

**BUKTI KORESPONDENSI**  
**ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI**



Judul artikel : Financial Performance, Corporate Governance, and Political Connection for Corporate Cash Holding

Jurnal : Journal of Governance and Regulation, 2025, volume 14 Issue 3, 182 – 193

Penulis : Cahyadi Husadha, Agus Ismaya Hasanuddin, Lia Uzliawati, Nurhayati Soleha

No.	Perihal	Tanggal
1.	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit	24 November 2024
2.	Bukti konfirmasi review dan hasil review pertama	20 Feb 2025
3.	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi pertama, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	6 Mar 2025
4.	Bukti konfirmasi review dan hasil review kedua	1 Apr 2025
5.	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi kedua, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	8 Apr 2025
8.	Bukti konfirmasi artikel accepted	28 Apr 2025
6.	Bukti konfirmasi Proofreading dan hasil Proofreading Journal of Governance	10 Jul 2025
7.	Bukti konfirmasi submit Proofreading, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	13 Jul 2025
9.	Bukti konfirmasi artikel published online	29 Agu 2025

# 1. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Artikel dan Artikel yang Disubmit (24 November 2024)



Submit Article Cahyadi Husadha et al 2024

CAHYADI HUSADHA CAHYADI HUSADHA <7783220023@untirta.ac.id>

Lampiran

Min, 24 Nov 2024, 13.00

kepada info@virtusinterpress.org, cb, nicola.moscariello, dmitriy.govorun@virtusinterpress.org

Assalamu'alaikum Wr Wb,

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. journal managers

Greetings and success always.



Cahyadi Husadha and friends want to submit a journal article to the journal that you manage. The title of our article is "FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, COMPANY GOVERNANCE, AND POLITICAL RELATIONSHIPS ON THE OWNERSHIP OF COMPANY CASH IN INDONESIA".

I would like your help managing the journal so that we can publish the article as a requirement for graduating from my doctoral program. I am waiting for your response. Thank you very much for your attention.

Sincerely,

Cahyadi Husadha

## 2. Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan Hasil Review Pertama (20 Feb 2025)



**Reviewer's report\_ Journal of Governance and Regulation**  
**External**  
**Kotak Masuk**

**Olha Lytvynenko <olha.lytvynenko@virtusinterpress.org>**

**Lampiran**

**20 Feb 2025, 17.26**

**kepada saya, ismayaagus, uzliawati, nurhayatisoleha**

**Dear Cahyadi Husadha,**

**please find the reviewers' reports and the editorial note attached below. The paper "FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, AND POLITICAL CONNECTION FOR CORPORATE CASH HOLDING IN INDONESIA" requires certain adjustments before it can be accepted.**

**Please be so kind as to address the comments provided by the reviewers and the editorial team and to send your revised manuscript by March 6.**

**Please mark the introduced changes in the manuscript (with some colour, if possible) or please provide a table/a letter to the reviewer that includes information how the reviewer's comments were addressed.**

**In the attachment, you may also find the detailed instruction regarding CRediT-taxonomy.**

**If you have any questions, please let me know.**

**Please kindly confirm the receipt of this email.**

**Kind regards,**

**Olha**

### **Editorial Note**

- 1) The length of the paper should amount to no more than 8,000 words.
- 2) The editorial team of the journal suggests to use the following title of the article: “*FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, AND POLITICAL CONNECTION FOR CORPORATE CASH HOLDINGS*” in order to increase the readability of your paper and the citation rate.
- 3) It is preferable that the „Abstract” contains 1-2 in-text citations of the sources mentioned in the list of references that the research is based on or that the research contributes to.
- 4) In the Introduction, is it recommended to indicate literature gap(s), research aim(s) and question(s), the theoretical/conceptual framework applied, relevance and significance of the study, research methodology used, main findings/contributions, and the paper’s structure.
- 5) It’s not recommended to include tables and figures in the “Introduction” section, so, if possible, please place the table and its explanation in some further section of the paper.
- 6) As for the section “Literature Review”, it is important to add a few more references of the recent years (2020-2024) in order to make the paper more citable.
- 7) “Research Methodology” section should also contain description of alternative methods that would be suitable for conducting the research.
- 8) We recommend specifying more deeply why this paper is important for future research (section “Conclusion”) and whether there are some limitations of the research. In general, please, provide more detailed conclusions and implications of the results, because currently they are quite short.
- 9) The editorial team of the journal highly recommends adding the following sources to your list of references as they will enhance the relevance of your work, as all sources are the newest in terms of the date of their publication:
  - Irwansyah, Pribadi, M. I., Roy, A., Yanti, D., Yudaruddin, Y. A., & Yudaruddin, R. (2024). COVID-19 pandemic and cash holding in consumer goods sector: International evidence. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions*, 14(1), 138–149. <https://doi.org/10.22495/rgcv14i1p10>
  - Sriram, M., & Riyazahmed, K. (2024). Corporate cash holdings and global crisis: Evidence from India. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 21(4), 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv21i4art5>
  - Yadiati, W., Meiryani, Reyhan, Purnomo, A., Persada, S. F., Sudrajat, J., Siauwijaya, R., & Inasius, F. (2024). Evaluation of the implementation of an accounting information system for sales, cash receiving, and payroll on an internal control system. *Corporate Law & Governance Review*, 6(1), 27–37. <https://doi.org/10.22495/clgrv6i1p3>

