



APTIKOM

The 5th International Conference
on Informatics and Computing (ICIC) 2020



ICIC
2020



PROCEEDING

NOVEMBER 3 - 4, 2020



<https://icic-aptikom.org/2020/>

2020 Fifth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC)

Jakarta, Indonesia

(Virtual Conference)

November 3-4, 2020



Media Type Requested	Part Number	ISBN
XPLORE COMPLIANT	CFP20G52-ART	978-1-7281-8047-2
USB	CFP20G52-USB	978-1-7281-8046-5

2020 Fifth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC)

Jakarta, Indonesia (Virtual)

Phone: +6281384175979

Email: contact@icic-aptikom.org

Website: <https://icic-aptikom.org>

November 3-4, 2020

Media Type Requested	Part Number	ISBN
XPLORE COMPLIANT	CFP20G52-ART	978-1-7281-8047-2
USB	CFP20G52-USB	978-1-7281-8046-5

2020 Fifth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC)

Copyright ©2020 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved.

Copyright and Reprint Permission

Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Libraries are permitted to photocopy beyond the limit of U.S. copyright law, for private use of patrons, those articles in this volume that carry a code at the bottom of the first page, provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

Other copying, reprint, or reproduction requests should be addressed to IEEE Copyrights Manager, IEEE Service Center, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331.

Media Type Requested	Part Number	ISBN
XPLORE COMPLIANT	CFP20G52-ART	978-1-7281-8047-2
USB	CFP20G52-USB	978-1-7281-8046-5

Additional copies of this publication are available from

Curran Associates, Inc.

57 Morehouse Lane

Red Hook, NY 12571 USA

+1 845 758 0400

+1 845 758 2633 (FAX)

PREFACE



It is my great pleasure to warmly welcome you to the Fifth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC 2020) held for the first time, ONLINE. The ICIC is a conference series which is conducted annually by APTIKOM, the Indonesian Association of Higher Education in Informatics and Computing. This year the main theme of the conference is “Towards Smart Society 5.0 Through Accelerating Digital Transformation Using Pervasive Technology in Industrial Revolution 4.0”, with an intension to bring up more awareness in our society on the importance of pervasive technology in the current era and beyond.

The ICIC conference series as a flagship conference of APTIKOM serves as an arena for academicians and their students, experts and practitioners from the industry to meet, present, and have fruitful discussions on their research works, ideas, and papers in the wide areas of Computing which covers Computer Science, Information Systems, Information Technology, Software Engineering, and Computer Engineering. The conference is set to provide opportunities for participants from both academia and industry to share and exchange knowledge as well as the cutting-edge development in the computing field. It is expected that the ICIC participants will be able to take away new thinking and horizon from this conferential meeting to further their works in the area.

Initially, ICIC2020 would be held in Last October offline in beautiful city of GORONTALO. However, due to Pandemic Covid-19. After getting approval from IEEE HQ, this conference has been rescheduled in this November today n by tomorrow (3-4 November 2020) by VIRTUAL Conference, and it is a part of Annual Coordination Meeting (RAKORNAS) of APTIKOM

There are 246 papers submission and only 127 papers are accepted which is around 52% acceptance rate only. The accepted papers will be presented in one of the 6 regular parallel and tracks sessions and will be published in the conference proceedings volume. The diversity of authors come from 6 different countries.

All accepted papers are submitted to IEEE Xplore. IEEE Conference Number: #50835. Catalog Number: CFP20G52-ART, ISBN: 978-1-7281-8047-2.

On behalf of the ICIC 2020 organizers, we wish to extend our warm welcome and would like to thank for all Keynote Speakers, Reviewers, Authors, and Committees, for their effort, guidance, contribution and valuable support. We would like to also extend our gratitude to IEEE Indonesia Section for technically co-sponsored this event.

I wish you all a most wonderful, enjoyable, and productive conference in this ICIC 2020.

Thank you.

Wa billahi taufiq wal hidaayah.

Wallahul muwaffiq ila aqwamit-tharieq.

Wasalaamu ‘alaykum warahmatullahi wabarakaatuh.

Yusuf Durachman

General Organizing Chair

THE REVIEWER OF ICIC 2020

Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, University of Indonesia

Retantyo Wardoyo, Universitas Gadjah Mada

Yusuf Durachman, Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University Jakarta

Didi Rosiyadi, Indonesian Institute of Sciences

Husni Teja Sukmana, Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University Jakarta

Bambang Krismono, Bumigora University

Joko Santoso, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Budi Irmawati, Nara Institute Science of Technology

Leon Andretti Abdillah, Bina Darma University

Dwiza Riana, STMIK Nusa Mandiri

Doni Purnama Alamsyah, Bina Nusantara University

Dian Syafitri, Bumigora University

Ismail Khalil, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

Eri Prasetyo, Gunadarma University

Rahmadya Handayanto, Universitas Islam 45

Aries Susanto, Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University Jakarta

Yana Aditia Gerhana, UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung

Indra Budi, University of Indonesia

Widya Cholil, Universitas Bina Darma

Dedy Syamsuar, Universitas Bina Darma

Mohammad Syafrullah, Universitas Budi Luhur

Untung Rahardja, Universitas Raharja

Amil Ahmad Ilham, Hasanuddin University

Ahmad Nurul Fajar, Bina Nusantara University

Norhaslinda Kamaruddin, MARA University of Technology, Malaysia

Andrew Tanny Liem, Universitas Klabat

Syaifuddin Dr., STIE Sebelas April

Achmad Benny Mutiara, Gunadarma University
Muhammad Izman Herdiansyah, Universitas Bina Darma
Said Hasibuan, IBI Darmajaya
Robby Kurniawan Harahap, Gunadarma University
Lintang Yuniar Banowosari, Gunadarma University
Meyliana Meyliana, Bina Nusantara University
Edi Surya Negara, Universitas Bina Darma
Henderi Henderi, Universitas Raharja
Tri Handhika, Gunadarma University
Abdullah Alkalbani University of Buraimi, Sultanate of Oman
Bharanidharan Shanmugam, University of Darwin, Australia
Mohammad Iqbal, Universite de Bourgogne, France
Sunny Arief, STMIK Jakarta STI&K
Ernastuti, Gunadarma University
Prihandoko Prihandoko, Universitas Gunadarma
Sandy Kosasi, STMIK Pontianak
Taqwa Hariguna, STMIK AMIKOM Purwokerto
Dedi Iskandar Inan, University of Technology, Sydney
Sarif Madenda, Gunadarma University
Cecilia Nugraheni, Parahyangan Catholic University
Andree E. Widjaja, Universitas Pelita Harapan
Okfalisa Okfalisa, UIN Suska, Riau
Rizal Munadi, Syiah Kuala University
Nashrul Hakiem, Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah
Sardjoeni Moedjiono, Universitas Budi Luhur
Paulus Insap Santosa, Gadjah Mada University
Darmawan Napitupulu, University of Indonesia
Muhammad Yusuf, University of Trunojoyo, Madura
Tri Kuntoro Priyambodo, Universitas Gadjah Mada
M. Agni Catur Bhakti, Sampoerna University

