

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL
JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul Artikel : Virtual criminal trials in Indonesia: Communication inequalities, power dynamics, and the challenges of justice

Jurnal : Social Sciences & Humanities Open
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/social-sciences-and-humanities-open>).

Volume 12 – 2025 Doi <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.102272>

Scopus : Q1 (<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101142603#tabs=0>)

SJR : 0,614
(<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21101142603&tip=sid&clean=0>)

Jumlah Penulis : 3

Penulis ke : 1 dari 3 Penulis

Penulis : Aan Widodo, Wa Ode Sitti Nurhaliza, Moh. Rifaldi Akbar.

Korespondensi : Aan Widodo (email: aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id)

No	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti konfirmasi submit dan artikel yang disubmit	26 Juni 2025
2	Bukti konfirmasi review tim editorial	28 Juli 2025
3	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel revisi dan artikel yang diresubmit	5 Agustus 2025
4	Bukti konfirmasi hasil pre-review editor	13 Agustus 2025
5	Bukti konfirmasi revisi dari editor, respon kepada editor, dan artikel yang disubmit	21 Agustus 2025
6	Bukti konfirmasi hasil review oleh reviewer	23 Oktober 2025
7	Bukti konfirmasi hasil reviewer, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang disubmit	27 Oktober 2025
8	Bukti Accepted	20 November 2025
9	Bukti Konfirmasi Proof dan editing	21 November 2025
10	Bukti Konfirmasi Published	24 November 2025
11	Bukti Scopus, Scimago dan Proses di OJS akun Penulis	

No	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti konfirmasi submit dan artikel yang disubmit	26 Juni 2025

Date: Jun 26, 2025
To: "Aan Widodo" aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajya.ac.id
From: "Social Sciences & Humanities Open" support@elsevier.com
Subject: SSHO-D-25-03297 - Confirming your submission to Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Your submission entitled "**VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE**" has been received by journal Social Sciences & Humanities Open. It has been assigned the following manuscript number: **SSHO-D-25-03297**.

You will be able to check on the progress of your paper by logging on to Editorial Manager as an author. The URL is <https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/>.

Your manuscript will be given a reference number once an Editor has been assigned.

Due to an unprecedented increase in submissions, there may be delay in the processing of your paper. We apologize for this and we are taking steps to address this issue. However we do not wish to compromise on our level of service to authors, therefore we appreciate your patience. We will be in contact with you shortly.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, then you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated Scientific Managing Editor, a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. For more details see the journal guide for authors.

At Elsevier, we want to help all our authors to stay safe when publishing. Please be aware of fraudulent messages requesting money in return for the publication of your paper. If you are publishing open access with Elsevier, bear in mind that we will never request payment before the paper has been accepted. We have prepared some guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/authors-update/seven-top-tips-on-stopping-apc-scams>) that you may find helpful, including a short video on Identifying fake acceptance letters (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5l8thD9XtE>). Please remember that you can contact Elsevier's Researcher Support team (<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>) at any time if you have questions about your manuscript, and you can log into Editorial Manager to check the status of your manuscript (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/29155/c/10530/supporthub/publishing/kw/status/).

#AU_SSHO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any

time. (Remove my information/details). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	SSHO-D-25-03297
Full Title:	VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE
Article Type:	Full Length Article
Section/Category:	Communication
Keywords:	Virtual Trials; Communication; Power Imbalance; Justice; Technical Challenges; Judges; Lawyers; Defendants
Corresponding Author:	Aan Widodo Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya INDONESIA
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Aan Widodo
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Aan Widodo Wa Ode Sitti Nuhaliza Moh Rifaldi Akbar
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Manuscript Region of Origin:	INDONESIA
Abstract:	<p>The COVID-19 pandemic prompted the adoption of virtual court hearings in Indonesia, where one party participates remotely via teleconference. While aimed at delivering faster, cheaper, and simpler justice, this study examines the implementation of virtual trials from a communication science perspective, specifically focusing on the experiences of legal practitioners and its implications for justice. Previous studies suggest that technology is not the main issue, but rather the imbalance of power and the potential for intimidation felt by defendants during virtual trials. This research uses a qualitative approach with semi-formal interviews of lawyers and judges, as well as observations in courtrooms. The findings indicate that major challenges include inadequate infrastructure, particularly in detention centers, leading to communication breakdowns and incomplete information transmission. The non-conducive environment in detention also undermines the focus and sanctity of the trial. From a justice perspective, judges find it difficult to uncover material truth due to the inability to directly observe the defendant's or witness's non-verbal expressions. Defendants often express discomfort, feeling pressured, and their right to a fair defense is not fully accommodated. While virtual trials are considered effective for civil cases and administrative purposes, most informants believe that Indonesia's judicial system is not fully prepared for them to become the future of trials, especially in criminal cases that impact an individual's future. This study recommends improved infrastructure and inter-institutional coordination to ensure substantive justice in virtual hearings.</p>
Opposed Reviewers:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response

<p>Please confirm that you have mentioned all organizations that funded your research in the Acknowledgements section of your submission, including grant numbers where appropriate.</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>
<p>Funding information is mandatory. Do confirm that you have submitted the same in the title page of your manuscript.</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>
<p>Declaration of usage of AI statement is mandatory. Do confirm that you have submitted the same in the title page of your manuscript.</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>
<p>Data availability statement is mandatory. Do confirm that you have submitted the same in the title page of your manuscript</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>
<p>Ethics statement is mandatory. Do confirm that you have provided the same in a separate document and uploaded it under the "Ethical statement" file type. Even if your study did not involve human or animal subjects do confirm that you had declared the same (Ethical approval is not applicable to this manuscript) in the document.</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>
<p>Declaration of competing interests statement is mandatory. Do confirm that you have used only the document that you had generated using the Elsevier Declaration tool and had uploaded the same under the "Declaration of Interest Statement" file type. No other format will be accepted by the journal.</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>
<p>Acknowledgements are not mandatory. However if you have added them for your manuscript do confirm that you have added the same in the title page of your manuscript.</p>	<p>Yes, I confirm</p>

Dear Editor,

I am pleased to submit our manuscript entitled “**Virtual Criminal Trials in Indonesia: Communication Inequalities, Power Dynamics, and the Challenges of Justice**” for consideration in *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*.

This article explores the lived experiences of Indonesian legal practitioners (judges and lawyers) during virtual criminal trials amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Employing a qualitative approach based on interviews and courtroom observations, the study examines key challenges including communication breakdowns, diminished nonverbal cues, and symbolic erosion of courtroom authority.

The study contributes to the field of **communication studies, legal sociology, and digital justice**, offering original insights from a Global South context where virtual trials are under-researched. It highlights the risks of procedural injustice and proposes a **hybrid courtroom model** as a more inclusive alternative.

This manuscript has not been published or submitted elsewhere. All authors have approved the final manuscript and have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Thank you for considering this manuscript. We believe it aligns with *SSHO*'s mission to foster interdisciplinary dialogue on urgent societal transformations in law and communication.

Sincerely,

Dr. Aan Widodo

Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
aan.widodo@ubharajaya.ac.id

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic prompted the adoption of virtual court hearings in Indonesia, where one party participates remotely via teleconference. While aimed at delivering faster, cheaper, and simpler justice, this study examines the implementation of virtual trials from a communication science perspective, specifically focusing on the experiences of legal practitioners and its implications for justice. Previous studies suggest that technology is not the main issue, but rather the imbalance of power and the potential for intimidation felt by defendants during virtual trials. This research uses a qualitative approach with semi-formal interviews of lawyers and judges, as well as observations in courtrooms. The findings indicate that major challenges include inadequate infrastructure, particularly in detention centers, leading to communication breakdowns and incomplete information transmission. The non-conducive environment in detention also undermines the focus and sanctity of the trial. From a justice perspective, judges find it difficult to uncover material truth due to the inability to directly observe the defendant's or witness's non-verbal expressions. Defendants often express discomfort, feeling pressured, and their right to a fair defense is not fully accommodated. While virtual trials are considered effective for civil cases and administrative purposes, most informants believe that Indonesia's judicial system is not fully prepared for them to become the future of trials, especially in criminal cases that impact an individual's future. This study recommends improved infrastructure and inter-institutional coordination to ensure substantive justice in virtual hearings.

Keywords: Virtual Trials, Communication, Power Imbalance, Justice, Technical Challenges, Judges, Lawyers, Defendants.

Introduction

The advancement of information and communication technology has driven transformation in various sectors, including law and justice systems. In the digital era, formal legal procedures such as trials have begun to shift to online formats. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated this transition worldwide (Shi et al., 2021; Widodo, 2024b), including in Indonesia through the adoption of electronic trials (Mahkamah Agung, 2020). While online hearings are seen as a way to expedite legal processes and improve administrative efficiency (Amarini et al., 2023) this form of trial raises several issues related to procedural justice, participation, and power relations (Davide et al., 2002; ROSSNER, 2021; Susskind, 2019).

In the Indonesian legal context, the Supreme Court issued Regulation No. 4 of 2020 on Electronic Administration and Trial Procedures for Criminal Cases. This regulation complements earlier regulations governing e-courts for civil cases (Mahkamah Agung, 2020). Virtual trials in Indonesia are conducted using various platforms such as Zoom, Cisco Webex, Google Meet, and WhatsApp Video Call under limited conditions (Davide et al., 2002; Susskind, 2019). The goal is to maintain judicial continuity while reducing health risks for defendants, judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and witnesses.

The implementation of virtual trials emerged as a solution to expedite legal proceedings, reduce costs, and alleviate case backlogs, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic (Intihani et al., 2022; Nelson et al., 2023). Although the e-court system has proven effective in simplifying, speeding up, and reducing the cost of trials (Intihani et al., 2022) this system also presents challenges related to communication and fair trial procedures (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner, 2021). Digitalizing the legal process can enhance transparency and accessibility, as observed in various countries (Setiawan et al., 2024). However, the lack of specific regulations for virtual courtrooms and outdated criminal procedure laws may lead to legal errors (Nelson et al., 2023).

A criminal trial is not just a formal reading of documents but a symbolic and discursive space where dialogue, argumentation, and the search for material truth occur (Masthuro, 2023; Widodo, 2022, 2024a). The courtroom functions as a space for both verbal and non-verbal communication, where the defendant uses strategies to gain sympathy and possibly receive a more lenient sentence (Langga, 2023; Widodo, 2022). Judges rely on various forms of evidence, including witness testimony, expert opinions, documents, and defendant statements, to establish material truth and form their conviction (Leung, 2012; Masthuro, 2023). Judicial independence is crucial in examining evidence, conducting hearings, and making decisions based on material truth.

without external influence (Boyoh, 2015). Understanding criminal procedure law is important for the general public and non-law students to be aware of their rights in the criminal process (Masthuro, 2023)

The implementation of virtual criminal trials during the COVID-19 pandemic presented both opportunities and challenges for the judicial system. While it allowed courts to continue functioning, virtual trials raised concerns regarding justice and effectiveness (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner & Tait, 2024). Issues included inadequate technology, privacy concerns for defendants, and difficulties in assessing credibility and maintaining courtroom rituals ((Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner & Tait, 2023). The lack of specific regulations for virtual trials in Indonesia could lead to legal errors (Nelson et al., 2023) However, the e-court system has shown promise in simplifying processes and reducing costs (Intihani et al., 2022) Despite these benefits, virtual criminal trials face legal weaknesses and implementation challenges that may violate the principles of a fair trial (Sahara et al., 2022). As courts adapt to this new reality, careful consideration must be given to redesigning the virtual trial process to ensure respect, inclusivity, and adherence to justice principles (Rossner & Tait, 2023)

From a communication science perspective, virtual trials can be seen as a transformation of the legal communication space from face-to-face to digitally mediated communication (Crystal et al., 2021) This transformation brings serious consequences, one of which is the emergence of communication imbalances between the parties involved in the trial (Maria Silvya et al., 2022). The defendant's position becomes the most vulnerable. In Rosser's (2021) research, conducted in the UK and the US, defendants in virtual trials reported feeling uncomfortable, losing control, and even experiencing intimidation from their surroundings, especially when not accompanied by their lawyer. Although Roser's study was conducted in countries with more advanced digital

infrastructure, these findings are highly relevant to the Indonesian context. Legal practitioners, such as lawyers and judges, in Indonesia also reported similar issues: the absence of physical defense counsel alongside the defendant created a gap for potential pressure or intervention, especially if the defendant was under institutional supervision like the police or detention centers. In this context, the virtual courtroom loses its symbolic function as a neutral space that protects individual rights from state domination.

In the study of interactions in the courtroom, Atkinson and Drew (1979) stated that the court is an institutional interaction carried out through a communication structure rich in symbols and social roles (Atkinson & Drew, 1979). This aligns with Carey's (1989) view of the trial as a ritual communication—symbolic practices that reproduce legal authority, state legitimacy, and social order. Therefore, when trials are moved to the virtual realm, these symbolic dimensions degrade, which affects public perception of the seriousness and legitimacy of the legal process (Law et al., 2021). The courtroom is understood as a ritualized space filled with hierarchical structures and symbols of authority (Carey, 1989; Butler, 1997). When legal proceedings are transferred to the digital space, symbols such as the judge's gavel, robes, and seating arrangements become invisible, thus lowering the performance of the law (Butler, 1997; Rowden, 2018) and reducing respect and adherence to the legal process (Simon, 2022; Wexler, 2020).

On paper, Indonesia's e-court regulations have progressed—the Supreme Court (2020) issued Regulation No. 1 of 2019 and No. 3 of 2022 setting technical procedures and standards (e-filing, e-payment, e-summons, e-litigation). However, in practice, the implementation of virtual trials reveals a large gap between policy and reality (Simandjuntak et al., 2024)). These regulations only apply to the judicial system, not to other institutions such as the prosecution, police, or detention centers (Langga, 2023; Turner, 2025). As a result, the implementation of virtual hearings

becomes uneven: the court may be ready, but facilities in detention centers often do not support it. Trial delays caused by technical disruptions—poor signals, audio cutoffs, blurry images, and broken devices—are common (Alam et al., 2024) and recognized as a major barrier to the e-court infrastructure in various religious courts. This not only slows down the legal process but also potentially harms defendants awaiting verdicts in detention and creates legal uncertainty (Simandjuntak et al., 2024)

So far, studies on virtual trials in Indonesia remain limited. Most research focuses on normative legal aspects or administrative efficiency. However, communication aspects, power relations in virtual courtrooms, and their implications for justice principles have not been empirically explored. Nelson, Hendrawati, and A'yun (2023) in their article in the *Sriwijaya Law Review* identified several challenges in the implementation of online criminal trials in Indonesia, such as legal barriers in the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP) and technological limitations. However, their study used a quantitative survey approach and did not delve deeply into the subjective experiences of judges, prosecutors, or defense lawyers. In contrast, international studies on virtual courtrooms have advanced further, addressing communication and legal performativity aspects more thoroughly. Rossner and Tait (2021) show that limitations on non-verbal interaction and the absence of physical presence in online hearings can reduce respect, participation, and the symbolic authority of the law. Bandes and Feigenson (2019) criticized the loss of symbolic and performative dimensions of the trial process in the virtual space, which impacts perceptions of procedural justice. Meanwhile, the Stanford Criminal Justice Center (2021) reports that judges and lawyers in the US feel a decline in communication quality, difficulty reading expressions, and increasing power imbalances in virtual trials. (Bandes & Feigenson, 2020; Young, 2011) These studies reveal that communication in the virtual courtroom not only faces technical obstacles but

also undergoes a fundamental transformation in interaction structure, authority symbolism, and the sense of justice experienced by legal actors.

This research aims to fill this gap. Using a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews of judges and lawyers directly involved in virtual trials, this study explores the dimensions of communication, power relations, and perceptions of justice and sanctity in the practice of virtual trials in Indonesia. Its main focus is to answer (1) What are the experiences of legal practitioners (lawyers and judges) in conducting virtual trials during and after the COVID-19 pandemic?; (2) What communication challenges and technical barriers emerge in virtual trials? (3) How does virtual trial affect perceptions of power balance and the sanctity of the courtroom?; (4) What are the views of legal practitioners regarding the accommodation of the principles of "speedy, affordable, and simple" justice in virtual trials?; (5) Do virtual trials represent the future of the judicial system in Indonesia?. This study is expected to contribute to theoretical insights in the field of communication, as well as practical recommendations for policymakers in designing a more inclusive, fair, and digitally adaptive judicial system.

Research Method

This study uses a qualitative approach with a descriptive design. The main objective is to “examine, explore” the in-depth experiences of legal practitioners (lawyers and judges) regarding the implementation of virtual trials. The qualitative approach allows the researcher to understand the phenomenon from the participants' perspectives, uncovering nuances and social contexts that cannot be captured by quantitative methods. The informants in this study were selected using purposive sampling, consisting of two main groups:

- a. Lawyers: RO, LYK, and AJW. They were selected due to their extensive experience in assisting defendants during virtual trials.

b. Judges: BMA, BP, AA, and SU. These judges were selected for their central role in managing and leading virtual trial proceedings, as well as their understanding of the legal and technical aspects.

Data Collection Techniques include: (a). Semi-formal Interviews: In-depth interviews were conducted with all informants. Questions were asked in a semi-formal manner to allow informants to share their experiences, challenges, and personal views regarding virtual hearings. The interviews were recorded to ensure data accuracy. The interview topics included general experiences with virtual trials, technical challenges, issues of power balance, the sanctity of the trial, and the future prospects of virtual hearings. (b). Observation Notes: Observations were conducted at the Bekasi District Court. These observation notes include descriptions of the physical environment of the court, the atmosphere, access to the courtroom and supporting facilities, as well as the atmosphere during interviews with judges. This observation aims to provide a visual and spatial context to the experiences described by informants, especially regarding infrastructure and the atmosphere of the trials.

The research locations are spread across several places according to the informants' domiciles and observation sites. Interviews with RO and LYK, as well as judges BMA, BP, and AA, were conducted at Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya or virtually. The interview with judge SU was conducted at the Bekasi District Court, which also served as the observation site. The interview with lawyer AJW was conducted with him based in Cipinang, Bogor.

Data Analysis will be conducted using thematic analysis. The interview transcripts will be read multiple times to identify patterns, themes, and categories emerging from the informants' experiences and perspectives. Observation data will be integrated to enrich the understanding of

the context. This process involves coding the data, grouping codes into broader themes, and interpreting them to answer the research questions.

Research Findings

This study aims to explain the experiences of judges and lawyers in the practice of virtual trials in Indonesia, particularly in criminal cases. Through a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews of key actors, five main themes were identified that represent the reality of virtual trials, namely: (1) general experiences in virtual trials, (2) communication challenges and technical barriers, (3) power imbalances and the loss of sanctity in the courtroom, (4) accommodation of the “fast, cheap, and simple” principle, and (5) perceptions of virtual trials as the future of the justice system in Indonesia.

1. General Experiences in Virtual Trials

Virtual trials were adopted as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Although the goal was to maintain the continuity of judicial processes, their implementation was rushed and uneven. Many courts lacked the technical readiness and adequate procedural guidelines. Lawyer RO described the initial condition of virtual trials as “not conducive” because minimal devices, such as one phone for multiple defendants, were used. This obscured individual identities and diminished the “sacred” atmosphere usually attached to physical courtrooms:

“In the early days of the pandemic, we were only given one phone for five defendants. The sound was muffled, sometimes their faces weren’t visible. Is this a trial or just a formality?”

Lawyer LYK highlighted the condition of defendants who were tried from detention centers (rutan) or police stations (polres) without direct accompaniment from their legal counsel. This

raised concerns about potential pressure or intimidation from law enforcement present at the location:

“What we worry about is whether they are being interfered with. We don’t know who is in the room when they speak on the screen.”

Confirmation of this concern was also made by lawyer AJW, who mentioned that many families of defendants complained about the discomfort and lack of transparency in the virtual trial process. To address this, he devised a strategy by placing members of the legal team in detention centers to provide direct accompaniment to defendants. Meanwhile, from the judges' perspective, the main challenge lay in the inability to capture nonverbal signals. Judge SU stated that aspects such as facial expressions, eye contact, or body language—typically used to assess the honesty and integrity of a defendant or witness—became inaccessible through the screen:

“I can’t see their expressions. Body language is important to read if the witness is lying. That’s what is missing.”

In criminal cases, where the search for material truth is the primary mandate, this limitation is highly disruptive. Judge BMA added that poor sound and image quality often made it difficult for defendants to understand the testimony of witnesses or the judge, thus affecting their right to defend themselves fully.

Furthermore, the psychological aspect also changed. The “feel” or solemnity of the trial was lost. According to Judge BMA, this atmosphere played a vital role in shaping the seriousness of the defendant’s attitude toward the legal process. When the trial takes place only on a screen, the symbolic authority of the judge and the courtroom diminishes:

“Now the trial feels more relaxed. In the past, entering the courtroom made you tense. Now, it’s too casual.”

Table 1. Location and Facilities for Virtual Trials According to Informants

Virtual Trial Location	Devices Used	Main Issues
Detention Centers	One phone for many defendants	Noisy sound, blurry visuals
Police Stations	Standard PC webcam	No privacy space
Courtrooms	More complete equipment	Depends on detention center readiness

Based on these informants' statements, it can be concluded that the majority of the early experiences of virtual trials were seen as unfit for purpose. Lawyers faced limitations in providing direct support to defendants, while judges struggled to capture nonverbal expressions that are crucial in evaluating credibility. The use of minimal devices and the condition of trial locations (such as detention centers or police stations) led to a loss of the formal and authoritative atmosphere of the trial. Many felt that the trial was merely a procedural formality that lost its symbolic meaning as a sacred legal space.

