Value", International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research, 2025 Publication	<1%
16	Mo Qiao, Siying Ding, Yongzheng Liu. "Fiscal decentralization and government size: The role of democracy", European Journal of Political Economy, 2019	<1%
17	Ratih Pratiwi, Vahri Firmansyah. "DETERMINANTS OF EXPORT VALUE OF WEST	<1%

JAVA PROVINCE: AN ERROR CORRECTION MODEL (ECM) APPROACH", Customs Research and Applications Journal, 2022

<1%

<1%

<1%

Publication

Sri Kurnia Ramadhani, David P. E. Saerang, 18 Heince R. N. Wokas. "The influence of audit findings and opinions on expenditure performance with the size of regional government as a moderating variable in the Regency/City Governments in North Sulawesi Province for the Fiscal Year 2017-2021", The Contrarian: Finance, Accounting, and Business Research, 2024 Publication Syahriyal Syahriyal, Syukriy Abdullah, Rita 19

Meutia. "What Determines Financial Sustainability in Local Government? Evidence from Aceh Province, Indonesia", Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia, 2024 Publication

Wulandari Cahyani Putri, Lindawati Lindawati,

Syamsul Mu'arif. "THE INFLUENCE OF FINANCIAL DISTRESS, DEBT COVENANT AND POLITICAL COST ON ACCOUNTING CONSERVATISM IN BANKING SECTOR FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANIES LISTED ON THE INDONESIAN STOCK EXCHANGE", International Journal Management and

Economic, 2023

Publication

20

infogen.webs.uvigo.es 21 Internet Source

journal.lembagakita.org Internet Source

journal.unimma.ac.id 23



Exclude quotes Exclude bibliography On

On

Exclude matches

Off