Tubagus Maulana, Universitas Gunadarma

Mochamad Wahyudi, BSI University

Friska Natalia, Universitas Multimedia Nusantara

Wendi Usino, Universitas Budi Luhur

Dyah Susilowati, Universitas Bumigora

TABLE OF CONTENT

	FRONT MATTER	ii-iv
	PREFACE	v
	LIST OF REVIEWER	vi-viii
	TABLE OF CONTENT	ix-xix
1	Energy Efficient Routing Protocol AOMDV on MANET (Mobile Ad-Hoc Network) with Malicious Node <i>Siti Ummi Masruroh, Angga Zain Sauqy Perdana, Hendra Bayu Suseno, Andrew Fiade, Dewi Khairani, Husni Teja Sukmana</i>	1-5
2	City Health Prediction Model Using Random Forest Classification Method <i>Prihandoko Prihandoko, Bertalya Bertalya, Lilis Setyowati</i>	6-11
3	Segmentation for embryonated Egg Images Detection using the K-means Algorithm in Image Processing <i>Shoffan Saifullah</i>	12-19
4	Minimizing Toll Payment Queue using GPS-Based Mobile Applications <i>Danang Dismantoro, Istas Pratomo, Surya Sumpeno</i>	20-27
5	An Evaluation of Internet Addiction Test (IAT) <i>Heru Nugroho, Maria Irminda, Rini Wijayanti</i>	28-34
6	Handling of Mathematical Expression on Latin-to-Balinese Script Transliteration Method on Mobile Computing <i>Gede Indrawan, Gede Rasben Dantes, Kadek Yota Ernanda Aryanto, I Ketut Paramarta</i>	35-40
7	Case-Based Reasoning System to Determine the Types of Fish Farming Based on Water Quality <i>Hidayati Mustafidah, Suwarsito, Ekky Puspitasari</i>	41-46
8	Design and Implementation of Work Training Certificate Verification Based On Public Blockchain Platform <i>Irawan Afrianto, Yayan Heryanto</i>	47-55
9	Study and Research on the Identification of the Leaves of Indonesian Herbal Medicines Using Manhattan Distance and Neural Network Algorithms <i>Trinugi Wira Harjanti, Sarifuddin Madenda, Johan Harlan, Lussiana E.T.P</i>	56-62
	The Best Classification Algorithm for Identification Beef Quality Based on Marbling	

10	<i>Hanny Hikmayanti Handayani, Sarifuddin Madenda, Eri Prasetyo Wibowo, Tubagus Maulana Kusuma, Sigit Widiyanto, Anis Fitri Nur Masruriyah</i>	63-67
11	Sentiment Analysis of Indonesian Movie Trailer on YouTube Using Delta TF-IDF and SVM <i>Muhammad Alkaff, Andreyan Rizky Baskara, Yohanes Hendro Wicaksono</i>	68-73
12	Health Information Adoption Influencing Factors in Social Online Community <i>Ave Adriana Pinem, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Awalia Mitha Syaninda, Ernest Anderson, Hera Mentari Putri, Yudistira Ramadhan, Rahmat Mustafa Samik Ibrahim</i>	74-80
13	Does System based on Artificial Intelligence need Software Engineering Method? Systematic Review <i>Irdina Wanda Syahputri, Ridi Ferdiana, Sri Suning Kusumawardani</i>	81-87
14	An Improvement of LED Lighting System Accuracy With Voltage Control System <i>Maman Abdurohman, Ramdhan Nugraha, Aji Gautama Putrada</i>	88-93
15	Webqual and Importance Performance Analysis Method: The Evaluation of Tegal City's Public Service Information System Web Quality <i>Warjiyono Warjiyono, Amin Nur Rais, Fandhilah Fandhilah, Wati Erawati, Nurdiana Handayani, Hendra Mayatopani</i>	94-101
16	Mobile-based Smart District Holistic Values And Social Dimensions: a Citizen-centric Perspective <i>Aang Kisnu Darmawan, Daniel Oranova Siahaan, Tony Dwi Susanto, Hoiriyah, Busro Akramul Umam, Miftahul Walid, Betty Dewi Puspasari, A'ang Subiyakto, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto</i>	102-109
17	Modeling and Analysis of Three Phase Active Power Filter Integrated Photovoltaic as a Reactive Power Compensator Using the Simulink Matlab Tool <i>Setiyono, Sunny Arief Sudiro, Eri Prasetyo Wibowo</i>	110-118
18	The Community Readiness Measurement in Implementing Smart City in Banyuasin Regency <i>Darius Antoni, Atika Arpan, Edi Supratman</i>	119-125
19	Improving Indoor Positioning Systems Accuracy in Closed Buildings with Kalman Filter and Feedback Filter <i>Muhammad Andika Satrugna Mahardhika, Aji Gautama Putrada, Maman Abdurohman</i>	126-134
20	Weighted Majority Voting by Statistical Performance Analysis on Ensemble Multiclassifier <i>Retantyo Wardoyo, Aina Musdhlifah, Gede Angga Pradipta, I Nyoman Hariyasa Sanjaya</i>	135-143
21	Unveiling Purchase Intention for .id Domain using e-WOM Adoption on Social Media <i>Mieke Eka Putri, Yudho Giri Sucahyo, Arfive Gandhi</i>	144-151

22	Examining GOJEK Drivers' Loyalty: The Influence of GOJEK's Partnership Mechanism and Service Quality <i>Fatimah Azzahro, Muhammad Aulia Adil, Arya Fathurrahman, Athifah Fidelia Sectianri, Nabila Laili Halimah, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Adhi Yuniarto Laurentius Yohanes</i>	152-157
23	Design of a Robot to Control Agricultural Soil Conditions using ESP-NOW Protocol <i>R Rizal Isnanto, Yudi Eko Windarto, Jonathan Gloriawan, Faiz Cesara</i>	158-164
24	Basic Knowledge Construction Technique to Reduce The Volume of Low-Dimensional Big Data <i>Gede Karya, Benhard Sitohang, Saiful Akbar, Veronica S. Moertini</i>	165-173
25	Detection of Fingerprint Authenticity Based on Deep Learning Using Image Pixel Value <i>Hariyanto, Sunny Arief Sudiro, Tubagus Maulana Kusuma, Sarifuddin Madenda, Laode M. Rasdi Rere</i>	174-180
26	The Antecedents of Trust and Their Influence on M-Health Adoption <i>Widia Resti Fitriani, Arief Fadli Wicaksono, Danang Gagastama Joewono, Muhammad Zidane Zaffar, Reza Akbar Shahputra, Ziegggy Ronnavelly, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Lim Yohanes Stefanus</i>	181-187
27	Detection and Simulation of Vacant Parking Lot Space Using EAST Algorithm and Haar Cascade <i>Rizki Alfarizi Harahap, Eri Prasetyo Wibowo, Robby Kurniawan Harahap</i>	188-193
28	Classification of Rice Leaf using Fuzzy Logic and Hue Saturation Value (HSV) to Determine Fertilizer Dosage <i>Yuslena Sari, Muhammad Alkaff, Muti'a Maulida</i>	194-200
29	The effect of the perceived mobility and the provider reputation towards the usage continuance of the personal cloud storage <i>Ratna Juita, Aulia Hafiz Nur Rahman, Ihdhal Suharmitan, Kenny Reida Dharmawan, Muhammad Rafiando Gabhira, Rima Indriani, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Dedi I. Inan</i>	201-207
30	Double Layered Text Encryption using Beaufort and Hill Cipher Techniques <i>Muhammad Fadlan, Suprianto, Muhammad, Yusni Amaliah</i>	208-214
31	Detection of Blackhole Attack in Wireless Sensor Network Using Enhanced Check Agent <i>Riko Saputra, Julpri Andika, Mudrik Alaydrus</i>	215-219
32	Trust and Distrust: The Antecedents of Intention to Donate in Digital Donation Platform <i>Adyanissa Farsya Kirana, Fatimah Azzahro, Putu Wuri Handayani, Widia Resti Fitriani</i>	220-226