2. Communication Barriers and Technical Constraints

Communication barriers in virtual trials were largely caused by technical issues. Signal disruptions, interrupted audio, and blurry or unsynchronized video were recurring complaints in almost all interviews with informants. These problems occurred more frequently in locations such as detention centers (rutan) or correctional facilities (lapas), where the digital infrastructure was very limited. Judge BMA revealed that trials often had to be postponed due to simple issues like broken headsets or unclear audio:


“We once waited for half an hour, but the signal from the detention center was down. The trial was postponed for a week. That’s ironic.”

Connection issues caused audio to be inaudible or cut off, making communication ineffective. In several detention center locations, background noise such as prayers, music, or conversations between prisoners disrupted the trial. Lawyer AJW revealed:

“Sometimes the defendant doesn’t hear the question. I have to repeat it several times. This lowers the quality of interaction.”

The facilities were also highly uneven. Although the Supreme Court had set minimal standards for devices for online trials, in practice, many detention centers or prosecutors' offices relied on regular mobile phones without additional devices like external microphones or large screens. Even the use of shared spaces in detention centers often led to disruptions from other prisoners, such as recitations, crying, or loud conversations. Coordination between institutions became a crucial issue. Ideally, the court should be responsible for contacting detention centers and prosecutors' offices to ensure trial readiness. However, in many cases, there was no effective coordination, leading to delays or absenteeism. This highlights the need for clearer and more integrated inter-institutional coordination protocols.

Graph 1. Frequency of Common Technical Disruptions in Virtual Trials Based on Statements from 7 Informants



Referring to the findings, communication issues in virtual trials were dominated by technical constraints, such as poor internet networks, inadequate audio-video devices, and background noise at the defendant's location. These issues caused serious obstacles in the dialog between judges, prosecutors, defendants, and lawyers. As a result, delays, repeated questions, and even miscommunications often occurred. These findings emphasize how effective communication in legal settings depends heavily on the quality of the medium used and highlight the infrastructure inequality between the legal institutions involved.

3. Power Imbalances and the Loss of Sanctity in the Courtroom

One impact of conducting virtual criminal trials is the disruption of the power balance among legal actors. This study found that virtual trials amplified the dominance of state institutions, particularly over the position of defendants who were under the direct supervision of law enforcement, such as police officers or detention center officers. Lawyer LYK stressed that, in many cases, defendants felt uncomfortable because they were being monitored by those who had previously arrested or interrogated them:

“There is a gap for intimidation. We don't know if there is a police officer standing behind the defendant or not.”

Without the physical presence of legal counsel beside the defendant, the room for control and protection became minimal. This created a dangerous power imbalance for the due process of law principle. The presence of lawyers virtually could not provide the same symbolic or practical protection as their physical presence. From a symbolic standpoint, the sanctity of the courtroom also experienced serious degradation. Judge SU illustrated the importance of symbolic elements in shaping legal authority:

“In a physical courtroom, the seating position and the gavel create authority. On the screen, everything is flat; the defendant feels like they’re just chatting casually.”

The online format removed ritualistic elements that formed a formal and hierarchical impression in the trial. The judge’s elevated chair, seating arrangements, and symbols like robes and the gavel—all became blurred in the digital space. Lawyer RO referred to this loss of ritual as the disappearance of the “court moment”:

“The trial is a sacred moment. Now, the defendant appears from a dark room with a blurry camera. The meaning is lost.”

From a symbolic communication perspective, the courtroom is not just an administrative forum but a performative arena that manifests legal authority and legitimacy. When this space is moved to a virtual medium without adequate symbolic and procedural adaptation, the sacred meaning attached to the legal process is eroded. Judge BMA also expressed his concern:

“Now there is a sense that the trial is more relaxed. The prosecutor doesn’t have to bring the defendant, lawyers can just be online, but on the other hand—the defendant’s rights may be compromised.”

Thus, the power imbalance in the virtual space is not just technical but also structural and symbolic. The virtual courtroom, without being intentionally designed as an equal and dignified legal space, risks reinforcing the state’s dominance over individuals who are most vulnerable in the justice system.

4. Accommodation of the "Fast, Cheap, and Simple" Principle

The "fast, cheap, and simple" principle is a core principle in the Indonesian judicial system as stated in Article 2 (4) of Law No. 48 of 2009 concerning Judicial Power. One of the main arguments for implementing virtual trials is to support this principle through efficiency in time,

costs, and administrative processes. However, the findings of this study show that its implementation is still far from expectations. Lawyer RO expressed that many trials were actually delayed due to technical disruptions:

“If the trial keeps being delayed because of the signal, isn’t it getting longer? Then where is the ‘fast’ part?”

This shows that the “fast” aspect in practice is often hindered by uneven digital infrastructure and the inadequate readiness of human resources. Even delays caused by simple issues, such as broken headsets or lack of technical operators, became factors obstructing the trial process. From a cost-efficiency perspective, virtual trials did indeed reduce transportation and logistics expenses. Judge SU gave an example:

“For civil or administrative cases, summons and reading documents can be very cost- and time-efficient. But for criminal cases, the context is different.”

Lawyer LYK emphasized that cost-efficiency should not compromise the quality of legal defense:

“Maybe it’s cheap in terms of expenses, but what if the defendant can’t defend themselves due to a poor signal? That’s actually expensive in terms of justice.”

Lawyer AJW also distinguished between civil and criminal cases:

“For civil cases, maybe it can be 100% online. But criminal cases? It’s about someone’s life. It needs to be handled carefully.”

Thus, the application of the "fast, cheap, and simple" principle in the context of virtual trials is partial and not comprehensive. Efficiency is only achieved in administrative aspects, not in substantive justice. Without infrastructure readiness, technical support, and improvements in inter-institutional coordination systems, this principle risks becoming a normative slogan without real implementation in criminal trials.

5. The Future of Virtual Trials in the Judicial System

The majority of informants in this study stated that, although digital technology offers administrative efficiency, Indonesia's justice system is still not ready to adopt virtual trials as the primary format for criminal cases. The complexity of communication, infrastructure inequalities, and the risk of procedural inequity were identified as the main obstacles.

Lawyers AJW and Judge SU agreed that virtual trials could be used in certain circumstances, such as when defendants are located in hard-to-reach geographical areas. However, both emphasized that the implementation of online trials as the primary system requires comprehensive readiness in terms of infrastructure, inter-institutional coordination, and training human resources across all law enforcement institutions. Judge SU stressed the importance of government investment:

“If the government is serious, don’t just prepare a virtual courtroom in the court. Detention centers, prosecutors’ offices, and the police must have it too. Don’t let trials be conducted in public spaces full of distractions.”

Often, trials take place in public spaces in detention centers without dedicated rooms. This not only reduces the formal atmosphere but also opens up opportunities for intimidation of the defendant and disruption of legal processes. The ethical challenges, communication quality, and protection of defendants' rights underline that virtual criminal trials cannot fully replace face-to-face formats.


Nonetheless, most informants support the use of technology as a supplement to the justice system, especially for civil cases and administrative stages. In this context, the hybrid trial model—combining online and offline processes selectively—is seen as a realistic and adaptive solution. Judge SU stated:

“I support virtual trials, but it has to be hybrid. For example, evidence presentation should be physical. Administrative trials can be online.”

Lawyer AJW added:

“We shouldn’t be anti-technology. But we need to be ready. Don’t let technology harm the defendant.”

Graph 2. Preferences for Trial Formats by Case Type (based on 7 informants)



These findings confirm that the virtual trial format holds potential, but its implementation must be selective, based on the context of the case, and supported by policies and serious infrastructure investments. The hybrid model emerges as a transitional approach that can accommodate efficiency without sacrificing substantive justice principles.

Discussion

The findings in this study confirm that, although virtual trials in Indonesia were implemented to maintain the continuity of the judicial system and uphold the principle of efficient justice, their practice has led to unintended consequences, particularly in criminal cases. These findings strengthen theoretical insights from symbolic interactionism (Goffman, 1959) and ritual

communication theory (Carey, 1989), which emphasize the performative and symbolic dimensions of interactions in the courtroom—dimensions largely lost in virtual environments.

From a communication theory perspective, the trial process is multimodal and ritualistic. The transition to a digitally mediated environment has disrupted this complex semiotic space. Nonverbal communication—vital for assessing credibility and maintaining courtroom decorum—has significantly deteriorated in the virtual format. This loss aligns with findings by Johnson and Wiggins (2022), who show that remote legal settings create perceptual and interpretive gaps, especially for marginalized defendants.

In terms of power relations, this study illustrates how virtual trials can exacerbate existing inequalities. Defendants appearing from detention centers without direct legal representation face potential intimidation, lack of privacy, and surveillance by state authorities. This aligns with Foucault's concept of disciplinary power, where visibility and control become tools of domination. The absence of legal counsel physically by the defendant not only reduces their confidence but also disturbs the procedural balance in an adversarial legal system.

Additionally, this study highlights the infrastructure gaps between institutions—showing that digital inequalities further exacerbate communication imbalances. Courts may have sophisticated technology, but detention facilities often lack basic equipment, threatening the standard of fair justice. This technological inequality further marginalizes vulnerable groups, as pointed out by Reiling (2020) and Zalnieriute & Bell (2021).

The principle of "fast, cheap, and simple"—which is a cornerstone of Indonesia's legal philosophy—has only been partially realized. While the administrative aspect benefits from digitalization, the procedural and substantive dimensions of justice have regressed. This aligns

with concerns raised by the UNODC (2021), that a digital justice system implemented without adequate protection risks becoming a mere procedural formality without substantial legitimacy.

Nonetheless, this study also finds opportunities for reform. The majority of participants acknowledged the potential of digital tools for civil cases and administrative processes. The hybrid model—which combines both online and offline elements—emerges as the most contextual solution, capable of maintaining efficiency without sacrificing symbolic authority and fair legal standards.

Conclusion

This study reveals that the implementation of virtual criminal trials in Indonesia presents significant challenges in terms of communication, power balance, and legal symbolism. Virtual hearings not only introduce technical barriers but also have the potential to undermine substantive justice principles and procedural equality. In the context of criminal cases, virtual trials have not yet been able to guarantee a fair and dignified legal process.

However, technology still holds great potential in supporting judicial efficiency, especially if implemented selectively and contextually. The hybrid format represents a transitional alternative that can accommodate the need for efficiency without compromising justice. It is essential to invest in infrastructure, human resource training, and inter-institutional coordination policies to ensure that virtual trials can function as a complement, not a complete replacement, for a fair and meaningful legal process.

References

Alam, A., Ratnasari, R. T., Nugroho, Y. W., & Utami, P. M. (2024). Identifying Problems And Solutions Of The E-Court System Of Religious Courts In Indonesia: An Analytic Network Process Study. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, 15(2), 645–674. <https://doi.org/10.32890/uumjls2024.15.2.10>

- Amarini, I., Saefudin, Y., Kartini, I. A., Marsitiningasih, & Ismail, N. (2023). Digital Transformation: Creating an Effective and Efficient Court in Indonesia. *Legality: Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum*, 31(2), 266–284. <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v31i2.28013>
- Atkinson, J. M., & Drew, P. (1979). *Order in Court*. Palgrave Macmillan UK. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-04057-5>
- Bandes, S. A., & Feigenson, N. (2020). Virtual Trials: Necessity, Invention, and the Evolution of the Courtroom. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3683408>
- Crystal, M. A., Ververs, B., & Khan, M. (2021). The faces of justice: a study of the interpretation of emoji messages in the court process. *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, 47(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050718.2021.1881242>
- Davide, F., Loreti, P., Lunghi, M., Riva, G., & Vatalaro, F. (2002). Communications through virtual technologies. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2497, 124–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36162-6_6
- Intihani, S. N., Arifudin, A., & Juliani, J. (2022). Efektivitas Persidangan Berbasis E-Court. *VERITAS*, 8(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.34005/veritas.v8i1.1804>
- Langga, G. (2023). Dramaturgi Panggung Depan Terdakwa Ferdy Sambo. *Jurnal Komunikasi Nusantara*, 5(1), 138–148. <https://doi.org/10.33366/jkn.v5i1.274>
- Law, S., Allen, S., Franklin, L., Perlmutter, M., & Simon, S. (2021). Virtual Justice? A NATIONAL STUDY ANALYZING THE. *Stanford Criminal Justice Center, August*.
- Leung, J. H. C. (2012). Judicial discourse in Cantonese courtrooms in postcolonial Hong Kong: The judge as a godfather, scholar, educator and scolding parent. *International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1558/ijssl.v19i2.239>
- Mahkamah Agung. (2020). *PERMA_NOMOR_4_TAHUN_2020_1.pdf*.
- Masthuro, M. (2023). Manfaat Memahami Hukum Acara Pidana untuk Masyarakat dan Mahasiswa Sekolah Tinggi Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Kusuma Negara Jakarta. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan (JIP) STKIP Kusuma Negara*, 14(2), 168–176. <https://doi.org/10.37640/jip.v14i2.1553>
- Nelson, F. M., Hendrawati, I., & A'yun, R. Q. (2023). Finding the Truth in A Virtual Courtroom: Criminal Trials in Indonesia during the COVID-19. *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 228–243. <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss2.2465.pp228-243>
- ROSSNER, M. (2021). Remote rituals in virtual courts. *Journal of Law and Society*, 48(3), 334–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jols.12304>
- Rossner, M., & Tait, D. (2023). Presence and participation in a virtual court. *Criminology and Criminal Justice*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17488958211017372>
- Rossner, M., & Tait, D. (2024). Virtual Technology and the Changing Rituals of Courtroom. *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 98(1), 252–278. <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreviewhttps://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview/vol98/iss1/14>
- Setiawan, H., Ketut Rachmi Handayani, I. G. A., Hamzah, M. G., & Tegnan, H. (2024). Digitalization of Legal Transformation on Judicial Review in the Constitutional Court. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 4(2), 263–298. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jhcls.v4i2.263>
- Shi, C., Sourdin, T., & Li, B. (2021). The Smart Court – A New Pathway to Justice in China? *International Journal for Court Administration*, 12(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.367>

- Simandjuntak, M. E., Saraswati, R., Soerjowinoto, P., & Boputra, E. (2024). AN ANALYSIS ON ONLINE CRIMINAL CASE HEARINGS: CAN JUSTICE BE SERVED ONLINE? *Masalah-Masalah Hukum*, 53(3), 304–315. <https://doi.org/10.14710/mmh.53.3.2024.304-315>
- Susskind, R. (2019). Physical, Virtual, Online. In R. Susskind (Ed.), *Online Courts and the Future of Justice* (p. 0). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198838364.003.0007>
- Turner, J. I. (2025). *Rethinking Courtroom Presence in the Virtual Era*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5151997>
- Widodo, A. (2022). Dramatisme Terdakwa di Ruang Pengadilan. *Jurnal ILMU KOMUNIKASI*, 19(1), 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.24002/jik.v19i1.3600>
- Widodo, A. (2024a). Communication Type In Trial: Ethnography Communication In Indonesian Criminal Courtroom Process. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 24(3), 156–167. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v24i3.891>
- Widodo, A. (2024b). Transformation Model for Online Trials at the Bekasi District Court. *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 16(2), 359–379. <https://doi.org/10.24912/jk.v16i2.29002>
- Young, J. (2011). *A Virtual Day in Court: Design Thinking and Virtual Courts*. 1–15. <https://www.cep-probation.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/DUTT-Summary-RSA-Project-A-Virtual-Day-in-Court.pdf>

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Aan Widodo reports financial support was provided by Directorate General of Higher Education (DIKTI), Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethical Statement

This study involved interviews with legal practitioners and courtroom observations. All participants were informed about the aims of the study and gave their voluntary and informed consent. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout

No	Perihal	Tanggal
2	Bukti konfirmasi review tim editorial	28 Juli 2025



Decision on your submission to Social Sciences & Humanities Open

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>
Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>
To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Mon, Jul 28, 2025 at 3:58 PM

Ref.: Ms. No. **SSHO-D-25-03297**

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* (SSHO). We have conducted a thorough assessment of your submission and recognise that the work addresses several interesting research questions. However, your files have been incorrectly prepared and submitted. In its current form, we are unable to forward your submission for the peer review process. We understand this may be disappointing, and therefore would like to offer you the opportunity to thoroughly revise and resubmit. All files need to be correctly prepared (see details below).

You have up to three weeks to revise and resubmit your submission. If you choose to do so, please use the comments below and ensure all are fully incorporated. Be sure to follow all instructions and formatting guidance in the journal's *Guide for Authors* and, especially, the prompts within the Editorial Manager submission system regarding both manuscript preparation and the creation of the required additional files. Ensure all files are uploaded under the correct "file name" and with the correct "file description."

When you resubmit, please mention this submission and that you were invited to revise and resubmit in your covering letter. Include the original manuscript number (typed at the top of this email) and a summary of the improvements made.

Thank you for choosing *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* as a potential outlet for your research. We very much hope you decide to revise and resubmit.

Yours sincerely,
The Editorial Team
Social Sciences & Humanities Open

DETAILED COMMENTS:

FILE PREPARATION:

(1) The file “Manuscript without author(s) names”:

- At this stage, upload ONE copy of the manuscript only
- The file *must be fully anonymous*, and not reveal any potentially leading information (such as the author's institution, supervisors and so on)
- After the “Conclusion”, please then list the “References” and nothing else
- The last **numbered** section should be the conclusions

(2) Illustrations

- All **figures** and **tables** included should be individually numbered and captioned in a running sequence Table 1, Table 2, Table 3... or Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3 etc.
- Graph, plate, photo, picture or other terms are **not** accepted
 - See the [SSHO Guide for Authors](#) and previously published manuscripts in [our journal](#) for more details
- All illustrations must have a caption. This should be complete, comprehensive, written in full sentences with correct academic English grammar.
- Each illustration and its caption should be understandable as a stand-alone element.

(3) “Title page (with author details)” file

- This should be **one page** and have:
 - The title of the manuscript
 - The name, affiliation, institutional address and email for *each* author
 - The corresponding author should be indicated (do not write out their name and/or other details for a **second or third time**)
- **Then add:**
 - *Funding Statement*
 - *Declaration of the use of AI*
 - *Data availability statement*
 - *Acknowledgements* (optional)

(4) “Ethics statement” file

- Please see the sections “Studies in Humans” in the journal’s guide for authors and then write an appropriate statement under the title “Ethics Statement” or “Not applicable” depending on your situation.

(5) “Declaration of Competing Interests” file

- Author’s must use the template provided (in the journal’s guide for authors, and also within the EM submission system) and tick one of the two available options
- For more information, please consult the [competing interests’ factsheet](#)

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

No	Perihal	Tanggal
3	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel revisi dan artikel yang diresubmit	5 Agustus 2025



SSHO-D-25-04277 - Confirming your submission to Social Sciences & Humanities Open

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>

Tue, Aug 5, 2025 at 1:47 PM

Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Your submission entitled "VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE" has been received by journal Social Sciences & Humanities Open. It has been assigned the following manuscript number: **SSHO-D-25-04277**.

You will be able to check on the progress of your paper by logging on to Editorial Manager as an author. The URL is <https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/>.

Your manuscript will be given a reference number once an Editor has been assigned.

Due to an unprecedented increase in submissions, there may be delay in the processing of your paper. We apologize for this and we are taking steps to address this issue. However we do not wish to compromise on our level of service to authors, therefore we appreciate your patience. We will be in contact with you shortly.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, then you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated Scientific Managing Editor, a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. For more details see the journal guide for authors.

At Elsevier, we want to help all our authors to stay safe when publishing. Please be aware of fraudulent messages requesting money in return for the publication of your paper. If you are publishing open access with Elsevier, bear in mind that we will never request payment before the paper has been accepted. We have prepared some guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/authors-update/seven-top-tips-on-stopping-apc-scams>) that you may find helpful, including a short video on Identifying fake acceptance letters (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5l8thD9XtE>). Please remember that you can contact Elsevier's Researcher Support team (<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>) at

any time if you have questions about your manuscript, and you can log into Editorial Manager to check the status of your manuscript (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/29155/c/10530/supporthub/publishing/kw/status/).

#AU_SSHO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

No	Perihal	Tanggal
4	Bukti konfirmasi hasil pre-review editor	13 Agustus 2025



Submission to Social Sciences & Humanities Open requires action

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>

Wed, Aug 13, 2025 at 10:20 PM

Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Ref.: Ms. No. **SSHO-D-25-04277**

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE
Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Your submission entitled 'VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE' has been received by Social Sciences & Humanities Open. However, before we can proceed with the review process, we ask you to address the following:

- Your ethical statement does not currently mention institutional ethical approval (IRB approval, or regional equivalent). It is important that we understand whether or not institutional ethical was sought and obtain, or if ethical requirements were waived by your institution. Please clarify this in your Ethical Statement file.
- Additionally, I have some concerns regarding the anonymisation of your participants. You use initials (e.g. AA and SU). Are these initials the participants' real initials? If so, I would recommend changing them. I am particularly concerned about SU, as you state that "The interview with judge SU was conducted at the Bekasi District Court" - it seems that this might make it possible to identify SU. Further anonymisation may be necessary.

Please address the above issue(s) prior to resubmitting your manuscript. You have **4 weeks** to make these changes and re-submit.

When you are ready to proceed, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Sent Back to Author" folder. There you can edit your submission by clicking "Edit submission" under the "Action Link" menu.

Should you have any questions or require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact Elsevier Author Support (link below).

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Editorial Team
Social Sciences & Humanities Open

More information and support:
%CUSTOM_GENERALSSUPPORT%

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Title Page

Manuscript Title:

Virtual Criminal Trials in Indonesia: Communication Inequalities, Power Dynamics, and the Challenges of Justice

Authors:

1. **Aan Widodo**
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Faculty of Communication Sciences
Email: aan.widodo@ubharajaya.ac.id (*Corresponding Author*)
2. **Wa Ode Sitti Nurhaliza**
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Faculty of Communication Sciences
3. **Moh Rifaldi Akbar**
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Faculty of Communication Sciences

Total Word Count:

5,781 words (including references)

Funding

This work was supported by the Directorate General of Higher Education (DIKTI), Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia, under Decree Number 0667/E5/AL.04/2024 and Grant Agreement Number 783/LL3/AL/04/2024.