You may cite them in the Introduction as follows:

*Most research on the company's cash holdings primarily emphasizes transaction motives and the company's prudence or vigilance in managing cash (Irwansyah et al., 2024; Sriram & Riyazahmed, 2024; Tawfik et al., 2022; Yadiati et al., 2024).*

- 10) Please reduce the list of references up to 50-60 sources as the current list of references seems to be a bit redundant and it shifts the focus away from the main idea and research questions of the article.
- 11) Please make sure that all the references cited in the paper are included in the reference list and all the sources in the reference list are properly cited in the paper.
- 12) The paper requires English proofreading (language and typos) so that your paper may be read and cited by a wide audience of readers throughout the world.  
If you want the team of Virtus Interpress to do English proofreading of your paper, you may order this service at a moderate fee (90 EURO). We’ll do this work professionally, qualitatively and within a short period of time (up to one week).
- 13) In order to facilitate the transparency of the authors’ contribution, since June 2019 Virtus Interpress approves a decision about the [Contributor Role Taxonomy](#) (CRediT). Doing so, we ask our authors to use this taxonomy when providing an Author Contributions section for research papers. The detailed instruction could be found in the file (CRediT-taxonomy) attached.
- 14) It is recommended including Acknowledgements that recognise the importance of contributions made by other researchers to the paper submitted (that have not been included in the paper authorship) or organisations (universities, grants numbers, etc.) which provided funds for conducting the research (if any).

### Reviewer's Report

<b>Journal:</b>	Journal of Governance and Regulation		
<b>Title of the paper:</b>	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, AND POLITICAL CONNECTION FOR CORPORATE CASH HOLDING IN INDONESIA		
<b>Date of the Review completion:</b>	17 February 2025		
<b>Please choose options that can characterize the paper:</b>			
<b>Originality and importance of the paper to the field of research:</b>	Adequate		
<b>The structure of the paper:</b>	Is easy to follow and understand		
<b>Please tick relevant for the abstract</b>	The abstract provides an accurate summary of the manuscript (including aim, methods, key results and relevance of the study)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	The abstract contains unnecessary information (please explain)	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
	Is the abstract of appropriate size? (150-200 words)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Please tick relevant for the introduction</b>	Does the introduction identify the purpose of the paper or hypothesis and set the paper within the broader research perspective?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	The introduction puts the rest of the paper into perspective (explains paper's structure)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Methods used in the paper:</b>	Suit the aim of the research		
	Does the methodology part allow replicating or reproducing results (to check them or to perform a similar study)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	If empirical study: is the sample size large enough and was selected in an appropriate way (leave blank if not acceptable)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Results and discussion:</b>	Are the interpretations provided by the author(s) supported by the findings obtained in the study?		
Are there any <b>figures or tables</b> that have to be corrected / deleted?	No, everything is fine		
	Are the figures and/or tables clear and you can understand their essence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Conclusions:</b>	Explain main findings of the paper and are formulated correctly		
	Conclusions are supported by the findings, analysis and interpretations of the author(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	Does the conclusion section repeat the abstract of the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
<b>References</b>	Are all references in the list used in the paper?		
	Are the number, relevance and "age" of the citations appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Language of the paper:</b>	Is adequate (small mistakes)		
<b>Length of the paper:</b>	Is appropriate		
<b>What is your main verdict?</b>	Accept paper with the changes that should be made by the author/authors		
<b>Field for the comments of the reviewer:</b>			
<p>The article is well structured and easy to read. The organization and division into sections are good. The purpose of the research is clearly identified. The literature analysis is adequate and includes both remote and more recent contributions. The methodology is well illustrated and the derivation of the hypotheses tested in the paper is consistent with the supporting literature. The authors are recommended to include additional information concerning the construction of the sample; in this regard only the number of 66 companies is indicated in the abstract but more precise indications regarding their identification are absent in the other parts of the paper. For instance, was the criterion imposed that in order to be part of the sample, companies</p> <p>had to have data availability for the entire period under observation (2017-2023)? Furthermore, did companies have to remain listed for the entire period under observation (2017-2023) to be included in the sample? The results are in line with the study. The conclusions themselves are supported by the results. There are stylistic and grammatical errors that the authors are advised to remove.</p>			