	Rocky Roads towards Smart Tourism: A Multi-Dimensional Challenges Review	
33	<i>Hafizh Rafizal Adnan, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Betty Purwandari, Bobby Achirul Awal Nazief, Solikin</i>	227-234
	Retrieval Information Using Generalized Vector Space Models And Sentiment Analysis Using Naïve Bayes Classifier For Evaluation Of Lecturers By Students	
34	<i>Suprianto, Muhammad Fadlan, Muhammad, Yusni Amaliah, Mussallimah</i>	235-242
	Chest X-Ray Image Analysis to Augment the Decision Making in Diagnosing Pneumonia using Convolutional Neural Networks Algorithm	
35	<i>Cynthia Hayat, Enggalwiguno Rahardja, Noviyanti Tm Sagala</i>	243-249
	Gamification of the Lecturer Career Promotion System with a Recommender System	
36	<i>Tubagus Mohammad Akhriza, Indah Dwi Mumpuni</i>	250-258
	Comparison of Migration Approaches of ICN/NDN on IP Networks	
37	<i>Feri Fahrianto, Noriaki Kamiyama</i>	259-266
	Converging Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Technology using Oracle Contract in Ethereum Blockchain Platform	
38	<i>Richard, Mahenda Metta Surya, Avenia Clarissa Wibowo</i>	267-272
	The Role of Blockchain Technology in E-Government Capability: Literature Review	
39	<i>Febriansyah, Darius Antoni, Endang Lestari</i>	273-278
	Area of Mangrove Forests Calculated by Color Image Segmentation Using k-Means Clustering and Region Growing	
40	<i>R Rizal Isnanto, Oky Dwi Nurhayati, Tyas Panorama Nan Cerah</i>	279-288
	Educating Farmers Using Participatory Rural Appraisal Construct	
41	<i>Giandari Maulani, Untung Rahardja, Marviola Hardini, Ria Dwi I'zzaty, Qurotul Aini</i>	289-297
	Factors Influencing of Online Forums Effectiveness as Knowledge Sharing Media in Increasing Knowledge and Cooking Performance	
42	<i>Rafif Iqbal Shaputra, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Purwo Aji Fahmi Akmal, Ismail Al Ghani, Muhammad Arief Wibowo, Annisa Monicha Sari</i>	298-303
	A Hybrid Approach with Balanced Score Card and Fuzzy Topsis Method for Identifying Critical Factors Affecting Smart Regency Development	
43	<i>Aang Kisnu Darmawan, Daniel Oranova Siahaan, Tony Dwi Susanto, Hoiriyah, Busro Akramul Umam, Tony Yulianto, Miftahul Walid, Betty Dewi Puspasari, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto</i>	304-312
	The Importance of Bounding Box in Motion Detection	
44	<i>Ahmad Fauzi, Sarifuddin Madenda, Ernastuti Ernastuti, Eri Prasetyo Wibowo, Anis Fitri Nur Masruriyah</i>	313-318

	Socio Economic Perspective: Mitigate Covid-19 Impact on Education	
45	<i>Mustofa Kamil, Po Abas Sunarya, Untung Rahardja, Nuke Puji Lestari Santoso, Qurotul Aini</i>	319-326
	Big Data Integration Design for General Election in Indonesia	
46	<i>Gede Karya, Wikan Danar Sunindyo, Benhard Sitohang, Saiful Akbar, Adi Mulyanto</i>	327-334
	Optimization of K-NN Classification in Human Gait Recognition	
47	<i>Fandy Indra Pratama, Avira Budianita</i>	335-340
	Taekwondo Sports Test and Training Data Management Using Blockchain	
48	<i>Untung Rahardja, Marviola Hardini, Aditiya Lityanian Al Nasir, Qurotul Aini, Nuke Puji Lestari Santoso</i>	341-347
	Data Mining for Student Assessment in e-Learning: A Survey	
49	<i>Wenty Dwi Yuniarti, Edi Winarko, Aina Musdholifah</i>	348-354
	Adoption of upcoming blockchain revolution in higher education: its potential in validating certificates	
50	<i>Tuti Nurhaeni, Indri Handayani, Frizca Budiarty, Desy Apriani, Po Abas Sunarya</i>	355-360
	Blockchain Family Deed Certificate For Privacy And Data Security	
51	<i>Po Abas Sunarya, Henderi, Sulistiawati, Alfiah Khoirunisa, Pipit Nursaputri</i>	361-365
	The Determinant Factors in Utilizing Electronic Signature Using the TAM and TOE Framework	
52	<i>Budi Haryanto, Arfive Gandhi, Yudho Giri Sucahyo</i>	366-374
	Predicting the Selling Price of Cars Using Business Intelligence with the Feed-forward Backpropagation Algorithms	
53	<i>Nur Oktavin Idris, Aspian Achban, Siti Andini Utiahman, Jorry Karim, Fuad Pontooyo</i>	375-381
	Drivers and Barriers to IT Service Management Adoption in Indonesian Start-up Based on the Diffusion of Innovation Theory	
54	<i>Rini Juliana Sipahutar, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Solikin, Untung Rahardja, Kongkiti Phusavat</i>	382-390
	Managing Service Level for Academic Information System Help Desk for XYZ University Based on ITIL V3 Framework	
55	<i>Yuyun Tri Wiranti, Hendy M. J. Saputra, Dorce Berkat Tandirau, Tegar Palyus Fiqar, M. Gilvy Langgawan P, Eidelwiana Ramadhani, Amalia I. N. F. Abdullah</i>	391-397
	Sentiment Analysis and Topic Detection of Mobile Banking Application Review	
56	<i>Majesty Eksa Permana, Handoko Ramadhan, Indra Budi, Aris Budi Santoso, Prabu Kresna Putra</i>	398-404
	Deep Learning for Assessing Unhealthy Lettuce Hydroponic Using Convolutional Neural Network based on Faster R-CNN with Inception V2	

57	<i>Ichsan Yudha Pratama, Abdi Wahab, Mudrik Alaydrus</i>	405-411
	Knowledge Management System Design of the Security Command Center in A Financial and Banking Company with Contingency Factors and Sprint Design Methodology	
58	<i>Figur Humani, Hilman Wisnu, Adyan Pamungkas Ganefi, Dana Indra Sensuse, Jonathan Sofian Lusa, Damayanti Elisabeth</i>	412-418
	Investigating the Impact of System and Service Qualities on Customer Loyalty in Acceptance of E-Marketplace	
59	<i>Fx Hendra Prasetya, Bernardinus Harnadi, Agus Nugroho, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Albertus Widianoro</i>	419-425
	Data Mining Classification Approach To Predict The Duration Of Contraceptive Use	
60	<i>Yudhi Dwi Fajar Maulana, Yova Ruldeviyani, Dana Indra Sensuse</i>	426-432
	Design of Disaster Recovery Plan: State University in Indonesia	
61	<i>Andri Setyawan, Yudho Giri Sucahyo, Arfive Gandhi</i>	433-438
	Analysis of User Reviews for the PeduliLindungi Application on Google Play Using the Support Vector Machine and Naive Bayes Algorithm Based on Particle Swarm Optimization	
62	<i>Ali Mustopa, Hermanto, Anna, Eri Bayu Pratama, Ade Hendini, Deni Risdiansyah</i>	439-446
	Competency Evaluation of Project Manager Performance in Network Construction Projects	
63	<i>Yohanes Fajar Sitohang, Devi Pratami, Achmad Fuad Bay</i>	447-455
	Developing a Quality Metric in Controlling the Project Task	
64	<i>Indriana Novitiara, Devi Pratami, Achmad Fuad Bay</i>	456-463
	Data Analytics of Students' Profiles and Activities in a Full Online Learning Context	
65	<i>Tuti Purwoningsih, Harry B. Santoso, Zainal A. Hasibuan</i>	464-472
	Factors Influencing User Intention in Opening Personal Data on Social Media	
66	<i>Kevin Sutarno, Brian Estadimas, Amira Taliya, Damar Wardoyo, Ika Chandra Hapsari, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Bobby A.A. Nazief</i>	473-480
	Ontology-based Approach for Dynamic E-Learning Personalization	
67	<i>Kusuma Ayu Laksitowening, Zainal Arifin Hasibuan, Harry Budi Santoso</i>	481-486
	Implementation The Convolutional Neural Network Method For Classification The Draw-A-Person Test	
68	<i>Jhordy Wong Abuhasan, Sigit Widiyanto</i>	487-493
	Implementation Of Convolutional Neural Network Method For Classification Of Baum Test	
69	<i>Irvan Setiawan, Hafizh Nurhady, Tristyanti Yusnitasari, Noor Vika Hizviani</i>	494-500