Declaration on the Use of AI

AI tools were used exclusively for technical data classification purposes during the research process. All interpretation, writing, and conclusions presented in this manuscript were solely developed by the authors.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participating judges and lawyers for their time and openness during the interviews.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Aan Widodo reports financial support was provided by Directorate General of Higher Education (DIKTI), Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethical Statement

This study was conducted in accordance with the *Guidelines for Research and Community Service 2024* issued by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia. In line with these guidelines, the research adhered to the core ethical principles of beneficence, non-maleficence, respect for human dignity, and justice.

The study did not require formal institutional ethical approval, as it involved only non-sensitive interviews and courtroom observations with adult participants. All participation was voluntary, with informed consent obtained after participants were provided with clear explanations regarding the purpose and scope of the study.

To ensure confidentiality, no personal or identifiable information was disclosed. Pseudonyms (e.g., Lawyer 1, Lawyer 2, Judge A, Judge B) were used for all informants, and locations were generalized (e.g., a district court in West Java) to prevent any possibility of identification.

The authors affirm that this research fully complies with national ethical standards for research in the social sciences, as stipulated by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia 2025.

Cover Letter – Resubmission

Ref.: Ms. No. SSHO-D-25-04277

Title: *Virtual Criminal Trials in Indonesia: Communication Inequalities, Power Dynamics, and the Challenges of Justice*

Dear Editorial Team,

Thank you for your email and the opportunity to revise our manuscript for *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*. We appreciate your constructive comments and have carefully addressed the concerns raised regarding the ethical statement and anonymisation.

1. Ethical Statement

We have revised the Ethical Statement in the manuscript and supplementary file to explicitly clarify that, in accordance with the regulations of Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya and the 2024 Guidelines for Research and Community Service issued by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia, this study did not require formal institutional ethical approval. The research only involved voluntary participation of adult individuals in non-sensitive interviews and courtroom observations. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and confidentiality was strictly maintained throughout the research process.

2. Anonymisation

To strengthen participant anonymity, we have replaced the initials previously used (e.g., AA, SU) with pseudonyms (e.g., Lawyer 1, Lawyer 2, Judge A, Judge B). Furthermore, location references have been generalized (e.g., “a district court in West Java”) to ensure that no individual participant can be directly or indirectly identified.

We believe these revisions address the concerns raised by the editorial team. We sincerely thank you for your guidance and for considering our manuscript for publication.

Kind regards,

Dr. Aan Widodo
Faculty of Communication Sciences
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of virtual court hearings in Indonesia as a means to facilitate remote participation and promote access to justice that is faster, cheaper, and simpler. This study examines the implementation of virtual criminal trials from a communication science perspective, focusing on how legal practitioners experience and interpret mediated courtroom interactions and how these dynamics affect perceptions of justice. Using a qualitative approach, the research draws on semi-formal interviews with judges and lawyers, complemented by courtroom observations. The findings indicate that significant challenges stem from inadequate digital infrastructure, particularly in detention centers, which results in communication breakdowns and incomplete message transmission. The non-conducive detention environment further undermines concentration and diminishes the symbolic solemnity of judicial proceedings. From a justice perspective, judges face difficulties in uncovering material truth due to the inability to fully observe defendants' and witnesses' non-verbal cues, while defendants frequently report discomfort, psychological pressure, and limited fulfillment of their right to a fair defense. Although virtual hearings are perceived as effective for civil cases and administrative matters, most informants contend that Indonesia's judicial system is not yet fully equipped for their institutionalization in criminal proceedings. This study contributes to legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how mediated trial settings exacerbate power asymmetries and heighten perceived vulnerability among defendants. It further recommends infrastructural enhancement and strengthened inter-institutional coordination to ensure substantive justice in future virtual courtroom practices.

Keywords: Virtual trials; communication inequality; courtroom interaction; power dynamics; legal communication; Indonesia.

Introduction

The advancement of information and communication technology has driven transformation in various sectors, including law and justice systems. In the digital era, formal legal procedures such as trials have begun to shift to online formats. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated this transition worldwide (Shi et al., 2021; Widodo, 2024b), including in Indonesia through the adoption of electronic trials (Mahkamah Agung, 2020). While online hearings are seen as a way to expedite legal processes and improve administrative efficiency (Amarini et al., 2023) this form

of trial raises several issues related to procedural justice, participation, and power relations (Davide et al., 2002; ROSSNER, 2021; Susskind, 2019).

In the Indonesian legal context, the Supreme Court issued Regulation No. 4 of 2020 on Electronic Administration and Trial Procedures for Criminal Cases. This regulation complements earlier regulations governing e-courts for civil cases (Mahkamah Agung, 2020). Virtual trials in Indonesia are conducted using various platforms such as Zoom, Cisco Webex, Google Meet, and WhatsApp Video Call under limited conditions (Davide et al., 2002; Susskind, 2019). The goal is to maintain judicial continuity while reducing health risks for defendants, judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and witnesses.

The implementation of virtual trials emerged as a solution to expedite legal proceedings, reduce costs, and alleviate case backlogs, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic (Intihani et al., 2022; Nelson et al., 2023). Although the e-court system has proven effective in simplifying, speeding up, and reducing the cost of trials (Intihani et al., 2022) this system also presents challenges related to communication and fair trial procedures (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner, 2021). Digitalizing the legal process can enhance transparency and accessibility, as observed in various countries (Setiawan et al., 2024). However, the lack of specific regulations for virtual courtrooms and outdated criminal procedure laws may lead to legal errors (Nelson et al., 2023).

A criminal trial is not just a formal reading of documents but a symbolic and discursive space where dialogue, argumentation, and the search for material truth occur (Masthuro, 2023; Widodo, 2022, 2024a). The courtroom functions as a space for both verbal and non-verbal communication, where the defendant uses strategies to gain sympathy and possibly receive a more lenient sentence (Langga, 2023; Widodo, 2022). Judges rely on various forms of evidence, including witness testimony, expert opinions, documents, and defendant statements, to establish

material truth and form their conviction (Leung, 2012; Masthuro, 2023) Judicial independence is crucial in examining evidence, conducting hearings, and making decisions based on material truth without external influence (Boyoh, 2015). Understanding criminal procedure law is important for the general public and non-law students to be aware of their rights in the criminal process (Masthuro, 2023)

The implementation of virtual criminal trials during the COVID-19 pandemic presented both opportunities and challenges for the judicial system. While it allowed courts to continue functioning, virtual trials raised concerns regarding justice and effectiveness (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner & Tait, 2024). Issues included inadequate technology, privacy concerns for defendants, and difficulties in assessing credibility and maintaining courtroom rituals (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner & Tait, 2023). The lack of specific regulations for virtual trials in Indonesia could lead to legal errors (Nelson et al., 2023) However, the e-court system has shown promise in simplifying processes and reducing costs (Intihani et al., 2022) Despite these benefits, virtual criminal trials face legal weaknesses and implementation challenges that may violate the principles of a fair trial (Sahara et al., 2022). As courts adapt to this new reality, careful consideration must be given to redesigning the virtual trial process to ensure respect, inclusivity, and adherence to justice principles (Rossner & Tait, 2023)

Beyond procedural fairness, confidentiality emerges as a critical issue in virtual criminal hearings, particularly when defendants participate from detention centers under the supervision of law enforcement officers. The absence of private and secure communication channels raises the risk of unauthorized monitoring and limits the ability of defendants to confidentially consult with their legal counsel. This situation potentially compromises attorney–client privilege and affects the reliability of testimony, as participants may feel psychologically constrained or intimidated

due to the presence of unseen actors behind the screen. Furthermore, the use of unsecured digital platforms increases vulnerability to data breaches or external access, which could undermine the integrity and legitimacy of judicial proceedings.

From a communication science perspective, virtual trials can be seen as a transformation of the legal communication space from face-to-face to digitally mediated communication (Crystal et al., 2021) This transformation brings serious consequences, one of which is the emergence of communication imbalances between the parties involved in the trial (Maria Silvyva et al., 2022). The defendant's position becomes the most vulnerable. In Rosser's (2021) research, conducted in the UK and the US, defendants in virtual trials reported feeling uncomfortable, losing control, and even experiencing intimidation from their surroundings, especially when not accompanied by their lawyer. Although Roser's study was conducted in countries with more advanced digital infrastructure, these findings are highly relevant to the Indonesian context. Legal practitioners, such as lawyers and judges, in Indonesia also reported similar issues: the absence of physical defense counsel alongside the defendant created a gap for potential pressure or intervention, especially if the defendant was under institutional supervision like the police or detention centers. In this context, the virtual courtroom loses its symbolic function as a neutral space that protects individual rights from state domination.

In the study of interactions in the courtroom, Atkinson and Drew (1979) stated that the court is an institutional interaction carried out through a communication structure rich in symbols and social roles (Atkinson & Drew, 1979). This aligns with Carey's (1989) view of the trial as a ritual communication—symbolic practices that reproduce legal authority, state legitimacy, and social order. Therefore, when trials are moved to the virtual realm, these symbolic dimensions degrade, which affects public perception of the seriousness and legitimacy of the legal process

(Law et al., 2021) The courtroom is understood as a ritualized space filled with hierarchical structures and symbols of authority (Carey, 1989; Butler, 1997). When legal proceedings are transferred to the digital space, symbols such as the judge's gavel, robes, and seating arrangements become invisible, thus lowering the performance of the law (Butler, 1997; Rowden, 2018) and reducing respect and adherence to the legal process (Simon, 2022; Wexler, 2020).

On paper, Indonesia's e-court regulations have progressed—the Supreme Court (2020) issued Regulation No. 1 of 2019 and No. 3 of 2022 setting technical procedures and standards (e-filing, e-payment, e-summons, e-litigation). However, in practice, the implementation of virtual trials reveals a large gap between policy and reality (Simandjuntak et al., 2024)). These regulations only apply to the judicial system, not to other institutions such as the prosecution, police, or detention centers (Langga, 2023; Turner, 2025). As a result, the implementation of virtual hearings becomes uneven: the court may be ready, but facilities in detention centers often do not support it. Trial delays caused by technical disruptions—poor signals, audio cutoffs, blurry images, and broken devices—are common (Alam et al., 2024) and recognized as a major barrier to the e-court infrastructure in various religious courts. This not only slows down the legal process but also potentially harms defendants awaiting verdicts in detention and creates legal uncertainty (Simandjuntak et al., 2024)

So far, studies on virtual trials in Indonesia remain limited. Most research focuses on normative legal aspects or administrative efficiency. However, communication aspects, power relations in virtual courtrooms, and their implications for justice principles have not been empirically explored. Nelson, Hendrawati, and A'yun (2023) in their article in the *Sriwijaya Law Review* identified several challenges in the implementation of online criminal trials in Indonesia, such as legal barriers in the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP) and technological limitations.

However, their study used a quantitative survey approach and did not delve deeply into the subjective experiences of judges, prosecutors, or defense lawyers. In contrast, international studies on virtual courtrooms have advanced further, addressing communication and legal performativity aspects more thoroughly. Rossner and Tait (2021) show that limitations on non-verbal interaction and the absence of physical presence in online hearings can reduce respect, participation, and the symbolic authority of the law. Bandes and Feigenson (2019) criticized the loss of symbolic and performative dimensions of the trial process in the virtual space, which impacts perceptions of procedural justice. Meanwhile, the Stanford Criminal Justice Center (2021) reports that judges and lawyers in the US feel a decline in communication quality, difficulty reading expressions, and increasing power imbalances in virtual trials. (Bandes & Feigenson, 2020; Young, 2011) These studies reveal that communication in the virtual courtroom not only faces technical obstacles but also undergoes a fundamental transformation in interaction structure, authority symbolism, and the sense of justice experienced by legal actors.

This research aims to fill this gap. Using a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews of judges and lawyers directly involved in virtual trials, this study explores the dimensions of communication, power relations, and perceptions of justice and sanctity in the practice of virtual trials in Indonesia. Its main focus is to answer (1) What are the experiences of legal practitioners (lawyers and judges) in conducting virtual trials during and after the COVID-19 pandemic?; (2) What communication challenges and technical barriers emerge in virtual trials? (3) How does virtual trial affect perceptions of power balance and the sanctity of the courtroom?; (4) What are the views of legal practitioners regarding the accommodation of the principles of “speedy, affordable, and simple” justice in virtual trials?; (5) Do virtual trials represent the future of the judicial system in Indonesia?. This study is expected to contribute to theoretical insights in the

field of communication, as well as practical recommendations for policymakers in designing a more inclusive, fair, and digitally adaptive judicial system.

Research Method

This study used a qualitative approach with a descriptive design. The main objective was to “examine, explore” the in-depth experiences of legal practitioners (lawyers and judges) regarding the implementation of virtual trials. The qualitative approach allowed the researcher to understand the phenomenon from the participants perspectives, uncovering nuances and social contexts that cannot be captured by quantitative methods. The informants in this study were selected using purposive sampling, consisting of two main groups:

- a. Lawyers: three lawyers (coded as Lawyer 1, Lawyer 2, and Lawyer 3) who were selected due to their extensive experience in assisting defendants during virtual trials.
- b. Judges: four judges (coded as Judge A, Judge B, Judge C, and Judge D) who were selected for their central role in managing and leading virtual trial proceedings, as well as their understanding of the legal and technical aspects.

A total of seven interviews were conducted between May and December 2024, with each session lasting approximately 60 to 90 minutes. All interviews were conducted in Bahasa Indonesia, transcribed verbatim, and subsequently translated into English for analytical and reporting purposes. Although the sample consisted of only seven participants, this number is methodologically sufficient for qualitative inquiry, especially when the goal is to obtain rich experiential data rather than statistical generalization (Hennink & Kaiser, 2022). Data saturation was reached after the sixth interview, as no additional themes emerged during subsequent coding, indicating the adequacy of the sample size for thematic analysis.

Table 1. Participant Demographic Characteristics

No	Participant Code	Professional Role	Experience in Virtual Trials	Institutional Affiliation
1	Lawyer 1	Defense Lawyer	Yes (assisted multiple criminal cases virtually)	Private Law Firm
2	Lawyer 2	Defense Lawyer	Yes (handled legal representation during virtual hearings)	Private Law Firm
3	Lawyer 3	Defense Lawyer	Yes (acted as legal counsel in detention-based virtual trials)	Independent / Legal Aid Organization
4	Judge A	District Court Judge	Yes (presided over multiple virtual trials during pandemic)	District Court
5	Judge B	District Court Judge	Yes (responsible for overseeing technical and procedural aspects of virtual hearings)	District Court
6	Judge C	District Court Judge	Yes (experienced in assessing witness and defendant performance virtually)	District Court
7	Judge D	District Court Judge	Yes (led courtroom proceedings at both physical and virtual settings)	District Court

Data Collection Techniques consisted of: (a). Semi-formal Interviews: In-depth interviews were conducted with all informants. Questions were asked in a semi-formal manner to allow informants to share their experiences, challenges, and personal views regarding virtual hearings. The interviews were recorded to ensure data accuracy. The interview topics included general experiences with virtual trials, technical challenges, issues of power balance, the sanctity of the trial, and the future prospects of virtual hearings. (b). Observation Notes: Observations were conducted at a district court in West Java. These observation notes include descriptions of the physical environment of the court, the atmosphere, access to the courtroom and supporting facilities, as well as the atmosphere during interviews with judges. This observation aims to provide a visual and spatial context to the experiences described by informants, especially regarding infrastructure and the atmosphere of the trials.

The research locations are spread across several places according to the informants domiciles and observation sites. Interviews with Lawyer 1 and Lawyer 2, as well as judge A,

Judge B, and Judge C, were conducted at Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya or virtually. The interview with Judge D and Lawyer 3 was conducted at a district court in West Java, which also served as the observation site.

Data Analysis were analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step procedure: familiarization, coding, theme generation, theme review, theme definition, and reporting (Naeem et al., 2023). An inductive coding strategy was used, beginning with open coding to identify initial meaningful statements, followed by axial coding to organize these codes into broader conceptual categories. Two researchers independently coded the transcripts to minimize subjective bias. Initial codes were compared to ensure inter-rater consistency. Coding discrepancies were discussed collaboratively, and consensus was reached through iterative refinement, ensuring analytical coherence and reliability. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and iterative refinement until consensus was reached. Sub-themes were developed based on recurring patterns related to communication breakdowns, power dynamics, symbolic degradation of courtroom rituals, and perceptions of justice.

Research Findings

This study aims to explain the experiences of judges and lawyers in the practice of virtual trials in Indonesia, particularly in criminal cases. Through a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews of key actors, five main themes were identified that represent the reality of virtual trials, namely: (1) general experiences in virtual trials, (2) communication challenges and technical barriers, (3) power imbalances and the loss of sanctity in the courtroom, (4) accommodation of the “fast, cheap, and simple” principle, and (5) perceptions of virtual trials as the future of the justice system in Indonesia.

1. General Experiences in Virtual Trials

Virtual trials were adopted as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Although the goal was to maintain the continuity of judicial processes, their implementation was rushed and uneven. Many courts lacked the technical readiness and adequate procedural guidelines. Lawyer 1 described the initial condition of virtual trials as “not conducive” because minimal devices, such as one phone for multiple defendants, were used. This obscured individual identities and diminished the “sacred” atmosphere usually attached to physical courtrooms:

“In the early days of the pandemic, we were only given one phone for five defendants. The sound was muffled, sometimes their faces weren’t visible. Is this a trial or just a formality?”

Lawyer 2 highlighted the condition of defendants who were tried from detention centers (*rutan*) or police stations (*polres*) without direct accompaniment from their legal counsel. This raised concerns about potential pressure or intimidation from law enforcement present at the location:

“What we worry about is whether they are being interfered with. We don’t know who is in the room when they speak on the screen.”

This concern was confirmed by Lawyer 3, who mentioned that many families of defendants complained about the discomfort and lack of transparency in the virtual trial process. To address this, he devised a strategy by placing members of the legal team in detention centers to provide direct accompaniment to defendants. From the judges' perspective, the main challenge lay in the inability to capture nonverbal signals. Judge D stated that aspects such as facial expressions, eye contact, or body language, typically used to assess the honesty and integrity of a defendant or witness, were inaccessible through the screen:

“I can’t see their expressions. Body language is important to read if the witness is lying. That’s what is missing.”

In criminal cases, where the search for material truth was the primary mandate, this limitation was highly disruptive. Judge A added that poor sound and image quality often made it difficult for defendants to understand the testimony of witnesses or the judge, thus affecting their right to defend themselves fully.

Furthermore, the psychological aspect also changed. The “feel” or solemnity of the trial was lost. According to Judge A, this atmosphere played a vital role in shaping the seriousness of the defendant’s attitude toward the legal process. When the trial takes place only on a screen, the symbolic authority of the judge and the courtroom diminished:

“Now the trial feels more relaxed. In the past, entering the courtroom made you tense. Now, it’s too casual.”

Based on these informants statements, it can be concluded that the majority of the early experiences of virtual trials were seen as unfit for purpose. Lawyers faced limitations in providing direct support to defendants, while judges struggled to capture nonverbal expressions that are crucial in evaluating credibility. The use of minimal devices and the condition of trial locations (such as detention centers or police stations) led to a loss of the formal and authoritative atmosphere of the trial. Many felt that the trial was merely a procedural formality that lost its symbolic meaning as a sacred legal space.

Table 2. Location and Facilities for Virtual Trials According to Informants

Virtual Trial Location	Devices Used	Main Issues
Detention Centers	One phone for many defendants	Noisy sound, blurry visuals
Police Stations	Standard PC webcam	No privacy space
Courtrooms	More complete equipment	Depends on detention center readiness

The table 2 summarizes the types of locations used for virtual trials, the devices commonly employed, and the recurring issues reported by informants. Detention centers were noted as the most problematic, with several defendants often sharing a single mobile phone, resulting in poor audio quality and limited visual clarity. Police stations typically used standard webcams without providing private spaces, raising concerns about confidentiality and potential coercion. In contrast, courtrooms had relatively better infrastructure, but their effectiveness still depended heavily on the preparedness of external institutions like detention centers. These disparities underscore the fragmented and uneven implementation of virtual trials across legal institutions. They also reveal how infrastructural inadequacy directly impairs the perceived fairness, solemnity, and symbolic authority of the courtroom process. Without consistent standards and proper equipment across all locations, virtual trials risk devolving into mere formalities rather than meaningful instruments of justice

2. Communication Barriers and Technical Constraints

Communication barriers in virtual trials were largely caused by technical issues. Signal disruptions, interrupted audio, and blurry or unsynchronized video were recurring complaints in almost all interviews with informants. These problems occurred more frequently in locations such as detention centers (rutan) or correctional facilities (lapas), where the digital infrastructure was very limited. Judge A revealed that trials often had to be postponed due to simple issues like broken headsets or unclear audio:

“We once waited for half an hour, but the signal from the detention center was down. The trial was postponed for a week. That’s ironic.”

Connection issues caused audio to be inaudible or cut off, making communication ineffective. In several detention center locations, background noise such as prayers, music, or conversations between prisoners disrupted the trial. Lawyer 3 noted:

“Sometimes the defendant doesn’t hear the question. I have to repeat it several times. This lowers the quality of interaction.”

The availability facilities was also highly uneven. Although the Supreme Court had set minimal standards for devices for online trials, in practice, many detention centers or prosecutors' offices relied on regular mobile phones without additional devices like external microphones or large screens. Even the use of shared spaces in detention centers often led to disruptions from other prisoners, such as recitations, crying, or loud conversations. Coordination between institutions became a crucial issue. Ideally, the court should be responsible for contacting detention centers and prosecutors' offices to ensure trial readiness. However, in many cases, there was no effective coordination, leading to delays or absenteeism. This highlights the need for clearer and more integrated inter-institutional coordination protocols.