### Reviewer's Report

<b>Journal:</b>	Journal of Governance and Regulation		
<b>Title of the paper:</b>	<b>Financial performance, corporate governance, and political connection for corporate cash holding in Indonesia</b>		
<b>Date of the Review completion:</b>	20 February 2025		
<b>Please choose options that can characterize the paper:</b>			
<b>Originality and importance of the paper to the field of research:</b>	Medium		
<b>The structure of the paper:</b>	Is easy to follow and understand		
<b>Please tick relevant for the abstract</b>	The abstract provides an accurate summary of the manuscript (including aim, methods, key results and relevance of the study)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	The abstract contains unnecessary information (please explain)	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
	Is the abstract of appropriate size? (150-200 words)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Please tick relevant for the introduction</b>	Does the introduction identify the purpose of the paper or hypothesis and set the paper within the broader research perspective?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	The introduction puts the rest of the paper into perspective (explains paper's structure)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Methods used in the paper:</b>	Suit the aim of the research		
	Does the methodology part allow replicating or reproducing results (to check them or to perform a similar study)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	If empirical study: is the sample size large enough and was selected in an appropriate way (leave blank if not acceptable)?	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Results and discussion:</b>	Are the interpretations provided by the author(s) supported by the findings obtained in the study?		
Are there any <b>figures or tables</b> that have to be corrected / deleted?	No, everything is fine		
	Are the figures and/or tables clear and you can understand their essence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Conclusions:</b>	Do not reveal main findings of the paper		
	Conclusions are supported by the findings, analysis and interpretations of the author(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	Does the conclusion section repeat the abstract of the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
<b>References</b>	Are all references in the list used in the paper?		
	Are the number, relevance and "age" of the citations appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
<b>Language of the paper:</b>	Is adequate (small mistakes)		
<b>Length of the paper:</b>	Is appropriate		
<b>What is your main verdict?</b>	Accept paper with the changes that should be made by the author/authors		
<b>Field for the comments of the reviewer:</b>			
<p>1. I appreciate the great work done to dive deep into the existing literature related to the topic of the research. But in some cases, even basic knowledge about some concepts is included, which I would recommend removing. Also, in some parts of the text there is unnecessary information included, which seems to be added only to make the material longer. Especially in the Introduction section, I would recommend to revise and remove some general information that is not directly connected with the topic of the research.</p> <p>2. There are also some minor language errors that need to be corrected, so I recommend revising the material to improve it.</p>			
<p>3. In some references, where the reference is a webpage, there is no information on the last date accessed. I suggest it to be added.</p> <p>4. In some tables, the source is not added below the table. Even though it may include information processed or create by the author, it must be added.</p> <p>5. Also, the conclusions section does not analyse the main findings of this research compared to the findings of the previous research made by other authors. It also does not highlight what the key limitations of the research are.</p> <p>6. The sample size, including 66 companies, is also not enough for the findings of this research to represent all the population.</p> <p>As a result, I recommend for this research to be accepted for publishing only after making the above-mentioned changes.</p>			

## “CRediT” taxonomy of contributor roles

In order to facilitate the transparency of the authors' contribution, since June 2019 Virtus Interpress approves a decision about the “CRediT” taxonomy of contributor roles. Doing so, we ask our authors to use this taxonomy when providing an Author Contributions section for research papers. With reference to recent paper by [Brand et al. \(2015\)](#), we provide the taxonomy. Also, we provide an example of a recent Author Contributions section reorganized to use this format.

Term	Definition
<b>Conceptualization</b>	Ideas; formulation or evolution of overarching research goals and aims
<b>Methodology</b>	Development or design of methodology; creation of models
<b>Software</b>	Programming, software development; designing computer programs; implementation of the computer code and supporting algorithms; testing of existing code components
<b>Validation</b>	Verification, whether as a part of the activity or separate, of the overall replication/ reproducibility of results/experiments and other research outputs
<b>Formal Analysis</b>	Application of statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal techniques to analyze or synthesize study data
<b>Investigation</b>	Conducting a research and investigation process, specifically performing the experiments, or data/evidence collection
<b>Resources</b>	Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools
<b>Data Curation</b>	Management activities to annotate (produce metadata), scrub data and maintain research data (including software code, where it is necessary for interpreting the data itself) for initial use and later reuse
<b>Writing – Original Draft</b>	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation)
<b>Writing – Review &amp; Editing</b>	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or postpublication stages
<b>Visualization</b>	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically visualization/ data presentation
<b>Supervision</b>	Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team
<b>Project Administration</b>	Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution
<b>Funding Acquisition</b>	Acquisition of the financial support for the project leading to this publication.

*Reproduced from [Brand et al. \(2015\)](#), *Learned Publishing* 28(2).*


### **Sample Author Contributions**

The following example shows an Author Contributions section applied to the accepted paper after its revision.

*Conceptualization - R.D.; Methodology - S.A.; Writing – R.D, S.A.;  
Investigation – R.T.; Funding – S.A.; Resources - S.A. and R.D.; Supervision – R.T.*

The above info should be written in the footnote linked to the name of the corresponding author of the paper at the title page of the paper.

### 3. **Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Revisi Pertama, Respon kepada Reviewer, dan Artikel yang Diresubmit (6 Mar 2025)**



CAHYADI HUSADHA CAHYADI HUSADHA <7783220023@untirta.ac.id>

Lampiran

6 Mar 2025, 19:53

kepada Olha

Greeting.


Dear ladies and gentlemen, the administrator journal.

The following is a revision of our article titled "Financial Performance, Corporate Governance, and Political Connection for Corporate Cash Holding."

We agree to proffer after our article is accepted.

Thank you. Warm regards.

## 4. Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan Hasil Review Kedua (1 Apr 2025)



Olha Lytvynenko <olha.lytvynenko@virtusinterpress.org>  
Lampiran  
1 Apr 2025, 18.02  
kepada saya

Dear Cahyadi Husadha,  
thank you again for the provided revised version of the paper and your work.  
Still, the paper requires a few more corrections before it may be accepted, namely:


- 1) The abstract is too short, the appropriate length would be 150-200 words.  
In order to enhance the readability of the manuscript, please provide in the section «Abstract» a more detailed description of the research problem, purpose of the research, methodology used, main findings of the paper, conclusion, relevance of the paper.
- 2) “Research Methodology” section should also contain description of alternative methods that would be suitable for conducting the research.
- 3) In some tables, the source is not added below the table. Even though it may include information processed or create by the author, it must be added.
- 4) The conclusions section does not analyse the main findings of this research compared to the findings of the previous research made by other authors. Please extend the discussion of the results based on the results of previous studies.
- 5) We recommend specifying more deeply some limitations of the research (section “Conclusion”).
- 6) In order to facilitate the transparency of the authors’ contribution, since June 2019 Virtus Interpress approves a decision about the Contributor Role Taxonomy (CRediT). Doing so, we ask our authors to use this taxonomy when providing an Author Contributions section for research papers. The detailed instruction could be found in the file (CRediT-taxonomy) attached.

Please provide your final revised manuscript by April 8.

I am looking forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,  
Olha

## 5. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Revisi Kedua, Respon kepada Reviewer, dan Artikel yang Diresubmit (8 Apr 2025)



CAHYADI HUSADHA CAHYADI HUSADHA <7783220023@untirta.ac.id>

Lampiran

8 Apr 2025, 21.00

kepada Olha

Greetings.



Dear Mr. and Mrs., journal managers.


The following is a revision of our article entitled “Financial Performance, Corporate Governance, and Political Connections to Corporate Cash Holding.”

We have revised it.

Thank you. Best regards.

## 6. Bukti Konfirmasi Artikel Accepted (28 Apr 2025)





Dear Cahyadi Husadha,

Thank you for sending the final revised version of your manuscript "*Financial performance, corporate governance, and political connection for corporate cash holding*". I appreciate your attention in addressing the report's comments. The final revisions of your paper are acceptable.

I am happy to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication in the journal *Journal of Governance and Regulation*. In attachment you'll find the Letter of Acceptance.

*Please note that your paper is planned to be published from June to August 2025, after detailed proofreading and typesetting of the paper by the journal office team we will start just after the payment of article processing charge (APC) is made by you*

The paper will be open accessed at the website of the Publisher immediately after its publication. In this way you, as the author, will take part in our Virtus open-access network program. Moreover, under this immediate open access option, you will have a right to upload your paper (a PDF file of your published paper) as full-text elsewhere ([ssrn.com](https://ssrn.com), Researchgate, [academia.edu](https://academia.edu), EconStor, RePEc, your university repositories, personal webpages, etc.) for open public access and disseminate the paper among your colleagues that will speed up your paper citation. Also, we will immediately, after publishing your paper at our website, start promoting your paper among our international network of scholars in corporate governance, accounting and finance (more than 5400 scholars worldwide) through direct linking, social networking, etc.

You may finalise the APC payment online using your credit card following the links:

- APC here: <https://virtusinterpress.org/Article-processing-charge-4687.html> (870 EUR, the button "Pay Now");
- proofreading fee here: <https://virtusinterpress.org/Other-information.html> (90 EUR, the button "Pay Now").

As an alternative, the payment of publication fee (the APC) may be made via PayPal. In order to pay by PayPal, you should follow the link [www.paypal.com](https://www.paypal.com), then register an account (it is easy) and use the following account details to pay:

- account - [alex\\_kostyuk@virtusinterpress.org](mailto:alex_kostyuk@virtusinterpress.org)

- name of recipient - Oleksandr Kostyuk

Please make the payment in USD, not in EUR. The equivalent of 960 EURO (870 EUR of APC + 90 EUR of English language proofreading fee) would be 1110 USD. So, please transfer 1110 USD to [alex\\_kostyuk@virtusinterpress.org](mailto:alex_kostyuk@virtusinterpress.org)



You are kindly asked to **finalise the payment by May 1, 2025**.

We'd be grateful if you would make the payment by the specified deadline, so that we can put all further processes related to the publication of the article (typesetting, editing, proofreading) in order and avoid the risk of withdrawal of your paper.

*Please let me know once the payment is finalised and we will proceed with typesetting and proofreading your paper.*

Best regards,  
Daryna

# 7. Bukti konfirmasi Proofreading dan hasil Proofreading Journal of Governance (10 Jul 2025)



Proofreading\_ Journal of Governance and Regulation  
External  
Kotak Masuk

Olha Lytvynenko <olha.lytvynenko@virtusinterpress.org>

Lampiran

10 Jul 2025, 14.51

kepada saya, ismayaagus, uzliawati, nurhayatisoleha

Dear Cahyadi Husadha,

please find attached the layout of your paper to be published in the upcoming issue of the Journal of Governance and Regulation.

There are several things I would ask you to do by Monday, July 14. All comments are provided in the file attached.


Let me know if there are any comments or corrections with regard to the current form of the paper. If you are satisfied with the paper as it is, please confirm that you agree to publish the paper in its current form.

Please provide your and your co-authors' ORCID ID (if any) or you and your co-authors can authorize the ORCID profile following the link ([Connect your ORCID ID](#)). Be sure that all your information (login, password) is strictly confidential and nobody but you will have access to your profile. ORCID ID distinguishes you and ensures your research outputs and activities are correctly attributed to you, improves recognition and discoverability for you and your research outputs.

I am looking forward to hearing back from you.

Best regards,  
Olha

## 8. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Revisi Kedua, Respon kepada Reviewer, dan Artikel yang Diresubmit (13 Jul 2025)



CAHYADI HUSADHA CAHYADI HUSADHA <7783220023@untirta.ac.id>

Lampiran

13 Jul 2025, 04.30



kepada Olha


Warm greetings to the journal managers.

We have checked and improved our article. Here we attach the file.

Thank you.

# 9. Bukti Konfirmasi Artikel Published Online (29 Agu 2025)





Olha Lytvynenko <olha.lytvynenko@virtusinterpress.org>

Lampiran

Jum, 29 Agu 2025, 20.52

kepada saya, ismayaagus, uzliawati, nurhayatisoleha

Dear Cahyadi Husadha,

thank you for publishing your paper with “Virtus Interpress”. My congratulations!

Below I attached the published version of the paper and you can find it on the website following the link.

As an author you have full copyright and are able to use your article for your own purposes, a prerequisite is to cite the article properly, as it is mentioned on the title page, with the journal designation.

In order to promote your research, stimulate citation and employ all the benefits of publishing with an open access option, it is important to popularize the visibility of your article.

Here are some recommendations:

Distribute your publication with your social networks:

Inform your followers, colleagues and friends about your article through LinkedIn, Twitter, Facebook and Google+. Tag coauthors and put hashtags that are relevant to your research.

Employ research-sharing platforms:

Register yourself and regularly update your achievements at global research-sharing platforms: SSRN, Research Gate, Academia. Edu, Mendeley, Loop.

Develop your Google Scholar Profile:

Registering and using a Google Scholar Profile will give you an opportunity to track citations to your

publication and keep in order for your research.

Renew your professional profile:

Consider the timely update of your professional profiles at your institutions and departments which you are affiliated to.

We are delighted that a successful collaboration has been established and we are looking forward to new scientific cooperation.

Best regards,  
Olha