70	Maturity Grading of Oil Palm Fresh Fruit Bunches Based on a Machine Learning Approach <i>Anindita Septiarini, Heliza Rahmania Hatta, Hamdani Hamdani, Ana Oktavia, Anita Ahmad Kasim, Suyanto Suyanto</i>	501-505
71	Measurement of Information Security Awareness Level: A Case Study of Mobile Banking (M-Banking) Users <i>Khairunnisa Firsty Arisya, Yova Ruldeviyani, Rahardi Prakoso, Amanda Lailatul Fadhilah</i>	506-511
72	Mobile-based Fish Quality Detection System Using K-Nearest Neighbors Method <i>Suhadi Suhadi, Prima Dina Atika, Sugiyatno Sugiyatno, Ahmad Panogari, Rahmadya Trias Handayanto, Herlawati Herlawati</i>	512-517
73	Developing Name Entity Recognition for Structured and Unstructured Text Formatting Dataset <i>Nadhia Salsabila Azzahra, Muhammad Okky Ibrohim, Junaedi Fahmi, Bagus Fajar Apriyanto, Oskar Riandi</i>	518-525
74	Foreign Exchange Prediction using CEEMDAN and Improved FA-LSTM <i>Mustika Ulina, Ronsen Purba, Arwin Halim</i>	526-532
75	Social Media User Acceptance on Instagram Health Information Recommendation: A Transactive Memory System Perspective <i>Hafizh Rafizal Adnan, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Christie Vithasa Immanuel Kassan, Albert Christian Bagun, Ilham Pamungkas Nasution, Samuel, Ervi Cofryanti</i>	533-540
76	Human Activity Recognition using Reduced Kernel Extreme Learning Machine for Body Weight Management <i>Arwin Halim, Erick Kwantan, Silfi Langie, Vinson Chandra, Hernawati Gohzali</i>	541-546
77	Prediction of Student Graduation With Naive Bayes Algorithm <i>Hartatik, Kusrini Kusrini, Agung Budi Prasetio</i>	547-552
78	Purchasing New Smartphones among University Students: The Role of Domain-Specific Innovativeness (DSI) and Technology Product Characteristics <i>Karina Lia Meirita Uloa, Aldi Firmansyah, Astari Dwi Rahmanisa, Athifa Michela, Deana Almira Putri, Hauri Silmi Zafirah, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Ferdian Aditya Pratama</i>	553-557
79	Task Design for Indonesian Cultural Heritage Data Collection with Crowdsourcing <i>Winangsari Pradani, Zainal Arifin Hasibuan</i>	558-565
80	Speech Emotion Recognition on Indonesian YouTube Web Series Using Deep Learning Approach <i>Hanina Nuralifa Zahra, Muhammad Okky Ibrohim, Junaedi Fahmi, Rike Adelia, Fandy Akhmad Nur Febryanto, Oskar Riandi</i>	566-572

81	Learning Optimization Using Genetic Algorithm in Post-Stroke EEG Signal Classification <i>Esmeralda Contessa Djamal, Mita Amara, Daswara Djajasasmita, Sandy Lesmana Liem Limanjaya</i>	573-578
82	What Do Affect Customers to Use Mobile Payment Continually? A Systematic Literature Review <i>Mutia Fadhila Putri, Betty Purwandari, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto</i>	579-585
83	The Crowdsourcing Method to Normalize "Bahasa Alay", a Case of Indonesian Corpus <i>Rianto, Achmad Benny Mutiara, Eri Prasetyo Wibowo, P. Insap Santosa</i>	586-591
84	Gabor Filter Methods to Analyze the Influence of Geographic Distance and Folk Song in Java Indonesia <i>Clara Tania, Wella, Yustinus Soelistio</i>	592-597
85	Diagnosis of Feline Skin Disease Using C4.5 Algorithm <i>Triyanna Widiyaningtyas, I Made Wirawan, Sabilla Halimatus Mahmud</i>	598-603
86	Affordable Mobile Virtual Reality Earthquake Simulation <i>Peter Liuwandy, Suryasari, Wella</i>	604-609
87	Aspect Oriented Programming Approach for Variability Feature Implementation in Software Product Line Engineering <i>Ni Made Satvika Iswari, Eko K. Budiardjo, Zainal A. Hasibuan</i>	610-615
88	Deep Neural Network Method to Classify Empon-Empon Herb Based on E-Nose <i>Maimunah, Mukhtar Hanafi, Bayu Agustian</i>	616-620
89	Survey of Data Mining Techniques for Intrusion Detection Systems <i>Aditya Nur Cahyo, Edi Winarko, Aina Musdholifah</i>	621-629
90	Indonesian Tweets Hate Speech Target Classification using Machine Learning <i>Sandy Kurniawan, Indra Budi</i>	630-635
91	Usability Evaluation and User Interface Design of University Staffing Information System <i>Bryanza Novirahman, Harry Budi Santoso, R. Yugo Kartono Isal</i>	636-644
92	Dew Computing: Concept and Its Implementation Strategy <i>Prayudi Utomo, Falahah</i>	645-651
93	Decision Support System For Student Scholarship Recipients Using Simple Additive Weighting Method with Sensitivity Analysis <i>Fata Nidaul Khasanah, Rahmadya Trias Handayanto, Herlawati Herlawati, Djuni Thamrin, Prasojo Prasojo, Erik Saut H Hutahaeen</i>	652-658

94	Improving the Quality of AES S-box by Modifications Irreducible Polynomial and Affine Matrix <i>Alamsyah</i>	659-665
95	Factors Affecting Acceptance of E-marketplace Based On Hybrid Model of Modified TAM-TRI <i>Kadek Cahya Dewi, Ni Wayan Dewinta Ayuni</i>	666-674
96	A Model of Requirements Engineering on Agriculture Mobile Learning System Using Goal-Oriented Approach <i>Rosa Delima, Argo Wibowo, Antonius Rachmat Chrismanto, Halim Budi Santoso</i>	675-683
97	Finding Preeminence: A Systematic Literature Review of e-Service Success Factors <i>Andy Syahrizal, Assaf Arief, Dana Indra Sensuse</i>	684-690
98	Information Security Risk Management Planning of Digital Certificate Management Case Study: Balai Sertifikasi Elektronik <i>Dana Indra Sensuse, Andy Syahrizal, Faizan Aditya, Muhammad Nazri</i>	691-698
99	PenalViz: A Web-Based Visualization Tool for The Indonesian Penal Code <i>Mubarik Ahmad, Roha Di Oloan Tampubolon, Kukuh Fadli Prasetyo</i>	699-703
100	A Comparison of Supervised Text Classification and Resampling Techniques for User Feedback in Bahasa Indonesia <i>Dhammajoti, Julio Christian Young, Andre Rusli</i>	704-710
101	Spatial Intelligent Decision Support System for Coconut Agroindustry Downstream Development: An Overview <i>Siti Wardah, Marimin, Mohammad Yani, Taufik Djatna</i>	711-717
102	Conceptualizing Smart Government Implementation in Smart City Context: A Systematic Review <i>Dhena Kamalia Fu'adi, Assaf Arief, Dana Indra Sensuse, Andy Syahrizal</i>	718-725
103	Augmented Reality Development for Supporting Cultural Education Role in SMB II Museum During Covid-19 Pandemic <i>Shinta Puspasari, Nazori Suhandi, Jaya Nur Iman</i>	726-732
104	Driving Simulator Software for Evaluation of Safety Driving <i>Mohammad Iqbal, Karmilasari, Kemal Ade Sekarwati, Dian Kemala Putri</i>	733-742
105	Twitter Scrapping for Profiling Education Staff <i>Herlawati Herlawati, Rahmadya Trias Handayanto, Inna Ekawati, Kardinah Indrianna Meutia, Jelita Asian, Umar Aditiawarman</i>	743-749
	Decision Support System with Fuzzy Multi-Attribute Decision Making (FMADM) and Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) In Laptop Vendor Selection	