Figure 1. Frequency of Common Technical Disruptions in Virtual Trials Based on Statements from 7 Informants




Figure 1 illustrates the most frequently reported technical disruptions experienced by legal actors during virtual criminal trials. Signal instability topped the list, with all seven informants mentioning at least one instance of delayed or interrupted hearings due to network issues. Audio disruptions followed closely, including low volume, echo, or completely inaudible sound. Visual issues, such as blurry images or unsynchronized lip movements, were also prevalent. Notably, background noise from detention centers, ranging from religious recitations to conversations among inmates, consistently interfered with trial concentration. The data suggest that these technical barriers are not isolated incidents but rather systemic challenges that demand structural improvements in courtroom technology and coordination protocols between the judiciary and correctional institutions. Communication issues in virtual trials were dominated by technical constraints, such as poor internet networks, inadequate audio-video devices, and background noise at the defendant's location. These issues caused serious obstacles in the dialog between judges, prosecutors, defendants, and lawyers. As a result, delays, repeated questions, and even

miscommunications often occurred. These findings emphasize how effective communication in legal settings depends heavily on the quality of the medium used and highlight the infrastructure inequality between the legal institutions involved.

3. Power Imbalances and the Loss of Sanctity in the Courtroom

One impact of conducting virtual criminal trials is the disruption of the power balance among legal actors. This study found that virtual trials amplified the dominance of state institutions, particularly over the position of defendants who were under the direct supervision of law enforcement, such as police officers or detention center officers. Lawyer 2 stressed that, in many cases, defendants felt uncomfortable because they were being monitored by those who had previously arrested or interrogated them:

“There is a gap for intimidation. We don’t know if there is a police officer standing behind the defendant or not.”

Without the physical presence of legal counsel beside the defendant, the room for control and protection became minimal. This created a dangerous power imbalance for the due process of law principle. The presence of lawyers virtually could not provide the same symbolic or practical protection as their physical presence. From a symbolic standpoint, the sanctity of the courtroom also experienced serious degradation. Judge D illustrated the importance of symbolic elements in shaping legal authority:

“In a physical courtroom, the seating position and the gavel create authority. On the screen, everything is flat; the defendant feels like they’re just chatting casually.”

The online format removed ritualistic elements that formed a formal and hierarchical impression in the trial. The judge's elevated chair, seating arrangements, and symbols like robes and the gavel—all became blurred in the digital space. Lawyer 1 referred to this loss of ritual as the disappearance of the “court moment”:

“The trial is a sacred moment. Now, the defendant appears from a dark room with a blurry camera. The meaning is lost.”

From a symbolic communication perspective, the courtroom is not just an administrative forum but a performative arena that manifests legal authority and legitimacy. When this space is moved to a virtual medium without adequate symbolic and procedural adaptation, the sacred meaning attached to the legal process is eroded. Judge A also expressed his concern:

“Now there is a sense that the trial is more relaxed. The prosecutor doesn't have to bring the defendant, lawyers can just be online, but on the other hand—the defendant's rights may be compromised.”

Thus, the power imbalance in the virtual space is not just technical but also structural and symbolic. The virtual courtroom, without being intentionally designed as an equal and dignified legal space, risks reinforcing the state's dominance over individuals who are most vulnerable in the justice system.

4. Accommodation of the "Fast, Cheap, and Simple" Principle

The "fast, cheap, and simple" principle is a core principle in the Indonesian judicial system as stated in Article 2 (4) of Law No. 48 of 2009 concerning Judicial Power. One of the main arguments for implementing virtual trials is to support this principle through efficiency in time, costs, and administrative processes. However, the findings of this study show that its implementation is still far from expectations. Lawyer 1 expressed that many trials were actually delayed due to technical disruptions:

“If the trial keeps being delayed because of the signal, isn’t it getting longer? Then where is the ‘fast’ part?”

This shows that the “fast” aspect in practice is often hindered by uneven digital infrastructure and the inadequate readiness of human resources. Even delays caused by simple issues, such as broken headsets or lack of technical operators, became factors obstructing the trial process. From a cost-efficiency perspective, virtual trials did indeed reduce transportation and logistics expenses. Judge C gave an example:

“For civil or administrative cases, summons and reading documents can be very cost- and time-efficient. But for criminal cases, the context is different.”

Lawyer 2 emphasized that cost-efficiency should not compromise the quality of legal defense:

“Maybe it’s cheap in terms of expenses, but what if the defendant can’t defend themselves due to a poor signal? That’s actually expensive in terms of justice.”

Lawyer 3 also distinguished between civil and criminal cases:

“For civil cases, maybe it can be 100% online. But criminal cases? It’s about someone’s life. It needs to be handled carefully.”

Thus, the application of the "fast, cheap, and simple" principle in the context of virtual trials is partial and not comprehensive. Efficiency is only achieved in administrative aspects, not in substantive justice. Without infrastructure readiness, technical support, and improvements in inter-institutional coordination systems, this principle risks becoming a normative slogan without real implementation in criminal trials.

5. The Future of Virtual Trials in the Judicial System

The majority of informants in this study stated that, although digital technology offers administrative efficiency, Indonesia's justice system is still not ready to adopt virtual trials as the

primary format for criminal cases. The complexity of communication, infrastructure inequalities, and the risk of procedural inequity were identified as the main obstacles.

Lawyer 3 and Judge C agreed that virtual trials could be used in certain circumstances, such as when defendants are located in hard-to-reach geographical areas. However, both emphasized that the implementation of online trials as the primary system requires comprehensive readiness in terms of infrastructure, inter-institutional coordination, and training human resources across all law enforcement institutions. Judge D stressed the importance of government investment:

“If the government is serious, don’t just prepare a virtual courtroom in the court. Detention centers, prosecutors’ offices, and the police must have it too. Don’t let trials be conducted in public spaces full of distractions.”

Often, trials take place in public spaces in detention centers without dedicated rooms. This not only reduces the formal atmosphere but also opens up opportunities for intimidation of the defendant and disruption of legal processes. The ethical challenges, communication quality, and protection of defendants' rights underline that virtual criminal trials cannot fully replace face-to-face formats.

Nonetheless, most informants support the use of technology as a supplement to the justice system, especially for civil cases and administrative stages. In this context, the hybrid trial model—combining online and offline processes selectively—is seen as a realistic and adaptive solution.


Judge D stated:

“I support virtual trials, but it has to be hybrid. For example, evidence presentation should be physical. Administrative trials can be online.”

Lawyer 3 added:

“We shouldn’t be anti-technology. But we need to be ready. Don’t let technology harm the defendant.”

Figure 2. Preferences for Trial Formats by Case Type (based on 7 informants)



The figure 2 illustrates the distribution of trial format preferences—physical, virtual, or hybrid—according to different case types, based on interviews with seven informants. All informants expressed strong support for physical trials in criminal cases, particularly those involving witness examination and sentencing, citing concerns about fairness, intimidation risks, and communication quality. For administrative and civil cases, informants generally supported virtual or hybrid formats, highlighting their practicality and time-efficiency. The hybrid model was preferred for stages that involved document verification, initial hearings, or preliminary motions. These preferences indicate a cautious but strategic openness to technological integration, provided that it does not compromise the core values of justice, procedural integrity, and defendants’ rights. These findings confirm that the virtual trial format holds potential, but its implementation must be selective, based on the context of the case, and supported by policies and serious infrastructure investments. The hybrid model emerges as a transitional approach that can accommodate efficiency without sacrificing substantive justice principles.

Discussion

The findings in this study confirm that, although virtual trials in Indonesia were implemented to maintain the continuity of the judicial system and uphold the principle of efficient justice, their practice has led to unintended consequences, particularly in criminal cases. These findings strengthen theoretical insights from symbolic interactionism (Goffman, 1959) and ritual communication theory (Carey, 1989), which emphasize the performative and symbolic dimensions of interactions in the courtroom—dimensions largely lost in virtual environments.

From a communication theory perspective, the trial process is multimodal and ritualistic. The transition to a digitally mediated environment has disrupted this complex semiotic space. Nonverbal communication—vital for assessing credibility and maintaining courtroom decorum—has significantly deteriorated in the virtual format. This loss aligns with findings by Johnson and Wiggins (2022), who show that remote legal settings create perceptual and interpretive gaps, especially for marginalized defendants.

In addition to technical problems, this study reveals that confidentiality is compromised in virtual trials, particularly when defendants are located in shared detention rooms without privacy safeguards. This lack of confidential space increases psychological vulnerability and raises concerns about the integrity of testimony. The absence of personal presence in a formal courtroom setting also reduces the psychological weight (“personal pressure”) typically experienced in physical trials, potentially affecting sincerity, emotional expression, and behavioral restraint among defendants and witnesses.

In terms of power relations, this study illustrates how virtual trials can exacerbate existing inequalities. Defendants appearing from detention centers without direct legal representation face potential intimidation, lack of privacy, and surveillance by state authorities. This aligns with

Foucault's concept of disciplinary power, where visibility and control become tools of domination. The absence of legal counsel physically by the defendant not only reduces their confidence but also disturbs the procedural balance in an adversarial legal system.

Additionally, this study highlights the infrastructure gaps between institutions—showing that digital inequalities further exacerbate communication imbalances. Courts may have sophisticated technology, but detention facilities often lack basic equipment, threatening the standard of fair justice. This technological inequality further marginalizes vulnerable groups, as pointed out by Reiling (2020) and Zalnieriute & Bell (2021).

Therefore, while technical disruptions (e.g., unstable signals, poor audio) hinder procedural flow, issues related to psychological insecurity, power domination, and the absence of confidential legal space play a more critical role in undermining fairness and equality in virtual criminal trials. These psychosocial dimensions, although less visible than technical barriers, hold greater implications for perceived justice and defendants' agency, as emphasized by both judges and lawyers in this study.

The principle of "fast, cheap, and simple", which is a cornerstone of Indonesia's legal philosophy, has only been partially realized. While the administrative aspect benefits from digitalization, the procedural and substantive dimensions of justice have regressed. This aligns with concerns raised by the UNODC (2021), that a digital justice system implemented without adequate protection risks becoming a mere procedural formality without substantial legitimacy.

Furthermore, the findings must be interpreted within Indonesia's cultural context, which is characterized by a high power distance and hierarchical communication norms (Arrindell, 2003). In such settings, legal authority is often perceived as sacred and unquestionable, and courtroom rituals reinforce the symbolic dominance of the state over individuals. When trials shift to virtual

settings, the physical markers of hierarchy—such as the judge’s elevated position, courtroom layout, and ceremonial gestures—are diminished, potentially weakening the perceived legitimacy and seriousness of legal proceedings. For defendants, especially those appearing from detention centers, the virtual environment reinforces disciplinary surveillance rather than protective legal symbolism, further amplifying vulnerability and psychological pressure. Thus, virtual trials in Indonesia not only disrupt procedural justice but also challenge culturally embedded expectations of legal authority, respect, and ritualized fairness.

Nonetheless, this study also finds opportunities for reform. The majority of participants acknowledged the potential of digital tools for civil cases and administrative processes. The hybrid model—which combines both online and offline elements, emerges as the most contextual solution, capable of maintaining efficiency without sacrificing symbolic authority and fair legal standards.

Theoretical Contributions

This study enriches legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how digitally mediated trials disrupt the ritualistic and symbolic nature of courtroom communication, as emphasized by Goffman (1959) and Carey (1989). The findings further contribute to power dynamics theory in courtroom settings by highlighting how virtual trials intensify disciplinary power mechanisms (Capodivacca & Giacomini, 2024) particularly in situations where defendants are placed in detention environments without direct legal support. Additionally, the findings validate and extend existing theories of digital inequality (Baraka, 2024), demonstrating how infrastructural disparity between courts and detention centers shapes perceived legitimacy and fairness in virtual judicial processes.

Practical Implications

The findings call for policy reform in the implementation of virtual trials, particularly by ensuring (1) adequate technological infrastructure in detention centers, (2) mandatory presence (physically or virtually) of legal counsel alongside defendants, (3) improved audiovisual quality to support credibility assessment, and (4) stricter protocols for ensuring privacy and protection from intimidation. The endorsement of a hybrid trial system by practitioners suggests that virtual hearings may remain viable for procedural or administrative matters, whereas full face-to-face hearings remain crucial for criminal cases that require symbolic authority and psychological safeguarding of defendants.

Limitations

This study is limited by its relatively small sample size, which includes only seven legal practitioners from specific institutional contexts. While appropriate for qualitative depth, this scope may limit generalizability across broader judicial settings in Indonesia. Furthermore, the research did not include prosecutors, defendants, or courtroom clerks, whose perspectives could provide a more holistic understanding of communication inequalities in virtual trials. Observations were also limited to a single court setting, which may not fully represent variations in infrastructural conditions across different regions.

Directions for Future Research

Future research should consider expanding participant diversity by incorporating defendants, prosecutors, courtroom clerks, or legal aid organizations. Comparative studies between urban and rural courts would also be beneficial to assess digital inequality more comprehensively. Additionally, future studies could explore psychological dimensions of perceived intimidation, stress, and participation in virtual trials through mixed-method or phenomenological approaches. Finally, cross-country comparative research may reveal cultural

influences on virtual courtroom power relations, especially in high power distance societies like Indonesia.

Conclusion

This study reveals that the implementation of virtual criminal trials in Indonesia presents significant challenges in terms of communication, power balance, and legal symbolism. Virtual hearings not only introduce technical barriers but also have the potential to undermine substantive justice principles and procedural equality. In the context of criminal cases, virtual trials have not yet been able to guarantee a fair and dignified legal process.

However, technology still holds great potential in supporting judicial efficiency, especially if implemented selectively and contextually. The hybrid format represents a transitional alternative that can accommodate the need for efficiency without compromising justice. It is essential to invest in infrastructure, human resource training, and inter-institutional coordination policies to ensure that virtual trials can function as a complement, not a complete replacement, for a fair and meaningful legal process.

References

- Alam, A., Ratnasari, R. T., Nugroho, Y. W., & Utami, P. M. (2024). Identifying Problems And Solutions Of The E-Court System Of Religious Courts In Indonesia: An Analytic Network Process Study. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, 15(2), 645–674. <https://doi.org/10.32890/uumjls2024.15.2.10>
- Amarini, I., Saefudin, Y., Kartini, I. A., Marsitiningih, & Ismail, N. (2023). Digital Transformation: Creating an Effective and Efficient Court in Indonesia. *Legality: Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum*, 31(2), 266–284. <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v31i2.28013>
- Arrindell, W. A. (2003). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 41(7), 861–862. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967\(02\)00184-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(02)00184-5)
- Atkinson, J. M., & Drew, P. (1979). *Order in Court*. Palgrave Macmillan UK. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-04057-5>
- Bandes, S. A., & Feigenson, N. (2020). Virtual Trials: Necessity, Invention, and the Evolution of the Courtroom. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3683408>
- Baraka, K. (2024). Digital Divide and Social Inequality. *International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.47941/ijhss.2083>

- Capodivacca, S., & Giacomini, G. (2024). Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: Critical Reflections from Foucault's Thought. *Foucault Studies*, 36(1), 227–251. <https://doi.org/10.22439/fs.i36.7215>
- Crystal, M. A., Ververs, B., & Khan, M. (2021). The faces of justice: a study of the interpretation of emoji messages in the court process. *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, 47(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050718.2021.1881242>
- Davide, F., Loreti, P., Lunghi, M., Riva, G., & Vatalaro, F. (2002). Communications through virtual technologies. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2497, 124–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36162-6_6
- Hennink, M., & Kaiser, B. N. (2022). Sample sizes for saturation in qualitative research: A systematic review of empirical tests. *Social Science & Medicine*, 292, 114523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114523>
- Intihani, S. N., Arifudin, A., & Juliani, J. (2022). Efektivitas Persidangan Berbasis E-Court. *VERITAS*, 8(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.34005/veritas.v8i1.1804>
- Langga, G. (2023). Dramaturgi Panggung Depan Terdakwa Ferdy Sambo. *Jurnal Komunikasi Nusantara*, 5(1), 138–148. <https://doi.org/10.33366/jkn.v5i1.274>
- Law, S., Allen, S., Franklin, L., Perlmutter, M., & Simon, S. (2021). Virtual Justice? A NATIONAL STUDY ANALYZING THE. *Stanford Criminal Justice Center*, August.
- Leung, J. H. C. (2012). Judicial discourse in Cantonese courtrooms in postcolonial Hong Kong: The judge as a godfather, scholar, educator and scolding parent. *International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1558/ijssl.v19i2.239>
- Mahkamah Agung. (2020). *PERMA NOMOR 4 TAHUN 2020_1.pdf*.
- Masthuro, M. (2023). Manfaat Memahami Hukum Acara Pidana untuk Masyarakat dan Mahasiswa Sekolah Tinggi Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Kusuma Negara Jakarta. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan (JIP) STKIP Kusuma Negara*, 14(2), 168–176. <https://doi.org/10.37640/jip.v14i2.1553>
- Naeem, M., Ozuem, W., Howell, K., & Ranfagni, S. (2023). A Step-by-Step Process of Thematic Analysis to Develop a Conceptual Model in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231205789>
- Nelson, F. M., Hendrawati, I., & A'yun, R. Q. (2023). Finding the Truth in A Virtual Courtroom: Criminal Trials in Indonesia during the COVID-19. *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 228–243. <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss2.2465.pp228-243>
- ROSSNER, M. (2021). Remote rituals in virtual courts. *Journal of Law and Society*, 48(3), 334–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jols.12304>
- Rossner, M., & Tait, D. (2023). Presence and participation in a virtual court. *Criminology and Criminal Justice*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17488958211017372>
- Rossner, M., & Tait, D. (2024). Virtual Technology and the Changing Rituals of Courtroom. *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 98(1), 252–278. <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreviewhttps://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview/vol98/iss1/14>
- Setiawan, H., Ketut Rachmi Handayani, I. G. A., Hamzah, M. G., & Tegan, H. (2024). Digitalization of Legal Transformation on Judicial Review in the Constitutional Court. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 4(2), 263–298. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jhcls.v4i2.263>

- Shi, C., Sourdin, T., & Li, B. (2021). The Smart Court – A New Pathway to Justice in China? *International Journal for Court Administration*, 12(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.367>
- Simandjuntak, M. E., Saraswati, R., Soerjowinoto, P., & Boputra, E. (2024). AN ANALYSIS ON ONLINE CRIMINAL CASE HEARINGS: CAN JUSTICE BE SERVED ONLINE? *Masalah-Masalah Hukum*, 53(3), 304–315. <https://doi.org/10.14710/mmh.53.3.2024.304-315>
- Susskind, R. (2019). Physical, Virtual, Online. In R. Susskind (Ed.), *Online Courts and the Future of Justice* (p. 0). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198838364.003.0007>
- Turner, J. I. (2025). *Rethinking Courtroom Presence in the Virtual Era*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5151997>
- Widodo, A. (2022). Dramatisme Terdakwa di Ruang Pengadilan. *Jurnal ILMU KOMUNIKASI*, 19(1), 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.24002/jik.v19i1.3600>
- Widodo, A. (2024a). Communication Type In Trial: Ethnography Communication In Indonesian Criminal Courtroom Process. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 24(3), 156–167. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v24i3.891>
- Widodo, A. (2024b). Transformation Model for Online Trials at the Bekasi District Court. *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 16(2), 359–379. <https://doi.org/10.24912/jk.v16i2.29002>
- Young, J. (2011). *A Virtual Day in Court: Design Thinking and Virtual Courts*. 1–15. <https://www.cep-probation.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/DUTT-Summary-RSA-Project-A-Virtual-Day-in-Court.pdf>

No	Perihal	Tanggal
5	Bukti konfirmasi revisi dari editor, respon kepada editor, dan artikel yang disubmit	21 Agustus 2025



Your PDF VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE has been built and requires approval

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>

Thu, Aug 21, 2025 at 1:52 PM

Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Widodo,

The PDF for your submission, "VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE" is ready for viewing.

This is an automatic email sent when your PDF is built. You may have already viewed and approved your PDF while on-line, in which case you do not need to return to view and approve the submission

Please go to <https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/> to approve your submission.

Username: aanwdd

If you do not know your confidential password, you may reset it by clicking this link: [click here to reset your password](#)

Your submission must be approved in order to complete the submission process and send the manuscript to the Social Sciences & Humanities Open editorial office.

Please view the submission before approving it to be certain that your submission remains free of any errors.

Thank you for your time and patience.

Editorial Office Staff

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/>

#AU_SSHO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

No	Perihal	Tanggal
6	Bukti konfirmasi hasil review oleh reviewer	23 Oktober 2025



Your Submission

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>

Thu, Oct 23, 2025 at 11:26 PM

Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Ref.: Ms. No. **SSHO-D-25-04277**

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Reviewers have now commented on your paper. You will see that they are advising that you **revise** your manuscript. For your guidance, the **reviewers' comments are below**. Please address the suggested changes. Shoring up the methodological point about the small sample size is a key aspect of your revisions.

When you revise the work, please include a **Response to Reviewers** document alongside your resubmitted manuscript files. This document should include a list of all reviewer comments and a response to each comment individually - describing what you have changed or offering a detailed justification as to why something has not been changed. The changes must be marked in a way that each reviewer can identify which changes relate to them (colour, comments/notes on the manuscript file). Please also note that we do not allow the addition or removal of authors at this stage. Do not make changes to your author list when submitting your revision, as these will need to be reverted and will delay processing your manuscript.

Your revision is due by Nov 13, 2025.

To submit a revision, go to <https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/> and log in as an Author. You will see a menu item call Submission Needing Revision. You will find your submission record there.