106	<i>Anita Diana, Achmad Solichin</i>	750-757
107	News Sentiment Analysis in Forex Trading Using R-CNN on Deep Recurrent Q- Network <i>Kevin Chantona, Ronsen Purba, Arwin Halim</i>	758-765
108	Framework With An Approach To The User As An Evaluation For The Recommender Systems <i>Zen Munawar, Nanna Suryana, Zurina binti Sa'aya, Yudi Herdiana</i>	766-771
109	Combination of LSTM and CNN for Article-Level Propaganda Detection in News Articles <i>Dimas Sony Dewantara, Indra Budi</i>	772-776
110	Analysis of Higher Education Performance Measurement Using Academic Scorecard and Analytical Hierarchy Process <i>Titus Kristanto, Walid Maulana Hadiansyah, Muhammad Nasrullah</i>	777-783
111	Learning Progress Modeling for Monitoring Student <i>Ria Arafiyah, Zainal A. Hasibuan, Harry Budi Santoso</i>	784-790
112	Indonesia's News Topic Discussion about Covid-19 Outbreak using Latent Dirichlet Allocation <i>Razief Perucha Fauzie Afidh, Zainal A. Hasibuan</i>	791-797
113	Improving Value-Based E-Government Towards the Achievement of Smart Government <i>Agus Hermanto, Rosziati Binti Ibrahim, Geri Kusnanto</i>	798-805
114	The Facial Emotion Recognition (FER2013) Dataset for Prediction System of Micro- Expressions Face Using the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Algorithm based Raspberry Pi <i>Lutfiah Zahara, Purnawarman Musa, Eri Prasetyo Wibowo, Irwan Karim, Saiful Bahri Musa</i>	806-815
115	IT Implementation of Customer Relationship Management <i>Nina Kurnia Hikmawati, Doni Purnama Alamsyah, Ahmad Setiadi</i>	816-820
116	Mapping fMRI voxel activations to CNN feature space for ease of categorization <i>Bhargav Krishnamurthy, Dr.Sudha Subramanian</i>	821-827
117	GIS-Based MCDM for Central Business Suitability in a Small City <i>Herlawati Herlawati, Edi Abdurachman, Yaya Heryadi, Haryono Soeparno</i>	828-833
118	Support Vector Machine And Neural Network Algorithm Approach to Classifying Facial Expression Recognition <i>Muhamad Fatchan, Mauridhi Hery Purnomo, Affandy, Ahmad Zainul Fanani, Linda Marlinda</i>	834-839

119	Research Spectrum Framework in the Field of Educational Technology <i>Richardus Eko Indrajit, Basuki Wibawa, Atwi Suparman</i>	840-844
120	Portrait of Higher Education in the Covid-19 Period in a Digital Literacy Perspective: A Reflection on the Online Lecture Process Experience <i>Richardus Eko Indrajit, Basuki Wibawa</i>	845-850
	AUTHOR INDEX	851-859

Decision Support System For Student Scholarship Recipients Using Simple Additive Weighting Method with Sensitivity Analysis

1st Fata Nidaul Khasanah
Informatics Engineering
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Bekasi, Indonesia 17121
fatanidaul@gmail.com

2nd Rahmadya Trias Handayanto
Computer Engineering
Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi
Bekasi, Indonesia 17113
rahmadya.trias@gmail.com

3rd Herlawati Herlawati
Informatics Engineering
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Bekasi, Indonesia 17121
herlawati@ubharajaya.ac.id

4th Djuni Thamrin
Management
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Bekasi, Indonesia 17121
djuni.thamrin@ubharajaya.ac.id

5th Prasojo Prasojo
Communication Science
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Bekasi, Indonesia 17121
prasojo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

6th Erik Saut H Hutahaean
Psychology
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Bekasi, Indonesia 17121
erik.saut@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Abstract — The scholarship recipients should ideally be given to the appropriate students. Many methods have been widely used to assist the school management in deciding the scholarship recipients. However, such methods do not give the additional information and other methods comparison. The purpose of this research is to provide systematic and objective scholarship selection recommendation system and using sensitivity analysis to compare between the two decision support methods used, i.e. the Simple Additive Weighting and the Weighted Product methods. The Simple Additive Weighting method provides the highest assessment results, namely alternatives with a preference value of 13.27. The Weighted Product method provides the highest assessment results, namely alternatives with a preference value of 0.046. The results of the sensitivity analysis show that the total change value of the Simple Additive Weighting method was 6%, while in the Weighted Product method the total change value was 0.2%. Therefore, the sensitivity analysis showed that the Simple Additive Weighting method better than Weighted Product in determining the scholarship recipient recommendation because it has a greater total change value.

Keywords—scholarship recommendation system, simple additive weighting, sensitivity analysis, weighted product

I. INTRODUCTION

Scholarships are a form of grant in the form of money given to students to be used for tuition fees and other costs [1]. Some countries provide scholarship, for example in Indonesia, every citizen has the right to receive instruction, which is stipulated in the 1945 Constitution Article 31 (1). The scholarship program has been carried out by every educational institution in Indonesia, from elementary school to middle and higher school level. For higher level education there are several types of scholarships offered, namely: (1) scholarships given to students who have increased learning achievement, and (2) scholarships given to underprivileged students.

The scholarship award should be given to the right person. However, in its implementation, scholarships are sometimes given to inappropriate ones, this is due to the large number of assessment criteria that need to be considered in the selection process and the number of assessment alternatives that need to be selected objectively by considering the predetermined assessment criteria [2]. Such selection process faces another problem i.e. there is no systematic and objective method. The selection process which is only carried out by comparing applicants' files with each other against the assessment criteria

without any method allows for subjective assessments, errors in ordering or ranking and the length of time required for the selection process. Therefore, a proper decision support system can be implemented for selection purpose.

A decision support method was proposed in providing the scholarship selection decision system is the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method and the Weighted Product (WP) method. The Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method has the ability to select the best alternative from some candidates [2], [3]. The basic concept of this method is searching the weighted sum of the performance ratings in each alternative.

The efforts have been made to assist school for scholarship recipient recommendation using Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) [2], [4], [5]. Another method use fuzzy-based system which showed rules or logic behind the system [6].

Some studies have compared the methods for scholarship recommendation system [3], [7], [8]. Other method outperformed SAW, e.g. MCDM, TOPSIS, SMART, and other hybrids, only not more than 0.5% but SAW method has a simple and fast characteristics [8], [9]. In the calculation process, the SAW method has a decision matrix normalization process (X) to a scale that can be compared with all available alternative values [10]. The Weighted Product method was also chosen as the selection method because it can determine the weight value of each attribute and with a ranking process, it will determine the student achievement according to the criteria. Weighted Product method is also considered more efficient because of the shorter time required for calculation [11].

In this study, the Simple Additive Weighting method and the Weighted Product method was used with an additional sensitivity analysis method. Sensitivity analysis is a process to determine the results of the comparison of decision support methods in problem solving. This method will be used to find out how sensitive a method is if it is applied to solve a particular case. If a method has a high sensitivity value, it should be appropriate to be chosen to solve the problem [12], [13].

The paper contributes to DSS for scholarship recommendation with the sensitivity analysis as a consideration for user to decide the scholarship recipients. Therefore, the user has a good assurance for he/her decision.

The paper is organized as follows. Method section discusses SAW, weighted product methods, and sensitivity analysis. Result and discussion show the SAW and weighted product performance based on sensitivity analysis before conclusion.

II. DATA AND METHOD

A. Data

Data was collected with five criteria. The candidates for scholarship recipients were represented as the alternatives. SAW and WP methods were used to rank the alternatives as well as their sensitivity value.