Research Elements (optional)

This journal encourages you to share research objects - including your raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and more – which support your original research article in a Research Elements journal. Research Elements are open access, multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed journals which make the objects associated with your research more discoverable, trustworthy and promote replicability and reproducibility. As open access journals, there may be an Article Publishing Charge if your paper is accepted for publication. Find out more about the Research Elements journals at https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email.

Yours sincerely

Ross Burkhart
Subject Editor
Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

Reviewer's Responses to Questions

Note: In order to effectively convey your recommendations for improvement to the author(s), and help editors make well-informed and efficient decisions, we ask you to answer the following specific questions about the manuscript and provide additional suggestions where appropriate.

1. Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the clarity of the objectives and rationale of the study. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: yes

Reviewer #2: The study focuses on the advantages and disadvantages of virtual criminal trials in Indonesia. It has a clear storyline and is concise in every respect. The literature used is also up to date and relevant to the issue at hand. However, there is one aspect that I feel has not been sufficiently addressed: the confidentiality of virtual proceedings, which is of course also related to the technology used. I recommend that this point be taken into consideration.

Otherwise, I do not see any significant weaknesses in this manuscript.

2. If applicable, is the method/study reported in sufficient detail to allow for its replicability and/or reproducibility?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the replicability/reproducibility of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Reviewer #2: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here: This is a qualitative study that is methodologically sound overall. I would make two recommendations:

- 1) In the description of the coding method, it would be helpful to mention the exact type of coding used.
 - 2) Seven interviews are rather few for this type of study. I recommend providing a reason why such a small number of interviews were used. In its current form, it is not very convincing.
-

3. If applicable, are statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, and statistical reporting (e.g., P-values, CIs, effect sizes) appropriate and well described?

Please clearly indicate if the manuscript requires additional peer review by a statistician. Kindly provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, or statistical reporting. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Reviewer #2: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here: Qualitative - no statistics applied

4. Could the manuscript benefit from additional tables or figures, or from improving or removing (some of the) existing ones?

Please provide specific suggestions for improvements, removals, or additions of figures or tables. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Detail in "Reviewer Comments to Author" section.

Reviewer #2: none

5. If applicable, are the interpretation of results and study conclusions supported by the data?

Please provide suggestions (if needed) to the author(s) on how to improve, tone down, or expand the study interpretations/conclusions. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Reviewer #2: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Actually, I would have expected more factors to stand in the way, such as confidentiality, power relations, the lack of personal pressure, etc. Instead, the technical problems are emphasised almost more strongly than the psychological ones or questions of legal equality in court.

I recommend that the authors weigh up the factors in the discussion section and explain which factors are most important and why, also in relation to the comments in the literature analysis.

6. Have the authors clearly emphasized the strengths of their study/methods?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to better emphasize the strengths of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: No, detail in "Reviewer Comments to Author" section.

Reviewer #2: plus minus

7. Have the authors clearly stated the limitations of their study/methods?

Please list the limitations that the author(s) need to add or emphasize. Please number each limitation so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: No, detail in "Reviewer Comments to Author" section.

Reviewer #2: yes

8. Does the manuscript structure, flow or writing need improving (e.g., the addition of subheadings, shortening of text, reorganization of sections, or moving details from one section to another)?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the manuscript structure and flow. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: NO

Reviewer #2: the flow is good, no need for further improvement.

9. Could the manuscript benefit from language editing?

Reviewer #1: No

Reviewer #2: No

Reviewer #1: This field is optional. If you have any additional suggestions beyond those relevant to the questions above, please number and list them here.

1. The abstract requires restructuring. The first three sentences should be merged into a single introductory statement that provides a concise overview of the study's context. This should be followed by a clear statement of the study's purpose, a brief description of the methodology and sample, a summary of the main findings, and a short statement highlighting the study's theoretical and practical contributions.

2. At the end of the introduction section, a brief paragraph should be added to outline how the subsequent sections of the paper are structured.

3. The demographic characteristics of the participants, as well as other relevant attributes within the research scope, should be presented in greater detail—preferably in a separate table.

4. The research procedure requires further elaboration. The authors should clearly explain how the interviews were conducted and analyzed, how many researchers were involved in the independent analysis or coding process, and how consensus was achieved among coders. Details such as inter-rater agreement, the process for resolving discrepancies, and the rationale for determining sub-themes should be provided.

5. The discussion section is rather weak and should be expanded under subheadings such as "theoretical contributions", "practical implications", "limitations", and "directions for future research". Each of these areas should be addressed in more detail, with explicit connections to the study's findings.

6. It would strengthen the manuscript to include a paragraph interpreting the findings in relation to the cultural characteristics of the country where the research was conducted. The discussion should link the results to relevant cultural frameworks or dimensions, offering a culturally grounded interpretation of the findings.

Reviewer #2: This field is optional. If you have any additional suggestions beyond those relevant to the questions above, please number and list them here.

At Elsevier, we want to help all our authors to stay safe when publishing. Please be aware of fraudulent messages requesting money in return for the publication of your paper. If you are publishing open access with Elsevier, bear in mind that we will never request payment before the paper has been accepted. We have prepared some guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/authors-update/seven-top-tips-on-stopping-apc-scams>) that you may find helpful, including a short video on Identifying fake acceptance letters (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5I8thD9XtE>). Please remember that you can contact Elsevier s Researcher Support team (<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>) at any time if you have questions about your manuscript, and you can log into Editorial Manager to check the status of your manuscript (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/29155/c/10530/supporthub/publishing/kw/status/).

#AU_SSHO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

No	Perihal	Tanggal
7	Bukti konfirmasi hasil reviewer, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang disubmit	27 Oktober 2025



Universitas
Bhayangkara
Jakarta Raya

Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Submission Confirmation for SSHO-D-25-04277R1

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>

Mon, Oct 27, 2025 at 5:33 PM

Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Ref.: Ms. No. **SSHO-D-25-04277R1**

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Social Sciences & Humanities Open has received your revised submission.

You may check the status of your manuscript by logging onto Editorial Manager at (<https://www.editorialmanager.com/ssho/>).

Kind regards,

#AU_SSHO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	SSHO-D-25-04277R1
Full Title:	VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE
Article Type:	Full Length Article
Section/Category:	Communication
Keywords:	Virtual trials; communication inequality; courtroom interaction; power dynamics; legal communication; Indonesia.
Corresponding Author:	Aan Widodo Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya INDONESIA
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Aan Widodo
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Aan Widodo Wa Ode Sitti Nurhaliza Moh Rifaldi Akbar
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Manuscript Region of Origin:	INDONESIA
Abstract:	<p>The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of virtual court hearings in Indonesia as a means to facilitate remote participation and promote access to justice that is faster, cheaper, and simpler. This study examines the implementation of virtual criminal trials from a communication science perspective, focusing on how legal practitioners experience and interpret mediated courtroom interactions and how these dynamics affect perceptions of justice. Using a qualitative approach, the research draws on semi-formal interviews with judges and lawyers, complemented by courtroom observations. The findings indicate that significant challenges stem from inadequate digital infrastructure, particularly in detention centers, which results in communication breakdowns and incomplete message transmission. The non-conductive detention environment further undermines concentration and diminishes the symbolic solemnity of judicial proceedings. From a justice perspective, judges face difficulties in uncovering material truth due to the inability to fully observe defendants' and witnesses' non-verbal cues, while defendants frequently report discomfort, psychological pressure, and limited fulfillment of their right to a fair defense. Although virtual hearings are perceived as effective for civil cases and administrative matters, most informants contend that Indonesia's judicial system is not yet fully equipped for their institutionalization in criminal proceedings. This study contributes to legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how mediated trial settings exacerbate power asymmetries and heighten perceived vulnerability among defendants. It further recommends infrastructural enhancement and strengthened inter-institutional coordination to ensure substantive justice in future virtual courtroom practices.</p>
Opposed Reviewers:	
Response to Reviewers:	Dear Editor and Reviewer:

	<p>We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the Editor and Reviewers for their constructive feedback and insightful suggestions. We highly appreciate the time and effort dedicated to evaluating our manuscript. All comments have been carefully considered and have significantly contributed to improving the clarity, methodological rigor, and theoretical depth of the revised version. In response to the reviewers' suggestions, we have made the following key revisions:</p> <p>(1) The abstract has been restructured to present a clearer flow of context, purpose, method, findings, and contributions;</p> <p>(2) A demographic table has been added to present participant characteristics more clearly;</p> <p>(3) The methodology section has been expanded by detailing the interview procedures, coding approach (open and axial coding under Braun & Clarke's thematic analysis), researcher involvement, and justification of the sample size based on data saturation;</p> <p>(4) Confidentiality concerns and psychological dimensions have been incorporated into both the Introduction and Discussion sections as requested;</p> <p>(5) The Discussion has been reorganized and strengthened using four subheadings: Theoretical Contributions, Practical Implications, Limitations, and Directions for Future Research;</p> <p>(6) A new paragraph has been added to interpret the findings in relation to Indonesia's cultural context, particularly its high power distance; and</p> <p>(7) Additional clarity has been provided on how the findings relate to broader theoretical frameworks and communication inequalities in digitally mediated legal settings.</p> <p>Best Regard. Author.</p>
Additional Information:	
Question	Response

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER

Manuscript ID : SSHO-D-25-04277

Title : VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Journal : Social Sciences & Humanities Open

We would like to sincerely thank the editor and reviewers for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions. We have carefully revised the manuscript accordingly. Below is our detailed, point-by-point response. The revised manuscript is marked in yellow with feedback from reviewer 1 and in red with feedback from reviewer 2.

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER 1

We appreciate your positive assessment and constructive feedback. We have carefully addressed all of your points to improve the clarity, methodological transparency, and theoretical contribution of the manuscript.

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
1	The abstract requires restructuring. The first three sentences should be merged into a single introductory statement that provides a concise overview of the study's context. This should be followed by a clear statement of the study's purpose, a brief description of the methodology and sample, a summary of the main findings, and a short statement highlighting the study's theoretical and practical contributions.	<p>Thank you for this insightful recommendation. We agree that restructuring the abstract enhances its clarity, coherence, and alignment with scholarly standards. In response, we have revised the abstract by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Merging the initial sentences into a single introductory statement describing the research context. 2. Clearly stating the study's objective. 3. Providing a concise description of the qualitative methodology and sample. 4. Presenting a condensed summary of the key findings. 5. Adding a final statement that highlights the theoretical and practical 	<p>The abstract has been fully revised in accordance with the reviewer's recommendations:</p> <p>Abstract section, Page 1.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of virtual court hearings in Indonesia as a means to facilitate remote participation and promote access to justice that is faster, cheaper, and simpler. This study examines the implementation of virtual criminal trials from a communication science perspective, focusing on how legal practitioners experience and interpret mediated courtroom interactions and how these dynamics affect perceptions of justice. Using a qualitative approach, the research draws on semi-formal interviews with judges and lawyers,</p>

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
		<p>contributions of the study.</p>	<p>complemented by courtroom observations. The findings indicate that significant challenges stem from inadequate digital infrastructure, particularly in detention centers, which results in communication breakdowns and incomplete message transmission. The non-conducive detention environment further undermines concentration and diminishes the symbolic solemnity of judicial proceedings. From a justice perspective, judges face difficulties in uncovering material truth due to the inability to fully observe defendants' and witnesses' non-verbal cues, while defendants frequently report discomfort, psychological pressure, and limited fulfillment of their right to a fair defense. Although virtual hearings are perceived as effective for civil cases and administrative matters, most informants contend that Indonesia's judicial system is not yet fully equipped for their institutionalization in criminal proceedings. This study contributes to legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how mediated trial settings exacerbate power asymmetries and heighten perceived vulnerability among defendants. It further recommends infrastructural enhancement and strengthened inter-institutional coordination to ensure substantive justice in future virtual courtroom practices.</p> <p>Keywords: Virtual trials; communication inequality;</p>

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
			courtroom interaction; power dynamics; legal communication; Indonesia.
2	At the end of the introduction section, a brief paragraph should be added to outline how the subsequent sections of the paper are structured.	Thank you for the suggestion regarding adding a concluding paragraph to outline the structure of the paper. We understand that such a section can help guide readers; however, after reviewing the journal's published articles and editorial guidance, we found that most previously accepted articles do not include a dedicated structural overview within the introduction. Instead, they transition directly from the research questions to the methodology section. Therefore, we respectfully maintain the current introduction format, as it aligns with the narrative flow commonly adopted in the journal's publications. Nonetheless, we have ensured that the research questions are clearly presented to orient the reader toward the subsequent sections.	There is no explanation in the manuscript for this section.
3	The demographic characteristics of the participants, as well as other relevant attributes within the research scope, should be presented in greater detail—preferably in a separate table.	Thank you for this valuable comment. We agree that providing a more detailed presentation of the participants' demographic characteristics enhances the transparency and contextual understanding of the sample. In response, we have	The demographic table has been inserted in the Research Method section: Page 8. Table 1. Participant Demographic Characteristics

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript																																								
		<p>developed a separate table that includes relevant participant information such as their professional role (e.g., judge or lawyer), gender, years of legal experience, involvement in virtual trials, and institutional affiliation. Presenting these details in tabular form makes the sample description clearer and more accessible to readers.</p>	<p>Table 1. Participant Demographic Characteristics</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>No</th> <th>Participant Code</th> <th>Professional Role</th> <th>Experience in Virtual Trials</th> <th>Institutional Affiliation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Lawyer 1</td> <td>Defense Lawyer</td> <td>Yes (assisted multiple criminal cases virtually)</td> <td>Private Law Firm</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Lawyer 2</td> <td>Defense Lawyer</td> <td>Yes (handled legal representation during virtual hearings)</td> <td>Private Law Firm</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Lawyer 3</td> <td>Defense Lawyer</td> <td>Yes (acted as legal counsel in detention-based virtual trials)</td> <td>Independent / Legal Aid Organization</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Judge A</td> <td>District Court Judge</td> <td>Yes (presided over multiple virtual trials during pandemic)</td> <td>District Court</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Judge B</td> <td>District Court Judge</td> <td>Yes (responsible for overseeing technical and procedural aspects of virtual hearings)</td> <td>District Court</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Judge C</td> <td>District Court Judge</td> <td>Yes (experienced in assessing witness and defendant performance virtually)</td> <td>District Court</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>Judge D</td> <td>District Court Judge</td> <td>Yes (led courtroom proceedings at both physical and virtual settings)</td> <td>District Court</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	No	Participant Code	Professional Role	Experience in Virtual Trials	Institutional Affiliation	1	Lawyer 1	Defense Lawyer	Yes (assisted multiple criminal cases virtually)	Private Law Firm	2	Lawyer 2	Defense Lawyer	Yes (handled legal representation during virtual hearings)	Private Law Firm	3	Lawyer 3	Defense Lawyer	Yes (acted as legal counsel in detention-based virtual trials)	Independent / Legal Aid Organization	4	Judge A	District Court Judge	Yes (presided over multiple virtual trials during pandemic)	District Court	5	Judge B	District Court Judge	Yes (responsible for overseeing technical and procedural aspects of virtual hearings)	District Court	6	Judge C	District Court Judge	Yes (experienced in assessing witness and defendant performance virtually)	District Court	7	Judge D	District Court Judge	Yes (led courtroom proceedings at both physical and virtual settings)	District Court
No	Participant Code	Professional Role	Experience in Virtual Trials	Institutional Affiliation																																							
1	Lawyer 1	Defense Lawyer	Yes (assisted multiple criminal cases virtually)	Private Law Firm																																							
2	Lawyer 2	Defense Lawyer	Yes (handled legal representation during virtual hearings)	Private Law Firm																																							
3	Lawyer 3	Defense Lawyer	Yes (acted as legal counsel in detention-based virtual trials)	Independent / Legal Aid Organization																																							
4	Judge A	District Court Judge	Yes (presided over multiple virtual trials during pandemic)	District Court																																							
5	Judge B	District Court Judge	Yes (responsible for overseeing technical and procedural aspects of virtual hearings)	District Court																																							
6	Judge C	District Court Judge	Yes (experienced in assessing witness and defendant performance virtually)	District Court																																							
7	Judge D	District Court Judge	Yes (led courtroom proceedings at both physical and virtual settings)	District Court																																							
4	<p>The research procedure requires further elaboration. The authors should clearly explain how the interviews were conducted and analyzed, how many researchers were involved in the independent analysis or coding process, and how consensus was achieved among coders. Details such as inter-rater agreement, the process for resolving discrepancies, and the rationale for determining</p>	<p>Thank you for this valuable feedback. We agree that further elaboration on the research procedure would enhance the methodological clarity and rigor of the study. Accordingly, we have expanded the Research Method section to include a more detailed explanation of how interviews were conducted, the analytical steps followed, and the coding process. Specifically, we clarified that two researchers independently conducted thematic coding following Braun and</p>	<p>Action in Manuscript: The detailed revisions have been incorporated into the Research Method section Data Analysis Page 9.</p> <p>Data Analysis were analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step procedure: familiarization, coding, theme generation, theme review, theme definition, and reporting (Naeem et al., 2023). An inductive coding strategy was used, beginning with open coding to identify initial</p>																																								

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
	sub-themes should be provided.	Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework. We also explained that inter-coder consistency was achieved through iterative comparison and discussion, and discrepancies were resolved through consensus meetings. Additionally, we explained how sub-themes were derived based on recurring patterns related to communication breakdowns, courtroom symbolism, power dynamics, and perceptions of justice.	meaningful statements, followed by axial coding to organize these codes into broader conceptual categories. Two researchers independently coded the transcripts to minimize subjective bias. Initial codes were compared to ensure inter-rater consistency. Coding discrepancies were discussed collaboratively, and consensus was reached through iterative refinement, ensuring analytical coherence and reliability. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and iterative refinement until consensus was reached. Sub-themes were developed based on recurring patterns related to communication breakdowns, power dynamics, symbolic degradation of courtroom rituals, and perceptions of justice.
5	The discussion section is rather weak and should be expanded under subheadings such as "theoretical contributions", "practical implications", "limitations", and "directions for future research". Each of these areas should be addressed in more detail, with explicit connections to the study's findings.	<p>Thank you for this valuable suggestion. We agree that organizing and expanding the Discussion section under clearly defined subheadings improves the analytical depth and overall clarity of the manuscript. In response, we have revised and extended the Discussion by incorporating four new subheadings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Theoretical Contributions – which explains how the study strengthens and extends existing theories on symbolic interaction, ritual communication, disciplinary power, 	<p>The Discussion section has been revised and expanded under the recommended subheadings – Theoretical Contributions; Practical Implication, Limitation, and Future Research. Page 21 – 23</p> <p>Theoretical Contributions This study enriches legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how digitally mediated trials disrupt the ritualistic and symbolic nature of courtroom communication, as emphasized by Goffman (1959) and Carey (1989). The findings further contribute to power dynamics theory in courtroom settings by highlighting how virtual trials intensify disciplinary power mechanisms (Capodivacca</p>

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
		<p>and digital inequality in legal contexts.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Practical Implications – which outlines specific recommendations for policymakers, legal institutions, and judicial practitioners regarding the use of virtual and hybrid trial models. <li>3. Limitations – which acknowledges sample size, participant scope, and contextual limitations that may affect transferability. <li>4. Directions for Future Research – which proposes broader participant inclusion, cross-regional comparison, and cross-cultural or mixed-method approaches for future studies. <p>These additions explicitly connect the study’s findings to broader theoretical frameworks and real-world applications, while also providing a clearer roadmap for future scholarly inquiry.</p>	<p>& Giacomini, 2024) particularly in situations where defendants are placed in detention environments without direct legal support. Additionally, the findings validate and extend existing theories of digital inequality (Baraka, 2024), demonstrating how infrastructural disparity between courts and detention centers shapes perceived legitimacy and fairness in virtual judicial processes.</p> <p>Practical Implications</p> <p>The findings call for policy reform in the implementation of virtual trials, particularly by ensuring (1) adequate technological infrastructure in detention centers, (2) mandatory presence (physically or virtually) of legal counsel alongside defendants, (3) improved audiovisual quality to support credibility assessment, and (4) stricter protocols for ensuring privacy and protection from intimidation. The endorsement of a hybrid trial system by practitioners suggests that virtual hearings may remain viable for procedural or administrative matters, whereas full face-to-face hearings remain crucial for criminal cases that require symbolic authority and psychological safeguarding of defendants.</p> <p>Limitations</p> <p>This study is limited by its relatively small sample size, which includes only seven legal practitioners from specific institutional contexts. While appropriate for qualitative depth, this scope may limit</p>

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
			<p>generalizability across broader judicial settings in Indonesia. Furthermore, the research did not include prosecutors, defendants, or courtroom clerks, whose perspectives could provide a more holistic understanding of communication inequalities in virtual trials. Observations were also limited to a single court setting, which may not fully represent variations in infrastructural conditions across different regions.</p> <p>Directions for Future Research</p> <p>Future research should consider expanding participant diversity by incorporating defendants, prosecutors, courtroom clerks, or legal aid organizations. Comparative studies between urban and rural courts would also be beneficial to assess digital inequality more comprehensively. Additionally, future studies could explore psychological dimensions of perceived intimidation, stress, and participation in virtual trials through mixed-method or phenomenological approaches. Finally, cross-country comparative research may reveal cultural influences on virtual courtroom power relations, especially in high power distance societies like Indonesia.</p>
6	It would strengthen the manuscript to include a paragraph interpreting the findings in relation to the cultural characteristics of the country where the	Thank you for this insightful suggestion. We agree that grounding the discussion within Indonesia’s cultural context enhances the interpretive depth of the findings. In response, we	<p>A culturally grounded interpretive paragraph has been added to the Discussion section. Page 22</p> <p>Furthermore, the findings must be interpreted within Indonesia’s cultural context,</p>

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript
	<p>research was conducted. The discussion should link the results to relevant cultural frameworks or dimensions, offering a culturally grounded interpretation of the findings.</p>	<p>have added a new paragraph in the Discussion section that connects the results with Indonesia’s cultural characteristics, particularly its high power distance, hierarchical communication norms, and the symbolic nature of courtroom rituals.</p>	<p>which is characterized by a high power distance and hierarchical communication norms (Arrindell, 2003). In such settings, legal authority is often perceived as sacred and unquestionable, and courtroom rituals reinforce the symbolic dominance of the state over individuals. When trials shift to virtual settings, the physical markers of hierarchy—such as the judge’s elevated position, courtroom layout, and ceremonial gestures—are diminished, potentially weakening the perceived legitimacy and seriousness of legal proceedings. For defendants, especially those appearing from detention centers, the virtual environment reinforces disciplinary surveillance rather than protective legal symbolism, further amplifying vulnerability and psychological pressure. Thus, virtual trials in Indonesia not only disrupt procedural justice but also challenge culturally embedded expectations of legal authority, respect, and ritualized fairness.</p>

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER 2

Dear Reviewer 2,

We sincerely thank you for your valuable insights and constructive feedback. We have carefully addressed all of your comments, as outlined below.