The data consist of five criteria, i.e. grade point academic (GPA), semester, dependent, organization and award. Alternatives are candidate of scholarship recipients to be calculated by the proposed system.

B. Simple Additive Weighting (SAW)

The basic concept of SAW is finding the weighted sum of the performance ratings in each alternative. Therefore, the SAW method is also called the weighted addition method. It uses a decision matrix-normalization process (X) to be a scale and compared with all available alternative values [10].

Simple Additive Weighting method has typical steps as follows: 1) determining alternatives, 2) determining the assessment criteria, 3) determining the weight of each criterion, 4) normalizing the matrix, 5) making a normalized matrix, 6) determining the ranking of each alternative until finally a decision is obtained [10].

In determining the normalization matrix, the assessment criteria used should be determined first as the profit or the cost criteria. If the assessment criteria used are benefits, then determining normalization uses the equation (1):

$$r_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\text{Max } x_{ij}} \quad (1)$$

Where X is the matrix-normalization. Meanwhile, if the assessment criteria is the cost, normalization matrix is calculated following the equation (2).

$$r_{ij} = \frac{\text{Min } x_{ij}}{x_{ij}} \quad (2)$$

Variable r_{ij} represents the normalized performance rating of the alternative A_i in the C_j attribute with variable $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Variable $\text{Max } x_{ij}$ represents the highest or maximum value of each row and column, $\text{Min } x_{ij}$ is the lowest or minimum value of each row and column, and x_{ij} represents the row and column of the matrix. After determining the normalization of the matrix, a normalized matrix is generated.

The final step is to determine the preference value determined according the equation (3):

$$V_i = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j r_{ij} \quad (3)$$

Variable V_i , w_{ij} , and r_{ij} represent the final value of the alternative, weight of the predetermined criteria and the value

of the normalized matrix, respectively. The final result is calculated from the ranking process, i.e. by adding up the multiplication results between the normalized matrix and the weight vector. The best alternative is obtained based on the final preference score which has the highest value

C. Weighted Product (WP)

The study also used another method, The Weighted Product, which is a finite set of decision alternatives that are described in terms of several decision criteria. The basic concept of the Weighted Product method is to find the weighted multiplication of the performance rating for each alternative on all attributes that is also known as the weighted multiplication method [14].

The WP method has many stages, including: 1) determining the alternative, 2) determining the assessment criteria, 3) determining the weight of each criterion, 4) normalizing the weight, 5) normalizing the matrix or determining the vector value S, 5) determining the vector V, 6) obtaining the decision result with the highest alternative value.

Weight normalization can be done by dividing the weight value with the total number of weights. The weight normalization calculation can be done using equation (4).

$$w_j = \frac{w_j}{\sum w_j} \quad (4)$$

Where w_j represents the weight of j criteria. Furthermore, to determine the vector S for objective criteria we rank the value of each criterion to the positive rank of each normalized weight result. Meanwhile, for the cost criteria, the vector S is determined by ranking the value of each criterion to the negative power of each normalized weight result. The calculation of the vector S can be done as in equation (5).

$$S_i = \prod_{j=1}^n x_{ij}^{w_j} \quad (5)$$

The final stage in determining the preference value is determined by dividing the result of the vector S for each alternative with the total number of vectors S. Vector V can be calculated using equation (6).

$$V_{jn} = \frac{S_i}{\sum S_i} \quad (6)$$

The chosen alternative is based from the highest value from the calculation of the weighted product method.

D. Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was used in this study to determine how sensitive is a method if it is applied to solve a particular case. If the sensitivity value is high, it shows the good result and the recommendation is appropriate. A high sensitivity value shows the more sensitive for each change in ranking, [12], [13]. The degree of sensitivity (S_j) can be determined through several steps, namely: 1) determining all attribute weights $w_j = 1$ (initial weight), 2) changing the weight of one criterion by increasing the weight value by 0.5 to 1, while the weights for other criteria remain, 3) calculate the percentage change in ranking by looking at the change in the highest value

resulting from each calculation compared to the conditions in the initial weight.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In determining the results of the scholarship recommendation decision, there are alternatives and assessment criteria used. The alternative used is students who register to take part in the scholarship selection process. The assessment criteria used are the cumulative grade point index (C1), semester (C2), number of dependents (C3), number of activities as a member of an organization (C4) and the number of certificates or certificates (C5). The assessment criteria used are profit criteria. Table I shows the data used in the process of determining the scholarship selection recommendation.

TABLE I. ALTERNATIVE AND CRITERIA ASSESSMENT

Alternative	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
A-1	4	2	3	1	1
A-2	2	2	2	3	1
A-3	4	2	1	3	1
A-4	4	2	2	3	1
A-5	5	2	2	2	1
A-6	5	3	3	2	2
A-7	4	3	2	1	2
A-8	4	2	2	2	1
A-9	3	2	2	2	1
A-10	3	2	3	2	1
A-11	4	2	5	2	1
A-12	3	2	4	2	1
A-13	2	2	2	1	2
A-14	3	2	5	2	1
A-15	4	3	4	2	1
A-16	4	3	2	3	1
A-17	4	3	3	2	1
A-18	5	3	2	2	1
A-19	5	3	3	2	1
A-20	4	3	2	2	1
A-21	5	3	2	2	1
A-22	5	2	2	2	1
A-23	5	2	2	2	1
A-24	5	2	2	2	1
A-25	5	3	2	2	1
A-26	4	3	3	1	2
A-27	5	3	2	2	1

After determining the alternatives and assessment criteria, we determined each criterion weight. The criteria weights of C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5 are 5, 3, 1, 4, and 2, respectively.

A. Simple Additive Weighting Result

The initial stages of the Simple Additive Weighting method are determining alternatives, criteria, and weight of criteria.

TABLE II. ALTERNATIF AND CRITERIA ASSESSMENT

Alternative	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
A-1	3.47	2	3	0	0
A-2	3	2	2	2	0
A-3	3.35	2	1	2	0
.....
A-27	3.73	4	2	1	0

The next step is to generate matrix normalization. Before normalizing the matrix, it is necessary to convert the assessment from the alternative data and existing assessments as shown in Table II. Table III shows the conversion results of the alternatives and the assessment criteria.

TABLE III. MATRIX NORMALIZATION

Alternative	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
A-1	4	2	3	1	1
A-2	2	2	2	3	1
A-3	4	2	1	3	1
.....
A-27	5	3	2	2	1

The assessment criteria used are the profit criteria. Therefore, in determining the normalization of the matrix, the equation formula (1) is used, i.e. by dividing the value of each attribute with the highest value of all alternatives in each criterion. The following is an example of calculation in determining the normalization of the matrix for the GPA criteria for the first and second alternatives as follows:

$$r_{11} = \frac{4}{5} = 0,8 \quad r_{21} = \frac{2}{5} = 0,5$$

The calculations in determining the normalized matrix for the semester criteria for the first and second alternatives are as follows:

$$r_{12} = \frac{2}{3} = 0,67 \quad r_{22} = \frac{2}{3} = 0,67$$

The calculations in determining the matrix normalization for the criteria for the number of dependents in the first and second alternatives are as follows:

$$r_{13} = \frac{3}{5} = 0,6 \quad r_{23} = \frac{2}{5} = 0,4$$

The calculations in determining the matrix normalized for organizational-activity criteria in the first and second alternatives are as follows:

$$r_{14} = \frac{1}{3} = 0,33 \quad r_{24} = \frac{3}{3} = 1$$

The calculations in determining matrix normalization of the reward/certificate criteria for the first and second alternatives are as follows:

$$r_{15} = \frac{1}{2} = 0,5 \quad r_{25} = \frac{1}{2} = 0,5$$

After determining the normalization of the matrix, the final step is to determine the preference value for each alternative using equation (3). The preference value was obtained from the calculation results by adding the multiplication results between the normalized matrix and the weight vector. The best alternative was found based on the final preference score having the highest value. The following is an example of calculation in determining the preference value of the first alternative:

$$V_1 = (0,8*5)+(0,67*3)+(0,6*1)+(0,33*4)+(0,5*2)$$

$$V_1 = 8,93$$

Table IV shows the results of the scholarship selection recommendations using the Simple Additive Weighting method.