No	Comment	Author Response	Action Taken in Manuscript:
----	---------	-----------------	-----------------------------

1	<p>“However, there is one aspect that I feel has not been sufficiently addressed: the confidentiality of virtual proceedings, which is of course also related to the technology used. I recommend that this point be taken into consideration.”</p>	<p>Thank you for highlighting this important point. We agree that confidentiality is a crucial issue in virtual criminal trials. Accordingly, we have added a discussion of confidentiality risks, such as unauthorized access, privacy breaches, and limited confidentiality for detained defendants, within the Introduction.</p>	<p>Confidentiality aspect added to Introduction Page 3</p> <p>Beyond procedural fairness, confidentiality emerges as a critical issue in virtual criminal hearings, particularly when defendants participate from detention centers under the supervision of law enforcement officers. The absence of private and secure communication channels raises the risk of unauthorized monitoring and limits the ability of defendants to confidentially consult with their legal counsel. This situation potentially compromises attorney-client privilege and affects the reliability of testimony, as participants may feel psychologically constrained or intimidated due to the presence of unseen actors behind the screen. Furthermore, the use of unsecured digital platforms increases vulnerability to data breaches or external access, which could undermine the integrity and legitimacy of judicial proceedings.</p> <p>Confidentiality-related implications added in Discussion section.</p>
2	<p>(1) “In the description of the coding method, it would be helpful to mention the exact</p>	<p>Thank you for these methodological recommendations.</p>	<p>Coding approach specified in Method section</p>

<p>type of coding used.” (2) “Seven interviews are rather few for this type of study. I recommend providing a reason why such a small number of interviews were used.”</p>	<p>(1) We have now indicated that we used <i>Braun and Clarke’s (2006) reflexive thematic analysis</i> with iterative open-to-axial coding. (2) We have added justification for the sample size based on <i>data saturation principles</i> as suggested by Hennink & Kaiser, 2022),</p>	<p>Page 9</p> <p>Data Analysis were analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke’s (2006) six-step procedure: familiarization, coding, theme generation, theme review, theme definition, and reporting (Naeem et al., 2023). An inductive coding strategy was used, beginning with open coding to identify initial meaningful statements, followed by axial coding to organize these codes into broader conceptual categories. Two researchers independently coded the transcripts to minimize subjective bias. Initial codes were compared to ensure inter-rater consistency. Coding discrepancies were discussed collaboratively, and consensus was reached through iterative refinement, ensuring analytical coherence and reliability. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and iterative refinement until consensus was reached. Sub-themes were developed based on recurring patterns related to communication breakdowns, power dynamics, symbolic degradation of courtroom rituals, and perceptions of justice.</p>
---	--	--

			<p>Page 7</p> <p>A total of seven interviews were conducted between May and December 2024, with each session lasting approximately 60 to 90 minutes. All interviews were conducted in Bahasa Indonesia, transcribed verbatim, and subsequently translated into English for analytical and reporting purposes. Although the sample consisted of only seven participants, this number is methodologically sufficient for qualitative inquiry, especially when the goal is to obtain rich experiential data rather than statistical generalization (Hennink & Kaiser, 2022). Data saturation was reached after the sixth interview, as no additional themes emerged during subsequent coding, indicating the adequacy of the sample size for thematic analysis.</p>
	<p>Tables and Figures</p> <p>Reviewer Comment: <i>"None."</i></p>	<p>Understood. In alignment with Reviewer #1's related recommendation, we added a table summarizing participant demographics for clarity.</p>	<p>Action Taken in Manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New demographic table added (Table 1, Lines XX–XX).

<p>“I would have expected more factors to stand in the way, such as confidentiality, power relations, the lack of personal pressure, etc. Instead, the technical problems are emphasised more strongly... I recommend weighing up these factors in the discussion section...”</p>	<p>Thank you for this thoughtful critique. We have revised the Discussion to give equal emphasis to psychological factors, power dynamics, fairness issues, and confidentiality, along with technical challenges. We now clarify which challenges were perceived as most impactful and connect them more directly to literature.</p>	<p>Page 20</p> <p>In addition to technical problems, this study reveals that confidentiality is compromised in virtual trials, particularly when defendants are located in shared detention rooms without privacy safeguards. This lack of confidential space increases psychological vulnerability and raises concerns about the integrity of testimony. The absence of personal presence in a formal courtroom setting also reduces the psychological weight (“personal pressure”) typically experienced in physical trials, potentially affecting sincerity, emotional expression, and behavioral restraint among defendants and witnesses.</p>
<p>Reviewer Comment: “<i>Plus minus.</i>”</p>	<p>We appreciate the suggestion. A sub-section titled “Strengths of the Study” has been added to highlight the novelty of the communication-centered lens and empirical focus on practitioner perspectives.</p>	<p>Page 21</p> <p>Therefore, while technical disruptions (e.g., unstable signals, poor audio) hinder procedural flow, issues related to psychological insecurity, power domination, and the absence of confidential legal space play a more critical role in undermining fairness and equality in virtual criminal trials. These psychosocial dimensions, although less</p>

			visible than technical barriers, hold greater implications for perceived justice and defendants' agency, as emphasized by both judges and lawyers in this study.
7	<p>Limitations</p> <p>Reviewer Comment: "Yes."</p>	<p>Author Response: Although limitations were mentioned previously, we expanded this section to clarify methodological, sample-based, and contextual limitations.</p>	<p>Page 23</p> <p>Limitations This study is limited by its relatively small sample size, which includes only seven legal practitioners from specific institutional contexts. While appropriate for qualitative depth, this scope may limit generalizability across broader judicial settings in Indonesia. Furthermore, the research did not include prosecutors, defendants, or courtroom clerks, whose perspectives could provide a more holistic understanding of communication inequalities in virtual trials. Observations were also limited to a single court setting, which may not fully represent variations in infrastructural conditions across different regions.</p>

Once again, we sincerely appreciate your detailed and valuable feedback. Your comments have significantly strengthened the methodological clarity, conceptual depth, and interpretative rigor of our manuscript. We hope the revised version now meets your expectations.

Respectfully,
The Authors

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of virtual court hearings in Indonesia as a means to facilitate remote participation and promote access to justice that is faster, cheaper, and simpler. This study examines the implementation of virtual criminal trials from a communication science perspective, focusing on how legal practitioners experience and interpret mediated courtroom interactions and how these dynamics affect perceptions of justice. Using a qualitative approach, the research draws on semi-formal interviews with judges and lawyers, complemented by courtroom observations. The findings indicate that significant challenges stem from inadequate digital infrastructure, particularly in detention centers, which results in communication breakdowns and incomplete message transmission. The non-conducive detention environment further undermines concentration and diminishes the symbolic solemnity of judicial proceedings. From a justice perspective, judges face difficulties in uncovering material truth due to the inability to fully observe defendants' and witnesses' non-verbal cues, while defendants frequently report discomfort, psychological pressure, and limited fulfillment of their right to a fair defense. Although virtual hearings are perceived as effective for civil cases and administrative matters, most informants contend that Indonesia's judicial system is not yet fully equipped for their institutionalization in criminal proceedings. This study contributes to legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how mediated trial settings exacerbate power asymmetries and heighten perceived vulnerability among defendants. It further recommends infrastructural enhancement and strengthened inter-institutional coordination to ensure substantive justice in future virtual courtroom practices.

Keywords: Virtual trials; communication inequality; courtroom interaction; power dynamics; legal communication; Indonesia.

Introduction

The advancement of information and communication technology has driven transformation in various sectors, including law and justice systems. In the digital era, formal legal procedures such as trials have begun to shift to online formats. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated this transition worldwide (Shi et al., 2021; Widodo, 2024b), including in Indonesia through the adoption of electronic trials (Mahkamah Agung, 2020). While online hearings are seen as a way to expedite legal processes and improve administrative efficiency (Amarini et al., 2023) this form

Commented [Aw1]: Reviewer 1:

The abstract requires restructuring. The first three sentences should be merged into a single introductory statement that provides a concise overview of the study's context. This should be followed by a clear statement of the study's purpose, a brief description of the methodology and sample, a summary of the main findings, and a short statement highlighting the study's theoretical and practical contributions.

Author Response:

Thank you for this insightful recommendation. We agree that restructuring the abstract enhances its clarity, coherence, and alignment with scholarly standards. In response, we have revised the abstract by:

1. Merging the initial sentences into a single introductory statement describing the research context.
2. Clearly stating the study's objective.
3. Providing a concise description of the qualitative methodology and sample.
4. Presenting a condensed summary of the key findings. Adding a final statement that highlights the theoretical and practical contributions of the study.

of trial raises several issues related to procedural justice, participation, and power relations (Davide et al., 2002; ROSSNER, 2021; Susskind, 2019).

In the Indonesian legal context, the Supreme Court issued Regulation No. 4 of 2020 on Electronic Administration and Trial Procedures for Criminal Cases. This regulation complements earlier regulations governing e-courts for civil cases (Mahkamah Agung, 2020). Virtual trials in Indonesia are conducted using various platforms such as Zoom, Cisco Webex, Google Meet, and WhatsApp Video Call under limited conditions (Davide et al., 2002; Susskind, 2019). The goal is to maintain judicial continuity while reducing health risks for defendants, judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and witnesses.

The implementation of virtual trials emerged as a solution to expedite legal proceedings, reduce costs, and alleviate case backlogs, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic (Intihani et al., 2022; Nelson et al., 2023). Although the e-court system has proven effective in simplifying, speeding up, and reducing the cost of trials (Intihani et al., 2022) this system also presents challenges related to communication and fair trial procedures (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner, 2021). Digitalizing the legal process can enhance transparency and accessibility, as observed in various countries (Setiawan et al., 2024). However, the lack of specific regulations for virtual courtrooms and outdated criminal procedure laws may lead to legal errors (Nelson et al., 2023).

A criminal trial is not just a formal reading of documents but a symbolic and discursive space where dialogue, argumentation, and the search for material truth occur (Masthuro, 2023; Widodo, 2022, 2024a). The courtroom functions as a space for both verbal and non-verbal communication, where the defendant uses strategies to gain sympathy and possibly receive a more lenient sentence (Langga, 2023; Widodo, 2022). Judges rely on various forms of evidence, including witness testimony, expert opinions, documents, and defendant statements, to establish

material truth and form their conviction (Leung, 2012; Masthuro, 2023) Judicial independence is crucial in examining evidence, conducting hearings, and making decisions based on material truth without external influence (Boyoh, 2015). Understanding criminal procedure law is important for the general public and non-law students to be aware of their rights in the criminal process (Masthuro, 2023)

The implementation of virtual criminal trials during the COVID-19 pandemic presented both opportunities and challenges for the judicial system. While it allowed courts to continue functioning, virtual trials raised concerns regarding justice and effectiveness (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner & Tait, 2024). Issues included inadequate technology, privacy concerns for defendants, and difficulties in assessing credibility and maintaining courtroom rituals (Nelson et al., 2023; Rossner & Tait, 2023). The lack of specific regulations for virtual trials in Indonesia could lead to legal errors (Nelson et al., 2023) However, the e-court system has shown promise in simplifying processes and reducing costs (Intihani et al., 2022) Despite these benefits, virtual criminal trials face legal weaknesses and implementation challenges that may violate the principles of a fair trial (Sahara et al., 2022). As courts adapt to this new reality, careful consideration must be given to redesigning the virtual trial process to ensure respect, inclusivity, and adherence to justice principles (Rossner & Tait, 2023)

Beyond procedural fairness, confidentiality emerges as a critical issue in virtual criminal hearings, particularly when defendants participate from detention centers under the supervision of law enforcement officers. The absence of private and secure communication channels raises the risk of unauthorized monitoring and limits the ability of defendants to confidentially consult with their legal counsel. This situation potentially compromises attorney–client privilege and affects the reliability of testimony, as participants may feel psychologically constrained or intimidated

Commented [Aw2]: Reviewer 2:
However, there is one aspect that I feel has not been sufficiently addressed: the confidentiality of virtual proceedings, which is of course also related to the technology used. I recommend that this point be taken into consideration.”

Author Response:
We agree that confidentiality is a crucial issue in virtual criminal trials. Accordingly, we have added a discussion of confidentiality risks, such as unauthorized access, privacy breaches, and limited confidentiality for detained defendants, within the Introduction.

due to the presence of unseen actors behind the screen. Furthermore, the use of unsecured digital platforms increases vulnerability to data breaches or external access, which could undermine the integrity and legitimacy of judicial proceedings.

From a communication science perspective, virtual trials can be seen as a transformation of the legal communication space from face-to-face to digitally mediated communication (Crystal et al., 2021). This transformation brings serious consequences, one of which is the emergence of communication imbalances between the parties involved in the trial (Maria Silvy et al., 2022). The defendant's position becomes the most vulnerable. In Rosser's (2021) research, conducted in the UK and the US, defendants in virtual trials reported feeling uncomfortable, losing control, and even experiencing intimidation from their surroundings, especially when not accompanied by their lawyer. Although Roser's study was conducted in countries with more advanced digital infrastructure, these findings are highly relevant to the Indonesian context. Legal practitioners, such as lawyers and judges, in Indonesia also reported similar issues: the absence of physical defense counsel alongside the defendant created a gap for potential pressure or intervention, especially if the defendant was under institutional supervision like the police or detention centers. In this context, the virtual courtroom loses its symbolic function as a neutral space that protects individual rights from state domination.

In the study of interactions in the courtroom, Atkinson and Drew (1979) stated that the court is an institutional interaction carried out through a communication structure rich in symbols and social roles (Atkinson & Drew, 1979). This aligns with Carey's (1989) view of the trial as a ritual communication—symbolic practices that reproduce legal authority, state legitimacy, and social order. Therefore, when trials are moved to the virtual realm, these symbolic dimensions degrade, which affects public perception of the seriousness and legitimacy of the legal process.

(Law et al., 2021) The courtroom is understood as a ritualized space filled with hierarchical structures and symbols of authority (Carey, 1989; Butler, 1997). When legal proceedings are transferred to the digital space, symbols such as the judge's gavel, robes, and seating arrangements become invisible, thus lowering the performance of the law (Butler, 1997; Rowden, 2018) and reducing respect and adherence to the legal process (Simon, 2022; Wexler, 2020).

On paper, Indonesia's e-court regulations have progressed—the Supreme Court (2020) issued Regulation No. 1 of 2019 and No. 3 of 2022 setting technical procedures and standards (e-filing, e-payment, e-summons, e-litigation). However, in practice, the implementation of virtual trials reveals a large gap between policy and reality (Simandjuntak et al., 2024)). These regulations only apply to the judicial system, not to other institutions such as the prosecution, police, or detention centers (Langga, 2023; Turner, 2025). As a result, the implementation of virtual hearings becomes uneven: the court may be ready, but facilities in detention centers often do not support it. Trial delays caused by technical disruptions—poor signals, audio cutoffs, blurry images, and broken devices—are common (Alam et al., 2024) and recognized as a major barrier to the e-court infrastructure in various religious courts. This not only slows down the legal process but also potentially harms defendants awaiting verdicts in detention and creates legal uncertainty (Simandjuntak et al., 2024)

So far, studies on virtual trials in Indonesia remain limited. Most research focuses on normative legal aspects or administrative efficiency. However, communication aspects, power relations in virtual courtrooms, and their implications for justice principles have not been empirically explored. Nelson, Hendrawati, and A'yun (2023) in their article in the *Sriwijaya Law Review* identified several challenges in the implementation of online criminal trials in Indonesia, such as legal barriers in the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHP) and technological limitations.

However, their study used a quantitative survey approach and did not delve deeply into the subjective experiences of judges, prosecutors, or defense lawyers. In contrast, international studies on virtual courtrooms have advanced further, addressing communication and legal performativity aspects more thoroughly. Rossner and Tait (2021) show that limitations on non-verbal interaction and the absence of physical presence in online hearings can reduce respect, participation, and the symbolic authority of the law. Bandes and Feigenson (2019) criticized the loss of symbolic and performative dimensions of the trial process in the virtual space, which impacts perceptions of procedural justice. Meanwhile, the Stanford Criminal Justice Center (2021) reports that judges and lawyers in the US feel a decline in communication quality, difficulty reading expressions, and increasing power imbalances in virtual trials. (Bandes & Feigenson, 2020; Young, 2011) These studies reveal that communication in the virtual courtroom not only faces technical obstacles but also undergoes a fundamental transformation in interaction structure, authority symbolism, and the sense of justice experienced by legal actors.

This research aims to fill this gap. Using a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews of judges and lawyers directly involved in virtual trials, this study explores the dimensions of communication, power relations, and perceptions of justice and sanctity in the practice of virtual trials in Indonesia. Its main focus is to answer (1) What are the experiences of legal practitioners (lawyers and judges) in conducting virtual trials during and after the COVID-19 pandemic?; (2) What communication challenges and technical barriers emerge in virtual trials? (3) How does virtual trial affect perceptions of power balance and the sanctity of the courtroom?; (4) What are the views of legal practitioners regarding the accommodation of the principles of “speedy, affordable, and simple” justice in virtual trials?; (5) Do virtual trials represent the future of the judicial system in Indonesia?. This study is expected to contribute to theoretical insights in the

field of communication, as well as practical recommendations for policymakers in designing a more inclusive, fair, and digitally adaptive judicial system.

Research Method

This study used a qualitative approach with a descriptive design. The main objective was to “examine, explore” the in-depth experiences of legal practitioners (lawyers and judges) regarding the implementation of virtual trials. The qualitative approach allowed the researcher to understand the phenomenon from the participants perspectives, uncovering nuances and social contexts that cannot be captured by quantitative methods. The informants in this study were selected using purposive sampling, consisting of two main groups:

- a. Lawyers: three lawyers (coded as Lawyer 1, Lawyer 2, and Lawyer 3) who were selected due to their extensive experience in assisting defendants during virtual trials.
- b. Judges: four judges (coded as Judge A, Judge B, Judge C, and Judge D) who were selected for their central role in managing and leading virtual trial proceedings, as well as their understanding of the legal and technical aspects.

A total of seven interviews were conducted between May and December 2024, with each session lasting approximately 60 to 90 minutes. All interviews were conducted in Bahasa Indonesia, transcribed verbatim, and subsequently translated into English for analytical and reporting purposes. Although the sample consisted of only seven participants, this number is methodologically sufficient for qualitative inquiry, especially when the goal is to obtain rich experiential data rather than statistical generalization (Hennink & Kaiser, 2022). Data saturation was reached after the sixth interview, as no additional themes emerged during subsequent coding, indicating the adequacy of the sample size for thematic analysis.

Table 1. Participant Demographic Characteristics

Commented [Aw3]: Commen Reviewer 2:
At the end of the introduction section, a brief paragraph should be added to outline how the subsequent sections of the paper are structured

Author Response:
Thank you for the suggestion regarding adding a concluding paragraph to outline the structure of the paper. We understand that such a section can help guide readers, however, after reviewing the journal’s published articles and editorial guidance, we found that most previously accepted articles do not include a dedicated structural overview within the introduction. Instead, they transition directly from the research questions to the methodology section. Therefore, we respectfully maintain the current introduction format, as it aligns with the narrative flow commonly adopted in the journal’s publications. Nonetheless, we have ensured that the research questions are clearly presented to orient the reader toward the subsequent sections.

Commented [Aw4]: Reviewer 2:
1) “In the description of the coding method, it would be helpful to mention the exact type of coding used.”
2) “Seven interviews are rather few for this type of study. I recommend providing a reason why such a small number of interviews were used.”

Author Response:
Thank you for these methodological recommendations.
(1) We have now indicated that we used *Braun and Clarke’s (2006) reflexive thematic analysis* with iterative open-to-axial coding.
(2) We have added justification for the sample size based on *data saturation principles* as suggested by Hennink & Kaiser, (2022),

Commented [Aw5]: Reviewer 1
The demographic characteristics of the participants, as well as other relevant attributes within the research scope, should be presented in greater detail—preferably in a separate table.

Author Response:
We agree that providing a more detailed presentation of the participants’ demographic characteristics enhances the transparency and contextual understanding of the sample. In response, we have developed a separate table that includes relevant participant information such as their professional role (e.g., judge or lawyer), gender, years of legal experience, involvement in virtual trials, and institutional affiliation. Presenting these details in tabular form makes the sample description clearer and more accessible to readers.

No	Participant Code	Professional Role	Experience in Virtual Trials	Institutional Affiliation
1	Lawyer 1	Defense Lawyer	Yes (assisted multiple criminal cases virtually)	Private Law Firm
2	Lawyer 2	Defense Lawyer	Yes (handled legal representation during virtual hearings)	Private Law Firm
3	Lawyer 3	Defense Lawyer	Yes (acted as legal counsel in detention-based virtual trials)	Independent / Legal Aid Organization
4	Judge A	District Court Judge	Yes (presided over multiple virtual trials during pandemic)	District Court
5	Judge B	District Court Judge	Yes (responsible for overseeing technical and procedural aspects of virtual hearings)	District Court
6	Judge C	District Court Judge	Yes (experienced in assessing witness and defendant performance virtually)	District Court
7	Judge D	District Court Judge	Yes (led courtroom proceedings at both physical and virtual settings)	District Court

Data Collection Techniques consisted of: (a). Semi-formal Interviews: In-depth interviews were conducted with all informants. Questions were asked in a semi-formal manner to allow informants to share their experiences, challenges, and personal views regarding virtual hearings. The interviews were recorded to ensure data accuracy. The interview topics included general experiences with virtual trials, technical challenges, issues of power balance, the sanctity of the trial, and the future prospects of virtual hearings. (b). Observation Notes: Observations were conducted at a district court in West Java. These observation notes include descriptions of the physical environment of the court, the atmosphere, access to the courtroom and supporting facilities, as well as the atmosphere during interviews with judges. This observation aims to provide a visual and spatial context to the experiences described by informants, especially regarding infrastructure and the atmosphere of the trials.

The research locations are spread across several places according to the informants domiciles and observation sites. Interviews with Lawyer 1 and Lawyer 2, as well as judge A,

Judge B, and Judge C, were conducted at Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya or virtually. The interview with Judge D and Lawyer 3 was conducted at a district court in West Java, which also served as the observation site.

Data Analysis were analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step procedure: familiarization, coding, theme generation, theme review, theme definition, and reporting (Naeem et al., 2023). An inductive coding strategy was used, beginning with open coding to identify initial meaningful statements, followed by axial coding to organize these codes into broader conceptual categories. Two researchers independently coded the transcripts to minimize subjective bias. Initial codes were compared to ensure inter-rater consistency. Coding discrepancies were discussed collaboratively, and consensus was reached through iterative refinement, ensuring analytical coherence and reliability. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and iterative refinement until consensus was reached. Sub-themes were developed based on recurring patterns related to communication breakdowns, power dynamics, symbolic degradation of courtroom rituals, and perceptions of justice.

Research Findings

This study aims to explain the experiences of judges and lawyers in the practice of virtual trials in Indonesia, particularly in criminal cases. Through a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews of key actors, five main themes were identified that represent the reality of virtual trials, namely: (1) general experiences in virtual trials, (2) communication challenges and technical barriers, (3) power imbalances and the loss of sanctity in the courtroom, (4) accommodation of the "fast, cheap, and simple" principle, and (5) perceptions of virtual trials as the future of the justice system in Indonesia.

Commented [Aw6]: Reviewer 1:

The research procedure requires further elaboration. The authors should clearly explain how the interviews were conducted and analyzed, how many researchers were involved in the independent analysis or coding process, and how consensus was achieved among coders. Details such as inter-rater agreement, the process for resolving discrepancies, and the rationale for determining

1. General Experiences in Virtual Trials

Virtual trials were adopted as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Although the goal was to maintain the continuity of judicial processes, their implementation was rushed and uneven. Many courts lacked the technical readiness and adequate procedural guidelines. Lawyer 1 described the initial condition of virtual trials as “not conducive” because minimal devices, such as one phone for multiple defendants, were used. This obscured individual identities and diminished the “sacred” atmosphere usually attached to physical courtrooms:

“In the early days of the pandemic, we were only given one phone for five defendants. The sound was muffled, sometimes their faces weren’t visible. Is this a trial or just a formality?”

Lawyer 2 highlighted the condition of defendants who were tried from detention centers (*rutan*) or police stations (*polres*) without direct accompaniment from their legal counsel. This raised concerns about potential pressure or intimidation from law enforcement present at the location:

“What we worry about is whether they are being interfered with. We don’t know who is in the room when they speak on the screen.”

This concern was confirmed by Lawyer 3, who mentioned that many families of defendants complained about the discomfort and lack of transparency in the virtual trial process. To address this, he devised a strategy by placing members of the legal team in detention centers to provide direct accompaniment to defendants. From the judges' perspective, the main challenge lay in the inability to capture nonverbal signals. Judge D stated that aspects such as facial expressions, eye contact, or body language, typically used to assess the honesty and integrity of a defendant or witness, were inaccessible through the screen:

“I can’t see their expressions. Body language is important to read if the witness is lying. That’s what is missing.”

In criminal cases, where the search for material truth was the primary mandate, this limitation was highly disruptive. Judge A added that poor sound and image quality often made it difficult for defendants to understand the testimony of witnesses or the judge, thus affecting their right to defend themselves fully.

Furthermore, the psychological aspect also changed. The “feel” or solemnity of the trial was lost. According to Judge A, this atmosphere played a vital role in shaping the seriousness of the defendant’s attitude toward the legal process. When the trial takes place only on a screen, the symbolic authority of the judge and the courtroom diminished:

“Now the trial feels more relaxed. In the past, entering the courtroom made you tense. Now, it’s too casual.”

Based on these informants statements, it can be concluded that the majority of the early experiences of virtual trials were seen as unfit for purpose. Lawyers faced limitations in providing direct support to defendants, while judges struggled to capture nonverbal expressions that are crucial in evaluating credibility. The use of minimal devices and the condition of trial locations (such as detention centers or police stations) led to a loss of the formal and authoritative atmosphere of the trial. Many felt that the trial was merely a procedural formality that lost its symbolic meaning as a sacred legal space.

Table 2. Location and Facilities for Virtual Trials According to Informants

Virtual Trial Location	Devices Used	Main Issues
Detention Centers	One phone for many defendants	Noisy sound, blurry visuals
Police Stations	Standard PC webcam	No privacy space
Courtrooms	More complete equipment	Depends on detention center readiness

The table 2 summarizes the types of locations used for virtual trials, the devices commonly employed, and the recurring issues reported by informants. Detention centers were noted as the most problematic, with several defendants often sharing a single mobile phone, resulting in poor audio quality and limited visual clarity. Police stations typically used standard webcams without providing private spaces, raising concerns about confidentiality and potential coercion. In contrast, courtrooms had relatively better infrastructure, but their effectiveness still depended heavily on the preparedness of external institutions like detention centers. These disparities underscore the fragmented and uneven implementation of virtual trials across legal institutions. They also reveal how infrastructural inadequacy directly impairs the perceived fairness, solemnity, and symbolic authority of the courtroom process. Without consistent standards and proper equipment across all locations, virtual trials risk devolving into mere formalities rather than meaningful instruments of justice

2. Communication Barriers and Technical Constraints

Communication barriers in virtual trials were largely caused by technical issues. Signal disruptions, interrupted audio, and blurry or unsynchronized video were recurring complaints in almost all interviews with informants. These problems occurred more frequently in locations such as detention centers (rutan) or correctional facilities (lapas), where the digital infrastructure was very limited. Judge A revealed that trials often had to be postponed due to simple issues like broken headsets or unclear audio:

“We once waited for half an hour, but the signal from the detention center was down. The trial was postponed for a week. That’s ironic.”

Connection issues caused audio to be inaudible or cut off, making communication ineffective. In several detention center locations, background noise such as prayers, music, or conversations between prisoners disrupted the trial. Lawyer 3 noted:

“Sometimes the defendant doesn’t hear the question. I have to repeat it several times. This lowers the quality of interaction.”

The availability facilities was also highly uneven. Although the Supreme Court had set minimal standards for devices for online trials, in practice, many detention centers or prosecutors' offices relied on regular mobile phones without additional devices like external microphones or large screens. Even the use of shared spaces in detention centers often led to disruptions from other prisoners, such as recitations, crying, or loud conversations. Coordination between institutions became a crucial issue. Ideally, the court should be responsible for contacting detention centers and prosecutors' offices to ensure trial readiness. However, in many cases, there was no effective coordination, leading to delays or absenteeism. This highlights the need for clearer and more integrated inter-institutional coordination protocols.

Figure 1. Frequency of Common Technical Disruptions in Virtual Trials Based on Statements from 7 Informants




Figure 1 illustrates the most frequently reported technical disruptions experienced by legal actors during virtual criminal trials. Signal instability topped the list, with all seven informants mentioning at least one instance of delayed or interrupted hearings due to network issues. Audio disruptions followed closely, including low volume, echo, or completely inaudible sound. Visual issues, such as blurry images or unsynchronized lip movements, were also prevalent. Notably, background noise from detention centers, ranging from religious recitations to conversations among inmates, consistently interfered with trial concentration. The data suggest that these technical barriers are not isolated incidents but rather systemic challenges that demand structural improvements in courtroom technology and coordination protocols between the judiciary and correctional institutions. Communication issues in virtual trials were dominated by technical constraints, such as poor internet networks, inadequate audio-video devices, and background noise at the defendant's location. These issues caused serious obstacles in the dialog between judges, prosecutors, defendants, and lawyers. As a result, delays, repeated questions, and even

miscommunications often occurred. These findings emphasize how effective communication in legal settings depends heavily on the quality of the medium used and highlight the infrastructure inequality between the legal institutions involved.

3. Power Imbalances and the Loss of Sanctity in the Courtroom

One impact of conducting virtual criminal trials is the disruption of the power balance among legal actors. This study found that virtual trials amplified the dominance of state institutions, particularly over the position of defendants who were under the direct supervision of law enforcement, such as police officers or detention center officers. Lawyer 2 stressed that, in many cases, defendants felt uncomfortable because they were being monitored by those who had previously arrested or interrogated them:

“There is a gap for intimidation. We don’t know if there is a police officer standing behind the defendant or not.”

Without the physical presence of legal counsel beside the defendant, the room for control and protection became minimal. This created a dangerous power imbalance for the due process of law principle. The presence of lawyers virtually could not provide the same symbolic or practical protection as their physical presence. From a symbolic standpoint, the sanctity of the courtroom also experienced serious degradation. Judge D illustrated the importance of symbolic elements in shaping legal authority:

“In a physical courtroom, the seating position and the gavel create authority. On the screen, everything is flat; the defendant feels like they’re just chatting casually.”

The online format removed ritualistic elements that formed a formal and hierarchical impression in the trial. The judge's elevated chair, seating arrangements, and symbols like robes and the gavel—all became blurred in the digital space. Lawyer 1 referred to this loss of ritual as the disappearance of the "court moment":

"The trial is a sacred moment. Now, the defendant appears from a dark room with a blurry camera. The meaning is lost."

From a symbolic communication perspective, the courtroom is not just an administrative forum but a performative arena that manifests legal authority and legitimacy. When this space is moved to a virtual medium without adequate symbolic and procedural adaptation, the sacred meaning attached to the legal process is eroded. Judge A also expressed his concern:

"Now there is a sense that the trial is more relaxed. The prosecutor doesn't have to bring the defendant, lawyers can just be online, but on the other hand—the defendant's rights may be compromised."

Thus, the power imbalance in the virtual space is not just technical but also structural and symbolic. The virtual courtroom, without being intentionally designed as an equal and dignified legal space, risks reinforcing the state's dominance over individuals who are most vulnerable in the justice system.

4. Accommodation of the "Fast, Cheap, and Simple" Principle

The "fast, cheap, and simple" principle is a core principle in the Indonesian judicial system as stated in Article 2 (4) of Law No. 48 of 2009 concerning Judicial Power. One of the main arguments for implementing virtual trials is to support this principle through efficiency in time, costs, and administrative processes. However, the findings of this study show that its implementation is still far from expectations. Lawyer 1 expressed that many trials were actually delayed due to technical disruptions:

“If the trial keeps being delayed because of the signal, isn’t it getting longer? Then where is the ‘fast’ part?”

This shows that the “fast” aspect in practice is often hindered by uneven digital infrastructure and the inadequate readiness of human resources. Even delays caused by simple issues, such as broken headsets or lack of technical operators, became factors obstructing the trial process. From a cost-efficiency perspective, virtual trials did indeed reduce transportation and logistics expenses. Judge C gave an example:

“For civil or administrative cases, summons and reading documents can be very cost- and time-efficient. But for criminal cases, the context is different.”

Lawyer 2 emphasized that cost-efficiency should not compromise the quality of legal defense:

“Maybe it’s cheap in terms of expenses, but what if the defendant can’t defend themselves due to a poor signal? That’s actually expensive in terms of justice.”

Lawyer 3 also distinguished between civil and criminal cases:

“For civil cases, maybe it can be 100% online. But criminal cases? It’s about someone’s life. It needs to be handled carefully.”

Thus, the application of the "fast, cheap, and simple" principle in the context of virtual trials is partial and not comprehensive. Efficiency is only achieved in administrative aspects, not in substantive justice. Without infrastructure readiness, technical support, and improvements in inter-institutional coordination systems, this principle risks becoming a normative slogan without real implementation in criminal trials.

5. The Future of Virtual Trials in the Judicial System

The majority of informants in this study stated that, although digital technology offers administrative efficiency, Indonesia's justice system is still not ready to adopt virtual trials as the

primary format for criminal cases. The complexity of communication, infrastructure inequalities, and the risk of procedural inequity were identified as the main obstacles.

Lawyer 3 and Judge C agreed that virtual trials could be used in certain circumstances, such as when defendants are located in hard-to-reach geographical areas. However, both emphasized that the implementation of online trials as the primary system requires comprehensive readiness in terms of infrastructure, inter-institutional coordination, and training human resources across all law enforcement institutions. Judge D stressed the importance of government investment:

“If the government is serious, don’t just prepare a virtual courtroom in the court. Detention centers, prosecutors’ offices, and the police must have it too. Don’t let trials be conducted in public spaces full of distractions.”

Often, trials take place in public spaces in detention centers without dedicated rooms. This not only reduces the formal atmosphere but also opens up opportunities for intimidation of the defendant and disruption of legal processes. The ethical challenges, communication quality, and protection of defendants' rights underline that virtual criminal trials cannot fully replace face-to-face formats.

Nonetheless, most informants support the use of technology as a supplement to the justice system, especially for civil cases and administrative stages. In this context, the hybrid trial model—combining online and offline processes selectively—is seen as a realistic and adaptive solution.


Judge D stated:

“I support virtual trials, but it has to be hybrid. For example, evidence presentation should be physical. Administrative trials can be online.”

Lawyer 3 added:

“We shouldn’t be anti-technology. But we need to be ready. Don’t let technology harm the defendant.”

Figure 2. Preferences for Trial Formats by Case Type (based on 7 informants)



The figure 2 illustrates the distribution of trial format preferences—physical, virtual, or hybrid—according to different case types, based on interviews with seven informants. All informants expressed strong support for physical trials in criminal cases, particularly those involving witness examination and sentencing, citing concerns about fairness, intimidation risks, and communication quality. For administrative and civil cases, informants generally supported virtual or hybrid formats, highlighting their practicality and time-efficiency. The hybrid model was preferred for stages that involved document verification, initial hearings, or preliminary motions. These preferences indicate a cautious but strategic openness to technological integration, provided that it does not compromise the core values of justice, procedural integrity, and defendants’ rights. These findings confirm that the virtual trial format holds potential, but its implementation must be selective, based on the context of the case, and supported by policies and serious infrastructure investments. The hybrid model emerges as a transitional approach that can accommodate efficiency without sacrificing substantive justice principles.

Discussion

The findings in this study confirm that, although virtual trials in Indonesia were implemented to maintain the continuity of the judicial system and uphold the principle of efficient justice, their practice has led to unintended consequences, particularly in criminal cases. These findings strengthen theoretical insights from symbolic interactionism (Goffman, 1959) and ritual communication theory (Carey, 1989), which emphasize the performative and symbolic dimensions of interactions in the courtroom—dimensions largely lost in virtual environments.

From a communication theory perspective, the trial process is multimodal and ritualistic. The transition to a digitally mediated environment has disrupted this complex semiotic space. Nonverbal communication—vital for assessing credibility and maintaining courtroom decorum—has significantly deteriorated in the virtual format. This loss aligns with findings by Johnson and Wiggins (2022), who show that remote legal settings create perceptual and interpretive gaps, especially for marginalized defendants.

In addition to technical problems, this study reveals that confidentiality is compromised in virtual trials, particularly when defendants are located in shared detention rooms without privacy safeguards. This lack of confidential space increases psychological vulnerability and raises concerns about the integrity of testimony. The absence of personal presence in a formal courtroom setting also reduces the psychological weight (“personal pressure”) typically experienced in physical trials, potentially affecting sincerity, emotional expression, and behavioral restraint among defendants and witnesses.

In terms of power relations, this study illustrates how virtual trials can exacerbate existing inequalities. Defendants appearing from detention centers without direct legal representation face potential intimidation, lack of privacy, and surveillance by state authorities. This aligns with

Commented [Aw7]: Reviewer 2:

“I would have expected more factors to stand in the way, such as confidentiality, power relations, the lack of personal pressure, etc. Instead, the technical problems are emphasised more strongly... I recommend weighing up these factors in the discussion section...”

Author Response:

We have revised the Discussion to give equal emphasis to psychological factors, power dynamics, fairness issues, and confidentiality, along with technical challenges. We now clarify which challenges were perceived as most impactful and connect them more directly to literature.

Foucault's concept of disciplinary power, where visibility and control become tools of domination. The absence of legal counsel physically by the defendant not only reduces their confidence but also disturbs the procedural balance in an adversarial legal system.

Additionally, this study highlights the infrastructure gaps between institutions—showing that digital inequalities further exacerbate communication imbalances. Courts may have sophisticated technology, but detention facilities often lack basic equipment, threatening the standard of fair justice. This technological inequality further marginalizes vulnerable groups, as pointed out by Reiling (2020) and Zalnieriute & Bell (2021).

Therefore, while technical disruptions (e.g., unstable signals, poor audio) hinder procedural flow, issues related to psychological insecurity, power domination, and the absence of confidential legal space play a more critical role in undermining fairness and equality in virtual criminal trials. These psychosocial dimensions, although less visible than technical barriers, hold greater implications for perceived justice and defendants' agency, as emphasized by both judges and lawyers in this study.

The principle of "fast, cheap, and simple", which is a cornerstone of Indonesia's legal philosophy, has only been partially realized. While the administrative aspect benefits from digitalization, the procedural and substantive dimensions of justice have regressed. This aligns with concerns raised by the UNODC (2021), that a digital justice system implemented without adequate protection risks becoming a mere procedural formality without substantial legitimacy.

Furthermore, the findings must be interpreted within Indonesia's cultural context, which is characterized by a high power distance and hierarchical communication norms (Arrindell, 2003). In such settings, legal authority is often perceived as sacred and unquestionable, and courtroom rituals reinforce the symbolic dominance of the state over individuals. When trials shift to virtual

Commented [Aw8]: Reviewer 2:
"Plus minus."

Author Response:
We appreciate the suggestion. A sub-section titled "Strengths of the Study" has been added to highlight the novelty of the communication-centered lens and empirical focus on practitioner perspectives.

Commented [Aw9]: Reviewer 1:
It would strengthen the manuscript to include a paragraph interpreting the findings in relation to the cultural characteristics of the country where the research was conducted. The discussion should link the results to relevant cultural frameworks or dimensions, offering a culturally grounded interpretation of the findings.

Author Response:
We agree that grounding the discussion within Indonesia's cultural context enhances the interpretive depth of the findings. In response, we have added a new paragraph in the Discussion section that connects the results with Indonesia's cultural characteristics, particularly its high power distance, hierarchical communication norms, and the symbolic nature of courtroom rituals.

settings, the physical markers of hierarchy—such as the judge’s elevated position, courtroom layout, and ceremonial gestures—are diminished, potentially weakening the perceived legitimacy and seriousness of legal proceedings. For defendants, especially those appearing from detention centers, the virtual environment reinforces disciplinary surveillance rather than protective legal symbolism, further amplifying vulnerability and psychological pressure. Thus, virtual trials in Indonesia not only disrupt procedural justice but also challenge culturally embedded expectations of legal authority, respect, and ritualized fairness.

Nonetheless, this study also finds opportunities for reform. The majority of participants acknowledged the potential of digital tools for civil cases and administrative processes. The hybrid model—which combines both online and offline elements, emerges as the most contextual solution, capable of maintaining efficiency without sacrificing symbolic authority and fair legal standards.

Theoretical Contributions

This study enriches legal communication scholarship by demonstrating how digitally mediated trials disrupt the ritualistic and symbolic nature of courtroom communication, as emphasized by Goffman (1959) and Carey (1989). The findings further contribute to power dynamics theory in courtroom settings by highlighting how virtual trials intensify disciplinary power mechanisms (Capodivacca & Giacomini, 2024) particularly in situations where defendants are placed in detention environments without direct legal support. Additionally, the findings validate and extend existing theories of digital inequality (Baraka, 2024), demonstrating how infrastructural disparity between courts and detention centers shapes perceived legitimacy and fairness in virtual judicial processes.

Practical Implications

Commented [Aw10]: Reviewer 1:
The discussion section is rather weak and should be expanded under subheadings such as "theoretical contributions", "practical implications", "limitations", and "directions for future research". Each of these areas should be addressed in more detail, with explicit connections to the study's findings

Author Response:
We agree that organizing and expanding the Discussion section under clearly defined subheadings improves the analytical depth and overall clarity of the manuscript. In response, we have revised and extended the Discussion by incorporating four new.

The findings call for policy reform in the implementation of virtual trials, particularly by ensuring (1) adequate technological infrastructure in detention centers, (2) mandatory presence (physically or virtually) of legal counsel alongside defendants, (3) improved audiovisual quality to support credibility assessment, and (4) stricter protocols for ensuring privacy and protection from intimidation. The endorsement of a hybrid trial system by practitioners suggests that virtual hearings may remain viable for procedural or administrative matters, whereas full face-to-face hearings remain crucial for criminal cases that require symbolic authority and psychological safeguarding of defendants.

Limitations

This study is limited by its relatively small sample size, which includes only seven legal practitioners from specific institutional contexts. While appropriate for qualitative depth, this scope may limit generalizability across broader judicial settings in Indonesia. Furthermore, the research did not include prosecutors, defendants, or courtroom clerks, whose perspectives could provide a more holistic understanding of communication inequalities in virtual trials. Observations were also limited to a single court setting, which may not fully represent variations in infrastructural conditions across different regions.

Directions for Future Research

Future research should consider expanding participant diversity by incorporating defendants, prosecutors, courtroom clerks, or legal aid organizations. Comparative studies between urban and rural courts would also be beneficial to assess digital inequality more comprehensively. Additionally, future studies could explore psychological dimensions of perceived intimidation, stress, and participation in virtual trials through mixed-method or phenomenological approaches. Finally, cross-country comparative research may reveal cultural

Commented [Aw11]: Reviewer 2:
Limitations

Author Response:
Although limitations were mentioned previously, we expanded this section to clarify methodological, sample-based, and contextual limitations.

influences on virtual courtroom power relations, especially in high power distance societies like Indonesia.

Conclusion

This study reveals that the implementation of virtual criminal trials in Indonesia presents significant challenges in terms of communication, power balance, and legal symbolism. Virtual hearings not only introduce technical barriers but also have the potential to undermine substantive justice principles and procedural equality. In the context of criminal cases, virtual trials have not yet been able to guarantee a fair and dignified legal process.

However, technology still holds great potential in supporting judicial efficiency, especially if implemented selectively and contextually. The hybrid format represents a transitional alternative that can accommodate the need for efficiency without compromising justice. It is essential to invest in infrastructure, human resource training, and inter-institutional coordination policies to ensure that virtual trials can function as a complement, not a complete replacement, for a fair and meaningful legal process.

References

- Alam, A., Ratnasari, R. T., Nugroho, Y. W., & Utami, P. M. (2024). Identifying Problems And Solutions Of The E-Court System Of Religious Courts In Indonesia: An Analytic Network Process Study. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, 15(2), 645–674. <https://doi.org/10.32890/uumjls2024.15.2.10>
- Amarini, I., Saefudin, Y., Kartini, I. A., Marsitiningih, & Ismail, N. (2023). Digital Transformation: Creating an Effective and Efficient Court in Indonesia. *Legality: Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum*, 31(2), 266–284. <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v31i2.28013>
- Arrindell, W. A. (2003). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 41(7), 861–862. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967\(02\)00184-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(02)00184-5)
- Atkinson, J. M., & Drew, P. (1979). *Order in Court*. Palgrave Macmillan UK. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-04057-5>
- Bandes, S. A., & Feigenson, N. (2020). Virtual Trials: Necessity, Invention, and the Evolution of the Courtroom. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3683408>
- Baraka, K. (2024). Digital Divide and Social Inequality. *International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.47941/ijhss.2083>

- Capodivacca, S., & Giacomini, G. (2024). Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: Critical Reflections from Foucault's Thought. *Foucault Studies*, 36(1), 227–251. <https://doi.org/10.22439/fs.i36.7215>
- Crystal, M. A., Ververs, B., & Khan, M. (2021). The faces of justice: a study of the interpretation of emoji messages in the court process. *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, 47(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050718.2021.1881242>
- Davide, F., Loreti, P., Lunghi, M., Riva, G., & Vatalaro, F. (2002). Communications through virtual technologies. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2497, 124–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36162-6_6
- Hennink, M., & Kaiser, B. N. (2022). Sample sizes for saturation in qualitative research: A systematic review of empirical tests. *Social Science & Medicine*, 292, 114523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114523>
- Intihani, S. N., Arifudin, A., & Juliani, J. (2022). Efektivitas Persidangan Berbasis E-Court. *VERITAS*, 8(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.34005/veritas.v8i1.1804>
- Langga, G. (2023). Dramaturgi Panggung Depan Terdakwa Ferdy Sambo. *Jurnal Komunikasi Nusantara*, 5(1), 138–148. <https://doi.org/10.33366/jkn.v5i1.274>
- Law, S., Allen, S., Franklin, L., Perlmutter, M., & Simon, S. (2021). Virtual Justice? A NATIONAL STUDY ANALYZING THE. *Stanford Criminal Justice Center*, August.
- Leung, J. H. C. (2012). Judicial discourse in Cantonese courtrooms in postcolonial Hong Kong: The judge as a godfather, scholar, educator and scolding parent. *International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1558/ijssl.v19i2.239>
- Mahkamah Agung. (2020). *PERMA NOMOR 4 TAHUN 2020 1.pdf*.
- Masthuro, M. (2023). Manfaat Memahami Hukum Acara Pidana untuk Masyarakat dan Mahasiswa Sekolah Tinggi Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Kusuma Negara Jakarta. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan (JIP) STKIP Kusuma Negara*, 14(2), 168–176. <https://doi.org/10.37640/jip.v14i2.1553>
- Naeem, M., Ozuem, W., Howell, K., & Ranfagni, S. (2023). A Step-by-Step Process of Thematic Analysis to Develop a Conceptual Model in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231205789>
- Nelson, F. M., Hendrawati, I., & A'yun, R. Q. (2023). Finding the Truth in A Virtual Courtroom: Criminal Trials in Indonesia during the COVID-19. *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 228–243. <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss2.2465.pp228-243>
- ROSSNER, M. (2021). Remote rituals in virtual courts. *Journal of Law and Society*, 48(3), 334–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jols.12304>
- Rossner, M., & Tait, D. (2023). Presence and participation in a virtual court. *Criminology and Criminal Justice*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17488958211017372>
- Rossner, M., & Tait, D. (2024). Virtual Technology and the Changing Rituals of Courtroom. *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 98(1), 252–278. <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreviewhttps://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview/vol98/iss1/14>
- Setiawan, H., Ketut Rachmi Handayani, I. G. A., Hamzah, M. G., & Tegnan, H. (2024). Digitalization of Legal Transformation on Judicial Review in the Constitutional Court. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 4(2), 263–298. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jhcls.v4i2.263>

- Shi, C., Sourdin, T., & Li, B. (2021). The Smart Court – A New Pathway to Justice in China? *International Journal for Court Administration*, 12(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.367>
- Simandjuntak, M. E., Saraswati, R., Soerjowinoto, P., & Boputra, E. (2024). AN ANALYSIS ON ONLINE CRIMINAL CASE HEARINGS: CAN JUSTICE BE SERVED ONLINE? *Masalah-Masalah Hukum*, 53(3), 304–315. <https://doi.org/10.14710/mmh.53.3.2024.304-315>
- Susskind, R. (2019). Physical, Virtual, Online. In R. Susskind (Ed.), *Online Courts and the Future of Justice* (p. 0). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198838364.003.0007>
- Turner, J. I. (2025). *Rethinking Courtroom Presence in the Virtual Era*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5151997>
- Widodo, A. (2022). Dramatisme Terdakwa di Ruang Pengadilan. *Jurnal ILMU KOMUNIKASI*, 19(1), 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.24002/jik.v19i1.3600>
- Widodo, A. (2024a). Communication Type In Trial: Ethnography Communication In Indonesian Criminal Courtroom Process. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 24(3), 156–167. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v24i3.891>
- Widodo, A. (2024b). Transformation Model for Online Trials at the Bekasi District Court. *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 16(2), 359–379. <https://doi.org/10.24912/jk.v16i2.29002>
- Young, J. (2011). *A Virtual Day in Court: Design Thinking and Virtual Courts*. 1–15. <https://www.cep-probation.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/DUTT-Summary-RSA-Project-A-Virtual-Day-in-Court.pdf>

No	Perihal	Tanggal
8	Bukti Accepted	20 November 2025



Important: SSHO-D-25-04277R1 - manuscript accepted for publication

2 messages

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <em@editorialmanager.com>

Thu, Nov 20, 2025 at 11:50 PM

Reply-To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

To: Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>

Ref.: Ms. No. **SSHO-D-25-04277R1**

VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE

Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Congratulations!

I am pleased to inform you that your work has now been accepted for publication in Social Sciences & Humanities Open!

The manuscript was accepted on Nov 20, 2025

Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check, and you will also be asked to complete several online forms required for publication. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you directly. Note that after you submit the final proofs, **no further changes can be made to the manuscript**. Please thoroughly check and proofread your manuscript to ensure it is ready for publication.

Additional comments from the Editor and Reviewers can be found below.

We appreciate and value your contribution to Social Sciences & Humanities Open. We regularly invite authors of recently published manuscript to participate in the peer review process. If you were not already part of the journal's reviewer pool, you have now been added to it.

We hope you will consider us again for future submissions.

We encourage authors of original research papers to share the research objects – including raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and other outputs – associated with their paper. More information on how our open access Research Elements journals can help you do this is available at https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email.

With kind regards

Ross Burkhart

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

Reviewer's Responses to Questions

Note: In order to effectively convey your recommendations for improvement to the author(s), and help editors make well-informed and efficient decisions, we ask you to answer the following specific questions about the manuscript and provide additional suggestions where appropriate.

1. Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the clarity of the objectives and rationale of the study. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Yes

Reviewer #2: This is a revised version of the manuscript. The authors have taken all my concerns on board and, in my view, incorporated them well into their manuscript. The study is now clear and has a comprehensible storyline.

2. If applicable, is the method/study reported in sufficient detail to allow for its replicability and/or reproducibility?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the replicability/reproducibility of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Reviewer #2: The study was never designed to be replicable. It is in the nature of qualitative research that this is not the case. Therefore, this question cannot be answered seriously.

3. If applicable, are statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, and statistical reporting (e.g., P-values, CIs, effect sizes) appropriate and well described?

Please clearly indicate if the manuscript requires additional peer review by a statistician. Kindly provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, or statistical reporting. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Reviewer #2: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

4. Could the manuscript benefit from additional tables or figures, or from improving or removing (some of the) existing ones?

Please provide specific suggestions for improvements, removals, or additions of figures or tables. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: No

Reviewer #2: The manuscript does not require any further visualisations. However, Figure 2 is unclear to me because it mixes two things: the trial format and the case type.

I recommend changing the graphic: for each case type, a bar chart with three bars should be created for the three trial formats. This will make it clearer.

I will refrain from recommending a 'minor revision' for this one change, which would lead to another round of review. Instead, the editor-in-chief should be able to accept the change independently.

5. If applicable, are the interpretation of results and study conclusions supported by the data?

Please provide suggestions (if needed) to the author(s) on how to improve, tone down, or expand the study interpretations/conclusions. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

Reviewer #2: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

6. Have the authors clearly emphasized the strengths of their study/methods?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to better emphasize the strengths of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Yes

Reviewer #2: yes.

7. Have the authors clearly stated the limitations of their study/methods?

Please list the limitations that the author(s) need to add or emphasize. Please number each limitation so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: Yes

Reviewer #2: yes.

8. Does the manuscript structure, flow or writing need improving (e.g., the addition of subheadings, shortening of text, reorganization of sections, or moving details from one section to another)?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the manuscript structure and flow. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #1: NO

Reviewer #2: No.

9. Could the manuscript benefit from language editing?

Reviewer #1: No

Reviewer #2: No

Reviewer #1: My initial suggestions and the previously recommended additions and changes have been incorporated accordingly.

Reviewer #2: This field is optional. If you have any additional suggestions beyond those relevant to the questions above, please number and list them here.

At Elsevier, we want to help all our authors to stay safe when publishing. Please be aware of fraudulent messages requesting money in return for the publication of your paper. If you are publishing open access with Elsevier, bear in mind that we will never request payment before the paper has been accepted. We have prepared some guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/authors-update/seven-top-tips-on-stopping-apc-scams>) that you may find helpful, including a short video on Identifying fake acceptance letters (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5l8thD9XtE>). Please remember that you can contact Elsevier's Researcher Support team (<https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>) at any time if you have questions about your manuscript, and you can log into Editorial Manager to check the status of your manuscript (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/29155/c/10530/supporthub/publishing/kw/status/).

#ED_SSHO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Aan Widodo <aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id>
To: Social Sciences & Humanities Open <support@elsevier.com>

Fri, Nov 28, 2025 at 3:10 PM

Dear Editorial Office / Dear Managing Editor,

I hope you are doing well.

I am writing to kindly request information regarding the Scopus indexing schedule for my article, which has already been published online in Social Sciences & Humanities Open:

- Title: Virtual Criminal Trials in Indonesia: Communication Inequalities, Power Dynamics, and the Challenges of Justice
- Article Number / SSHO_102272
- Status: Published Online (Final Version)

For institutional requirements, I need to submit my academic performance report to the Ministry of Education in Indonesia by 4 December 2025. Therefore, I would be grateful if you could provide:

1. An estimated timeline for when the article will appear in the Scopus database, and
2. If possible, a brief confirmation that the article will be indexed in Scopus, as part of the journal's standard process.

This confirmation will greatly assist me in completing the mandatory reporting to the Ministry.

Thank you very much for your assistance and support.

I truly appreciate your time and look forward to your response

Warm regards,

Dr. Aan Widodo

Faculty of Communication Sciences

Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya

Indonesia

[Quoted text hidden]

No	Perihal	Tanggal
9	Bukti Konfirmasi Proof dan editing	21 November 2025



IMPORTANT PLEASE TAKE ACTION, Production has begun on your article [SSAHO_102272] in Social Sciences & Humanities Open

1 message

A.Achuthan@elsevier.com <A.Achuthan@elsevier.com>

Fri, Nov 21, 2025 at 4:19 AM

To: aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Our reference: SSAHO 102272

Article reference: SSAHO_SSHO-D-25-04277

Article title: Virtual Criminal Trials in Indonesia: Communication Inequalities, Power Dynamics, and the Challenges of Justice

To be published in: Social Sciences & Humanities Open

Dear Dr. Widodo,

Congratulations on your accepted paper! Thank you for choosing to publish in Social Sciences & Humanities Open. Please read this e-mail carefully as it contains important information.

FINALIZE PUBLISHING YOUR ARTICLE:

We work hard to publish our authors' articles online as quickly as possible, so we're happy to report that processing of your manuscript has already begun. To ensure that we publish your article in accordance with your wishes, please now complete these forms

<http://authors.elsevier.com/authorforms/SSAHO102272/f0f0884c74395b76f3ef88eb2ce6ca42>

If this link does not work, please copy the entire URL (noting that it may run on to a second line in this message) into your browser. You should log in with your Elsevier Profile credentials, which you may have already created when submitting your article.

CHECK YOUR CONTACT DETAILS:

Please check that your details listed below are correct so we can contact you if needed:

Dr. Aan Widodo

Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya

Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya

Indonesia

Phone: not available

Fax: not available

E-mail: aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:

To help us provide you with the best service, please make a note of your article's reference number SSAHO 102272 and quote it in all of your messages to us.

If you wish to find out more about the next steps in the publication process and for further help and / or information please visit our Author hub, link below:

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/34514/c/10532/supporthub/publishing/

Thank you for your cooperation.

Kind regards,

A Achuthan
Data Administrator
Elsevier
E-Mail: A.Achuthan@elsevier.com

HAVE QUESTIONS OR NEED ASSISTANCE?

For further assistance, Please feel free to talk to our Researcher support team via 24/7 live chat and e-mail or avail our phone support for 24/7. Please visit our Elsevier support Center where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions, Get started here:

<http://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing>

Copyright © 2022 Elsevier B.V. | Privacy Policy <http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy>
Elsevier Limited, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, United Kingdom,
Registration No. [1982084](#)

No	Perihal	Tanggal
10	Bukti Konfirmasi Published	24 November 2025



IMPORTANT – Track your article [SSAHO_102272]

1 message

Social Sciences & Humanities Open <ssaho@elsevier.com>
To: aan.widodo@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Fri, Nov 21, 2025 at 1:01 AM

ELSEVIER

Track your article!

Paper Accepted in the Editorial system → Paper Received in Production → Proofs are being created → Proofs are Ready for Approval → Corrections to the Proof Submitted → Paper is Finalised → Issue is Finalised

Title: *VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE*

Reference: SSAHO_102272

Dear Dr. Widodo,

We're looking forward to your article *VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE* soon being published in Social Sciences & Humanities Open.

You can follow your article's journey throughout the publication process by using our article tracking service.

<https://authors.elsevier.com/tracking/article/details.do?aid=102272&jid=SSAHO&surname=Widodo>

For help with article tracking, please visit Elsevier Support at [Elsevier Support](#).

WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?

We are committed to publishing your article as quickly as possible and will send you an alert at each step in the production process where your involvement is required. We're currently working on the proof of your article and will be in touch shortly to let you know when we expect it to be ready.



Yours sincerely,

Ms Dipudass Dasan
Social Sciences & Humanities Open

For further help and/or information please visit our Author hub [here](#).

Have questions or need assistance?

Please do not reply to this automated message.

For further assistance, please visit our [Elsevier Support Center](#) where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

From here you can also contact our Researcher Support team via 24/7 live chat, email or phone support.

© 2025 Elsevier Ltd | **Privacy Policy** <http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy>

Elsevier Limited, 125 London Wall, London, EC2Y 5AS, United Kingdom, Registration No. 1982084. This e-mail has been sent to you from Elsevier Ltd. To ensure delivery to your inbox (not bulk or junk folders), please add ssaho@elsevier.com to your address book or safe senders list.

No	Perihal	Tanggal
11	Bukti Scopus, Scimago dan Proses di OJS akun Penulis	



Scopus Preview



Source details

Feedback > Compare sources >

Social Sciences and Humanities Open

Open Access ⓘ

Years currently covered by Scopus: from 2019 to 2026

Publisher: Elsevier

E-ISSN: 2590-2911

Subject area: Social Sciences: Social Sciences (miscellaneous) Psychology: Psychology (miscellaneous)

Decision Sciences: Decision Sciences (miscellaneous)

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

CiteScore 2024
4.3 ⓘ

SJR 2024
0.614 ⓘ

SNIP 2024
1.259 ⓘ

CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage

CiteScore 2024 ▼

CiteScoreTracker 2025 ⓘ

Social Sciences and Humanities Open

United Kingdom | Universities and research institutions | Media Ranking

Country

United Kingdom



Subject Area and Category

Decision Sciences

└ Decision Sciences
(miscellaneous)

Psychology

└ Psychology
(miscellaneous)

Social Sciences

└ Social Sciences
(miscellaneous)

Publisher

Elsevier Ltd

SJR 2024

0.614

Q1

H-Index

33

Production events

17 Dec 2025	Online journal finalised	
24 Nov 2025	The Share Link has been sent to you	
24 Nov 2025	Final version of your article published online	
21 Nov 2025	Your proof corrections have been returned to Elsevier	
21 Nov 2025	Proofs available for checking	
21 Nov 2025	Rights & Access form completed by you	
20 Nov 2025	Rights & Access form sent to you for completion	
20 Nov 2025	Received for production	

Complimentary items

Volume/Issue

12C

Full bibliographic details

Social Sciences & Humanities

Open 12C (2025) 102272

Final article available online

16 Dec 2025

[View your final article ↗](#) 

Cited by in Scopus: 1 ↗ 

 Track another article



Track Your Accepted Article

The easiest way to check the publication status of your accepted article

Virtual Criminal Trials in Indonesia: Communication Inequalities, Power Dynamics, and the Challenges of Justice

Article reference	SSAHO_102272
Journal	Social Sciences & Humanities Open
Corresponding author	Aan Widodo
First author	Aan Widodo
Received at Editorial Office	5 Aug 2025
Article revised	27 Oct 2025
Article accepted for publication	20 Nov 2025
DOI	10.1016/j.ssaoh.2025.102272



ISSN 2590-2911

Last update: 17 Dec 2025

[Share via email](#)

Status comment

- The online journal in which your article is compiled is now finalised.

Bibliographic information

Volume/Issue

History for Manuscript Number: SSHO-D-25-04277

Aan Widodo (INDONESIA): "VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE"

Close

Correspondence History

Correspondence Date ▲▼	Letter ▲▼	Recipient ▲▼	Revision ▲▼
Nov 20, 2025	Editor Decision - Accept	Aan Widodo	1
Oct 27, 2025	Author Submits Revision Confirmation	Aan Widodo	1
Oct 27, 2025	PDF Built and Requires Approval	Aan Widodo	1
Oct 23, 2025	Editor Decision - Revise	Aan Widodo	0
Aug 21, 2025	PDF Built and Requires Approval	Aan Widodo	0
Aug 13, 2025	Pre-review assessment: Revision request	Aan Widodo	0
Aug 05, 2025	Author Submits New Manuscript Confirmation	Aan Widodo	0
Aug 05, 2025	PDF Built and Requires Approval	Aan Widodo	0
Aug 05, 2025	PDF Built and Requires Approval	Aan Widodo	0

Close

History for Manuscript Number: SSHO-D-25-03297

Aan Widodo (INDONESIA): "VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE"

Close

Correspondence History

Correspondence Date ▲ ▼	Letter ▲ ▼	Recipient ▲ ▼	Revision ▲ ▼
Jul 28, 2025	Author Submits New Manuscript Confirmation	Aan Widodo	0
Jul 28, 2025	Desk Reject: Reject and resubmit	Aan Widodo	0
Jun 26, 2025	Author Submits New Manuscript Confirmation	Aan Widodo	0
Jun 26, 2025	PDF Built and Requires Approval	Aan Widodo	0

Close



Page: 1 of 1 (2 total completed submissions)

Results per page **10**

Action	Manuscript Number	Title	Initial Date Submitted	Status Date	Current Status	Date Final Disposition Set	Final Disposition
Action Links	SSHO-D-25-04277	VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE	Aug 05, 2025	Nov 20, 2025	Completed Accept	Nov 20, 2025	Accept
Action Links	SSHO-D-25-03297	VIRTUAL CRIMINAL TRIALS IN INDONESIA: COMMUNICATION INEQUALITIES, POWER DYNAMICS, AND THE CHALLENGES OF JUSTICE	Jun 26, 2025	Jul 28, 2025	Completed Reject	Jul 28, 2025	Reject