TABLE IV. SIMPLE ADDITIVE WEIGHTING RESULT

Alternative	Result
A-1	8,93
A-2	9,40
A-3	11,20
.....
A-27	12,07

SAW results show that the highest preference value is the sixth alternative (A-6) with a preference value of 13.27. Fig 1 shows the calculation results of SAW method for each alternative in determining the scholarship selection.




Fig. 1. Result of Simple Additive Weighting Method

B. Weighted Product Result

The initial stage of the Weighted Product method is determining alternatives, determining criteria, determining the weight of the criteria. The next step is weight normalization the by dividing the weight of the criterion assessment with the total weight of the criteria rating using equation (4).

Following is the calculation result of the weighted normalization for each weighting criterion:

$$w_1 = \frac{5}{5 + 3 + 1 + 4 + 2} = \frac{5}{15} = 0,333$$

$$w_2 = \frac{3}{5 + 3 + 1 + 4 + 2} = \frac{3}{15} = 0,2$$

$$w_3 = \frac{1}{5 + 3 + 1 + 4 + 2} = \frac{1}{15} = 0,067$$

$$w_4 = \frac{4}{5 + 3 + 1 + 4 + 2} = \frac{4}{15} = 0,267$$

$$w_5 = \frac{2}{5 + 3 + 1 + 4 + 2} = \frac{2}{15} = 0,133$$

The next step was determining the S vector using equation (5). The assessment criterion used is the profit criterion, hence, S vector is determined by ranking the value of each criterion to the positive rank of each normalized weight result.

The following is an example of calculating the value of the vector S in the first and second alternatives

$$S_1 = 4^{(0,333)} \times 2^{(0,2)} \times 3^{(0,067)} \times 1^{(0,267)} \times 1^{(0,133)}$$

$$S_1 = 1,962$$

$$S_2 = 2^{(0,333)} \times 2^{(0,2)} \times 2^{(0,067)} \times 3^{(0,267)} \times 1^{(0,133)}$$

$$S_2 = 2,032$$

The final step in the Weighted Product method is to determine the preference value determined by dividing the

result of the vector S for each alternative by the total number of vectors S according to equation (6).

Following is the calculation result in determining the V vector or the result preference value of ranking each alternative using the Weighted Product method for the first and second alternatives.

$$V_1 = \frac{1,962}{65,694} = 0,030$$

$$V_2 = \frac{2,032}{65,694} = 0,031$$

Table V shows the results of vector S and vector V for each alternative.

TABLE IV. WEIGHTED PRODUCT RESULT

Alternative	Vector S	Vector V
A-1	1,962	0,030
A-2	2,032	0,031
A-3	2,444	0,037
.....
A-27	2,684	0,041
Total	65,494	1,000

Based on the Weighted Product results, it shows that the alternative having the highest preference value is the sixth alternative (A-6) with a preference value of 0.046. Fig 2 shows the graph of the calculation results of the Weighted Product method for each alternative in the process of determining the scholarship selection.




Fig. 2. Result of Weighted Product Method

C. Sensitivity Analysis

Based on Simple Weighting Product decision method and the Weighted Product method, the next process is to perform a comparative analysis using the sensitivity analysis technique. Sensitivity analysis is conducted to find out how sensitive a method is when it is applied to solve a particular case. If a method has a high sensitivity value or having more

sensitive from each change in ranking, the method is significant.

Initial calculation results from both methods were obtained using initial weights (5; 3; 1; 4; 2). Table V shows the results of the initial calculation of the Simple Additive Weighting method and the Weighted Product method.

The weight of one criterion only change while the weights for the other criteria are fixed, then an analysis of the change in the maximum value is done that occurs from the initial conditions with the changing conditions. Table VI shows the comparison between SAW and WP result.

TABLE VI. SAW AND WP RESULT

Alternative	SAW Method	WP Method
A-1	0,0893	0,030
A-2	0,0940	0,031
A-3	0,1120	0,037
...
A-27	0,1207	0,041
Max	0,1327	0,046

The next step in conducting a sensitivity analysis is to change the weight of one criterion by increasing the weight value by 0.5 to 1, while the weights for the other criteria are fixed. Then after all the weights of each assessment criterion have been changed, we calculate the percentage change in ranking by looking at the change in the highest value resulting from each calculation compared to the conditions in the initial weight. Table VII shows the results of a thorough sensitivity analysis.

TABLE VI. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS RESULT

Criteria	Max SAW	Max WP	Sensitivity Analysis	
			SAW	WP
Initial	0,1327	0,046		
W1 +0,5	0,1377	0,046	0,5%	0%
W1 +1	0,1427	0,046	1%	0%
W2 +0,5	0,1377	0,046	0,5%	0%
W2 +1	0,1427	0,046	1%	0%
W3 +0,5	0,1357	0,046	0,3%	0%
W3 +1	0,1387	0,046	0,6%	0%
W4 +0,5	0,1360	0,046	0,33%	0%
W4 +1	0,1393	0,046	0,66%	0%
W5 +0,5	0,1377	0,047	0,5%	0,1%
W5 1	0,1427	0,047	1%	0,1%
Total			6%	0,2%

The Simple Additive Weighting method provides the highest assessment results, namely alternatives with a preference value of 13.27. The Weighted Product method provides the highest assessment results, namely alternatives with a preference value of 0.046. The results of the sensitivity analysis show that the total change value of the Simple Additive Weighting method is 6%, while in the Weighted Product method the total change value is 0.2%.

Fig 3 shows the user interface of the scholarship recommendation system. The candidate scores can be seen in csv format. The user can also see the performance of both method from the sensitivity analysis result in the proposed system.




Fig. 3. DSS User Interface

IV. CONCLUSION

The Simple Additive Weighting method and the Weighted Product method was implemented as a solution in supporting the scholarship recommendation system. In determining the results of the scholarship selection recommendations, there are five assessment criteria that are considered, namely cumulative grade point index, semester, number of dependents, number of participation in organizations and number of certificates or certificates. Result showed that the Simple Additive Weighting method is considered more suitable for determining the scholarship selection recommendation because it has a greater total change value. Sensitivity analysis can be integrated in decision support system as additional consideration for the user about the recommendation results. Other methods, such as fuzzy MADM, TOPSIS, etc. can also be included in DSS for the next research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank to Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya for funding the research and all reviewers for their insightful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. O. Rahmanda, R. Arifudin, and M. A. Muslim, "Implementation of Analytic Network Process Method on Decision Support System of Determination of Scholarship Recipient at House of Lazis Charity UNNES," *Sci. J. Informatics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 199–207, 2017.
- [2] A. D. Indriyanti, D. R. Prehanto, I. G. L. E. P. Prisma, Soeryanto, B. Sujatmiko, and J. Fikandda, "Simple Additive Weighting algorithm to aid administrator decision making of the underprivileged scholarship," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1402, no. 6, 2019.
- [3] T. F. A. Aziz, S. Sulistiyono, H. Harsiti, A. Setyawan, A. Suhendar, and T. A. Munandar, "Group decision support system for employee performance evaluation using combined simple additive weighting and Borda," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 830, no. 3, 2020.

- [4] W. Supriyanti, "Rancang Bangun Aplikasi Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penerima Beasiswa dengan Metode SAW," *Creat. Inf. Technol. J.*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 67, 2018.
- [5] F. N. Khasanah and S. Rofiah, "Metode Simple Additive Weighting Dalam Menentukan Rekomendasi Penerima Beasiswa," *Bina Insa. ICT J.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 65–74, 2019.
- [6] H. Kurniawan, A. P. Swondo, E. P. Sari, K. Ummi, Yufriзал, and F. Agustin, "Decision Support System to Determine the Student Achievement Scholarship Recipients Using Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making (FMADM) with SAW," *2019 7th Int. Conf. Cyber IT Serv. Manag. CITSM 2019*, pp. 3–8, 2019.
- [7] W. Firgiawan, N. Zulkarnaim, and S. Cokrowibowo, "A Comparative Study using SAW, TOPSIS, SAW-AHP, and TOPSIS-AHP for Tuition Fee (UKT)," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 875, no. 1, 2020.
- [8] B. S. Br Sembiring, M. Zarlis, Sawaluddin, A. Agusnady, and T. Qowidho, "Comparison of SMART and SAW Methods in Decision Making," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1255, no. 1, 2019.
- [9] R. T. Handayanto, S. Samsiana, and H. Herlawati, "Driving Factors Selection and Change Direction of a Land Use/Cover," *Int. J. Adv. Trends Comput. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 1.5, pp. 243–248, 2019.
- [10] S. Kusumadewi, S. Hartati, A. Harjoko, and R. Wardoyo, "Fuzzy Multi-Attribute Decision Making (FUZZY MADM)," *Yogyakarta Graha Ilmu*, 2006.
- [11] M. Muslihudin and D. Rahayu, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Siswa Berprestasi Menggunakan Metode Weighted Product," *TAM (Technology Accept. Model.)*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 114–119, 2018.
- [12] D. A. Effendy and R. H. Irawan, "Uji Sensitivitas metode WP, SAW Dan TOPSIS Dalam Menentukan Titik Lokasi Repeater Internet Wireless," in *Seminar Nasional Teknologi Informasi dan Multimedia*, 2015, pp. 85–90.
- [13] F. N. Khasanah and D. Setiyadi, "Uji Sensitivitas Metode Simple Additive Weighting Dan Weighted Product Dalam Menentukan Laptop," *Bina Insa. ICT J.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 165–174, 2019.
- [14] R. Roni, S. Sumijan, and J. Santony, "Metode Weighted Product dalam Pemilihan Penerima Beasiswa Bagi Peserta Didik," *J. RESTI (Rekayasa Sist. dan Teknol. Informasi)*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 87–93, 2019.



UNIVERSITAS BHAYANGKARA JAKARTA RAYA FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

Kampus I : Jl. Harsono RM No.67, Ragunan, Pasar Minggu, Jakarta Selatan, 12550
Telepon : (021) 27808121, 27808882
Kampus II: Jl. Raya Perjuangan, Marga Mulya, Bekasi Utara, Jawa Barat
Telepon : (021) 88955882, Fax : (021) 88955871
Web: www.ubharajaya.ac.id/fasilkom/. Email: fasilkom@ubharajaya.ac.id

SURAT TUGAS

Nomor: ST/104/X/2020/D-FASILKOM-UBJ

1. Dasar: Kalender Akademik Ubhara Jaya Tahun Akademik 2020/2021.
2. Dalam Rangka pengembangan Dosen Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya.
3. Sehubungan dengan hal tersebut di atas, maka Dekan Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Ubhara Jaya menugaskan.


No.	NAMA	NIDN	JABATAN
1.	Fata Nidaul Khasanah, S.Kom., M.Eng.	0327059202	Dosen Tetap Prodi Informatika

Melaksanakan kegiatan sebagai penyaji *for the paper* dalam *at the 5th International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC2020)* yang dilaksanakan pada tanggal 3-4 November 2020 yang diselenggarakan oleh Asosiasi Pendidikan Tinggi Informatika dan Komputer (APTIKOM).

4. Demikian penugasan ini agar dapat dilaksanakan dengan penuh rasa tanggung jawab.

Jakarta, 26 Oktober 2020
DEKAN FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

HERLAWATI, S.Si., MM., M.Kom
NIP: 2001 452

Paraf:
Kaprodi. 

**LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : PROSIDING***


Judul Karya Ilmiah (paper) : Decision Support System For Student Scholarship Recipients Using Simple Additive Weighting Method with Sensitivity Analysis
 Jumlah Penulis : 6 Orang
 Status Pengusul : Penulis pertama / penulis ke...../ penulis korespondensi**
 Penulis Karya Ilmiah : Fata Nidaul Khasanah, Rahmadya Trias Handayanto, Herlawati, Djuni Thamrin, Prasojo, Erik Saut H Hutahaean
 Identitas prosiding : a. Judul Prosiding : The 5th International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC) 2020
 b. ISBN/ ISSN : 978-1-7281-8047-2
 c. Tahun terbit, tempat : 2020, Jakarta
 d. Penerbit/ organizer : IEEE
 e. Url Dokumen : <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9288617>
 f. Terindeks di (jika ada) : IEEE, Scopus

Kategori Publikasi Makalah Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional
 (beri \checkmark pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Prosiding		Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
	Internasional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nasional <input type="checkbox"/>	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi paper (10%)	9		1,35
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	28,5		4,275
c. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	28,5		4,275
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/ prosiding (30%)	29		4,35
Total = (100%)	95%		
Kontribusi Pengusul (Penulis pertama dari 6 penulis)			14,25
Catatan Peer Review	1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur..... <i>Sesuai</i>		
	2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan <i>Cukup</i>		
	3. Tentang kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data serta metodologi..... <i>Cukup mutakhir saat diterbitkan</i>		
	4. Tentang kelengkapan unsur kualitas penerbit..... <i>Kualitas penerbit bagus dan lengkap</i>		
	5. Indikasi Plagiasi..... <i>Tidak ditemukan indikasi plagiarisme</i>		
	6. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu..... <i>Sesuai dengan bidang ilmu penulis</i>		

Jakarta, Desember 2020
 Reviewer I



Nama : Herlawati, S.Si., M.M., M.Kom.
 NIDN : 0311097302
 Jabatan Akademik : Lektor
 Unit Kerja : Program Studi Informatika / Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya

**LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : PROSIDING***

Judul Karya Ilmiah (paper) : Decision Support System For Student Scholarship Recipients Using Simple Additive Weighting Method with Sensitivity Analysis
 Jumlah Penulis : 6 Orang
 Status Pengusul : Penulis pertama / penulis ke...../ penulis korespondensi**
 Penulis Karya Ilmiah : Fata Nidaul Khasanah, Rahmadya Trias Handayanto, Herlawati, Djuni Thamrin, Prasojo, Erik Saut H Hutahaean
 Identitas prosiding : a. Judul Prosiding : The 5th International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC) 2020
 b. ISBN/ ISSN : 978-1-7281-8047-2
 c. Tahun terbit, tempat : 2020, Jakarta
 d. Penerbit/ organizer : IEEE
 e. Url Dokumen : <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9288617>
 f. Terindeks di (jika ada) : IEEE, Scopus

Kategori Publikasi Makalah Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional
 (beri \checkmark pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Prosiding		Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
	Internasional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nasional <input type="checkbox"/>	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi paper (10%)	8		1,2
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	28		4,2
c. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	28		4,2
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/ prosiding (30%)	28		4,2
Total = (100%)	92%		92% x 15
Kontribusi Pengusul (Penulis pertama dari 6 penulis)			13,8
Catatan Peer Review	1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur..... <i>Sesuai</i>		
	2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan <i>cukup</i>		
	3. Tentang kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data serta metodologi..... <i>Baik</i>		
	4. Tentang kelengkapan unsur kualitas penerbit..... <i>Baik</i>		
	5. Indikasi Plagiasi..... <i>tidak ditemukan</i>		
	6. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu..... <i>Sesuai</i>		

Jakarta, 28 Desember 2020

Reviewer II



Nama : Abrar Hiswara, S.T., M.M., M.Kom.

NIDN : 0324018101

Jabatan Akademik : Lektor

Unit Kerja : Program Studi Informatika / Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya