

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI
ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul Artikel : Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

Jurnal : Greenation International Journal of Law dan Social Science (GIJLSS) June 2026 | Vol. 4 No.2, p-ISSN: 2986-3856, e-ISSN: 2986-3155.
<https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/article/view/1017>

Penulis : Anggreany Haryani Putri (1) Endang Hardian (2) Trias Saputra (3)

No.	Perihal	Tanggal
1.	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit	06 Juni 2026
2.	Bukti konfirmasi review dan hasil review pertama	09 Juni 2026
3.	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi pertama, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	12 Juni 2026
4.	Bukti konfirmasi review dan hasil review kedua	20 Juni 2026
5.	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi kedua, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	24 Juni 2026
6.	Bukti konfirmasi artikel accepted	29 Juni 2026
7.	Bukti konfirmasi artikel published online	30 Juni 2026

**1. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Artikel
dan Artikel yang Disubmit
(06 Juni 2026)**

1. Bukti Submission

Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences



[← Back to Submissions](#)

1017 / [Haryani Putri et al.](#) / [Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary V](#) [Library](#)

Workflow

Publication

Submission

Review

Copyediting

Production

Submission Files

 6666 Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System- Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence.docx June 6, 2026 Article Text

[Edit](#)


[Download All Files](#)

Pre-Review Discussions

Add discussion

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

2. Email Notifikasi Submission Manuscript



**2. Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan
Hasil Review Pertama
(09 Juni 2026)**

1. Review Form Reviewer A

**GIJLSS**
Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences
+62 81210467372 | <https://researcher.greeneration.org/GIJLSS> | greeneration.info@gmail.com


Date : 09 June 2026
Subject : Review Report

Herewith I send you review report for your paper entitled "**Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence**"

The scale for Question, 0 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree

Technical Aspects

No	Question	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	The paper is within the scope of the Journal						✓
2	The paper is original					✓	
3	The paper is free of technical errors						✓

Communication: Aspects

No	Question	0	1	2	3	4	5
4	The paper is clearly readable						✓
5	The figures are clear & do clearly convey the intended message						✓
6	The length of the paper is appropriate						✓

Comments to the Authors:

The manuscript is well organized and addresses an important issue concerning child-friendly justice and the prevention of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. However, the novelty of the study could be articulated more clearly in the Introduction, particularly by emphasizing the research gap and the specific contribution of the study in relation to previous research.

Recommendation :

✓ Accepted with minor corrections (B)

Best Regards,



Comments to the Authors:

The manuscript is well organized and addresses an important issue concerning child-friendly justice and the prevention of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. However, the novelty of the study could be articulated more clearly in the Introduction, particularly by emphasizing the research gap and the specific contribution of the study in relation to previous research.

Recommendation:

Accepted with minor corrections (B)

2. Reviewer's Attachments from Reviewer A

Reviewer's Attachments			 Search
	6772	Review Form - Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences.pdf	June 9, 2026
	6773	gijlss-review-assignment-1017-Article+Text-6741.docx	June 9, 2026



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/gijlss.v4i2>
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

Anggreany Haryani Putri¹, Endang Hadrian², Trias Saputra³

¹Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia, anggreany.haryani@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

²Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia, endang.hadrian@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

³Universitas Pelita Bangsa, Bekasi, Indonesia, trias.saputra@pelitabangsa.ac.id

Corresponding Author: anggreany.haryani@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id¹

Abstract: Child victims of violence involved in the criminal justice system are vulnerable to secondary victimization due to various legal procedures that may cause psychological distress and retraumatization. This condition highlights the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles as a means of safeguarding children throughout legal proceedings. This study aims to ~~analyze~~ the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Research data were collected through library research, encompassing legislation, international legal instruments, scholarly literature, and relevant previous studies. Data were ~~analyzed~~ qualitatively through interpretation, comparison, and evaluation of legal provisions and concepts related to child protection. The findings indicate that child-friendly justice principles have been incorporated into various national regulations; however, their implementation continues to face challenges, including limited child-friendly facilities, inadequate professional competence among law enforcement officials, and insufficient support services. The application of child-friendly interview rooms, single-interview procedures, psychological assistance, identity protection, and the involvement of trained personnel has been shown to contribute to reducing the risk of secondary victimization. Therefore, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles is essential to establishing a criminal justice system that is more responsive to the protection and recovery of child victims of violence.

Keyword: Child-Friendly Justice, Secondary Victimization, Child Victims of Violence, Criminal Justice System, Child Protection.

Reviewer
Please clarify the novelty and unique contribution of the study in the abstract.

INTRODUCTION

Children occupy a strategic position in national development, as the quality of the younger generation determines the sustainability of a country's social, economic, and legal

systems in the future. Therefore, child protection constitutes a state responsibility that is not only moral in nature but also legal. Constitutionally, Article 28B paragraph (2) of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia affirms that every child has the right to survival, growth and development, and protection from violence and discrimination. This constitutional guarantee demonstrates that child protection forms an integral part of the fulfillment of human rights, which the state is obligated to uphold. This commitment is consistent with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which recognizes children as rights holders entitled to protection and respect for their dignity. The United Nations (1989) affirms that every child is entitled to the protection and care necessary for their well-being. Furthermore, Article 3(1) of the CRC provides that in all actions concerning children, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration. The Committee on the Rights of the Child (2013) explains that the principle of the best interests of the child serves not only as a general principle of child protection but also as a substantive right, an interpretative legal principle, and a procedural rule that must be applied in all decisions affecting children. Accordingly, child protection should not be understood solely as a state obligation to prevent violations of children's rights, but also as a responsibility to ensure that all policies and actions concerning children are guided by and oriented toward the best interests of the child.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principle of child protection is implemented through Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. Article 59 paragraph (1) stipulates that the central government, regional governments, and other state institutions are obligated to provide special protection to children in vulnerable situations, including child victims of criminal offenses and violence. Furthermore, Article 59A provides that such special protection shall be carried out through prompt intervention, psychosocial assistance, social support, and protection and assistance at every stage of judicial proceedings. In addition, Article 64 guarantees that children in conflict with the law are entitled to humane treatment, legal and social assistance, protection from stigma and discrimination, and the fulfillment of their rights throughout the legal process. Fitriani (2016) argues that the fulfillment of children's rights is not solely the responsibility of the state but also requires the active involvement of families and communities to ensure children's optimal growth and development. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims of violence is not merely oriented toward the punishment of offenders but also toward the comprehensive protection and recovery of victims.

From the perspective of modern criminal law, crime victims are no longer viewed merely as sources of evidence in the process of proving criminal offenses, but rather as legal subjects entitled to protection, recovery, and access to justice. Developments in victimological scholarship have demonstrated a shift in the orientation of criminal justice systems from an offender-oriented approach toward a victim-oriented approach that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of victims. Consequently, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system is measured not only by the state's ability to detect criminal conduct and impose sanctions on offenders, but also by its capacity to provide effective protection for victims. In the context of child victims of violence, such protection requires special consideration, as children constitute a vulnerable group that requires treatment different from that afforded to adult victims. The involvement of children in criminal proceedings is often unavoidable because their testimony frequently serves as crucial evidence in establishing criminal liability. Nevertheless, legal procedures that fail to take into account the circumstances and needs of children may result in additional harm to victims. Therefore, modern criminal law requires protective mechanisms capable of ensuring respect for children's rights throughout the criminal justice process.

Despite the existence of comprehensive national and international legal frameworks for child protection, violence against children remains a persistent problem. This condition reflects a gap between the protection guaranteed by law and the protection actually experienced by

child victims. The World Health Organization (2022) reports that exposure to violence during childhood is associated with an increased risk of mental health disorders, social adjustment difficulties, risk-taking behaviors, and various psychosocial problems later in life. These findings are supported by Finkelhor et al. (2020), who found that childhood exposure to violence has long-term consequences for psychological well-being, interpersonal relationships, and overall quality of life. Such impacts indicate that violence against children should not be regarded solely as a matter of criminality, but also as an issue of child rights protection and public welfare. Accordingly, the protection of child victims of violence cannot be limited to the prosecution and punishment of offenders; it must also encompass measures that ensure the comprehensive recovery of victims. The recovery process is further influenced by the quality of caregiving environments and the sense of psychological security provided within the family. A supportive family environment serves as a protective factor that helps children cope with traumatic experiences and facilitates post-violence recovery (Pertwi, Muminin, & Saputra, 2026).

Another consequence of the high prevalence of violence against children is the increasing involvement of children in the criminal justice system as victims or witnesses of criminal offenses. In criminal proceedings, victims' testimonies frequently constitute important evidence for establishing the facts of a case and determining the offender's criminal responsibility. As a result, child victims are often required to participate in various stages of the legal process, including investigation, prosecution, and trial. While such involvement forms an essential part of law enforcement and the search for material truth, it may simultaneously place children in vulnerable situations when legal procedures fail to consider their psychological condition and special needs as victims.

Under Indonesian positive law, the protection of child victims within criminal proceedings is regulated by Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 90 grants child victims and child witnesses the right to receive medical rehabilitation, social rehabilitation, security protection, and access to information regarding the progress of their cases. This provision demonstrates that the criminal justice system functions not only as a mechanism for enforcing criminal liability against offenders but also as an instrument for protecting victims. Nevertheless, numerous studies have shown that victim-insensitive procedures may generate significant psychological distress. Goodman-Brown et al. (2003) explain that investigative and examination procedures that fail to consider the victim's condition may exacerbate the trauma experienced by child victims. Similarly, Campbell (2005) found that victims' interactions with legal institutions significantly influence their psychological recovery. This situation creates a paradox within the criminal justice system, whereby mechanisms intended to provide protection may instead become sources of additional suffering for victims.

In victimological scholarship, this phenomenon is commonly referred to as secondary victimization. Orth (2002) defines secondary victimization as the additional suffering experienced by victims as a result of institutional responses following the commission of a criminal offense. In other words, the harm suffered by victims does not necessarily end with the criminal act that constitutes the source of primary victimization but may continue through negative experiences encountered during the handling of the case. Walklate (2018) argues that victims' experiences are determined not only by the crime itself but also by how they are treated throughout the legal process. Similarly, Wenmiers (2018) emphasizes that judicial procedures that fail to respond sensitively to victims' needs may aggravate trauma and impede recovery. In the context of child victims of violence, this risk is even greater because children have limited capacity to understand complex legal proceedings and different levels of ability to cope with the pressures associated with criminal justice processes.

Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020) found that child victims constitute a particularly vulnerable group with regard to secondary victimization due to legal procedures that require them to repeatedly recount their experiences of violence. Forms of secondary victimization may include repeated interviews, victim-blaming questions, unnecessary confrontation with offenders, disclosure of victims' identities, delays in legal proceedings, and treatment that is insensitive to victims' psychological conditions. From a criminal law perspective, these circumstances demonstrate that victim protection cannot be achieved solely through the punishment of offenders but must also include guarantees that all stages of the criminal justice process do not inflict additional harm upon victims. Accordingly, the protection of child victims extends beyond safeguarding them from the initial criminal act and encompasses protection from adverse consequences that may arise throughout legal proceedings.

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization illustrates how the criminal justice system itself may become a source of additional suffering when legal procedures and case-handling mechanisms are not conducted in a manner that is responsive to victims' needs. This phenomenon presents a significant challenge for modern criminal justice systems because the objectives of law enforcement extend beyond the punishment of offenders to include the protection of victims. In cases involving child victims of violence, the failure to provide adequate protection during legal proceedings may conflict with the principle of the best interests of the child, which has been recognized in both national and international legal instruments. Therefore, the prevention of secondary victimization constitutes an integral component of legal protection for child victims within the criminal justice system. As a response to these concerns, the concept of child-friendly justice has emerged, positioning children as rights holders who are entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. The development of this concept reflects criticism of conventional justice approaches that tend to prioritize evidentiary and procedural objectives over the needs and protection of children. The Council of Europe (2011) defines child-friendly justice as a justice system that guarantees respect for children's rights while taking into account their age, maturity, needs, and psychological condition. A child-friendly justice system must ensure effective access to justice, protection from discrimination, respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation in proceedings affecting them, and protection from re-victimization throughout the legal process. This concept is based on the understanding that children possess specific needs that require treatment different from that accorded to adults at every stage of legal proceedings.

Accordingly, child-friendly justice encompasses not only the provision of child-friendly physical facilities but also procedures, institutional mechanisms, and the conduct of law enforcement officials that are oriented toward the protection of children's rights. A child-centered protective approach is also consistent with social control theory and restorative approaches, which emphasize the importance of social support in preventing deviant behavior and strengthening children's reintegration into society (Pertiwi & Saimima, 2022). Consistent with this principle, the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) affirms that every child capable of forming their own views has the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting them, and that such views must be given due weight according to the child's age and maturity. Furthermore, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2009) explains that the protection of child victims may be enhanced through interview techniques that minimize repeated questioning, professional assistance throughout legal proceedings, protection of victims' identities, the use of child-friendly interview facilities, and procedures tailored to children's specific needs. Collectively, these principles demonstrate that child-friendly justice functions as a legal protection mechanism aimed at preventing the adverse consequences arising from children's involvement in the criminal justice system.

requires law enforcement officials to prioritize the best interests of the child and to promote a family-oriented atmosphere in handling cases involving children. In addition, various provisions of the Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law emphasize the protection of children's rights, assistance throughout legal proceedings, humane treatment, protection from stigma, and the fulfillment of children's needs while they are involved in legal processes. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims has become an integral component of Indonesia's criminal law policy, reflecting a commitment to human rights and the best interests of the child.

Nevertheless, the implementation of these principles continues to face significant challenges. Fitriani (2016) notes that child protection in practice is often hindered by limited supporting facilities, inadequate inter-agency coordination, and the suboptimal implementation of child protection policies. Moreover, the uneven availability of child-friendly interview facilities, the shortage of professional support personnel, and variations in the capacity of law enforcement officials to handle cases involving children reveal a gap between the normative legal framework and its practical implementation. These conditions indicate that the existence of legal regulations alone does not automatically guarantee effective protection for child victims of violence throughout criminal proceedings.

Previous studies have extensively examined the protection of child victims of violence, children's rights within the criminal justice system, the impact of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice. Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020), for example, explored various forms of secondary victimization experienced by child victims during criminal proceedings. Likewise, the Council of Europe (2011) and the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) emphasized the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles to safeguard children's rights throughout legal processes. However, studies that specifically analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice as a mechanism for preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the Indonesian criminal justice system remain limited. Most existing research addresses these two concepts separately, leaving insufficient analysis of the relationship between the implementation of child-friendly justice and efforts to prevent secondary victimization within an integrated analytical framework.

This gap in the literature highlights the need for a more comprehensive examination of how child-friendly justice principles, as incorporated into national legal instruments, are implemented within the criminal justice system and the extent to which their implementation provides protection against secondary victimization for child victims of violence. Such an analysis is important because the effectiveness of legal protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on their capacity to provide tangible safeguards for victims. Based on this research gap, the present study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence.

 Reviewer

Reviewer comments and author responses to the study gaps identified. A significant gap has been identified in the literature on the implementation of child-friendly justice as a mechanism for preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence.

METHOD

This study employs a normative legal research method aimed at analyzing the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system as a means of preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Normative legal research is appropriate because the focus of the study lies in examining legal norms, legal principles, child protection doctrines, and concepts related to victim protection within the criminal justice system. According to Marzuki (2021), normative legal research views law as a set of norms and rules governing human conduct, with its primary object of analysis being legislation, legal principles, and legal doctrines. Similarly, Ibrahim (2019) argues that normative legal research seeks to identify legal arguments, legal principles, and legal concepts that provide a foundation for addressing the legal issues under examination.

510

This study adopts both a statutory approach and a conceptual approach. The statutory approach is employed to examine legal instruments governing child protection and the criminal justice system, including the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection, Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System, and relevant international legal instruments such as the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on Child-Friendly Justice. According to Marzuki (2021), the statutory approach involves a systematic examination of all legal regulations relevant to the legal issue under study in order to assess the consistency and coherence of the applicable legal framework. Meanwhile, the conceptual approach is utilized to analyze the concepts of child-friendly justice, secondary victimization, victim protection, and the principle of the best interests of the child as the theoretical foundation of the research. This approach is essential for understanding the meaning, scope, and interrelationship of concepts developed within legal scholarship and child protection studies (Ibrahim, 2019).

The study relies exclusively on secondary data consisting of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. Primary legal materials include legislation and international legal instruments relating to child protection and the criminal justice system. Secondary legal materials consist of books, national and international journal articles, previous research findings, reports issued by governmental institutions and international organizations, as well as other scholarly publications relevant to the research topic. Tertiary legal materials include legal dictionaries, encyclopedias, and other reference sources used to support the interpretation of legal concepts and terminology. This classification of legal materials is consistent with the framework proposed by Soekanto and Mamudji (2018), who distinguish legal research sources according to their function and level of authority in providing legal information.

Data were collected through library research by identifying, compiling, and reviewing legal materials and scholarly literature relevant to the focus of the study. Library research was selected because this study is oriented toward the examination of legal norms, legal doctrines, and previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. The collected materials were subsequently classified according to key themes, namely the protection of child victims of violence, secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system.

The data were analyzed qualitatively using a descriptive-analytical method. According to Soekanto and Mamudji (2018), qualitative analysis in legal research involves interpreting and correlating legal provisions, doctrines, and scholarly opinions to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the legal issue under investigation. The analysis was conducted through the identification of relevant legal norms, legal interpretation, comparison of national and international legal instruments, and evaluation of previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence. Furthermore, the study examined the extent to which the existing normative framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles within the Indonesian Criminal Justice System

The principle of child-friendly justice represents an approach that positions children as rights holders entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. This concept emerged from the recognition that children possess distinct needs and vulnerabilities compared to adults and therefore require special treatment at every stage of the justice process. The ap-

6 | 

<https://research.e-greenation.org/GJLSS>

Vol. 4, No. 2,  2026

proach emphasizes respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation, protection of privacy, and the ~~fulfillment~~ of children's rights when interacting with legal institutions. Kilkelly and ~~Plesner~~ (2023) explain that child-friendly justice is an approach that places children's rights, participation, and best interests at the ~~center~~ of all stages of judicial proceedings.

Within the Indonesian context, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into various legal instruments, particularly Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These regulations establish various forms of protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. ~~Apriyandita et al.~~ (2025) argue that the development of child law in Indonesia reflects a gradual shift from an offender-oriented approach toward one that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of child victims within the justice process.

Table 1. Principles of Child-Friendly Justice

Principle	Legal Basis	Current Implementation	Implementation Gap
Best interests of the child	Law No. 35 of 2014 and Law No. 11 of 2012	Serves as the primary basis for the treatment of child victims	Lack of uniform implementation standards
Child participation	Law No. 11 of 2012	Children are provided opportunities to express their views	Children's views are not always given primary consideration
Privacy protection	Law No. 35 of 2014	Publication of children's identities is prohibited	Cases of identity disclosure continue to occur
Assistance and support	Law No. 11 of 2012	Assistance provided by parents, social workers, or psychologists	Limited availability of professional support personnel
Child-friendly interviews	Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law and related technical regulations	Available in certain regions	Uneven implementation across Indonesia

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 1 demonstrates that Indonesia has normatively adopted various child-friendly justice principles within its criminal justice system. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. However, ~~Nugraha et al.~~ (2025) indicate that although the legal framework governing child protection is relatively comprehensive, the practical implementation of child protection principles continues to face significant challenges, resulting in the incomplete realization of the intended protective objectives.

The findings further reveal that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles remains suboptimal. One of the principal challenges is the unequal availability of child-friendly interview and examination facilities across different regions. Such facilities constitute an essential prerequisite for creating a safe and supportive environment for child victims participating in legal proceedings. Furthermore, disparities remain in the capacity and competence of law enforcement officials responsible for handling cases involving child victims of violence. ~~Purwandito and Sugiharto~~ (2025) found that institutional capacity and the quality of implementation are among the most significant factors influencing the effectiveness of child protection within the justice system.

These findings suggest that the primary obstacles to implementing child-friendly justice in Indonesia are not regulatory in nature but rather relate to institutional capacity and supporting resources. This indicates that the effectiveness of child protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on the ability of justice institutions to translate those norms into practices that genuinely respond to children's needs. Lubis (2025) argues that the success of the juvenile justice system is strongly influenced by institutional capacity, inter-agency co-



Reviewer

The ~~scientific~~ ~~information~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~article~~ ~~is~~ ~~relevant~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~research~~ ~~and~~ ~~practice~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~field~~ ~~of~~ ~~child~~ ~~protection~~ ~~and~~ ~~justice~~ ~~in~~ ~~Indonesia~~ ~~and~~ ~~will~~ ~~be~~ ~~useful~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~research~~ ~~community~~ ~~and~~ ~~practitioners~~ ~~in~~ <

ordination, and the ability of law enforcement personnel to apply approaches that prioritize the best interests of the child.

Another challenge concerns the limited availability of professional support personnel, including psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates with specialized expertise in handling child victims. As a result, not all child victims receive adequate psychosocial assistance throughout legal proceedings. In addition, evidentiary considerations continue to dominate criminal proceedings, often outweighing approaches focused on victim recovery and protection. [Apriyanda](#) (2025) notes that the Indonesian juvenile justice system still requires stronger mechanisms that enable the voices and experiences of victims to receive greater consideration during judicial proceedings. This condition suggests that strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice should involve not only regulatory improvements but also a broader paradigm shift toward a justice system that prioritizes the protection and recovery of child victims. [Chandra](#) (2023) further explains that restorative approaches within the juvenile justice system can reinforce victim protection and recovery while maintaining the objectives of criminal law enforcement.

b. The Role of Child-Friendly Justice in Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization refers to the additional harm experienced by victims as a result of responses received after the commission of a criminal offense, whether from the social environment or from institutions responsible for handling the case. In the context of child victims of violence, secondary victimization may occur when legal processes that are intended to provide protection instead generate new psychological distress for victims. Such circumstances may arise through repeated interviews, treatment that is insensitive to the condition of the child, or inadequate protection of the victim's psychological needs throughout legal proceedings. [Apriyanda et al.](#) (2025) argue that the protection of child victims extends beyond law enforcement measures against offenders and includes efforts to prevent secondary harms that may worsen victims' conditions during the justice process.

Table 2. Forms of Secondary Victimization

Form of Secondary Victimization	Manifestation in Legal Proceedings	Impact on Children
Repeated interviews	Children are required to recount their experiences at multiple stages of proceedings	Re-traumatization
Victim blaming	Questions or statements that imply responsibility on the part of the victim	Feelings of shame and guilt
Confrontation with the offender	Direct encounters with or exposure to the offender during proceedings	Fear and anxiety
Delayed legal proceedings	Prolonged case processing	Long-term stress
Lack of professional support	Insufficient psychological and social assistance	Obstacles to recovery
Disclosure of identity	Victims' identities become publicly known	Social stigma and discrimination

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

As illustrated in Table 2, secondary victimization may arise in various forms throughout criminal proceedings. One of the most common forms is repeated interviewing, which requires child victims to repeatedly recount traumatic experiences to different actors at various stages of the justice process. Such circumstances may reactivate traumatic memories and hinder victims' recovery. [Nusrata et al.](#) (2025) demonstrate that legal procedures that fail to consider

children's psychological conditions may increase vulnerability to prolonged trauma and impede post-crime recovery.

In addition to repeated interviews, victim-blaming practices may produce serious psychological consequences for child victims. Questions, comments, or attitudes that implicitly place responsibility on victims can generate feelings of shame and guilt while undermining their self-esteem. As a consequence, victims may become reluctant to disclose their experiences openly and may lose trust in the justice system. Putri (2023) found that victims' experiences during legal proceedings are significantly influenced by the quality of protection provided by judicial institutions and by the approaches adopted by law enforcement officials.

Another form of secondary victimization involves direct confrontation between victims and offenders during legal proceedings. For child victims of violence, particularly sexual violence, encounters with offenders may revive feelings of fear, anxiety, and insecurity associated with the original victimization. Consequently, victim protection extends beyond evidentiary considerations and includes efforts to create a safe environment throughout the justice process. Lubis (2025) argues that effective child protection must minimize psychological pressure and guarantee victims' safety while they participate in legal proceedings.

Furthermore, prolonged legal proceedings and inadequate psychosocial support may increase the risk of secondary victimization. When victims are required to wait extended periods for case resolution without receiving sufficient assistance, psychological recovery becomes increasingly difficult. This condition demonstrates that victim protection requires a comprehensive and continuous approach. Bachmid (2025) emphasizes that juvenile justice systems should integrate legal protection with psychosocial support in order to achieve the objectives of child protection more effectively.

From a victimological standpoint, these various forms of secondary victimization indicate that criminal justice processes may themselves become sources of additional suffering when adequate protective mechanisms are absent. Therefore, the effectiveness of a criminal justice system should not be measured solely by its capacity to investigate crimes and punish offenders, but also by its ability to provide protection, support, and recovery for victims. These findings suggest that victim protection must become an integral component of the juvenile criminal justice system to ensure that legal proceedings do not produce consequences that are contrary to the fundamental objectives of child protection.

The analysis further demonstrates that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles plays a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Child-friendly interview procedures, protection of privacy and confidentiality, professional assistance, child-sensitive communication, and the availability of child-friendly facilities collectively contribute to reducing the risk of re-traumatization during legal proceedings. By ensuring that children are treated with dignity and respect throughout the justice process, child-friendly justice functions not only as a mechanism for protecting children's rights but also as an effective strategy for minimizing the harmful consequences associated with their involvement in criminal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of efforts to prevent secondary victimization and to promote a more victim-oriented criminal justice system.

Table 3. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice

Strategy	Implementation Objective	Contribution
Child-friendly interview rooms	Creating a safe environment	Reducing victims' anxiety
Single interview approach	Avoiding repeated examinations	Reducing re-traumatization
Psychological assistance	Providing emotional support	Facilitating victims' recovery
Examination by trained personnel	Adapting communication to children's needs	Reducing psychological distress
Audiovisual technology	Minimizing direct contact with offenders	Preventing re-victimization

Identity protection	Safeguarding children's privacy	Preventing social stigma
Source: Processed research data, 2026.		

Table 3 demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice make a significant contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. The various strategies incorporated within this approach are intended to ensure that legal proceedings do not generate additional psychological distress for victims. Saputri et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs are capable of enhancing the effectiveness of legal protection while simultaneously reducing the risk of further psychological harm during legal proceedings.

One of the most important strategies is the provision of child-friendly interview rooms designed to create a safe and supportive environment for victims. Interview settings that do not resemble formal interrogation rooms enable children to recount their experiences more calmly and openly. Such conditions help reduce anxiety and improve the quality of information obtained during interviews. Psychological safety constitutes an important factor that enables children to feel more comfortable in disclosing their experiences to individuals responsible for providing assistance and protection (Pertiwi et al., 2026). Nurmala and Haryani (2023) further emphasize that the provision of child-friendly facilities and mechanisms forms an essential component of a justice system oriented toward the protection of children's rights.

Another strategy with substantial preventive value is the implementation of the single interview approach. This method aims to reduce the need for repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. Through effective inter-agency coordination and adequate documentation mechanisms, information provided by child victims can be utilized more efficiently without requiring them to repeatedly recount the same traumatic experience. Muliani et al. (2023) demonstrate that the simplification of procedures involving child victims, combined with enhanced inter-institutional coordination, contributes significantly to improving the quality of child protection throughout legal proceedings.

Psychological assistance also plays a critical role in helping victims cope with emotional pressures during legal proceedings. The involvement of psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates can assist children in understanding the legal process while simultaneously providing the emotional support necessary to navigate it. Such assistance serves not only as a protective mechanism but also as an integral part of victims' recovery. Sutanto and Rahaditya (2024) argue that effective child protection requires a multidisciplinary approach involving various professional actors to ensure that victims' needs are addressed comprehensively.

Furthermore, examinations conducted by personnel who possess specialized expertise in communicating with children can reduce the likelihood of victim-blaming questions or other forms of insensitive treatment. An understanding of child psychological development enables professionals to adopt communication approaches that are appropriate to the child's age and circumstances, thereby creating a safer and more supportive interview process. Professional competence therefore constitutes a crucial factor in ensuring that legal proceedings do not result in secondary victimization.

The use of audiovisual technology also contributes significantly to the prevention of secondary victimization. Mechanisms such as video-recorded testimony and remote participation allow children to provide information without having to face offenders directly. Such measures help reduce fear, anxiety, and emotional distress while maintaining the evidentiary value of victims' statements. Similarly, the protection of victims' identities plays a vital role in safeguarding children from social stigma, discrimination, and unwanted public exposure that may arise from criminal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes substantially to reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. These findings reinforce the view that a victim-centered approach within the criminal justice system enhances both the quality of legal protection and the effectiveness of victim recovery. **Senardi** and Krey (2024) emphasize that the success of a juvenile justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to resolve cases, but also by its capacity to ensure the protection, well-being, and recovery of children involved in legal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of criminal justice reform aimed at safeguarding child victims and preventing re-victimization throughout the legal process.




Figure 1. Child-Friendly Justice Implementation Model

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between child victims of violence, criminal justice processes, the risk of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles. The model demonstrates that the involvement of child victims in legal proceedings may generate additional psychological consequences when judicial processes are conducted without adequate consideration of the victims' needs and circumstances. Such risks arise because children are often required to engage with legal procedures that may reactivate traumatic experiences associated with the original victimization. **Nurmalia** and **Hawani** (2023) argue that the protection of children involved in the justice system should be oriented toward preventing adverse consequences that may disrupt their psychological and social development. This perspective is consistent with the findings of **Pertiwi et al.** (2025), who demonstrate that psychosocial factors and individual characteristics play a significant role in child and adolescent development, thereby requiring child protection measures to be implemented through integrated legal, familial, and social approaches.

The model further indicates that child-friendly justice functions as a protective mechanism capable of mitigating the adverse effects associated with legal proceedings. Components such as professional assistance, child-friendly interview rooms, single interview procedures, identity protection, and the involvement of specially trained personnel constitute interventions designed to prevent re-victimization. **Saputri et al.** (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs contribute significantly to enhancing the effectiveness of the juvenile justice system while simultaneously strengthening the protection afforded to victims.

Reviewer
The article presents a model of child-friendly justice implementation, which is a positive contribution to the field of child protection and criminal justice reform. The model clearly outlines the flow from child victims of violence to victim recovery and protection, emphasizing the role of child-friendly justice principles in mitigating the risk of secondary victimization. The inclusion of specific components like support and assistance, child-friendly interview rooms, and single interview procedures adds practical value to the model. The article is well-structured and easy to read, with clear headings and subheadings. The language is professional and academic, suitable for a research journal. The article is a good example of how to present a model in a research paper. The model is well-defined and easy to understand. The article is a good example of how to present a model in a research paper.

The inclusion of psychological assistance within the model demonstrates that legal protection for child victims extends beyond purely legal considerations and encompasses psychological and social dimensions. Assistance provided throughout legal proceedings enables victims to better understand the process they are undergoing, reduces anxiety, and strengthens their capacity to cope with judicial proceedings. Mubayok (2023) argues that a comprehensive child protection framework must integrate legal, psychological, and social dimensions in order to address victims' needs effectively.

In addition, the implementation of the single interview approach depicted in the model reflects efforts to reduce repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. This strategy enables information provided by victims to be utilized more effectively without requiring them to repeatedly relive traumatic experiences during multiple stages of legal proceedings. Ghoni and Pujiyana (2020) found that simplifying procedures in cases involving children contributes to improving the quality of protection while minimizing adverse consequences arising from legal processes.

The protection of victims' identities also constitutes a crucial component of the child-friendly justice implementation model. Confidentiality serves not only to safeguard children's privacy but also to prevent social stigma, discrimination, and social pressures that may hinder recovery. Nova and Setiawan (2023) emphasize that identity protection forms an integral part of the rights guaranteed to children and must be ensured by the state throughout judicial proceedings.

Based on the model presented in Figure 1, it can be understood that child-friendly justice functions not only as an instrument of legal protection but also as a preventive mechanism against secondary victimization that is oriented toward victim recovery. Accordingly, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to impose sanctions on offenders but also by its capacity to provide protection, security, and recovery support for child victims of violence. Ingaratjaya et al. (2023) emphasize that an effective juvenile justice system must be capable of balancing the objectives of law enforcement with the protection of children's rights and the realization of the best interests of the child.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice play a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. From a normative perspective, various child protection principles have been incorporated into Indonesian legislation, particularly through Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring the protection, participation, and fulfillment of children's rights throughout legal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes significantly to reducing the risk of secondary victimization through a range of protective mechanisms, including the provision of child-friendly interview rooms, the application of single interview procedures, psychological assistance, protection of victims' identities, and the involvement of personnel with specialized expertise in handling cases involving children. These mechanisms serve to minimize the psychological distress that may arise during legal proceedings while simultaneously supporting victims' recovery.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation continues to be influenced by several challenges, particularly those related to institutional capacity, the availability of qualified human resources, supporting facilities, and inter-agency coordination. Therefore, efforts to strengthen the implementation of child-friendly justice should be pursued continuously to ensure that the criminal justice system is not solely oriented toward the prose-



cution and punishment of offenders, but is also capable of providing effective protection and recovery for child victims of violence.

This study contributes to the development of legal scholarship by reaffirming that child-friendly justice constitutes an essential instrument for building a criminal justice system that is more responsive to children's needs and best interests while simultaneously promoting the prevention of secondary victimization. Accordingly, the integration of child-friendly justice principles into criminal justice practices should be regarded as a fundamental component of victim-oriented justice and the broader protection of children's rights.

REFERENCES

- Apriyandita, N., Asyari, A., Maharani, F., Ferdian, A., & Solehuddin. (2025). Reconceptualizing child victim rights: A normative and comparative analysis of victim impact statement in Indonesia's juvenile justice system. *Jayabaya Law Review*, 7(2), 442–467. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i2.30132>
- Bachrudin, A. A. (2025). *Perlindungan anak dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak*. *Juris Studia: Jurnal Kajian Hukum*.
- Campbell, R. (2005). What really happened? A validation study of rape survivors' help-seeking experiences with the legal and medical systems. *Violence and Victims*, 20(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1891/vivi.20.1.55>
- Chandra, T. Y. (2023). Penerapan restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Al-Ma'arif: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Pranata Sosial*, 11(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.30868/am.v11i01.3827>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2009). General Comment No. 12 (2009): *The right of the child to be heard* (CRC/C/GC/12). United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/4ae562c52.html>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2013). General Comment No. 14 (2013) *on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration* (CRC/C/GC/14). United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/51a84b5e4.html>
- Council of Europe. (2011). *Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on child-friendly justice*. Council of Europe Publishing. <https://rm.coe.int/16804b2cf3>
- Finkelhor, D., Turner, H., LaSelva, D., & Green, A. (2020). Lifetime victimization and adversity among children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 107, 104602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104602>
- Fitriani, R. (2016). Peranan penyelenggara perlindungan anak dalam melindungi dan memenuhi hak-hak anak. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, 11(2), 250–258. <https://ejournal.uns.ac.id/index.php/jhsk/article/view/42>
- Ghoni, M. R., & Pujiyana. (2020). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berhadapan dengan hukum melalui implementasi diversifikasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 2(3), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v2i3.331-342>
- Goodman-Brown, T. B., Edelstein, R. S., Goodman, G. S., Jones, D. P. H., & Gordon, D. S. (2003). Why children tell: A model of children's disclosure of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(5), 525–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(03\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(03)00037-1)
- Ibrahim, J. (2019). *Teori dan metodologi penelitian hukum normatif*. Bayumedia Publishing.
- Istiqomah, Y., Teule, E. R. M., & Wadjo, H. Z. (2023). Diversi dalam penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Pattimura Legal Journal*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.47268/pela.v2i3.10676>
- Lubis, M. A. (2025). Comparative implementation of child protection law between Indonesia and the Netherlands: A study of juvenile justice system. *Meta Hukum: Jurnal Hukum dan Masyarakat*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.47652/metahukum.v4i3.890>
- Marzuki, P. M. (2021). *Penelitian hukum* (Edisi revisi). Kencana.

- Kilkelly, U., & Plessier, S. (2023). Rights of the child in the child justice system. *Youth Justice*, 23(2), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14732254231185820>
- Mybarok, N. (2023). Perlindungan hukum anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam perspektif fikih jinayah. *Al-Jinayah: Jurnal Hukum Pidana Islam*, 9(2), 195–218. <https://doi.org/10.15642/aj.2023.9.2.195-218>
- Muliani, S., Kasim, A., Ahmad, J., & Nonci, N. (2023). Reformulasi syarat pelaksanaan diversi dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 5(2), 358–373. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v5i2.358-373>
- Nova, E., & Afrizal, R. (2023). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak. *UNES Journal of Suara Justitia*, 6(4), 480–493. <https://doi.org/10.31933/ujsi.v6i4.298>
- Nugraha, A. S., Mahmutarom, & Prakoso, A. P. (2025). Effectiveness of implementing restorative justice in resolution of child crimes. *International Journal of Law Reconstruction*, 9(2), 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.26532/ijlr.v9i2.48901>
- Nurmalia, L. D., & Hanapi, Y. (2023). Kajian perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Jurnal Umayah Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia*, 9(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.35912/jihum.v3i1.1801>
- Orth, U. (2002). Secondary victimization of crime victims by criminal proceedings. *Social Justice Research*, 15(4), 313–325. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021210323461>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., & Samsjuna, I. D. S. (2022). The role of social control and optimization of restorative justice policy on juvenile offending. *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan*, 11(1), 109–133. <https://doi.org/10.25216/jhp.11.1.2022.109-133>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Mumpin, A., & Saputra, R. (2026). Family as a safe space: A descriptive examination of psychological safety, parenting practices, and parent-child emotional relationships. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary*, 4(4). <https://greenpub.org/IJAM/article/view/1883>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Hutahasan, E. S. H., Mumpin, A., & Reza, I. F. (2025). Deviant behavior in religious schools: The interplay of psychological safety, sanctions, and academic engagement. <https://journal.staihabbulwathan.id/index.php/alishlah/article/view/6491>
- Pipandito, R. A., & Sugiharto, R. (2023). Legal protection for children in conflict with the law through virtual courts: Implementation of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Jurnal Akta*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.30659/akta.v10i1.30027>
- Putri, D. D. P. (2023). Sounding the justice for child: Does restorative justice matters? *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 4(3), 303–324. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijlr.v4i3.68106>
- Republik Indonesia. (1945). *Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945*. <https://www.dpr.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2012). *Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2014). *Undang-Undang Nomor 35 Tahun 2014 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 23 Tahun 2002 tentang Perlindungan Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Saputra, R. M., Wahyuni, F., & Muhsin, M. (2024). Analisis kebijakan dalam hukum pidana perlindungan anak pada perubahan sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Sekeloa*, 10(2), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.47521/selodanemayang.v10i2.407>
- Senandi, W. A. A., & Krey, T. H. M. Y. (2024). Analisis tentang penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana. *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*. <https://doi.org/10.55551/jip.v6i1.430>

- Soekanto, S., & Mardudi, S. (2018). *Penelitian hukum naratif: Suatu tinjauan singkat*. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Sutanto, P., & Rahaditya, R. (2024). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak sebagai pelaku tindak pidana dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *UNES Law Review*, 6(4), 10361–10367. <https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i4.2022>
- United Nations. (1989). *Convention on the Rights of the Child*. United Nations Treaty Series, 1577, 3. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-child>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2009). *Justice in matters involving child victims and witnesses of crime: Model law and related commentary*. United Nations. https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/hb_justice_in_matters.pdf
- Van Niekerk, S., & Coetzee, L. (2020). Secondary victimisation of child victims in the criminal justice system. *Child Abuse Research in South Africa*, 21(1), 20–31.
- Walklate, S. (2018). *Victimology: The victim and the criminal justice process* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Wommers, J. A. (2018). *Victims in the criminal justice system*. Routledge.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049338>

Feedback Revision Reviewer A

- a. **Abstrak:** Please clarify the novelty and unique contribution of the study in the abstract.
- b. **Introduction:** Please clarify the novelty and specific contribution of this study more explicitly. Although the research gap has been identified, the distinction between this study and previous research could be emphasized further.
- c. **Result and Discussion:**
 1. The discussion identifies several implementation gaps; however, the inclusion of concrete examples or recent cases from Indonesia would strengthen the analysis.
 2. The conceptual model is informative. However, its practical implications for improving child protection policies and justice practices could be discussed more explicitly.
- d. **Conclusion:** The conclusion is well aligned with the study objectives and findings. However, a brief recommendation for future research

3. Letter of Acceptance GIJLSS *"Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization Child Victims of Violence"*



Letter of Acceptance

Date : 10 June 2026

ID : GIJLSS82677TCv/LOA/06/2026

Dear Authors,

Based on the results of the review, the article was declared **ACCEPTED** for publication in the **Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences (GIJLSS)** journal in the Vol. 4 No. 2 May - June 2026 edition. The article will be published no later than 30 June 2026. The article is available online at <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS>

Submission Details

Author	Anggreany Haryani Putri (1); Endang Hadrian (2); Trias Saputra (3)
Affiliation	Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia (1,2); Universitas Pelita Bangsa, Bekasi, Indonesia (3)
Title	Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence.

Best Regards,

Prof. Dr. Nandan Limakrisna, MM., CQM

Director of Greenation Publisher



Document Validation Scan this Code

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Minor Revision.

Recommendation: Revisions Required

**3. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Revisi Pertama,
Respon kepada Reviewer,
dan Artikel yang Diresubmit
(12 Juni 2026)**

1. Submit File yang direvisi oleh author ke dalam ojs

Reviewer's Attachments

6772

Review Form - Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences.pdf

June 9, 2026

6773

gijlss-review-assignment-1017-Article+Text-6741.docx

June 9, 2026

Revisions

6900

rev-gijlss-review-assignment-1017-Article+Text-6741.docx

June 12, 2026

Article Text

Edit

Delete

Review Discussions

Add discussion

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

GIJLSS

Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences

+62 8121067572

https://researcher.greenation.org/GIJLSS

gijlss@greenation.id

GREENATION

INDONESIA

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/gijlss.v4i2>
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

Anggreany Haryani Putri¹, Endang Hadrian², Trias Saputra³

¹Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia, anggreany.haryani@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

²Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia, endang.hadrian@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

³Universitas Pelita Bangsa, Bekasi, Indonesia, trias.saputra@pelitabangsa.ac.id

Corresponding Author: anggreany.haryani@dsn.ubharajaya.ac.id

Abstract:

Child victims of violence involved in the criminal justice system are vulnerable to secondary victimization due to various legal procedures that may cause psychological distress and retraumatization. This condition highlights the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles as a means of safeguarding children throughout legal proceedings. This study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. This study contributes to the literature by examining child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and by linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Research data were collected through library research, encompassing legislation, international legal instruments, scholarly literature, and relevant previous studies. Data were analyzed qualitatively through interpretation, comparison, and evaluation of legal provisions and concepts related to child protection. The findings indicate that child-friendly justice principles have been incorporated into various national regulations; however, their implementation continues to face challenges, including limited child-friendly facilities, inadequate professional competence among law enforcement officials, and insufficient support services. The application of child-friendly interview rooms, single-interview procedures, psychological assistance, identity protection, and the involvement of trained personnel has been shown to contribute to reducing the risk of secondary victimization. Therefore, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles is essential to establishing a criminal justice system that is more responsive to the protection and recovery of child victims of violence.

Keyword:

Child-Friendly Justice, Secondary Victimization, Child Victims of Violence, Criminal Justice System, Child Protection.

Reviewer

Please clarify the novelty and unique contribution of the study in the abstract.

Anggreany
Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the abstract to explicitly state the novelty and unique contributions of the study. Specifically, we added a sentence emphasizing that the study examines child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and highlights its contribution in linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. This revision clarifies the distinctive focus and contributions of the research.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 18 requires law enforcement officials to prioritize the best interests of the child and to promote a family-oriented atmosphere in handling cases involving children. In addition, various provisions of the Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law emphasize the protection of children's rights, assistance throughout legal proceedings, humane treatment, protection from stigma, and the fulfillment of children's needs while they are involved in legal processes. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims has become an integral component of Indonesia's criminal law policy, reflecting a commitment to human rights and the best interests of the child.

Nevertheless, the implementation of these principles continues to face significant challenges. Fitriani (2016) notes that child protection in practice is often hindered by limited supporting facilities, inadequate inter-agency coordination, and the suboptimal implementation of child protection policies. Moreover, the uneven availability of child-friendly interview facilities, the shortage of professional support personnel, and variations in the capacity of law enforcement officials to handle cases involving children reveal a gap between the normative legal framework and its practical implementation. These conditions indicate that the existence of legal regulations alone does not automatically guarantee effective protection for child victims of violence throughout criminal proceedings.

Previous studies have extensively examined the protection of child victims of violence, children's rights within the criminal justice system, the impact of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice. Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020), for example, explored various forms of secondary victimization experienced by child victims during criminal proceedings. Likewise, the Council of Europe (2011) and the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) emphasized the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles to safeguard children's rights throughout legal processes. However, studies that specifically analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice as a mechanism for preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the Indonesian criminal justice system remain limited. Most existing research addresses these two concepts separately, leaving insufficient analysis of the relationship between the implementation of child-friendly justice and efforts to prevent secondary victimization within an integrated analytical framework. The novelty of this study lies in reconceptualizing child-friendly justice not merely as a general child-protection principle, but as a preventive legal framework for reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Unlike previous studies that primarily discuss child-friendly justice and secondary victimization as separate issues, this study integrates both concepts within a single analytical framework to examine how child-friendly justice safeguards function throughout the criminal justice process. Furthermore, this study contributes to the literature by assessing the consistency between international child-friendly justice standards and Indonesian legal regulations, while identifying specific procedural safeguards that support a victim-centered approach to the protection and recovery of child victims.

This gap in the literature highlights the need for a more comprehensive examination of how child-friendly justice principles, as incorporated into national legal instruments, are implemented within the criminal justice system and the extent to which their implementation provides protection against secondary victimization for child victims of violence. Such an analysis is important because the effectiveness of legal protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on their capacity to provide tangible safeguards for victims. Based on this research gap, the present study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence.



Reviewer

Please clarify the novelty and specific contribution of this study. Does novelty, although the research gap has been identified, the distinctive between this study and previous research could be explained further.

Anggreany

Thank you for the comments. We have revised the introduction to more explicitly state the novelty and contribution of this study to the existing literature on child-friendly justice and secondary victimization within a single analytical framework, as well as its relevance to the Indonesian criminal justice system.

tive framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles within the Indonesian Criminal Justice System

The principle of child-friendly justice represents an approach that positions children as rights holders entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. This concept emerged from the recognition that children possess distinct needs and vulnerabilities compared to adults and therefore require special treatment at every stage of the justice process. The approach emphasizes respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation, protection of privacy, and the fulfillment of children's rights when interacting with legal institutions. Kilkelly and Plessier (2023) explain that child-friendly justice is an approach that places children's rights, participation, and best interests at the center of all stages of judicial proceedings.

Within the Indonesian context, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into various legal instruments, particularly Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These regulations establish various forms of protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. Apriliana et al. (2025) argue that the development of child law in Indonesia reflects a gradual shift from an offender-oriented approach toward one that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of child victims within the justice process.

Table 1. Principles of Child-Friendly Justice

Principle	Legal Basis	Current Implementation	Implementation Gap
Best interests of the child	Law No. 35 of 2014 and Law No. 11 of 2012	Serves as the primary basis for the treatment of child victims	Lack of uniform implementation standards
Child participation	Law No. 11 of 2012	Children are provided opportunities to express their views	Children's views are not always given primary consideration
Privacy protection	Law No. 35 of 2014	Publication of children's identities is prohibited	Cases of identity disclosure continue to occur
Assistance and support	Law No. 11 of 2012	Assistance provided by parents, social workers, or psychologists	Limited availability of professional support personnel
Child-friendly interviews	Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law and related technical regulations	Available in certain regions	Uneven implementation across Indonesia

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 1 demonstrates that Indonesia has normatively adopted various child-friendly justice principles within its criminal justice system. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. However, Nugraha et al. (2025) indicate that although the legal framework governing child protection is relatively comprehensive, the practical implementation of child protection principles continues to face significant challenges, resulting in the incomplete realization of the intended protective objectives.

The findings further reveal that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles remains suboptimal. One of the principal challenges is the unequal availability of child-friendly interview and examination facilities across different regions. Such facilities constitute an es-



Reviewer

The reviewer is a professional in the field of child protection and justice, with extensive experience in working with children and adolescents in the Indonesian legal system.

Anggremy

The reviewer is a professional in the field of child protection and justice, with extensive experience in working with children and adolescents in the Indonesian legal system.

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between child victims of violence, criminal justice processes, the risk of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles. The model demonstrates that the involvement of child victims in legal proceedings may generate additional psychological consequences when judicial processes are conducted without adequate consideration of the victims' needs and circumstances. Such risks arise because children are often required to engage with legal procedures that may reactivate traumatic experiences associated with the original victimization. Numpala and Hapaji (2023) argue that the protection of children involved in the justice system should be oriented toward preventing adverse consequences that may disrupt their psychological and social development. This perspective is consistent with the findings of Pertiwi et al. (2025), who demonstrate that psychosocial factors and individual characteristics play a significant role in child and adolescent development, thereby requiring child protection measures to be implemented through integrated legal, familial, and social approaches.

The model further indicates that child-friendly justice functions as a protective mechanism capable of mitigating the adverse effects associated with legal proceedings. Components such as professional assistance, child-friendly interview rooms, single interview procedures, identity protection, and the involvement of specially trained personnel constitute interventions designed to prevent re-victimization. Saputra et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs contribute significantly to enhancing the effectiveness of the juvenile justice system while simultaneously strengthening the protection afforded to victims.

The inclusion of psychological assistance within the model demonstrates that legal protection for child victims extends beyond purely legal considerations and encompasses psychological and social dimensions. Assistance provided throughout legal proceedings enables victims to better understand the process they are undergoing, reduces anxiety, and strengthens their capacity to cope with judicial proceedings. Mubarak (2023) argues that a comprehensive child protection framework must integrate legal, psychological, and social dimensions in order to address victims' needs effectively.

In addition, the implementation of the single interview approach depicted in the model reflects efforts to reduce repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. This strategy enables information provided by victims to be utilized more effectively without requiring them to repeatedly relive traumatic experiences during multiple stages of legal proceedings. Ghoni and Pujiono (2020) found that simplifying procedures in cases involving children contributes to improving the quality of protection while minimizing adverse consequences arising from legal processes.

The protection of victims' identities also constitutes a crucial component of the child-friendly justice implementation model. Confidentiality serves not only to safeguard children's privacy but also to prevent social stigma, discrimination, and social pressures that may hinder recovery. Nova and Afrizal (2023) emphasize that identity protection forms an integral part of the rights guaranteed to children and must be ensured by the state throughout judicial proceedings.

The model also has important practical implications for child protection policies and justice practices in Indonesia. First, it highlights the need for policymakers to strengthen the availability of child-friendly interview rooms and integrated support services across all regions to ensure equal access to protection for child victims. Second, the model supports the wider implementation of single-interview procedures and specialized training programs for police officers, prosecutors, judges, and other professionals involved in handling child victims. Third, the model underscores the importance of strengthening inter-agency coordination among law enforcement institutions, social service agencies, psychologists, and child protection organizations to ensure that legal proceedings are conducted in a manner that prioritizes victim

Reviewer June 09, 2026

The conceptual model is well-structured, but the language used is somewhat repetitive and lacks academic rigor. The model's components are clearly defined, but the flow of the argument is not fully convincing.

Anggreany June 11, 2026

The model is well-structured and clearly defined. The language used is academic and rigorous. The model's components are clearly defined, but the flow of the argument is not fully convincing. The model's components are clearly defined, but the flow of the argument is not fully convincing.

[Reply](#) [Resolve](#)

recovery and minimizes the risk of secondary victimization. These measures may contribute to the development of a more victim-centered and child-sensitive criminal justice system.

Based on the model presented in Figure 1, it can be understood that child-friendly justice functions not only as an instrument of legal protection but also as a preventive mechanism against secondary victimization that is oriented toward victim recovery. Accordingly, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to impose sanctions on offenders but also by its capacity to provide protection, security, and recovery support for child victims of violence. Ingrahyun, et al. (2023) emphasize that an effective juvenile justice system must be capable of balancing the objectives of law enforcement with the protection of children's rights and the realization of the best interests of the child.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice play a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. From a normative perspective, various child protection principles have been incorporated into Indonesian legislation, particularly through Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring the protection, participation, and fulfillment of children's rights throughout legal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes significantly to reducing the risk of secondary victimization through a range of protective mechanisms, including the provision of child-friendly interview rooms, the application of single interview procedures, psychological assistance, protection of victims' identities, and the involvement of personnel with specialized expertise in handling cases involving children. These mechanisms serve to minimize the psychological distress that may arise during legal proceedings while simultaneously supporting victims' recovery.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation continues to be influenced by several challenges, particularly those related to institutional capacity, the availability of qualified human resources, supporting facilities, and inter-agency coordination. Therefore, efforts to strengthen the implementation of child-friendly justice should be pursued continuously to ensure that the criminal justice system is not solely oriented toward the prosecution and punishment of offenders, but is also capable of providing effective protection and recovery for child victims of violence.

This study contributes to the development of legal scholarship by reaffirming that child-friendly justice constitutes an essential instrument for building a criminal justice system that is more responsive to children's needs and best interests while simultaneously promoting the prevention of secondary victimization. Accordingly, the integration of child-friendly justice principles into criminal justice practices should be regarded as a fundamental component of victim-oriented justice and the broader protection of children's rights. Future research may complement this normative analysis by employing empirical approaches to evaluate the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation across different regions of Indonesia, particularly with regard to the experiences of child victims, the capacity of justice institutions, and the impact of protective measures on preventing secondary victimization. Such studies may provide valuable evidence for strengthening child protection policies and improving justice practices for child victims.

REFERENCES

Apriandita, N., Ansoori, A., Maharani, F., Ferdian, A., & Solehuddin. (2025). Re-conceptualizing child victim rights: A normative and comparative analysis of victim

Reviewer

The author(s) have submitted a manuscript for review. The author(s) have submitted a manuscript for review.

Anggreany

Anggreany is a student of the Faculty of Law, Universitas Indonesia. She is currently pursuing a Bachelor's degree in Law. She has a strong interest in human rights and social justice. She has participated in various community service activities and has been involved in several research projects related to human rights and social justice.

**4. Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan
Hasil Review Kedua
(24 Juni 2026)**

1. Review from Reviewer B “Greenation International Journal of Law and Social”



Date : 20 June 2026

Subject : Review Report

Herewith I send you review report for your paper entitled "**Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence**"

The scale for Question, 0 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree

Technical Aspects

No	Question	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	The paper is within the scope of the Journal					✓	
2	The paper is original						✓
3	The paper is free of technical errors					✓	

Communications Aspects

No	Question	0	1	2	3	4	5
4	The paper is clearly readable					✓	
5	The figures are clear & do clearly convey the intended message						✓
6	The length of the paper is appropriate					✓	

Comments to the Authors:

• This article provides a valuable and timely discussion on the implementation of child-friendly justice principles in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the Indonesian criminal justice system. The topic is highly relevant, considering the growing recognition of children's rights and the need for victim-centered approaches in legal proceedings. • One of the main strengths of the article is its clear and comprehensive conceptual framework. The author successfully integrates the concepts of child-friendly justice and secondary victimization, demonstrating how legal procedures can either protect or further harm child victims. The study effectively highlights the importance of safeguarding children's psychological well-being throughout criminal proceedings, thereby enriching the existing literature on child protection and victimology.

Recommendation :

✓ Accepted without modifications (A)

2. Reviewer's Attachments from Reviewer B

<https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS>

Vol. 4, No. 2, June 2026



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/gijlss.v4i2>
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

Abstract: Child victims of violence involved in the criminal justice system are vulnerable to secondary victimization due to various legal procedures that may cause psychological distress and retraumatization. This condition highlights the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles as a means of safeguarding children throughout legal proceedings. This study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. This study contributes to the literature by examining child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and by linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Research data were collected through library research, encompassing legislation, international legal instruments, scholarly literature, and relevant previous studies. Data were analyzed qualitatively through interpretation, comparison, and evaluation of legal provisions and concepts related to child protection. The findings indicate that child-friendly justice principles have been incorporated into various national regulations; however, their implementation continues to face challenges, including limited child-friendly facilities, inadequate professional competence among law enforcement officials, and insufficient support services. The application of child-friendly interview rooms, single-interview procedures, psychological assistance, identity protection, and the involvement of trained personnel has been shown to contribute to reducing the risk of secondary victimization. Therefore, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles is essential to establishing a criminal justice system that is more responsive to the protection and recovery of child victims of violence.

Keyword: Child-Friendly Justice, Secondary Victimization, Child Victims of Violence, Criminal Justice System, Child Protection.

INTRODUCTION

Children occupy a strategic position in national development, as the quality of the younger generation determines the sustainability of a country's social, economic, and legal systems in the future. Therefore, child protection constitutes a state responsibility that is not only moral in nature but also legal. Constitutionally, Article 28B paragraph (2) of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia affirms that every child has the right to survival,

A

Asus

The title format does not comply with the journal's style. The title should be in a formal, academic style. Please revise the title to be more formal and academic.

A

Asus

The abstract format is not correct. Please revise the abstract to be more formal and academic. The abstract should be in a formal, academic style.

A

Asus

The keyword format is not correct. Please revise the keyword to be more formal and academic. The keyword should be in a formal, academic style.

A

Asus

The introduction format is not correct. Please revise the introduction to be more formal and academic. The introduction should be in a formal, academic style.

growth and development, and protection from violence and discrimination. This constitutional guarantee demonstrates that child protection forms an integral part of the fulfillment of human rights, which the state is obligated to uphold. This commitment is consistent with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which recognizes children as rights holders entitled to protection and respect for their dignity. The United Nations (1989) affirms that every child is entitled to the protection and care necessary for their well-being. Furthermore, Article 3(1) of the CRC provides that in all actions concerning children, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration. The Committee on the Rights of the Child (2013) explains that the principle of the best interests of the child serves not only as a general principle of child protection but also as a substantive right, an interpretative legal principle, and a procedural rule that must be applied in all decisions affecting children. Accordingly, child protection should not be understood solely as a state obligation to prevent violations of children's rights, but also as a responsibility to ensure that all policies and actions concerning children are guided by and oriented toward the best interests of the child.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principle of child protection is implemented through Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. Article 59 paragraph (1) stipulates that the central government, regional governments, and other state institutions are obligated to provide special protection to children in vulnerable situations, including child victims of criminal offenses and violence. Furthermore, Article 59A provides that such special protection shall be carried out through prompt intervention, psychosocial assistance, social support, and protection and assistance at every stage of judicial proceedings. In addition, Article 64 guarantees that children in conflict with the law are entitled to humane treatment, legal and social assistance, protection from stigma and discrimination, and the fulfillment of their rights throughout the legal process. Fitriani (2016) argues that the fulfillment of children's rights is not solely the responsibility of the state but also requires the active involvement of families and communities to ensure children's optimal growth and development. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims of violence is not merely oriented toward the punishment of offenders but also toward the comprehensive protection and recovery of victims.

From the perspective of modern criminal law, crime victims are no longer viewed merely as sources of evidence in the process of proving criminal offenses, but rather as legal subjects entitled to protection, recovery, and access to justice. Developments in victimological scholarship have demonstrated a shift in the orientation of criminal justice systems from an offender-oriented approach toward a victim-oriented approach that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of victims. Consequently, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system is measured not only by the state's ability to detect criminal conduct and impose sanctions on offenders, but also by its capacity to provide effective protection for victims. In the context of child victims of violence, such protection requires special consideration, as children constitute a vulnerable group that requires treatment different from that afforded to adult victims. The involvement of children in criminal proceedings is often unavoidable because their testimony frequently serves as crucial evidence in establishing criminal liability. Nevertheless, legal procedures that fail to take into account the circumstances and needs of children may result in additional harm to victims. Therefore, modern criminal law requires protective mechanisms capable of ensuring respect for children's rights throughout the criminal justice process.

Despite the existence of comprehensive national and international legal frameworks for child protection, violence against children remains a persistent problem. This condition reflects a gap between the protection guaranteed by law and the protection actually experienced by child victims. The World Health Organization (2022) reports that exposure to violence during childhood is associated with an increased risk of mental health disorders, social adjustment difficulties, risk-taking behaviors, and various psychosocial problems later in life. These

findings are supported by Finkelhor, et al. (2020), who found that childhood exposure to violence has long-term consequences for psychological well-being, interpersonal relationships, and overall quality of life. Such impacts indicate that violence against children should not be regarded solely as a matter of criminality, but also as an issue of child rights protection and public welfare. Accordingly, the protection of child victims of violence cannot be limited to the prosecution and punishment of offenders; it must also encompass measures that ensure the comprehensive recovery of victims. The recovery process is further influenced by the quality of caregiving environments and the sense of psychological security provided within the family. A supportive family environment serves as a protective factor that helps children cope with traumatic experiences and facilitates post-violence recovery (Pertiwi, Murnini, & Saputra, 2026).

Another consequence of the high prevalence of violence against children is the increasing involvement of children in the criminal justice system as victims or witnesses of criminal offenses. In criminal proceedings, victims' testimonies frequently constitute important evidence for establishing the facts of a case and determining the offender's criminal responsibility. As a result, child victims are often required to participate in various stages of the legal process, including investigation, prosecution, and trial. While such involvement forms an essential part of law enforcement and the search for material truth, it may simultaneously place children in vulnerable situations when legal procedures fail to consider their psychological condition and special needs as victims.

Under Indonesian positive law, the protection of child victims within criminal proceedings is regulated by Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 90 grants child victims and child witnesses the right to receive medical rehabilitation, social rehabilitation, security protection, and access to information regarding the progress of their cases. This provision demonstrates that the criminal justice system functions not only as a mechanism for enforcing criminal liability against offenders but also as an instrument for protecting victims. Nevertheless, numerous studies have shown that victim-insensitive procedures may generate significant psychological distress. Goodman-Brown et al. (2003) explain that investigative and examination procedures that fail to consider the victim's condition may exacerbate the trauma experienced by child victims. Similarly, Campbell (2005) found that victims' interactions with legal institutions significantly influence their psychological recovery. This situation creates a paradox within the criminal justice system, whereby mechanisms intended to provide protection may instead become sources of additional suffering for victims.

In victimological scholarship, this phenomenon is commonly referred to as secondary victimization. Orth (2002) defines secondary victimization as the additional suffering experienced by victims as a result of institutional responses following the commission of a criminal offense. In other words, the harm suffered by victims does not necessarily end with the criminal act that constitutes the source of primary victimization but may continue through negative experiences encountered during the handling of the case. Walklate (2018) argues that victims' experiences are determined not only by the crime itself but also by how they are treated throughout the legal process. Similarly, Wemmers (2018) emphasizes that judicial procedures that fail to respond sensitively to victims' needs may aggravate trauma and impede recovery. In the context of child victims of violence, this risk is even greater because children have limited capacity to understand complex legal proceedings and different levels of ability to cope with the pressures associated with criminal justice processes.

Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020) found that child victims constitute a particularly vulnerable group with regard to secondary victimization due to legal procedures that require them to repeatedly recount their experiences of violence. Forms of secondary victimization may include repeated interviews, victim-blaming questions, unnecessary confrontation with

offenders, disclosure of victims' identities, delays in legal proceedings, and treatment that is insensitive to victims' psychological conditions. From a criminal law perspective, these circumstances demonstrate that victim protection cannot be achieved solely through the punishment of offenders but must also include guarantees that all stages of the criminal justice process do not inflict additional harm upon victims. Accordingly, the protection of child victims extends beyond safeguarding them from the initial criminal act and encompasses protection from adverse consequences that may arise throughout legal proceedings.

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization illustrates how the criminal justice system itself may become a source of additional suffering when legal procedures and case-handling mechanisms are not conducted in a manner that is responsive to victims' needs. This phenomenon presents a significant challenge for modern criminal justice systems because the objectives of law enforcement extend beyond the punishment of offenders to include the protection of victims. In cases involving child victims of violence, the failure to provide adequate protection during legal proceedings may conflict with the principle of the best interests of the child, which has been recognized in both national and international legal instruments. Therefore, the prevention of secondary victimization constitutes an integral component of legal protection for child victims within the criminal justice system. As a response to these concerns, the concept of child-friendly justice has emerged, positioning children as rights holders who are entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. The development of this concept reflects criticism of conventional justice approaches that tend to prioritize evidentiary and procedural objectives over the needs and protection of children. The Council of Europe (2011) defines child-friendly justice as a justice system that guarantees respect for children's rights while taking into account their age, maturity, needs, and psychological condition. A child-friendly justice system must ensure effective access to justice, protection from discrimination, respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation in proceedings affecting them, and protection from re-victimization throughout the legal process. This concept is based on the understanding that children possess specific needs that require treatment different from that accorded to adults at every stage of legal proceedings.

Accordingly, child-friendly justice encompasses not only the provision of child-friendly physical facilities but also procedures, institutional mechanisms, and the conduct of law enforcement officials that are oriented toward the protection of children's rights. A child-centered protective approach is also consistent with social control theory and restorative approaches, which emphasize the importance of social support in preventing deviant behavior, and strengthening children's reintegration into society (Pertiwi & Sajjima, 2022). Consistent with this principle, the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) affirms that every child capable of forming their own views has the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting them, and that such views must be given due weight according to the child's age and maturity. Furthermore, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2009) explains that the protection of child victims may be enhanced through interview techniques that minimize repeated questioning, professional assistance throughout legal proceedings, protection of victims' identities, the use of child-friendly interview facilities, and procedures tailored to children's specific needs. Collectively, these principles demonstrate that child-friendly justice functions as a legal protection mechanism aimed at preventing the adverse consequences arising from children's involvement in the criminal justice system.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 18 requires law enforcement officials to prioritize the best interests of the child and to promote a family-oriented atmosphere in handling cases involving children. In addition, various provisions of the Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law emphasize the protection of children's rights, assistance throughout legal proceedings, humane treatment, protection from stigma, and

the fulfillment of children's needs while they are involved in legal processes. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims has become an integral component of Indonesia's criminal law policy, reflecting a commitment to human rights and the best interests of the child.

Nevertheless, the implementation of these principles continues to face significant challenges. Fitriani (2016) notes that child protection in practice is often hindered by limited supporting facilities, inadequate inter-agency coordination, and the suboptimal implementation of child protection policies. Moreover, the uneven availability of child-friendly interview facilities, the shortage of professional support personnel, and variations in the capacity of law enforcement officials to handle cases involving children reveal a gap between the normative legal framework and its practical implementation. These conditions indicate that the existence of legal regulations alone does not automatically guarantee effective protection for child victims of violence throughout criminal proceedings.

Previous studies have extensively examined the protection of child victims of violence, children's rights within the criminal justice system, the impact of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice. Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020), for example, explored various forms of secondary victimization experienced by child victims during criminal proceedings. Likewise, the Council of Europe (2011) and the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) emphasized the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles to safeguard children's rights throughout legal processes. However, studies that specifically analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice as a mechanism for preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the Indonesian criminal justice system remain limited. Most existing research addresses these two concepts separately, leaving insufficient analysis of the relationship between the implementation of child-friendly justice and efforts to prevent secondary victimization within an integrated analytical framework. The novelty of this study lies in reconceptualizing child-friendly justice not merely as a general child-protection principle, but as a preventive legal framework for reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Unlike previous studies that primarily discuss child-friendly justice and secondary victimization as separate issues, this study integrates both concepts within a single analytical framework to examine how child-friendly justice safeguards function throughout the criminal justice process. Furthermore, this study contributes to the literature by assessing the consistency between international child-friendly justice standards and Indonesian legal regulations, while identifying specific procedural safeguards that support a victim-centered approach to the protection and recovery of child victims.

This gap in the literature highlights the need for a more comprehensive examination of how child-friendly justice principles, as incorporated into national legal instruments, are implemented within the criminal justice system and the extent to which their implementation provides protection against secondary victimization for child victims of violence. Such an analysis is important because the effectiveness of legal protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on their capacity to provide tangible safeguards for victims. Based on this research gap, the present study aims to ~~analyze~~ the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence.

METHOD

This study employs a normative legal research method aimed at analyzing the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system as a means of preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Normative legal research is appropriate because the focus of the study lies in examining legal norms, legal principles,

 Asus
The Art of Computer

The legal analysis is not complete in detail. The writer only considers criminal, common law, and equitable functions; he does not explain how those groups are completed.

child protection doctrines, and concepts related to victim protection within the criminal justice system. According to Marzuki (2021), normative legal research views law as a set of norms and rules governing human conduct, with its primary object of analysis being legislation, legal principles, and legal doctrines. Similarly, Ibrahim (2019) argues that normative legal research seeks to identify legal arguments, legal principles, and legal concepts that provide a foundation for addressing the legal issues under examination.

This study adopts both a statutory approach and a conceptual approach. The statutory approach is employed to examine legal instruments governing child protection and the criminal justice system, including the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection, Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System, and relevant international legal instruments such as the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on Child-Friendly Justice. According to Marzuki (2021), the statutory approach involves a systematic examination of all legal regulations relevant to the legal issue under study in order to assess the consistency and coherence of the applicable legal framework. Meanwhile, the conceptual approach is utilized to ~~analyze~~ the concepts of child-friendly justice, secondary victimization, victim protection, and the principle of the best interests of the child as the theoretical foundation of the research. This approach is essential for understanding the meaning, scope, and interrelationship of concepts developed within legal scholarship and child protection studies (Ibrahim, 2019).

The study relies exclusively on secondary data consisting of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. Primary legal materials include legislation and international legal instruments relating to child protection and the criminal justice system. Secondary legal materials consist of books, national and international journal articles, previous research findings, reports issued by governmental institutions and international organizations, as well as other scholarly publications relevant to the research topic. Tertiary legal materials include legal dictionaries, ~~encyclopedias~~, and other reference sources used to support the interpretation of legal concepts and terminology. This classification of legal materials is consistent with the framework proposed by Soekanto and Mamudji (2018), who distinguish legal research sources according to their function and level of authority in providing legal information.

Data were collected through library research by identifying, compiling, and reviewing legal materials and scholarly literature relevant to the focus of the study. Library research was selected because this study is oriented toward the examination of legal norms, legal doctrines, and previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. The collected materials were subsequently classified according to key themes, namely the protection of child victims of violence, secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system.

The data were ~~analyzed~~ qualitatively using a descriptive-analytical method. According to Soekanto and Mamudji (2018), qualitative analysis in legal research involves interpreting and correlating legal provisions, doctrines, and scholarly opinions to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the legal issue under investigation. The analysis was conducted through the identification of relevant legal norms, legal interpretation, comparison of national and international legal instruments, and evaluation of previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence. Furthermore, the study examined the extent to which the existing normative framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles within the Indonesian Criminal Justice System

The principle of child-friendly justice represents an approach that positions children as rights holders entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. This concept emerged from the recognition that children possess distinct needs and vulnerabilities compared to adults and therefore require special treatment at every stage of the justice process. The approach emphasizes respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation, protection of privacy, and the fulfillment of children's rights when interacting with legal institutions. Kilkelly and Playster (2023) explain that child-friendly justice is an approach that places children's rights, participation, and best interests at the center of all stages of judicial proceedings.

Within the Indonesian context, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into various legal instruments, particularly Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These regulations establish various forms of protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. Apilianda et al. (2025) argue that the development of child law in Indonesia reflects a gradual shift from an offender-oriented approach toward one that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of child victims within the justice process.

Table 1. Principles of Child-Friendly Justice

Principle	Legal Basis	Current Implementation	Implementation Gap
Best interests of the child	Law No. 35 of 2014 and Law No. 11 of 2012	Serves as the primary basis for the treatment of child victims	Lack of uniform implementation standards
Child participation	Law No. 11 of 2012	Children are provided opportunities to express their views	Children's views are not always given primary consideration
Privacy protection	Law No. 35 of 2014	Publication of children's identities is prohibited	Cases of identity disclosure continue to occur
Assistance and support	Law No. 11 of 2012	Assistance provided by parents, social workers, or psychologists	Limited availability of professional support personnel
Child-friendly interviews	Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law and related technical regulations	Available in certain regions	Uneven implementation across Indonesia

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 1 demonstrates that Indonesia has normatively adopted various child-friendly justice principles within its criminal justice system. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. However, Nugraha et al. (2025) indicate that although the legal framework governing child protection is relatively comprehensive, the practical implementation of child protection principles continues to face significant challenges, resulting in the incomplete realization of the intended protective objectives.

The findings further reveal that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles remains suboptimal. One of the principal challenges is the unequal availability of child-friendly interview and examination facilities across different regions. Such facilities constitute an essential prerequisite for creating a safe and supportive environment for child victims participating in legal proceedings. Furthermore, disparities remain in the capacity and competence of law enforcement officials responsible for handling cases involving child victims of violence. Pinandito and Sugibarto (2023) found that institutional capacity and the quality of implementation are among the most significant factors influencing the effectiveness of child protection



Asus

Original content of this document is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The license allows others to copy, distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the work, under the condition you credit the creator(s). The license also permits others to distribute copies of the work, under the condition you credit the creator(s). The license also permits others to make commercial use of the work, under the condition you credit the creator(s).

within the justice system. Recent evidence from Indonesia further illustrates these implementation challenges. Sualang et al. (2026) reported that child victims of sexual violence may still experience repeated examinations during the legal process, which can increase the risk of re-victimization and psychological distress. The study also highlights continuing challenges in providing adequate psychological assistance and victim-centered protection throughout criminal proceedings. These findings demonstrate that, despite the existence of comprehensive legal protections, significant gaps remain between the normative framework of child-friendly justice and its implementation in practice.

These findings suggest that the primary obstacles to implementing child-friendly justice in Indonesia are not regulatory in nature but rather relate to institutional capacity and supporting resources. This indicates that the effectiveness of child protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on the ability of justice institutions to translate those norms into practices that genuinely respond to children's needs. Lubis (2025) argues that the success of the juvenile justice system is strongly influenced by institutional capacity, inter-agency coordination, and the ability of law enforcement personnel to apply approaches that prioritize the best interests of the child.

Another challenge concerns the limited availability of professional support personnel, including psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates with specialized expertise in handling child victims. As a result, not all child victims receive adequate psychosocial assistance throughout legal proceedings. In addition, evidentiary considerations continue to dominate criminal proceedings, often outweighing approaches focused on victim recovery and protection. Apriyandita (2025) notes that the Indonesian juvenile justice system still requires stronger mechanisms that enable the voices and experiences of victims to receive greater consideration during judicial proceedings. This condition suggests that strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice should involve not only regulatory improvements but also a broader paradigm shift toward a justice system that prioritizes the protection and recovery of child victims. Chandra (2023) further explains that restorative approaches within the juvenile justice system can reinforce victim protection and recovery while maintaining the objectives of criminal law enforcement.

b. The Role of Child-Friendly Justice in Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization refers to the additional harm experienced by victims as a result of responses received after the commission of a criminal offense, whether from the social environment or from institutions responsible for handling the case. In the context of child victims of violence, secondary victimization may occur when legal processes that are intended to provide protection instead generate new psychological distress for victims. Such circumstances may arise through repeated interviews, treatment that is insensitive to the condition of the child, or inadequate protection of the victim's psychological needs throughout legal proceedings. Apriyandita et al. (2025) argue that the protection of child victims extends beyond law enforcement measures against offenders and includes efforts to prevent secondary harms that may worsen victims' conditions during the justice process.

Table 2. Forms of Secondary Victimization

Form of Secondary Victimization	Manifestation in Legal Proceedings	Impact on Children
Repeated interviews	Children are required to recount their experiences at multiple stages of proceedings	Re-traumatization
Victim blaming	Questions or statements that imply responsibility on the part of the victim	Feelings of shame and guilt

Confrontation with the offender	Direct encounters with or exposure to the offender during proceedings	Fear and anxiety
Delayed legal proceedings	Prolonged case processing	Long-term stress
Lack of professional support	Insufficient psychological and social assistance	Obstacles to recovery
Disclosure of identity	Victims' identities become publicly known	Social stigma and discrimination

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

As illustrated in Table 2, secondary victimization may arise in various forms throughout criminal proceedings. One of the most common forms is repeated interviewing, which requires child victims to repeatedly recount traumatic experiences to different actors at various stages of the justice process. Such circumstances may reactivate traumatic memories and hinder victims' recovery. Nugraha et al. (2025) demonstrate that legal procedures that fail to consider children's psychological conditions may increase vulnerability to prolonged trauma and impede post-crime recovery.

In addition to repeated interviews, victim-blaming practices may produce serious psychological consequences for child victims. Questions, comments, or attitudes that implicitly place responsibility on victims can generate feelings of shame and guilt while undermining their self-esteem. As a consequence, victims may become reluctant to disclose their experiences openly and may lose trust in the justice system. Putri (2023) found that victims' experiences during legal proceedings are significantly influenced by the quality of protection provided by judicial institutions and by the approaches adopted by law enforcement officials.

Another form of secondary victimization involves direct confrontation between victims and offenders during legal proceedings. For child victims of violence, particularly sexual violence, encounters with offenders may revive feelings of fear, anxiety, and insecurity associated with the original victimization. Consequently, victim protection extends beyond evidentiary considerations and includes efforts to create a safe environment throughout the justice process. Lubis (2025) argues that effective child protection must minimize psychological pressure and guarantee victims' safety while they participate in legal proceedings.

Furthermore, prolonged legal proceedings and inadequate psychosocial support may increase the risk of secondary victimization. When victims are required to wait extended periods for case resolution without receiving sufficient assistance, psychological recovery becomes increasingly difficult. This condition demonstrates that victim protection requires a comprehensive and continuous approach. Bachmid (2025) emphasizes that juvenile justice systems should integrate legal protection with psychosocial support in order to achieve the objectives of child protection more effectively.

From a victimological standpoint, these various forms of secondary victimization indicate that criminal justice processes may themselves become sources of additional suffering when adequate protective mechanisms are absent. Therefore, the effectiveness of a criminal justice system should not be measured solely by its capacity to investigate crimes and punish offenders, but also by its ability to provide protection, support, and recovery for victims. These findings suggest that victim protection must become an integral component of the juvenile criminal justice system to ensure that legal proceedings do not produce consequences that are contrary to the fundamental objectives of child protection.

The analysis further demonstrates that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles plays a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Child-friendly interview procedures, protection of privacy and confidentiality, professional assistance, child-sensitive communication, and the availability of child-friendly facilities collectively contribute to reducing the risk of re-traumatization during legal proceedings. By

ensuring that children are treated with dignity and respect throughout the justice process, child-friendly justice functions not only as a mechanism for protecting children's rights but also as an effective strategy for minimizing the harmful consequences associated with their involvement in criminal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of efforts to prevent secondary victimization and to promote a more victim-oriented criminal justice system.

Table 3. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice

Strategy	Implementation Objective	Contribution
Child-friendly interview rooms	Creating a safe environment	Reducing victims' anxiety
Single interview approach	Avoiding repeated examinations	Reducing re-traumatization
Psychological assistance	Providing emotional support	Facilitating victims' recovery
Examination by trained personnel	Adapting communication to children's needs	Reducing psychological distress
Audiovisual technology	Minimizing direct contact with offenders	Preventing re-victimization
Identity protection	Safeguarding children's privacy	Preventing social stigma

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 3 demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice make a significant contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. The various strategies incorporated within this approach are intended to ensure that legal proceedings do not generate additional psychological distress for victims. Saputri et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs are capable of enhancing the effectiveness of legal protection while simultaneously reducing the risk of further psychological harm during legal proceedings.

One of the most important strategies is the provision of child-friendly interview rooms designed to create a safe and supportive environment for victims. Interview settings that do not resemble formal interrogation rooms enable children to recount their experiences more calmly and openly. Such conditions help reduce anxiety and improve the quality of information obtained during interviews. Psychological safety constitutes an important factor that enables children to feel more comfortable in disclosing their experiences to individuals responsible for providing assistance and protection (Pertiwi et al., 2026). Nurpala and Hanapi (2023) further emphasize that the provision of child-friendly facilities and mechanisms forms an essential component of a justice system oriented toward the protection of children's rights.

Another strategy with substantial preventive value is the implementation of the single interview approach. This method aims to reduce the need for repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. Through effective inter-agency coordination and adequate documentation mechanisms, information provided by child victims can be utilized more efficiently without requiring them to repeatedly recount the same traumatic experience. Muliani et al. (2023) demonstrate that the simplification of procedures involving child victims, combined with enhanced inter-institutional coordination, contributes significantly to improving the quality of child protection throughout legal proceedings.

Psychological assistance also plays a critical role in helping victims cope with emotional pressures during legal proceedings. The involvement of psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates can assist children in understanding the legal process while simultaneously providing the emotional support necessary to navigate it. Such assistance serves not only as a protective mechanism but also as an integral part of victims' recovery. Sutanto and Rahaditya (2024) argue that effective child protection requires a multidisciplinary approach involving various professional actors to ensure that victims' needs are addressed comprehensively.

Furthermore, examinations conducted by personnel who possess specialized expertise in communicating with children can reduce the likelihood of victim-blaming questions or other forms of insensitive treatment. An understanding of child psychological development enables professionals to adopt communication approaches that are appropriate to the child's age and circumstances, thereby creating a safer and more supportive interview process. Professional competence therefore constitutes a crucial factor in ensuring that legal proceedings do not result in secondary victimization.

The use of audiovisual technology also contributes significantly to the prevention of secondary victimization. Mechanisms such as video-recorded testimony and remote participation allow children to provide information without having to face offenders directly. Such measures help reduce fear, anxiety, and emotional distress while maintaining the evidentiary value of victims' statements. Similarly, the protection of victims' identities plays a vital role in safeguarding children from social stigma, discrimination, and unwanted public exposure that may arise from criminal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes substantially to reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. These findings reinforce the view that a victim-centered approach within the criminal justice system enhances both the quality of legal protection and the effectiveness of victim recovery. Senandi and Krey (2024) emphasize that the success of a juvenile justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to resolve cases, but also by its capacity to ensure the protection, well-being, and recovery of children involved in legal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of criminal justice reform aimed at safeguarding child victims and preventing re-victimization throughout the legal process.



Figure 1. Child-Friendly Justice Implementation Model

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between child victims of violence, criminal justice processes, the risk of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles. The model demonstrates that the involvement of child victims in legal proceedings may generate additional psychological consequences when judicial processes are conducted without adequate consideration of the victims' needs and circumstances. Such risks arise because children are often required to engage with legal procedures that may reactivate traumatic experiences associated with the original victimization. Nurpala and Hanapi (2023) argue that

the protection of children involved in the justice system should be oriented toward preventing adverse consequences that may disrupt their psychological and social development. This perspective is consistent with the findings of Pertiwi et al. (2025), who demonstrate that psychosocial factors and individual characteristics play a significant role in child and adolescent development, thereby requiring child protection measures to be implemented through integrated legal, familial, and social approaches.

The model further indicates that child-friendly justice functions as a protective mechanism capable of mitigating the adverse effects associated with legal proceedings. Components such as professional assistance, child-friendly interview rooms, single interview procedures, identity protection, and the involvement of specially trained personnel constitute interventions designed to prevent re-victimization. Saputri et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs contribute significantly to enhancing the effectiveness of the juvenile justice system while simultaneously strengthening the protection afforded to victims.

The inclusion of psychological assistance within the model demonstrates that legal protection for child victims extends beyond purely legal considerations and encompasses psychological and social dimensions. Assistance provided throughout legal proceedings enables victims to better understand the process they are undergoing, reduces anxiety, and strengthens their capacity to cope with judicial proceedings. Muhsarak (2023) argues that a comprehensive child protection framework must integrate legal, psychological, and social dimensions in order to address victims' needs effectively.

In addition, the implementation of the single interview approach depicted in the model reflects efforts to reduce repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. This strategy enables information provided by victims to be utilized more effectively without requiring them to repeatedly relive traumatic experiences during multiple stages of legal proceedings. Ghoni and Pujiono (2020) found that simplifying procedures in cases involving children contributes to improving the quality of protection while minimizing adverse consequences arising from legal processes.

The protection of victims' identities also constitutes a crucial component of the child-friendly justice implementation model. Confidentiality serves not only to safeguard children's privacy but also to prevent social stigma, discrimination, and social pressures that may hinder recovery. Nova and Afrizal (2023) emphasize that identity protection forms an integral part of the rights guaranteed to children and must be ensured by the state throughout judicial proceedings.

The model also has important practical implications for child protection policies and justice practices in Indonesia. First, it highlights the need for policymakers to strengthen the availability of child-friendly interview rooms and integrated support services across all regions to ensure equal access to protection for child victims. Second, the model supports the wider implementation of single-interview procedures and specialized training programs for police officers, prosecutors, judges, and other professionals involved in handling child victims. Third, the model underscores the importance of strengthening inter-agency coordination among law enforcement institutions, social service agencies, psychologists, and child protection organizations to ensure that legal proceedings are conducted in a manner that prioritizes victim recovery and minimizes the risk of secondary victimization. These measures may contribute to the development of a more victim-centered and child-sensitive criminal justice system.

Based on the model presented in Figure 1, it can be understood that child-friendly justice functions not only as an instrument of legal protection but also as a preventive mechanism against secondary victimization that is oriented toward victim recovery. Accordingly, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to impose sanctions on offenders but also by its capacity to provide protection, security, and recovery support for child victims of violence. Ingratubun et al. (2023) emphasize

that an effective juvenile justice system must be capable of balancing the objectives of law enforcement with the protection of children's rights and the realization of the best interests of the child.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice play a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. From a normative perspective, various child protection principles have been incorporated into Indonesian legislation, particularly through Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring the protection, participation, and fulfillment of children's rights throughout legal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes significantly to reducing the risk of secondary victimization through a range of protective mechanisms, including the provision of child-friendly interview rooms, the application of single interview procedures, psychological assistance, protection of victims' identities, and the involvement of personnel with specialized expertise in handling cases involving children. These mechanisms serve to minimize the psychological distress that may arise during legal proceedings while simultaneously supporting victims' recovery.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation continues to be influenced by several challenges, particularly those related to institutional capacity, the availability of qualified human resources, supporting facilities, and inter-agency coordination. Therefore, efforts to strengthen the implementation of child-friendly justice should be pursued continuously to ensure that the criminal justice system is not solely oriented toward the prosecution and punishment of offenders, but is also capable of providing effective protection and recovery for child victims of violence.

This study contributes to the development of legal scholarship by reaffirming that child-friendly justice constitutes an essential instrument for building a criminal justice system that is more responsive to children's needs and best interests while simultaneously promoting the prevention of secondary victimization. Accordingly, the integration of child-friendly justice principles into criminal justice practices should be regarded as a fundamental component of victim-oriented justice and the broader protection of children's rights. Future research may complement this normative analysis by employing empirical approaches to evaluate the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation across different regions of Indonesia, particularly with regard to the experiences of child victims, the capacity of justice institutions, and the impact of protective measures on preventing secondary victimization. Such studies may provide valuable evidence for strengthening child protection policies and improving justice practices for child victims.

REFERENCES

- Apriyandita, N., Asyari, A., Maharam, F., Eerdiya, A., & Solehuddin. (2025). Re-conceptualizing child victim rights: A normative and comparative analysis of victim impact statement in Indonesia's juvenile justice system. *Jambaya Law Review*, 7(2), 442–467. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i2.30132>
- Bachriadi, A. A. (2025). *Perlindungan anak dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak*. *Juris Studia: Jurnal Kajian Hukum*.
- Campbell, R. (2005). What really happened? A validation study of rape survivors' help-seeking experiences with the legal and medical systems. *Violence and Victims*, 20(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1891/vivi.20.1.55>



ASAC

The *Association of Southeast Asian Criminal Justice Administrators* (ASAC) is a regional organization dedicated to promoting and improving the criminal justice systems of its member states.

- Chandra, T. Y. (2023). Penerapan restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Al-Ma'alah: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Pranata Sosial*, 11(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.30868/am.v1i01.3827>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2009). *General Comment No. 12 (2009): The right of the child to be heard* (CRC/C/GC/12). United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/4ae562c52.html>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2013). *General Comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration* (CRC/C/GC/14). United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/51a84b5e4.html>
- Council of Europe. (2011). *Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on child-friendly justice*. Council of Europe Publishing. <https://rm.coe.int/16804b2cf3>
- Einkelhor, D., Turner, H., LaSelva, D., & Green, A. (2020). Lifetime victimization and adversity among children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 107, 104602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chabu.2020.104602>
- Fitriani, R. (2016). Peranan penyelenggara perlindungan anak dalam melindungi dan memenuhi hak-hak anak. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, 11(2), 250–258. <https://ejournalumsam.id/index.php/jhsk/article/view/42>
- Ghoni, M. R., & Pujiyono. (2020). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berhadapan dengan hukum melalui implementasi diversifikasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 2(3), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v2i3.331-342>
- Goodman-Brown, T. B., Edelstein, R. S., Goodman, G. S., Jones, D. P. H., & Gordon, D. S. (2003). Why children tell: A model of children's disclosure of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(5), 525–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(03\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(03)00037-1)
- Ibrahim, I. (2019). *Teori dan metodologi penelitian hukum normatif*. Bayumedia Publishing.
- Ingratubun, Y., Toule, E. R. M., & Wadjo, H. Z. (2023). Diversi dalam penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Pattimura Legal Journal*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.47268/pela.v2i3.10676>
- Lubis, M. A. (2025). Comparative implementation of child protection law between Indonesia and the Netherlands: A study of juvenile justice system. *Meta Hukum: Jurnal Hukum dan Kemasaraklatan*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.47652/meta hukum.v4i3.890>
- Marzuki, P. M. (2021). *Penelitian hukum* (Edisi revisi). Kencana.
- Kilkelly, U., & Playster, S. (2023). Rights of the child in the child justice system. *Youth Justice*, 23(2), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14732254231185820>
- Mubarak, N. (2023). Perlindungan hukum anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam perspektif fiqh jinayah. *Al-Jinayah: Jurnal Hukum Pidana Islam*, 9(2), 195–218. <https://doi.org/10.15642/aj.2023.9.2.195-218>
- Muliani, S., Kasim, A., Ahmad, J., & Nonci, N. (2023). Reformulasi syarat pelaksanaan diversifikasi dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 5(2), 358–373. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v5i2.358-373>
- Nova, E., & Afizal, R. (2023). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak. *UNES Journal of Swara Justisia*, 6(4), 480–493. <https://doi.org/10.31933/ujsi.v6i4.298>
- Nugraha, A. S., Mahmutarova, & Prakoso, A. P. (2025). Effectiveness of implementing restorative justice in resolution of child crimes. *International Journal of Law Reconstruction*, 9(2), 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.26532/ijlr.v9i2.48901>
- Nurmalia, L. D., & Hapari, Y. (2023). Kajian perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia*, 3(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.35912/ijhham.v3i1.1801>

- Orth, U. (2002). Secondary victimization of crime victims by criminal proceedings. *Social Justice Research*, 15(4), 313–325. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021210323461>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., & Saputra, I. D. S. (2022). The role of social control and optimization of restorative justice policy on juvenile offending. *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan*, 11(1), 109–133. <https://doi.org/10.25216/jhp.11.1.2022.109-133>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Murnini, A., & Saputra, R. (2026). Family as a safe space: A descriptive examination of psychological safety, parenting practices, and parent-child emotional relationships. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary*, 4(4). <https://greenpub.org/IJAM/article/view/1883>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Hutahasan, E. S. H., Murnini, A., & Reza, I. F. (2025). Deviant behavior in religious schools: The interplay of psychological safety, sanctions, and academic consequences. <https://journal.staibulbulwathan.id/index.php/alishlah/article/view/6491>
- Purandito, R. A., & Sugiharto, R. (2023). Legal protection for children in conflict with the law through virtual courts: Implementation of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Jurnal Akta*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.30659/akta.v10i1.30027>
- Putri, D. D. P. (2023). Sounding the justice for child: Does restorative justice matters? *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 4(3), 303–324. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.v4i3.68106>
- Republik Indonesia. (1945). *Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945*. <https://www.dpr.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2012). *Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2014). *Undang-Undang Nomor 35 Tahun 2014 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 23 Tahun 2002 tentang Perlindungan Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Saputo, R. M., Wahyuni, F., & Muhsin, M. (2024). Analisis kebijakan dalam hukum pidana perlindungan anak pada pembaruan sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Selodang Mayang*, 10(2), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.47521/selodangmayang.v10i2.407>
- Senandi, W. A. A., & Krey, T. H. M. Y. (2024). Analisis tentang penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana. *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*. <https://doi.org/10.55551/jip.v6i1.430>
- Soekanto, S., & Marnudji, S. (2018). *Penelitian hukum normatif: Suatu tinjauan singkat*. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Sutanto, P., & Rahaditya, R. (2024). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak sebagai pelaku tindak pidana dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *UNES Law Review*, 6(4), 10361–10367. <https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i4.2022>
- United Nations. (1989). *Convention on the Rights of the Child*. United Nations Treaty Series, 1577, 3. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-child>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2009). *Justice in matters involving child victims and witnesses of crime: Model law and related commentary*. United Nations. https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/hb_justice_in_matters.pdf
- Van Niekerk, S., & Coetzee, L. (2020). Secondary victimisation of child victims in the criminal justice system. *Child Abuse Research in South Africa*, 21(1), 20–31.
- Walklate, S. (2018). *Victimology: The victim and the criminal justice process* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Wemmers, J. A. (2018). *Victims in the criminal justice system*. Routledge.

**5. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Revisi Kedua,
Respon kepada Reviewer,
dan Artikel yang Diresubmit
(24 Juni 2026)**

3. Submit manuscript yang telah direvisi berdasarkan rekomendasi dari peninjau B

Revisions

Q Search

Upload File

7169 REVISI 2-Implementation+of+Child-Friendly+Justice+Principles revisi.docx

June 24,
2026

Article Text

<https://research.e-greenation.org/GJLSS>

Vol. 4, No. 2, June 2026



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/gjilss.v4i2>
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence.

Abstract: Child victims of violence involved in the criminal justice system are vulnerable to secondary victimization due to various legal procedures that may cause psychological distress and re-traumatization. This condition highlights the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles as a means of safeguarding children throughout legal proceedings. This study aims to ~~analyze~~ *examine* the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. This study contributes to the literature by ~~examining~~ *examining* child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and by linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Research data were collected through library research, encompassing legislation, international legal instruments, scholarly literature, and relevant previous studies. Data were ~~analyzed~~ *analyzed* qualitatively through interpretation, comparison, and evaluation of legal provisions and concepts related to child protection. The findings indicate that child-friendly justice principles have been incorporated into various national regulations; however, their implementation continues to face challenges, including limited child-friendly facilities, inadequate professional competence among law enforcement officials, and insufficient support services. The application of child-friendly interview rooms, single-interview procedures, psychological assistance, identity protection, and the involvement of trained personnel has been shown to contribute to reducing the risk of secondary victimization. Therefore, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles is essential to establishing a criminal justice system that is more responsive to the protection and recovery of child victims of violence.

Keyword: Child-Friendly Justice, Secondary Victimization, Child Victims of Violence, Criminal Justice System, Child Protection

INTRODUCTION

Children occupy a strategic position in national development, as the quality of the younger generation determines the sustainability of a country's social, economic, and legal systems in the future. Therefore, child protection constitutes a state responsibility that is not only moral in nature but also legal. Constitutionally, Article 28B paragraph (2) of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia affirms that every child has the right to survival.

Assess
The title format does not violate the copyright law. The paragraph format does violate the copyright law. Please use the paragraph format to write your answers and questions.

And great my

Thank you for your valuable comments. The color separating has been changed to white with the same color ink. In addition, the content of the legend is more clearly defined.

Asus
The slimmest format is not quite as good as the best of the others. It's a bit more expensive than the other two, and it's not as good as the other two.

Ang gres my

Thanks to this partnership concept, the almost
limitless has been changed to useful, within the
partnership, including the use of other
resources.

Asus
The keyword forgetting is also not encouraging. Unless the keyword is used, the brain will not store the information. So, the keyword is used to store the information. So, the keyword is used to store the information.

Ang gres my

Thank you for your valuable comment. The keyword generating has been changed to synon with the keyword template, including the use of titles, descriptions.

Asus
What coronavirus is in the system, how and where, the user
The system only when WHO and coronavirus is available, while
system data, coronavirus is not available.

Ang gres my

[illegible]

growth and development, and protection from violence and discrimination. This constitutional guarantee demonstrates that child protection forms an integral part of the fulfillment of human rights, which the state is obligated to uphold. This commitment is consistent with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which recognizes children as rights holders entitled to protection and respect for their dignity. The United Nations (1989) affirms that every child is entitled to the protection and care necessary for their well-being. Furthermore, Article 3(1) of the CRC provides that in all actions concerning children, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration. The Committee on the Rights of the Child (2013) explains that the principle of the best interests of the child serves not only as a general principle of child protection but also as a substantive right, an interpretative legal principle, and a procedural rule that must be applied in all decisions affecting children. Accordingly, child protection should not be understood solely as a state obligation to prevent violations of children's rights, but also as a responsibility to ensure that all policies and actions concerning children are guided by and oriented toward the best interests of the child. This constitutional and international commitment, however, stands against an empirical reality that remains alarming. The National Survey on Life Experiences of Children and Adolescents (SNPHAR), jointly conducted by the Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection (Kemen PPPA) and Statistics Indonesia (BPS) in 2024, found that 50.78 percent of children aged 13–17 years in Indonesia had experienced at least one form of violence during their lifetime (Kemen PPPA, 2024). This figure indicates that, statistically, one in every two Indonesian children has been exposed to violence, a condition that places the constitutional guarantee of protection from violence under serious strain.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principle of child protection is implemented through Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. Article 59 paragraph (1) stipulates that the central government, regional governments, and other state institutions are obligated to provide special protection to children in vulnerable situations, including child victims of criminal offenses and violence. Furthermore, Article 59A provides that such special protection shall be carried out through prompt intervention, psychosocial assistance, social support, and protection and assistance at every stage of judicial proceedings. In addition, Article 64 guarantees that children in conflict with the law are entitled to humane treatment, legal and social assistance, protection from stigma and discrimination, and the fulfillment of their rights throughout the legal process. Fitriani (2016) argues that the fulfillment of children's rights is not solely the responsibility of the state but also requires the active involvement of families and communities to ensure children's optimal growth and development. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims of violence is not merely oriented toward the punishment of offenders but also toward the comprehensive protection and recovery of victims.

From the perspective of modern criminal law, crime victims are no longer viewed merely as sources of evidence in the process of proving criminal offenses, but rather as legal subjects entitled to protection, recovery, and access to justice. Developments in victimological scholarship have demonstrated a shift in the orientation of criminal justice systems from an offender-oriented approach toward a victim-oriented approach that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of victims. Consequently, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system is measured not only by the state's ability to detect criminal conduct and impose sanctions on offenders, but also by its capacity to provide effective protection for victims. In the context of child victims of violence, such protection requires special consideration, as children constitute a vulnerable group that requires treatment different from that afforded to adult victims. The involvement of children in criminal proceedings is often unavoidable because their testimony frequently serves as crucial evidence in establishing criminal liability. Nevertheless, legal procedures that fail to take into account the circumstances and needs of children may result in additional harm to victims. Therefore, modern criminal law requires

protective mechanisms capable of ensuring respect for children's rights throughout the criminal justice process.

Despite the existence of comprehensive national and international legal frameworks for child protection, violence against children remains a persistent problem. This condition reflects a gap between the protection guaranteed by law and the protection actually experienced by child victims. The World Health Organization (2022) reports that exposure to violence during childhood is associated with an increased risk of mental health disorders, social adjustment difficulties, risk-taking behaviors, and various psychosocial problems later in life. These findings are supported by Finkelhor, et al. (2020), who found that childhood exposure to violence has long-term consequences for psychological well-being, interpersonal relationships, and overall quality of life. These global findings are mirrored in the national context. Data from the Online Information System for the Protection of Women and Children (SIMFONI-PPA), managed by Kemen PPPA, recorded 19,628 cases of violence against children in 2024 alone, affecting 21,648 child victims (Kemen PPPA, 2025). This figure represents a continuing upward trend from 14,446 cases in 2021, 16,106 cases in 2022, and 18,175 cases in 2023, indicating that the problem has not subsided despite the existing regulatory framework. A similar pattern is reflected in the annual report of the Indonesian Commission for Child Protection (KPAI), which received 2,057 complaints of child-rights violations throughout 2024. Cases related to family care and parenting disputes were the most frequently reported category (1,097 cases), followed by sexual violence against children (265 cases) and physical or psychological abuse (240 cases). Notably, the majority of perpetrators identified in these cases were the victims own biological parents, with fathers implicated in 259 cases and mothers in 173 cases (KPAI, 2025). These figures demonstrate that, in the Indonesian context, violence against children is not an isolated phenomenon but a recurring pattern most often occurring within the family environment that the law is specifically designed to protect. Such impacts indicate that violence against children should not be regarded solely as a matter of criminality, but also as an issue of child rights protection and public welfare. Accordingly, the protection of child victims of violence cannot be limited to the prosecution and punishment of offenders; it must also encompass measures that ensure the comprehensive recovery of victims. The recovery process is further influenced by the quality of caregiving environments and the sense of psychological security provided within the family. A supportive family environment serves as a protective factor that helps children cope with traumatic experiences and facilitates post-violence recovery (Pertiwi, Nurwani, & Saputra, 2026).

Another consequence of the high prevalence of violence against children is the increasing involvement of children in the criminal justice system as victims or witnesses of criminal offenses. In criminal proceedings, victims' testimonies frequently constitute important evidence for establishing the facts of a case and determining the offender's criminal responsibility. As a result, child victims are often required to participate in various stages of the legal process, including investigation, prosecution, and trial. While such involvement forms an essential part of law enforcement and the search for material truth, it may simultaneously place children in vulnerable situations when legal procedures fail to consider their psychological condition and special needs as victims.

Under Indonesian positive law, the protection of child victims within criminal proceedings is regulated by Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 90 grants child victims and child witnesses the right to receive medical rehabilitation, social rehabilitation, security protection, and access to information regarding the progress of their cases. This provision demonstrates that the criminal justice system functions not only as a mechanism for enforcing criminal liability against offenders but also as an instrument for protecting victims. Nevertheless, numerous studies have shown that victim-insensitive procedures may generate significant psychological distress. Goodman-Brown et al. (2003)

explain that investigative and examination procedures that fail to consider the victim's condition may exacerbate the trauma experienced by child victims. Similarly, Campbell (2005) found that victims' interactions with legal institutions significantly influence their psychological recovery. This situation creates a paradox within the criminal justice system, whereby mechanisms intended to provide protection may instead become sources of additional suffering for victims.

In victimological scholarship, this phenomenon is commonly referred to as secondary victimization. Orth (2002) defines secondary victimization as the additional suffering experienced by victims as a result of institutional responses following the commission of a criminal offense. In other words, the harm suffered by victims does not necessarily end with the criminal act that constitutes the source of primary victimization but may continue through negative experiences encountered during the handling of the case. Walklate (2018) argues that victims' experiences are determined not only by the crime itself but also by how they are treated throughout the legal process. Similarly, Wemmers (2018) emphasizes that judicial procedures that fail to respond sensitively to victims' needs may aggravate trauma and impede recovery. In the context of child victims of violence, this risk is even greater because children have limited capacity to understand complex legal proceedings and different levels of ability to cope with the pressures associated with criminal justice processes.

Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020) found that child victims constitute a particularly vulnerable group with regard to secondary victimization due to legal procedures that require them to repeatedly recount their experiences of violence. Forms of secondary victimization may include repeated interviews, victim-blaming questions, unnecessary confrontation with offenders, disclosure of victims' identities, delays in legal proceedings, and treatment that is insensitive to victims' psychological conditions. From a criminal law perspective, these circumstances demonstrate that victim protection cannot be achieved solely through the punishment of offenders but must also include guarantees that all stages of the criminal justice process do not inflict additional harm upon victims. Accordingly, the protection of child victims extends beyond safeguarding them from the initial criminal act and encompasses protection from adverse consequences that may arise throughout legal proceedings.

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization illustrates how the criminal justice system itself may become a source of additional suffering when legal procedures and case-handling mechanisms are not conducted in a manner that is responsive to victims' needs. This phenomenon presents a significant challenge for modern criminal justice systems because the objectives of law enforcement extend beyond the punishment of offenders to include the protection of victims. In cases involving child victims of violence, the failure to provide adequate protection during legal proceedings may conflict with the principle of the best interests of the child, which has been recognized in both national and international legal instruments. Therefore, the prevention of secondary victimization constitutes an integral component of legal protection for child victims within the criminal justice system. As a response to these concerns, the concept of child-friendly justice has emerged, positioning children as rights holders who are entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. The development of this concept reflects criticism of conventional justice approaches that tend to prioritize evidentiary and procedural objectives over the needs and protection of children. The Council of Europe (2011) defines child-friendly justice as a justice system that guarantees respect for children's rights while taking into account their age, maturity, needs, and psychological condition. A child-friendly justice system must ensure effective access to justice, protection from discrimination, respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation in proceedings affecting them, and protection from re-victimization throughout the legal process. This concept is based on the understanding that children possess specific needs that require treatment different from that accorded to adults at every stage of legal proceedings.

Accordingly, child-friendly justice encompasses not only the provision of child-friendly physical facilities but also procedures, institutional mechanisms, and the conduct of law enforcement officials that are oriented toward the protection of children's rights. A child-centered protective approach is also consistent with social control theory and restorative approaches, which emphasize the importance of social support in preventing deviant behavior and strengthening children's reintegration into society (Pertivi & Saimuna, 2022). Consistent with this principle, the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) affirms that every child capable of forming their own views has the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting them, and that such views must be given due weight according to the child's age and maturity. Furthermore, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2009) explains that the protection of child victims may be enhanced through interview techniques that minimize repeated questioning, professional assistance throughout legal proceedings, protection of victims' identities, the use of child-friendly interview facilities, and procedures tailored to children's specific needs. Collectively, these principles demonstrate that child-friendly justice functions as a legal protection mechanism aimed at preventing the adverse consequences arising from children's involvement in the criminal justice system.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 18 requires law enforcement officials to prioritize the best interests of the child and to promote a family-oriented atmosphere in handling cases involving children. In addition, various provisions of the Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law emphasize the protection of children's rights, assistance throughout legal proceedings, humane treatment, protection from stigma, and the fulfillment of children's needs while they are involved in legal processes. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims has become an integral component of Indonesia's criminal law policy, reflecting a commitment to human rights and the best interests of the child.

Nevertheless, the implementation of these principles continues to face significant challenges. Fitriani (2016) notes that child protection in practice is often hindered by limited supporting facilities, inadequate inter-agency coordination, and the suboptimal implementation of child protection policies. Moreover, the uneven availability of child-friendly interview facilities, the shortage of professional support personnel, and variations in the capacity of law enforcement officials to handle cases involving children reveal a gap between the normative legal framework and its practical implementation. These conditions indicate that the existence of legal regulations alone does not automatically guarantee effective protection for child victims of violence throughout criminal proceedings.

Previous studies have extensively examined the protection of child victims of violence, children's rights within the criminal justice system, the impact of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice. Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020), for example, explored various forms of secondary victimization experienced by child victims during criminal proceedings. Likewise, the Council of Europe (2011) and the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) emphasized the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles to safeguard children's rights throughout legal processes. However, studies that specifically analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice as a mechanism for preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the Indonesian criminal justice system remain limited. Most existing research addresses these two concepts separately, leaving insufficient analysis of the relationship between the implementation of child-friendly justice and efforts to prevent secondary victimization within an integrated analytical framework. The novelty of this study lies in reconceptualizing child-friendly justice not merely as a general child-protection principle, but as a preventive legal framework for reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Unlike previous studies that

primarily discuss child-friendly justice and secondary victimization as separate issues, this study integrates both concepts within a single analytical framework to examine how child-friendly justice safeguards function throughout the criminal justice process. Furthermore, this study contributes to the literature by assessing the consistency between international child-friendly justice standards and Indonesian legal regulations, while identifying specific procedural safeguards that support a victim-centered approach to the protection and recovery of child victims.

This gap in the literature highlights the need for a more comprehensive examination of how child-friendly justice principles, as incorporated into national legal instruments, are implemented within the criminal justice system and the extent to which their implementation provides protection against secondary victimization for child victims of violence. Such an analysis is important because the effectiveness of legal protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on their capacity to provide tangible safeguards for victims. Based on this research gap, the present study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence.

METHOD

This study employs a normative legal research method aimed at analyzing the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system as a means of preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Normative legal research is appropriate because the focus of the study lies in examining legal norms, legal principles, child protection doctrines, and concepts related to victim protection within the criminal justice system. According to Marzuki (2021), normative legal research views law as a set of norms and rules governing human conduct, with its primary object of analysis being legislation, legal principles, and legal doctrines. Similarly, Ibrahim (2019) argues that normative legal research seeks to identify legal arguments, legal principles, and legal concepts that provide a foundation for addressing the legal issues under examination.

This study adopts both a statutory approach and a conceptual approach. The statutory approach is employed to examine legal instruments governing child protection and the criminal justice system, including the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection, Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System, and relevant international legal instruments such as the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on Child-Friendly Justice. According to Marzuki (2021), the statutory approach involves a systematic examination of all legal regulations relevant to the legal issue under study in order to assess the consistency and coherence of the applicable legal framework. Meanwhile, the conceptual approach is utilized to analyze the concepts of child-friendly justice, secondary victimization, victim protection, and the principle of the best interests of the child as the theoretical foundation of the research. This approach is essential for understanding the meaning, scope, and interrelationship of concepts developed within legal scholarship and child protection studies (Ibrahim, 2019).

The study relies exclusively on secondary data consisting of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. Primary legal materials include legislation and international legal instruments relating to child protection and the criminal justice system. Secondary legal materials consist of books, national and international journal articles, previous research findings, reports issued by governmental institutions and international organizations, as well as other scholarly publications relevant to the research topic. Tertiary legal materials include legal dictionaries, encyclopedias, and other reference sources used to support the interpretation of legal concepts.

A Axis
The legal ~~unemployment/unemployment~~ are not ~~unemployment~~ in detail. The
~~writing only unemployment/unemployment/unemployment~~, ~~and~~
~~unemployment/unemployment~~, he ~~does not unemployment~~ ~~law~~ ~~these~~
~~unemployment~~ are ~~unemployment~~.

[illegible]

and terminology. This classification of legal materials is consistent with the framework proposed by Soekanto and Marudji (2018), who distinguish legal research sources according to their function and level of authority in providing legal information.

Data were collected through library research by identifying, compiling, and reviewing legal materials and scholarly literature relevant to the focus of the study. Library research was selected because this study is oriented toward the examination of legal norms, legal doctrines, and previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. The collected materials were subsequently classified according to key themes, namely the protection of child victims of violence, secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system.

The data were analyzed qualitatively using a descriptive-analytical method. According to Soekanto and Marudji (2018), qualitative analysis in legal research involves interpreting and correlating legal provisions, doctrines, and scholarly opinions to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the legal issue under investigation. The analysis was conducted through the identification of relevant legal norms, legal interpretation, comparison of national and international legal instruments, and evaluation of previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence. Furthermore, the study examined the extent to which the existing normative framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

Concretely, interpretation followed Marzuki's (2021) three techniques: grammatical interpretation to fix the literal meaning of key terms such as special protection and secondary victimization as worded in Law Number 35 of 2014 and Law Number 11 of 2012; systematic interpretation to read related articles together for example, Article 59A on special protection alongside Article 90 on the rights of child victims and witnesses to test whether they form a coherent protective framework; and teleological interpretation to assess whether each provision's practical application fulfills its underlying purpose of preventing harm to children during criminal proceedings. Comparison followed Zweigert and Kötz's (1998) functional approach, which compares provisions by the protective function they serve rather than their formal wording. National provisions under Law Number 11 of 2012 were checked against five functional indicators drawn from the Council of Europe (2011) and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2009): access to justice, protection from repeated questioning, child-friendly interview facilities, professional psychosocial assistance, and identity protection. To determine whether an equivalent safeguard exists in Indonesian law and whether it serves the same protective function. Evaluation then assessed the gap between these provisions and their documented implementation, drawing on prior studies and government reports (e.g., Fitriani, 2016; Van Niekark & Coetzee, 2020), to distinguish weaknesses rooted in the legal framework itself from those caused by inconsistent enforcement a distinction that subsequently informed the study's recommendations.

Furthermore, the study examined the extent to which the existing normative framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles within the Indonesian Criminal Justice System

The principle of child-friendly justice represents an approach that positions children as rights holders entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. This concept emerged from the recognition that children possess distinct needs and vulnerabilities compared to adults and therefore require special treatment at every stage of the justice process. The approach emphasizes respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation, protection of privacy, and the fulfillment of children's rights when interacting with legal institutions. Kilkelly and Plessier, (2023) explain that child-friendly justice is an approach that places children's rights, participation, and best interests at the center of all stages of judicial proceedings.

Within the Indonesian context, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into various legal instruments, particularly Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These regulations establish various forms of protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. Apriandita et al. (2025) argue that the development of child law in Indonesia reflects a gradual shift from an offender-oriented approach toward one that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of child victims within the justice process.

Table 1. Principles of Child-Friendly Justice

Principle	Legal Basis	Current Implementation	Implementation Gap
Best interests of the child	Law No. 35 of 2014 and Law No. 11 of 2012	Serves as the primary basis for the treatment of child victims	Lack of uniform implementation standards
Child participation	Law No. 11 of 2012	Children are provided opportunities to express their views	Children's views are not always given primary consideration
Privacy protection	Law No. 35 of 2014	Publication of children's identities is prohibited	Cases of identity disclosure continue to occur
Assistance and support	Law No. 11 of 2012	Assistance provided by parents, social workers, or psychologists	Limited availability of professional support personnel
Child-friendly interviews	Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law and related technical regulations	Available in certain regions	Uneven implementation across Indonesia

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 1 demonstrates that Indonesia has normatively adopted various child-friendly justice principles within its criminal justice system. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. However, Nugraha et al. (2025) indicate that although the legal framework governing child protection is relatively comprehensive, the practical implementation of child protection principles continues to face significant challenges, resulting in the incomplete realization of the intended protective objectives.

The findings further reveal that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles remains suboptimal. One of the principal challenges is the unequal availability of child-friendly interview and examination facilities across different regions. Such facilities constitute an essential prerequisite for creating a safe and supportive environment for child victims participating in legal proceedings. Furthermore, disparities remain in the capacity and competence of law enforcement officials responsible for handling cases involving child victims of violence. Pinandito and Sugibarto (2023) found that institutional capacity and the quality of implementation are among the most significant factors influencing the effectiveness of child protection

Asus
 Հոդվածը ամփոփում է կապահովմանը պնդելու, անհետնորդ պատերազմի, արվեստի և մշակութային ժառանգության պաշտպանության հարցերը:
 The manuscript summarizes the importance of ensuring the protection of war victims, art, and cultural heritage:
 Հոդվածը ամփոփում է կապահովմանը պնդելու, անհետնորդ պատերազմի, արվեստի և մշակութային ժառանգության պաշտպանության հարցերը:

Ang grea my
 Դիմում եմ արժանանալ մագիստրոսական կրթությանը: Ես հետաքրքրվում եմ միջազգային կապահովմանը պնդելու, անհետնորդ պատերազմի, արվեստի և մշակութային ժառանգության պաշտպանության հարցերով:
 I am applying for a master's degree: I am interested in international protection of war victims, art, and cultural heritage:
 I am applying for a master's degree: I am interested in international protection of war victims, art, and cultural heritage:

within the justice system. Recent evidence from Indonesia further illustrates these implementation challenges. Sualang et al. (2026) reported that child victims of sexual violence may still experience repeated examinations during the legal process, which can increase the risk of re-victimization and psychological distress. The study also highlights continuing challenges in providing adequate psychological assistance and victim-centered protection throughout criminal proceedings. These findings demonstrate that, despite the existence of comprehensive legal protections, significant gaps remain between the normative framework of child-friendly justice and its implementation in practice. These implementation gaps are inseparable from the institutional capacity of the officers tasked with enforcing them. Women police officers (Polwan), who staff the Women and Children Service Units (Unit PPA) responsible for handling child victims, constitute only around 3 percent of Indonesia's police force, well below the minimum of two Polwan per sub-district police station (Polsek) recommended by the House of Representatives Commission VIII (2023). This shortage is most acute outside Java: KPAI (2026) found that Regional Technical Implementation Units for Women and Child Protection (UPTD PPA) remain unevenly distributed, with clinical psychologists particularly scarce in eastern regions such as Papua, leaving many child victims without access to professional psychosocial assistance regardless of what the law guarantees on paper. A case handled by Polda Metro Jaya and KPAI in May 2024, involving a toddler sexually exploited on video by a family member, illustrates how this capacity gap plays out in practice: although officers acted swiftly once the case surfaced through cyber patrol, KPAI's public appeal that media outlets withhold the victim's identity points to a recurring weakness, legal protection of victims' identity under Article 64 of Law Number 35 of 2014 depends on cooperation from actors outside the criminal justice system that investigators have limited capacity to enforce. The 2024 elevation of the police's PPA unit into a full directorate (Direktorat PPA-PPO) under Baraskum Polri signals institutional recognition of this capacity problem, but its effectiveness at the resort and sub-district level, where most child victims first encounter the system, remains untested.

These findings suggest that the primary obstacles to implementing child friendly justice in Indonesia are not regulatory in nature but rather relate to institutional capacity and supporting resources. This indicates that the effectiveness of child protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on the ability of justice institutions to translate those norms into practices that genuinely respond to children's needs. Lubis (2025) argues that the success of the juvenile justice system is strongly influenced by institutional capacity, inter-agency coordination, and the ability of law enforcement personnel to apply approaches that prioritize the best interests of the child.

Another challenge concerns the limited availability of professional support personnel, including psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates with specialized expertise in handling child victims. As a result, not all child victims receive adequate psychosocial assistance throughout legal proceedings. In addition, evidentiary considerations continue to dominate criminal proceedings, often outweighing approaches focused on victim recovery and protection. Applianda (2025) notes that the Indonesian juvenile justice system still requires stronger mechanisms that enable the voices and experiences of victims to receive greater consideration during judicial proceedings. This condition suggests that strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice should involve not only regulatory improvements but also a broader paradigm shift toward a justice system that prioritizes the protection and recovery of child victims. Chandra (2023) further explains that restorative approaches within the juvenile justice system can reinforce victim protection and recovery while maintaining the objectives of criminal law enforcement.

b. The Role of Child-Friendly Justice in Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization refers to the additional harm experienced by victims as a result of responses received after the commission of a criminal offense, whether from the social environment or from institutions responsible for handling the case. In the context of child victims of violence, secondary victimization may occur when legal processes that are intended to provide protection instead generate new psychological distress for victims. Such circumstances may arise through repeated interviews, treatment that is insensitive to the condition of the child, or inadequate protection of the victim's psychological needs throughout legal proceedings. Apriyanda et al. (2025) argue that the protection of child victims extends beyond law enforcement measures against offenders and includes efforts to prevent secondary harms that may worsen victims' conditions during the justice process.

Table 2. Forms of Secondary Victimization

Form of Secondary Victimization	Manifestation in Legal Proceedings	Impact on Children
Repeated interviews	Children are required to recount their experiences at multiple stages of proceedings	Re-traumatization
Victim blaming	Questions or statements that imply responsibility on the part of the victim	Feelings of shame and guilt
Confrontation with the offender	Direct encounters with or exposure to the offender during proceedings	Fear and anxiety
Delayed legal proceedings	Prolonged case processing	Long-term stress
Lack of professional support	Insufficient psychological and social assistance	Obstacles to recovery
Disclosure of identity	Victims' identities become publicly known	Social stigma and discrimination

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

As illustrated in Table 2, secondary victimization may arise in various forms throughout criminal proceedings. One of the most common forms is repeated interviewing, which requires child victims to repeatedly recount traumatic experiences to different actors at various stages of the justice process. Such circumstances may reactivate traumatic memories and hinder victims' recovery. Nugraha et al. (2025) demonstrate that legal procedures that fail to consider children's psychological conditions may increase vulnerability to prolonged trauma and impede post-crime recovery. Field-level data from one regional police unit illustrate why repeated interviewing persists despite this risk being well documented. A pre-study of the Women and Children Service Unit at Kupang City Police recorded a rise in reported cases from 230 in 2022 to 271 in 2024, while identifying persistent constraints in personnel numbers, counseling facilities, and child psychology expertise as the unit's primary operational barriers (J-CEKI, 2025). Under such conditions, a single child victim's account is often relayed across multiple actors: investigators, prosecutors, court-appointed psychologists precisely because no single adequately trained officer is available to conduct the kind of one-time, recorded interview that child-friendly justice standards require; the repetition is less a procedural choice than a symptom of unit-level understaffing. This pattern indicates that secondary victimization in Indonesia is driven less by an absence of protective norms than by the practical inability of under-resourced units to operationalize them at the point of first contact with a child victim.

In addition to repeated interviews, victim-blaming practices may produce serious psychological consequences for child victims. Questions, comments, or attitudes that implicitly place responsibility on victims can generate feelings of shame and guilt while undermining

their self-esteem. As a consequence, victims may become reluctant to disclose their experiences openly and may lose trust in the justice system. Putri (2023) found that victims' experiences during legal proceedings are significantly influenced by the quality of protection provided by judicial institutions and by the approaches adopted by law enforcement officials.

Another form of secondary victimization involves direct confrontation between victims and offenders during legal proceedings. For child victims of violence, particularly sexual violence, encounters with offenders may revive feelings of fear, anxiety, and insecurity associated with the original victimization. Consequently, victim protection extends beyond evidentiary considerations and includes efforts to create a safe environment throughout the justice process. Lubis (2025) argues that effective child protection must minimize psychological pressure and guarantee victims' safety while they participate in legal proceedings.

Furthermore, prolonged legal proceedings and inadequate psychosocial support may increase the risk of secondary victimization. When victims are required to wait extended periods for case resolution without receiving sufficient assistance, psychological recovery becomes increasingly difficult. This condition demonstrates that victim protection requires a comprehensive and continuous approach. Bachmid (2025) emphasizes that juvenile justice systems should integrate legal protection with psychosocial support in order to achieve the objectives of child protection more effectively.

From a victimological standpoint, these various forms of secondary victimization indicate that criminal justice processes may themselves become sources of additional suffering when adequate protective mechanisms are absent. Therefore, the effectiveness of a criminal justice system should not be measured solely by its capacity to investigate crimes and punish offenders, but also by its ability to provide protection, support, and recovery for victims. These findings suggest that victim protection must become an integral component of the juvenile criminal justice system to ensure that legal proceedings do not produce consequences that are contrary to the fundamental objectives of child protection.

The analysis further demonstrates that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles plays a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Child-friendly interview procedures, protection of privacy and confidentiality, professional assistance, child-sensitive communication, and the availability of child-friendly facilities collectively contribute to reducing the risk of re-traumatization during legal proceedings. By ensuring that children are treated with dignity and respect throughout the justice process, child-friendly justice functions not only as a mechanism for protecting children's rights but also as an effective strategy for minimizing the harmful consequences associated with their involvement in criminal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of efforts to prevent secondary victimization and to promote a more victim-oriented criminal justice system.

Table 3. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice

Strategy	Implementation Objective	Contribution
Child-friendly interview rooms	Creating a safe environment	Reducing victims' anxiety
Single interview approach	Avoiding repeated examinations	Reducing re-traumatization
Psychological assistance	Providing emotional support	Facilitating victims' recovery
Examination by trained personnel	Adapting communication to children's needs	Reducing psychological distress
Audiovisual technology	Minimizing direct contact with offenders	Preventing re-victimization
Identity protection	Safeguarding children's privacy	Preventing social stigma

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 3 demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice make a significant contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. The various strategies incorporated within this approach are intended to ensure that legal proceedings do not generate additional psychological distress for victims. Saputri et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs are capable of enhancing the effectiveness of legal protection while simultaneously reducing the risk of further psychological harm during legal proceedings.

One of the most important strategies is the provision of child-friendly interview rooms designed to create a safe and supportive environment for victims. Interview settings that do not resemble formal interrogation rooms enable children to recount their experiences more calmly and openly. Such conditions help reduce anxiety and improve the quality of information obtained during interviews. Psychological safety constitutes an important factor that enables children to feel more comfortable in disclosing their experiences to individuals responsible for providing assistance and protection (Pertiwi et al., 2026). Numpala and Hanani (2023) further emphasize that the provision of child-friendly facilities and mechanisms forms an essential component of a justice system oriented toward the protection of children's rights.

Another strategy with substantial preventive value is the implementation of the single interview approach. This method aims to reduce the need for repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. Through effective inter-agency coordination and adequate documentation mechanisms, information provided by child victims can be utilized more efficiently without requiring them to repeatedly recount the same traumatic experience. Muliani et al. (2023) demonstrate that the simplification of procedures involving child victims, combined with enhanced inter-institutional coordination, contributes significantly to improving the quality of child protection throughout legal proceedings.

Psychological assistance also plays a critical role in helping victims cope with emotional pressures during legal proceedings. The involvement of psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates can assist children in understanding the legal process while simultaneously providing the emotional support necessary to navigate it. Such assistance serves not only as a protective mechanism but also as an integral part of victims' recovery. Sutanto and Rahaditya (2024) argue that effective child protection requires a multidisciplinary approach involving various professional actors to ensure that victims' needs are addressed comprehensively.

Furthermore, examinations conducted by personnel who possess specialized expertise in communicating with children can reduce the likelihood of victim-blaming questions or other forms of insensitive treatment. An understanding of child psychological development enables professionals to adopt communication approaches that are appropriate to the child's age and circumstances, thereby creating a safer and more supportive interview process. Professional competence therefore constitutes a crucial factor in ensuring that legal proceedings do not result in secondary victimization.

The use of audiovisual technology also contributes significantly to the prevention of secondary victimization. Mechanisms such as video-recorded testimony and remote participation allow children to provide information without having to face offenders directly. Such measures help reduce fear, anxiety, and emotional distress while maintaining the evidentiary value of victims' statements. Similarly, the protection of victims' identities plays a vital role in safeguarding children from social stigma, discrimination, and unwanted public exposure that may arise from criminal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes substantially to reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. These findings reinforce the view that a victim-centered approach within the criminal justice

system enhances both the quality of legal protection and the effectiveness of victim recovery. [Senandi](#) and [Krey](#) (2024) emphasize that the success of a juvenile justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to resolve cases, but also by its capacity to ensure the protection, well-being, and recovery of children involved in legal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of criminal justice reform aimed at safeguarding child victims and preventing re-victimization throughout the legal process.



Figure 1. Child-Friendly Justice Implementation Model

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between child victims of violence, criminal justice processes, the risk of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles. The model demonstrates that the involvement of child victims in legal proceedings may generate additional psychological consequences when judicial processes are conducted without adequate consideration of the victims' needs and circumstances. Such risks arise because children are often required to engage with legal procedures that may reactivate traumatic experiences associated with the original victimization. [Nurpala](#) and [Hanapi](#) (2023) argue that the protection of children involved in the justice system should be oriented toward preventing adverse consequences that may disrupt their psychological and social development. This perspective is consistent with the findings of [Pertiwi et al.](#) (2025), who demonstrate that psychosocial factors and individual characteristics play a significant role in child and adolescent development, thereby requiring child protection measures to be implemented through integrated legal, familial, and social approaches.

The model further indicates that child-friendly justice functions as a protective mechanism capable of mitigating the adverse effects associated with legal proceedings. Components such as professional assistance, child-friendly interview rooms, single interview procedures, identity protection, and the involvement of specially trained personnel constitute interventions designed to prevent re-victimization. [Saputri et al.](#) (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs contribute significantly to enhancing the effectiveness of the juvenile justice system while simultaneously strengthening the protection afforded to victims.

The inclusion of psychological assistance within the model demonstrates that legal protection for child victims extends beyond purely legal considerations and encompasses psychological and social dimensions. Assistance provided throughout legal proceedings enables victims to better understand the process they are undergoing, reduces anxiety, and strengthens

mechanisms serve to minimize the psychological distress that may arise during legal proceedings while simultaneously supporting victims' recovery.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation continues to be influenced by several challenges, particularly those related to institutional capacity, the availability of qualified human resources, supporting facilities, and inter-agency coordination. Therefore, efforts to strengthen the implementation of child-friendly justice should be pursued continuously to ensure that the criminal justice system is not solely oriented toward the prosecution and punishment of offenders, but is also capable of providing effective protection and recovery for child victims of violence.

This study contributes to the development of legal scholarship by reaffirming that child-friendly justice constitutes an essential instrument for building a criminal justice system that is more responsive to children's needs and best interests while simultaneously promoting the prevention of secondary victimization. Accordingly, the integration of child-friendly justice principles into criminal justice practices should be regarded as a fundamental component of victim-oriented justice and the broader protection of children's rights. These findings carry several concrete policy implications. First, the national government should establish mandatory, uniform standards for child-friendly interview facilities in every district-level police station (Polres) and prosecutor's office, accompanied by a binding implementation timeline and monitoring mechanism. The current condition, in which such facilities are available only in selected regions, creates structural inequality in the protection afforded to child victims across Indonesia. Second, the Women and Children Service Units (Unit PPA) require a substantial increase in trained personnel: with women police officers (Polwan) constituting only approximately 3 percent of Indonesia's police force, the existing ratio is fundamentally incompatible with the demand for victim-sensitive investigation. A dedicated training and certification program on child-friendly investigation techniques mandatory for all investigators handling cases involving child victims should be integrated into the national police training curriculum. Third, inter-agency coordination among police, prosecutors, courts, and social services must be formalized through binding standard operating procedures that designate a single coordinating officer for each child victim, thereby eliminating the inter-institutional information gaps that currently drive repeated interviewing. From a legal reform perspective, the revision of Indonesia's Code of Criminal Procedure (KUHAP), currently under deliberation, offers a critical opportunity to codify single-interview provisions and audiovisual recording requirements for child victim testimony into the general procedural framework rather than leaving them to scattered special laws. The existing protection under Law Number 12 of 2022 on Sexual Violence Crimes (TPKS) should serve as a model for extending equivalent procedural safeguards to all categories of violence against children.

Future research should move beyond the normative analysis conducted in this study and address three specific empirical gaps. First, a multi-site study comparing the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation across different regional and institutional contexts, contrasting, for instance, metropolitan police units with well-resourced facilities against rural or remote units operating under the constraints documented at Kupang City would generate evidence-based benchmarks for national policy. Second, longitudinal research tracking the psychological outcomes of child victims who experienced child-friendly justice procedures against those who did not would provide direct empirical evidence for the causal relationship between procedural safeguards and secondary victimization, which this study could only establish normatively. Third, evaluative research assessing the implementation of the 2024 Direktorat PPA-PPO at the resort level is urgently needed to determine whether institutional restructuring has translated into measurable improvements in child victim protection or whether the existing capacity constraints persist under a different organizational label.

REFERENCES

- Aprilianda, N., Ansori, A., Maharani, F., Ferdian, A., & Solehuddin. (2025). Re-conceptualizing child victim rights: A normative and comparative analysis of victim impact statement in Indonesia's juvenile justice system. *Jambiya Law Review*, 7(2), 442–467. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i2.30132>
- Bachmid, A. A. (2025). *Perlindungan anak dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak*. *Iuris Studia: Jurnal Kajian Hukum*.
- Campbell, R. (2005). What really happened? A validation study of rape survivors' help-seeking experiences with the legal and medical systems. *Violence and Victims*, 20(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1891/vivi.20.1.55>
- Chandra, T. Y. (2023). Penerapan restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Al-Mashlahah: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Pranata Sosial*, 11(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.30868/am.v11i01.3827>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2009). *General Comment No. 12 (2009): The right of the child to be heard (CRC/C/GC/12)*. United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/4ae562c52.html>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2013). *General Comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration (CRC/C/GC/14)*. United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/51a84b5e4.html>
- Council of Europe. (2011). *Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on child-friendly justice*. Council of Europe Publishing. <https://rm.coe.int/16804b2c37>
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia, Komisi VIII. (2023, November 13). *Polri diminta perkuat Unit Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak*. DPR RI. <https://pks.id/content/polri-diminta-perkuat-unit-perlindungan-perempuan-dan-anak>
- Finkelhor, D., Turner, H., LaSelva, D., & Green, A. (2020). Lifetime victimization and adversity among children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 107, 104602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104602>
- Fitriani, R. (2016). Peranan penyelenggara perlindungan anak dalam melindungi dan memenuhi hak-hak anak. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, 11(2), 250–258. <https://ejurnalunsam.id/index.php/jhsk/article/view/42>
- Ghoni, M. R., & Pujiyana. (2020). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berhadapan dengan hukum melalui implementasi diversifikasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 2(3), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v2i3.331-342>
- Goodman-Brown, T. B., Edelstein, R. S., Goodman, G. S., Jones, D. P. H., & Gordon, D. S. (2003). Why children tell: A model of children's disclosure of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(5), 525–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(03\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(03)00037-1)
- Ibrahim, J. (2019). *Teori dan metodologi penelitian hukum normatif*. Bayumedia Publishing.
- Ingratubun, Y., Toule, E. R. M., & Wadjo, H. Z. (2023). Diversifikasi dalam penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Pattimura Legal Journal*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.47268/pela.v2i3.10676>
- J-CEKI: Jurnal Cendekia Umiyah. (2025). *Peranan Unit Pelayanan Perempuan dan Anak Satreskrim Polresta Kupang Kota dalam perlindungan terhadap anak korban pencabulan disertai kekerasan*. *J-CEKI*, 5(1). <https://al-haramjournal.id/index.php/J-CEKI/article/download/12445/9372/31091>
- Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia (Kemppp, PPPA). (2024). *Analisis Tematik Survei Nasional Pengalaman Hidup Anak dan Remaja (SNPHAR) 2024*. Kemppp, PPPA. <https://www.kemenpppa.go.id/siaran-pers/analisis->

- [tematik-smpnar-2024-tujuan-separuh-anak-indonesia-alami-kekerasan-kemen-pppa-serukan-kolaborasi-nasional](#)
- Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia (Kempppa PPPA). (2025). *Data SIMFONI-PPA: Sistem Informasi Online Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak Tahun 2024-2025*. Kempppa, PPPA. <https://www.kemenpppa.go.id/siaran-pers/simfoni-ppa-v3-tingkatkan-layanan-perlindungan-perempuan-dan-anak>
- Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2025). *Laporan Tahunan KPAI 2024: Jalan Terjal, Perlindungan Anak — Ancaman Serius, Generasi Emas Indonesia*. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/laporan-tahunan-kpai-jalan-terjal-perlindungan-anak-ancaman-serius-generasi-emas-indonesia>
- Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2024, Mei). *KPAI dan Polda Metro Jaya konferensi pers tentang kasus kekerasan seksual terhadap anak balita*. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/kpai-dan-polda-metro-jaya-konferensi-pers-tentang-kasus-kekerasan-seksual-terhadap-anak-balita>
- Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2026, Juni). *KPAI dorong intervensi khusus untuk anak di wilayah 3T: Hak kesehatan tidak boleh ditentukan oleh tempat anak dilahirkan*. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/kpai-dorong-intervensi-khusus-untuk-anak-di-wilayah-3t-hak-kesehatan-tidak-boleh-ditentukan-oleh-tempat-anak-dilahirkan>
- Lubis, M. A. (2025). Comparative implementation of child protection law between Indonesia and the Netherlands: A study of juvenile justice system. *Meta Hukum: Jurnal Hukum dan Kemasaraklatan*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.47652/metahukum.v4i3.890>
- Marzuki, P. M. (2021). *Penelitian hukum* (Edisi revisi). Kencana.
- Kilkelly, U., & Playster, S. (2023). Rights of the child in the child justice system. *Youth Justice*, 23(2), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14732254231185820>
- Muharok, N. (2023). Perlindungan hukum anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam perspektif fiqh jinayah. *Al-Jinayah: Jurnal Hukum Pidana Islam*, 9(2), 195–218. <https://doi.org/10.15642/aj.2023.9.2.195-218>
- Muliani, S., Kasim, A., Ahmad, J., & Nonci, N. (2023). Reformulasi syarat pelaksanaan diversi dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 5(2), 358–373. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v5i2.358-373>
- Nova, E., & Afizal, R. (2023). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak. *UNES Journal of Suara Justisia*, 6(4), 480–493. <https://doi.org/10.31933/ujsi.v6i4.298>
- Nugraha, A. S., Mahmutarova, & Prakoso, A. P. (2025). Effectiveness of implementing restorative justice in resolution of child crimes. *International Journal of Law Reconstruction*, 9(2), 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.26532/ijlr.v9i2.48901>
- Nurpala, L. D., & Hanapi, Y. (2023). Kajian perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia*, 3(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.35912/jihham.v3i1.1801>
- Orth, U. (2002). Secondary victimization of crime victims by criminal proceedings. *Social Justice Research*, 15(4), 313–325. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021210323461>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., & Saipuma, I. D. S. (2022). The role of social control and optimization of restorative justice policy on juvenile offending. *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan*, 11(1), 109–133. <https://doi.org/10.25216/jhp.11.1.2022.109-133>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Mumpuni, A., & Saputra, R. (2026). *Family as a safe space: A descriptive examination of psychological safety, parenting practices, and parent-child emotional*

- relationships. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary*, 4(4). <https://greenpub.org/IJAM/article/view/1883>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Hutahaean, E. S. H., Muninin, A., & Reza, I. F. (2025). *Deviant behavior in religious schools: The interplay of psychological safety, sanctions, and academic commitment*. <https://journal.staihabbulwathan.id/index.php/alishlah/article/view/6491>
- Pinandito, R. A., & Sugiharto, R. (2023). Legal protection for children in conflict with the law through virtual courts: Implementation of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Jurnal Akta*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.30659/akta.v10i1.30027>
- Putri, D. D. P. (2023). Sounding the justice for child: Does restorative justice matters? *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 4(3), 303–324. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jlrr.v4i3.68106>
- Republik Indonesia. (1945). *Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945*. <https://www.dpr.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2012). *Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2014). *Undang-Undang Nomor 35 Tahun 2014 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 23 Tahun 2002 tentang Perlindungan Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Saputri, R. M., Wahyuni, F., & Muhsin, M. (2024). Analisis kebijakan dalam hukum pidana perlindungan anak pada pembaruan sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Selodang Mayang*, 10(2), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.47521/selodangmayang.v10i2.407>
- Senandi, W. A. A., & Krey, T. H. M. Y. (2024). Analisis tentang penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana. *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*. <https://doi.org/10.55551/jip.v6i1.430>
- Soekanto, S., & Marudji, S. (2018). *Penelitian hukum normatif: Suatu tinjauan tingkat*. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Sutanto, P., & Rahaditya, R. (2024). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak sebagai pelaku tindak pidana dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *UNES Law Review*, 6(4), 10361–10367. <https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i4.2022>
- United Nations. (1989). *Convention on the Rights of the Child*. United Nations Treaty Series, 1577, 3. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-child>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2009). *Justice in matters involving child victims and witnesses of crime: Model law and related commentary*. United Nations. https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/hb_justice_in_matters.pdf
- Van Niekerk, S., & Coetzee, L. (2020). Secondary victimisation of child victims in the criminal justice system. *Child Abuse Research in South Africa*, 21(1), 20–31.
- Walklate, S. (2018). *Victimology: The victim and the criminal justice process* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Wemmers, J. A. (2018). *Victims in the criminal justice system*. Routledge.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049338>
- Zweigert, K., & Kötz, H. (1998). *An introduction to comparative law* (3rd ed.; T. Weir, Trans.). Oxford University Press.

4. Reviewer's Attachments from Reviewer C

Reviewer's Attachments			Q Search
	7106	A Review Form - Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the.pdf	June 20, 2026
	7107	B Review Form - Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the.pdf	June 20, 2026
	7133	REVIEW 2-Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles.docx	June 21, 2026
	7294	REVIEW 3-Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles.docx	June 24, 2026



growth and development, and protection from violence and discrimination. This constitutional guarantee demonstrates that child protection forms an integral part of the fulfillment of human rights, which the state is obligated to uphold. This commitment is consistent with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which recognizes children as rights holders entitled to protection and respect for their dignity. The United Nations (1989) affirms that every child is entitled to the protection and care necessary for their well-being. Furthermore, Article 3(1) of the CRC provides that in all actions concerning children, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration. The Committee on the Rights of the Child (2013) explains that the principle of the best interests of the child serves not only as a general principle of child protection but also as a substantive right, an interpretative legal principle, and a procedural rule that must be applied in all decisions affecting children. Accordingly, child protection should not be understood solely as a state obligation to prevent violations of children's rights, but also as a responsibility to ensure that all policies and actions concerning children are guided by and oriented toward the best interests of the child. This constitutional and international commitment, however, stands against an empirical reality that remains alarming. The National Survey on Life Experiences of Children and Adolescents (SNPHAR), jointly conducted by the Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection (Kemen PPPA) and Statistics Indonesia (BPS) in 2024, found that 50.78 percent of children aged 13–17 years in Indonesia had experienced at least one form of violence during their lifetime (Kemen PPPA, 2024). This figure indicates that, statistically, one in every two Indonesian children has been exposed to violence, a condition that places the constitutional guarantee of protection from violence under serious strain.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principle of child protection is implemented through Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. Article 59 paragraph (1) stipulates that the central government, regional governments, and other state institutions are obligated to provide special protection to children in vulnerable situations, including child victims of criminal offenses and violence. Furthermore, Article 59A provides that such special protection shall be carried out through prompt intervention, psychosocial assistance, social support, and protection and assistance at every stage of judicial proceedings. In addition, Article 64 guarantees that children in conflict with the law are entitled to humane treatment, legal and social assistance, protection from stigma and discrimination, and the fulfillment of their rights throughout the legal process. Fitriani (2016) argues that the fulfillment of children's rights is not solely the responsibility of the state but also requires the active involvement of families and communities to ensure children's optimal growth and development. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims of violence is not merely oriented toward the punishment of offenders but also toward the comprehensive protection and recovery of victims.

From the perspective of modern criminal law, crime victims are no longer viewed merely as sources of evidence in the process of proving criminal offenses, but rather as legal subjects entitled to protection, recovery, and access to justice. Developments in victimological scholarship have demonstrated a shift in the orientation of criminal justice systems from an offender-oriented approach toward a victim-oriented approach that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of victims. Consequently, the effectiveness of the criminal justice system is measured not only by the state's ability to detect criminal conduct and impose sanctions on offenders, but also by its capacity to provide effective protection for victims. In the context of child victims of violence, such protection requires special consideration, as children constitute a vulnerable group that requires treatment different from that afforded to adult victims. The involvement of children in criminal proceedings is often unavoidable because their testimony frequently serves as crucial evidence in establishing criminal liability. Nevertheless, legal procedures that fail to take into account the circumstances and needs of children may result in additional harm to victims. Therefore, modern criminal law requires

protective mechanisms capable of ensuring respect for children's rights throughout the criminal justice process.

Despite the existence of comprehensive national and international legal frameworks for child protection, violence against children remains a persistent problem. This condition reflects a gap between the protection guaranteed by law and the protection actually experienced by child victims. The World Health Organization (2022) reports that exposure to violence during childhood is associated with an increased risk of mental health disorders, social adjustment difficulties, risk-taking behaviors, and various psychosocial problems later in life. These findings are supported by Finkelhor, et al. (2020), who found that childhood exposure to violence has long-term consequences for psychological well-being, interpersonal relationships, and overall quality of life. These global findings are mirrored in the national context. Data from the Online Information System for the Protection of Women and Children (SIMFONI-PPA), managed by Kemen PPPA, recorded 19,628 cases of violence against children in 2024 alone, affecting 21,648 child victims (Kemen PPPA, 2025). This figure represents a continuing upward trend from 14,446 cases in 2021, 16,106 cases in 2022, and 18,175 cases in 2023, indicating that the problem has not subsided despite the existing regulatory framework. A similar pattern is reflected in the annual report of the Indonesian Commission for Child Protection (KPAI), which received 2,057 complaints of child-rights violations throughout 2024. Cases related to family care and parenting disputes were the most frequently reported category (1,097 cases), followed by sexual violence against children (265 cases) and physical or psychological abuse (240 cases). Notably, the majority of perpetrators identified in these cases were the victims own biological parents, with fathers implicated in 259 cases and mothers in 173 cases (KPAI, 2025). These figures demonstrate that, in the Indonesian context, violence against children is not an isolated phenomenon but a recurring pattern most often occurring within the family environment that the law is specifically designed to protect. Such impacts indicate that violence against children should not be regarded solely as a matter of criminality, but also as an issue of child rights protection and public welfare. Accordingly, the protection of child victims of violence cannot be limited to the prosecution and punishment of offenders; it must also encompass measures that ensure the comprehensive recovery of victims. The recovery process is further influenced by the quality of caregiving environments and the sense of psychological security provided within the family. A supportive family environment serves as a protective factor that helps children cope with traumatic experiences and facilitates post-violence recovery (Pertiwi, Munir, & Saputra, 2026).

Another consequence of the high prevalence of violence against children is the increasing involvement of children in the criminal justice system as victims or witnesses of criminal offenses. In criminal proceedings, victims' testimonies frequently constitute important evidence for establishing the facts of a case and determining the offender's criminal responsibility. As a result, child victims are often required to participate in various stages of the legal process, including investigation, prosecution, and trial. While such involvement forms an essential part of law enforcement and the search for material truth, it may simultaneously place children in vulnerable situations when legal procedures fail to consider their psychological condition and special needs as victims.

Under Indonesian positive law, the protection of child victims within criminal proceedings is regulated by Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 90 grants child victims and child witnesses the right to receive medical rehabilitation, social rehabilitation, security protection, and access to information regarding the progress of their cases. This provision demonstrates that the criminal justice system functions not only as a mechanism for enforcing criminal liability against offenders but also as an instrument for protecting victims. Nevertheless, numerous studies have shown that victim-insensitive procedures may generate significant psychological distress. Goodman-Brown et al. (2003)

explain that investigative and examination procedures that fail to consider the victim's condition may exacerbate the trauma experienced by child victims. Similarly, Campbell (2005) found that victims' interactions with legal institutions significantly influence their psychological recovery. This situation creates a paradox within the criminal justice system, whereby mechanisms intended to provide protection may instead become sources of additional suffering for victims.

In victimological scholarship, this phenomenon is commonly referred to as secondary victimization. Orth (2002) defines secondary victimization as the additional suffering experienced by victims as a result of institutional responses following the commission of a criminal offense. In other words, the harm suffered by victims does not necessarily end with the criminal act that constitutes the source of primary victimization but may continue through negative experiences encountered during the handling of the case. Walklate (2018) argues that victims' experiences are determined not only by the crime itself but also by how they are treated throughout the legal process. Similarly, Wemmers (2018) emphasizes that judicial procedures that fail to respond sensitively to victims' needs may aggravate trauma and impede recovery. In the context of child victims of violence, this risk is even greater because children have limited capacity to understand complex legal proceedings and different levels of ability to cope with the pressures associated with criminal justice processes.

Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020) found that child victims constitute a particularly vulnerable group with regard to secondary victimization due to legal procedures that require them to repeatedly recount their experiences of violence. Forms of secondary victimization may include repeated interviews, victim-blaming questions, unnecessary confrontation with offenders, disclosure of victims' identities, delays in legal proceedings, and treatment that is insensitive to victims' psychological conditions. From a criminal law perspective, these circumstances demonstrate that victim protection cannot be achieved solely through the punishment of offenders but must also include guarantees that all stages of the criminal justice process do not inflict additional harm upon victims. Accordingly, the protection of child victims extends beyond safeguarding them from the initial criminal act and encompasses protection from adverse consequences that may arise throughout legal proceedings.

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization illustrates how the criminal justice system itself may become a source of additional suffering when legal procedures and case-handling mechanisms are not conducted in a manner that is responsive to victims' needs. This phenomenon presents a significant challenge for modern criminal justice systems because the objectives of law enforcement extend beyond the punishment of offenders to include the protection of victims. In cases involving child victims of violence, the failure to provide adequate protection during legal proceedings may conflict with the principle of the best interests of the child, which has been recognized in both national and international legal instruments. Therefore, the prevention of secondary victimization constitutes an integral component of legal protection for child victims within the criminal justice system. As a response to these concerns, the concept of child-friendly justice has emerged, positioning children as rights holders who are entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. The development of this concept reflects criticism of conventional justice approaches that tend to prioritize evidentiary and procedural objectives over the needs and protection of children. The Council of Europe (2011) defines child-friendly justice as a justice system that guarantees respect for children's rights while taking into account their age, maturity, needs, and psychological condition. A child-friendly justice system must ensure effective access to justice, protection from discrimination, respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation in proceedings affecting them, and protection from re-victimization throughout the legal process. This concept is based on the understanding that children possess specific needs that require treatment different from that accorded to adults at every stage of legal proceedings.

Accordingly, child-friendly justice encompasses not only the provision of child-friendly physical facilities but also procedures, institutional mechanisms, and the conduct of law enforcement officials that are oriented toward the protection of children's rights. A child-centered protective approach is also consistent with social control theory and restorative approaches, which emphasize the importance of social support in preventing deviant behavior and strengthening children's reintegration into society (Pertiwi & Sainina, 2022). Consistent with this principle, the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) affirms that every child capable of forming their own views has the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting them, and that such views must be given due weight according to the child's age and maturity. Furthermore, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2009) explains that the protection of child victims may be enhanced through interview techniques that minimize repeated questioning, professional assistance throughout legal proceedings, protection of victims' identities, the use of child-friendly interview facilities, and procedures tailored to children's specific needs. Collectively, these principles demonstrate that child-friendly justice functions as a legal protection mechanism aimed at preventing the adverse consequences arising from children's involvement in the criminal justice system.

Within the Indonesian legal system, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System. Article 18 requires law enforcement officials to prioritize the best interests of the child and to promote a family-oriented atmosphere in handling cases involving children. In addition, various provisions of the Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law emphasize the protection of children's rights, assistance throughout legal proceedings, humane treatment, protection from stigma, and the fulfillment of children's needs while they are involved in legal processes. These provisions demonstrate that the protection of child victims has become an integral component of Indonesia's criminal law policy, reflecting a commitment to human rights and the best interests of the child.

Nevertheless, the implementation of these principles continues to face significant challenges. Fitriani (2016) notes that child protection in practice is often hindered by limited supporting facilities, inadequate inter-agency coordination, and the suboptimal implementation of child protection policies. Moreover, the uneven availability of child-friendly interview facilities, the shortage of professional support personnel, and variations in the capacity of law enforcement officials to handle cases involving children reveal a gap between the normative legal framework and its practical implementation. These conditions indicate that the existence of legal regulations alone does not automatically guarantee effective protection for child victims of violence throughout criminal proceedings.

Previous studies have extensively examined the protection of child victims of violence, children's rights within the criminal justice system, the impact of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice. Van Niekerk and Coetzee (2020), for example, explored various forms of secondary victimization experienced by child victims during criminal proceedings. Likewise, the Council of Europe (2011) and the Committee on the Rights of the Child (2009) emphasized the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles to safeguard children's rights throughout legal processes. However, studies that specifically analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice as a mechanism for preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence within the Indonesian criminal justice system remain limited. Most existing research addresses these two concepts separately, leaving insufficient analysis of the relationship between the implementation of child-friendly justice and efforts to prevent secondary victimization within an integrated analytical framework. The novelty of this study lies in reconceptualizing child-friendly justice not merely as a general child-protection principle, but as a preventive legal framework for reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Unlike previous studies that

primarily discuss child-friendly justice and secondary victimization as separate issues, this study integrates both concepts within a single analytical framework to examine how child-friendly justice safeguards function throughout the criminal justice process. Furthermore, this study contributes to the literature by assessing the consistency between international child-friendly justice standards and Indonesian legal regulations, while identifying specific procedural safeguards that support a victim-centered approach to the protection and recovery of child victims.

This gap in the literature highlights the need for a more comprehensive examination of how child-friendly justice principles, as incorporated into national legal instruments, are implemented within the criminal justice system and the extent to which their implementation provides protection against secondary victimization for child victims of violence. Such an analysis is important because the effectiveness of legal protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on their capacity to provide tangible safeguards for victims. Based on this research gap, the present study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence.

METHOD

This study employs a normative legal research method aimed at analyzing the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system as a means of preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Normative legal research is appropriate because the focus of the study lies in examining legal norms, legal principles, child protection doctrines, and concepts related to victim protection within the criminal justice system. According to Marzuki (2021), normative legal research views law as a set of norms and rules governing human conduct, with its primary object of analysis being legislation, legal principles, and legal doctrines. Similarly, Ibrahim (2019) argues that normative legal research seeks to identify legal arguments, legal principles, and legal concepts that provide a foundation for addressing the legal issues under examination.

This study adopts both a statutory approach and a conceptual approach. The statutory approach is employed to examine legal instruments governing child protection and the criminal justice system, including the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection, Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System, and relevant international legal instruments such as the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on Child-Friendly Justice. According to Marzuki (2021), the statutory approach involves a systematic examination of all legal regulations relevant to the legal issue under study in order to assess the consistency and coherence of the applicable legal framework. Meanwhile, the conceptual approach is utilized to analyze the concepts of child-friendly justice, secondary victimization, victim protection, and the principle of the best interests of the child as the theoretical foundation of the research. This approach is essential for understanding the meaning, scope, and interrelationship of concepts developed within legal scholarship and child protection studies (Ibrahim, 2019).

The study relies exclusively on secondary data consisting of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. Primary legal materials include legislation and international legal instruments relating to child protection and the criminal justice system. Secondary legal materials consist of books, national and international journal articles, previous research findings, reports issued by governmental institutions and international organizations, as well as other scholarly publications relevant to the research topic. Tertiary legal materials include legal dictionaries, encyclopedias, and other reference sources used to support the interpretation of legal concepts.

Asus

The legal aspects of law are not explained in detail. The writer explains the importance of law, its purpose, and how it affects society. He does not explain the legal system or the role of lawyers.

Ang green y

[illegible]

USER played a major constitutional role in the foreign exchange market, the legal system was much more developed and more mature, and the constitution in the constitution of the world had been completed.

and terminology. This classification of legal materials is consistent with the framework proposed by Soekanto and Mamudji (2018), who distinguish legal research sources according to their function and level of authority in providing legal information.

Data were collected through library research by identifying, compiling, and reviewing legal materials and scholarly literature relevant to the focus of the study. Library research was selected because this study is oriented toward the examination of legal norms, legal doctrines, and previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence within the criminal justice system. The collected materials were subsequently classified according to key themes, namely the protection of child victims of violence, secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system.

The data were analyzed qualitatively using a descriptive-analytical method. According to Soekanto and Mamudji (2018), qualitative analysis in legal research involves interpreting and correlating legal provisions, doctrines, and scholarly opinions to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the legal issue under investigation. The analysis was conducted through the identification of relevant legal norms, legal interpretation, comparison of national and international legal instruments, and evaluation of previous studies concerning the protection of child victims of violence. Furthermore, the study examined the extent to which the existing normative framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

Concretely, interpretation followed Marzuki's (2021) three techniques: grammatical interpretation to fix the literal meaning of key terms such as special protection and secondary victimization as worded in Law Number 35 of 2014 and Law Number 11 of 2012; systematic interpretation to read related articles together for example, Article 59A on special protection alongside Article 90 on the rights of child victims and witnesses to test whether they form a coherent protective framework; and teleological interpretation to assess whether each provision's practical application fulfills its underlying purpose of preventing harm to children during criminal proceedings. Comparison followed Zweigert and Kötz's (1998) functional approach, which compares provisions by the protective function they serve rather than their formal wording. National provisions under Law Number 11 of 2012 were checked against five functional indicators drawn from the Council of Europe (2011) and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2009): access to justice, protection from repeated questioning, child-friendly interview facilities, professional psychosocial assistance, and identity protection, to determine whether an equivalent safeguard exists in Indonesian law and whether it serves the same protective function. Evaluation then assessed the gap between these provisions and their documented implementation, drawing on prior studies and government reports (e.g., Fitriani, 2016; Van Nijkerk & Coetzee, 2020), to distinguish weaknesses rooted in the legal framework itself from those caused by inconsistent enforcement a distinction that subsequently informed the study's recommendations.

Furthermore, the study examined the extent to which the existing normative framework corresponds with the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the criminal justice system. The findings were used to identify the contribution of child-friendly justice to the prevention of secondary victimization and to formulate recommendations for strengthening legal protection mechanisms for child victims of violence.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles within the Indonesian Criminal Justice System

The principle of child-friendly justice represents an approach that positions children as rights holders entitled to special protection throughout legal proceedings. This concept emerged from the recognition that children possess distinct needs and vulnerabilities compared to adults and therefore require special treatment at every stage of the justice process. The approach emphasizes respect for children's dignity, meaningful participation, protection of privacy, and the fulfillment of children's rights when interacting with legal institutions. Kilkelly and Pleysier (2023) explain that child-friendly justice is an approach that places children's rights, participation, and best interests at the center of all stages of judicial proceedings.

Within the Indonesian context, the principles of child-friendly justice have been incorporated into various legal instruments, particularly Law Number 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Criminal Justice System and Law Number 35 of 2014 on Child Protection. These regulations establish various forms of protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. Apriyandita et al. (2025) argue that the development of child law in Indonesia reflects a gradual shift from an offender-oriented approach toward one that places greater emphasis on the rights and interests of child victims within the justice process.

Table 1. Principles of Child-Friendly Justice

Principle	Legal Basis	Current Implementation	Implementation Gap
Best interests of the child	Law No. 35 of 2014 and Law No. 11 of 2012	Serves as the primary basis for the treatment of child victims	Lack of uniform implementation standards
Child participation	Law No. 11 of 2012	Children are provided opportunities to express their views	Children's views are not always given primary consideration
Privacy protection	Law No. 35 of 2014	Publication of children's identities is prohibited	Cases of identity disclosure continue to occur
Assistance and support	Law No. 11 of 2012	Assistance provided by parents, social workers, or psychologists	Limited availability of professional support personnel
Child-friendly interviews	Juvenile Criminal Justice System Law and related technical regulations	Available in certain regions	Uneven implementation across Indonesia

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 1 demonstrates that Indonesia has normatively adopted various child-friendly justice principles within its criminal justice system. These legal provisions reflect the state's commitment to ensuring protection for children involved in legal proceedings, including child victims of criminal offenses. However, Nugraha et al. (2025) indicate that although the legal framework governing child protection is relatively comprehensive, the practical implementation of child protection principles continues to face significant challenges, resulting in the incomplete realization of the intended protective objectives.

The findings further reveal that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles remains suboptimal. One of the principal challenges is the unequal availability of child-friendly interview and examination facilities across different regions. Such facilities constitute an essential prerequisite for creating a safe and supportive environment for child victims participating in legal proceedings. Furthermore, disparities remain in the capacity and competence of law enforcement officials responsible for handling cases involving child victims of violence. Binandito and Sugiharto (2023) found that institutional capacity and the quality of implementation are among the most significant factors influencing the effectiveness of child protection

Asis June 20, 2026

Հոգեվարձի և անվտանգության հարցերը միմյանց հակասում են, և դրանք պահանջում են միջնորդություն։ The child-friendly justice principles in Indonesia, which emphasize child participation and protection, are often in conflict with the need for security and control. This tension is particularly evident in cases involving child victims of violence, where the child's right to be heard must be balanced against the need to protect them from further harm. The implementation of these principles requires careful attention to the child's best interests and the need for a supportive environment.

Anggreany June 22, 2026

Մենք կարող ենք օգտագործել միջնորդական մոտեցումը։ We can utilize the mediation approach. This approach focuses on facilitating communication between the parties involved in the conflict, helping them to understand each other's perspectives and find a mutually agreeable solution. In the context of child-friendly justice, mediation can be used to help child victims express their views and concerns in a safe and supportive environment, while also addressing the needs of the other parties involved. This approach is particularly effective in cases where the child's participation is essential for a fair and just outcome.

Reply

Resolve

8 | Page

within the justice system. Recent evidence from Indonesia further illustrates these implementation challenges. Sualang et al. (2026) reported that child victims of sexual violence may still experience repeated examinations during the legal process, which can increase the risk of re-victimization and psychological distress. The study also highlights continuing challenges in providing adequate psychological assistance and victim-centered protection throughout criminal proceedings. These findings demonstrate that, despite the existence of comprehensive legal protections, significant gaps remain between the normative framework of child-friendly justice and its implementation in practice. These implementation gaps are inseparable from the institutional capacity of the officers tasked with enforcing them. Women police officers (Polwan), who staff the Women and Children Service Units (Unit PPA) responsible for handling child victims, constitute only around 3 percent of Indonesia's police force, well below the minimum of two Polwan per sub-district police station (Polsek) recommended by the House of Representatives' Commission VIII (2023). This shortage is most acute outside Java: KPAP (2026) found that Regional Technical Implementation Units for Women and Child Protection (UPTD PPA) remain unevenly distributed, with clinical psychologists particularly scarce in eastern regions such as Papua, leaving many child victims without access to professional psychosocial assistance regardless of what the law guarantees on paper. A case handled by Polda Metro Jaya and KPAP in May 2024, involving a toddler sexually exploited on video by a family member, illustrates how this capacity gap plays out in practice: although officers acted swiftly once the case surfaced through cyber patrol, KPAP's public appeal that media outlets withhold the victim's identity points to a recurring weakness, legal protection of victims' identity under Article 64 of Law Number 35 of 2014 depends on cooperation from actors outside the criminal justice system that investigators have limited capacity to enforce. The 2024 elevation of the police's PPA unit into a full directorate (Direktorat PPA-PPO) under Bareskrim Polri signals institutional recognition of this capacity problem, but its effectiveness at the resort and sub-district level, where most child victims first encounter the system, remains untested.

These findings suggest that the primary obstacles to implementing child friendly justice in Indonesia are not regulatory in nature but rather relate to institutional capacity and supporting resources. This indicates that the effectiveness of child protection depends not only on the existence of legal norms but also on the ability of justice institutions to translate those norms into practices that genuinely respond to children's needs. Lubis (2025) argues that the success of the juvenile justice system is strongly influenced by institutional capacity, inter-agency co-ordination, and the ability of law enforcement personnel to apply approaches that prioritize the best interests of the child.

Another challenge concerns the limited availability of professional support personnel, including psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates with specialized expertise in handling child victims. As a result, not all child victims receive adequate psychosocial assistance throughout legal proceedings. In addition, evidentiary considerations continue to dominate criminal proceedings, often outweighing approaches focused on victim recovery and protection. Aprijianda (2025) notes that the Indonesian juvenile justice system still requires stronger mechanisms that enable the voices and experiences of victims to receive greater consideration during judicial proceedings. This condition suggests that strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice should involve not only regulatory improvements but also a broader paradigm shift toward a justice system that prioritizes the protection and recovery of child victims. Chandra (2023) further explains that restorative approaches within the juvenile justice system can reinforce victim protection and recovery while maintaining the objectives of criminal law enforcement.

▲ b. The Role of Child-Friendly Justice in Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

From a victimological perspective, secondary victimization refers to the additional harm experienced by victims as a result of responses received after the commission of a criminal offense, whether from the social environment or from institutions responsible for handling the case. In the context of child victims of violence, secondary victimization may occur when legal processes that are intended to provide protection instead generate new psychological distress for victims. Such circumstances may arise through repeated interviews, treatment that is insensitive to the condition of the child, or inadequate protection of the victim's psychological needs throughout legal proceedings. [Apriyanda et al. \(2025\)](#) argue that the protection of child victims extends beyond law enforcement measures against offenders and includes efforts to prevent secondary harms that may worsen victims' conditions during the justice process.

Table 2. Forms of Secondary Victimization

Form of Secondary Victimization	Manifestation in Legal Proceedings	Impact on Children
Repeated interviews	Children are required to recount their experiences at multiple stages of proceedings	Re-traumatization
Victim blaming	Questions or statements that imply responsibility on the part of the victim	Feelings of shame and guilt
Confrontation with the offender	Direct encounters with or exposure to the offender during proceedings	Fear and anxiety
Delayed legal proceedings	Prolonged case processing	Long-term stress
Lack of professional support	Insufficient psychological and social assistance	Obstacles to recovery
Disclosure of identity	Victims' identities become publicly known	Social stigma and discrimination

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

As illustrated in Table 2, secondary victimization may arise in various forms throughout criminal proceedings. One of the most common forms is repeated interviewing, which requires child victims to repeatedly recount traumatic experiences to different actors at various stages of the justice process. Such circumstances may reactivate traumatic memories and hinder victims' recovery. [Nugraha et al. \(2025\)](#) demonstrate that legal procedures that fail to consider children's psychological conditions may increase vulnerability to prolonged trauma and impede post-crime recovery. Field-level data from one regional police unit illustrate why repeated interviewing persists despite this risk being well documented. A pre-study of the Women and Children Service Unit at [Kupang City Police](#) recorded a rise in reported cases from 230 in 2022 to 271 in 2024, while identifying persistent constraints in personnel numbers, counseling facilities, and child psychology expertise as the unit's primary operational barriers ([J-CEKI, 2025](#)). Under such conditions, a single child victim's account is often relayed across multiple actors: investigators, prosecutors, court-appointed psychologists precisely because no single adequately trained officer is available to conduct the kind of one-time, recorded interview that child-friendly justice standards require; the repetition is less a procedural choice than a symptom of unit-level understaffing. This pattern indicates that secondary victimization in Indonesia is driven less by an absence of protective norms than by the practical inability of under-resourced units to operationalize them at the point of first contact with a child victim.

In addition to repeated interviews, victim-blaming practices may produce serious psychological consequences for child victims. Questions, comments, or attitudes that implicitly place responsibility on victims can generate feelings of shame and guilt while undermining

their self-esteem. As a consequence, victims may become reluctant to disclose their experiences openly and may lose trust in the justice system. Putri (2023) found that victims' experiences during legal proceedings are significantly influenced by the quality of protection provided by judicial institutions and by the approaches adopted by law enforcement officials.

Another form of secondary victimization involves direct confrontation between victims and offenders during legal proceedings. For child victims of violence, particularly sexual violence, encounters with offenders may revive feelings of fear, anxiety, and insecurity associated with the original victimization. Consequently, victim protection extends beyond evidentiary considerations and includes efforts to create a safe environment throughout the justice process. Lubis (2025) argues that effective child protection must minimize psychological pressure and guarantee victims' safety while they participate in legal proceedings.

Furthermore, prolonged legal proceedings and inadequate psychosocial support may increase the risk of secondary victimization. When victims are required to wait extended periods for case resolution without receiving sufficient assistance, psychological recovery becomes increasingly difficult. This condition demonstrates that victim protection requires a comprehensive and continuous approach. Bachrud (2025) emphasizes that juvenile justice systems should integrate legal protection with psychosocial support in order to achieve the objectives of child protection more effectively.

From a victimological standpoint, these various forms of secondary victimization indicate that criminal justice processes may themselves become sources of additional suffering when adequate protective mechanisms are absent. Therefore, the effectiveness of a criminal justice system should not be measured solely by its capacity to investigate crimes and punish offenders, but also by its ability to provide protection, support, and recovery for victims. These findings suggest that victim protection must become an integral component of the juvenile criminal justice system to ensure that legal proceedings do not produce consequences that are contrary to the fundamental objectives of child protection.

The analysis further demonstrates that the implementation of child-friendly justice principles plays a crucial role in preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. Child-friendly interview procedures, protection of privacy and confidentiality, professional assistance, child-sensitive communication, and the availability of child-friendly facilities collectively contribute to reducing the risk of re-traumatization during legal proceedings. By ensuring that children are treated with dignity and respect throughout the justice process, child-friendly justice functions not only as a mechanism for protecting children's rights but also as an effective strategy for minimizing the harmful consequences associated with their involvement in criminal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of efforts to prevent secondary victimization and to promote a more victim-oriented criminal justice system.

Table 3. Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice

Strategy	Implementation Objective	Contribution
Child-friendly interview rooms	Creating a safe environment	Reducing victims' anxiety
Single interview approach	Avoiding repeated examinations	Reducing re-traumatization
Psychological assistance	Providing emotional support	Facilitating victims' recovery
Examination by trained personnel	Adapting communication to children's needs	Reducing psychological distress
Audiovisual technology	Minimizing direct contact with offenders	Preventing re-victimization
Identity protection	Safeguarding children's privacy	Preventing social stigma

Source: Processed research data, 2026.

Table 3 demonstrates that the principles of child-friendly justice make a significant contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. The various strategies incorporated within this approach are intended to ensure that legal proceedings do not generate additional psychological distress for victims. Saputri et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs are capable of enhancing the effectiveness of legal protection while simultaneously reducing the risk of further psychological harm during legal proceedings.

One of the most important strategies is the provision of child-friendly interview rooms designed to create a safe and supportive environment for victims. Interview settings that do not resemble formal interrogation rooms enable children to recount their experiences more calmly and openly. Such conditions help reduce anxiety and improve the quality of information obtained during interviews. Psychological safety constitutes an important factor that enables children to feel more comfortable in disclosing their experiences to individuals responsible for providing assistance and protection (Pertiwi et al., 2026). Nuzumala and Hanapi (2023) further emphasize that the provision of child-friendly facilities and mechanisms forms an essential component of a justice system oriented toward the protection of children's rights.

Another strategy with substantial preventive value is the implementation of the single interview approach. This method aims to reduce the need for repeated questioning, which has long been recognized as one of the primary causes of secondary victimization. Through effective inter-agency coordination and adequate documentation mechanisms, information provided by child victims can be utilized more efficiently without requiring them to repeatedly recount the same traumatic experience. Muliani et al. (2023) demonstrate that the simplification of procedures involving child victims, combined with enhanced inter-institutional coordination, contributes significantly to improving the quality of child protection throughout legal proceedings.

Psychological assistance also plays a critical role in helping victims cope with emotional pressures during legal proceedings. The involvement of psychologists, social workers, and victim advocates can assist children in understanding the legal process while simultaneously providing the emotional support necessary to navigate it. Such assistance serves not only as a protective mechanism but also as an integral part of victims' recovery. Sutanto and Rahaditya (2024) argue that effective child protection requires a multidisciplinary approach involving various professional actors to ensure that victims' needs are addressed comprehensively.

Furthermore, examinations conducted by personnel who possess specialized expertise in communicating with children can reduce the likelihood of victim-blaming questions or other forms of insensitive treatment. An understanding of child psychological development enables professionals to adopt communication approaches that are appropriate to the child's age and circumstances, thereby creating a safer and more supportive interview process. Professional competence therefore constitutes a crucial factor in ensuring that legal proceedings do not result in secondary victimization.

The use of audiovisual technology also contributes significantly to the prevention of secondary victimization. Mechanisms such as video-recorded testimony and remote participation allow children to provide information without having to face offenders directly. Such measures help reduce fear, anxiety, and emotional distress while maintaining the evidentiary value of victims' statements. Similarly, the protection of victims' identities plays a vital role in safeguarding children from social stigma, discrimination, and unwanted public exposure that may arise from criminal proceedings.

The findings indicate that the implementation of child-friendly justice contributes substantially to reducing the risk of secondary victimization among child victims of violence. These findings reinforce the view that a victim-centered approach within the criminal justice

system enhances both the quality of legal protection and the effectiveness of victim recovery. Senandi and Krey (2024) emphasize that the success of a juvenile justice system should not be assessed solely by its ability to resolve cases, but also by its capacity to ensure the protection, well-being, and recovery of children involved in legal proceedings. Consequently, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles should be regarded as an essential component of criminal justice reform aimed at safeguarding child victims and preventing re-victimization throughout the legal process.



Figure 1. Child-Friendly Justice Implementation Model

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between child victims of violence, criminal justice processes, the risk of secondary victimization, and the implementation of child-friendly justice principles. The model demonstrates that the involvement of child victims in legal proceedings may generate additional psychological consequences when judicial processes are conducted without adequate consideration of the victims' needs and circumstances. Such risks arise because children are often required to engage with legal procedures that may reactivate traumatic experiences associated with the original victimization. Nurwala and Hanapi (2023) argue that the protection of children involved in the justice system should be oriented toward preventing adverse consequences that may disrupt their psychological and social development. This perspective is consistent with the findings of Pertiwi et al. (2025), who demonstrate that psychosocial factors and individual characteristics play a significant role in child and adolescent development, thereby requiring child protection measures to be implemented through integrated legal, familial, and social approaches.

The model further indicates that child-friendly justice functions as a protective mechanism capable of mitigating the adverse effects associated with legal proceedings. Components such as professional assistance, child-friendly interview rooms, single interview procedures, identity protection, and the involvement of specially trained personnel constitute interventions designed to prevent re-victimization. Saputri et al. (2024) explain that child protection policies oriented toward victims' needs contribute significantly to enhancing the effectiveness of the juvenile justice system while simultaneously strengthening the protection afforded to victims.

The inclusion of psychological assistance within the model demonstrates that legal protection for child victims extends beyond purely legal considerations and encompasses psychological and social dimensions. Assistance provided throughout legal proceedings enables victims to better understand the process they are undergoing, reduces anxiety, and strengthens

mechanisms serve to minimize the psychological distress that may arise during legal proceedings while simultaneously supporting victims' recovery.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation continues to be influenced by several challenges, particularly those related to institutional capacity, the availability of qualified human resources, supporting facilities, and inter-agency coordination. Therefore, efforts to strengthen the implementation of child-friendly justice should be pursued continuously to ensure that the criminal justice system is not solely oriented toward the prosecution and punishment of offenders, but is also capable of providing effective protection and recovery for child victims of violence.

This study contributes to the development of legal scholarship by reaffirming that child-friendly justice constitutes an essential instrument for building a criminal justice system that is more responsive to children's needs and best interests while simultaneously promoting the prevention of secondary victimization. Accordingly, the integration of child-friendly justice principles into criminal justice practices should be regarded as a fundamental component of victim-oriented justice and the broader protection of children's rights. These findings carry several concrete policy implications. First, the national government should establish mandatory, uniform standards for child-friendly interview facilities in every district-level police station (Polresta) and prosecutor's office, accompanied by a binding implementation timeline and monitoring mechanism. The current condition, in which such facilities are available only in selected regions, creates structural inequality in the protection afforded to child victims across Indonesia. Second, the Women and Children Service Units (Unit PPA) require a substantial increase in trained personnel: with women police officers (Polwan) constituting only approximately 3 percent of Indonesia's police force, the existing ratio is fundamentally incompatible with the demand for victim-sensitive investigation. A dedicated training and certification program on child-friendly investigation techniques mandatory for all investigators handling cases involving child victims should be integrated into the national police training curriculum. Third, inter-agency coordination among police, prosecutors, courts, and social services must be formalized through binding standard operating procedures that designate a single coordinating officer for each child victim, thereby eliminating the inter-institutional information gaps that currently drive repeated interviewing. From a legal reform perspective, the revision of Indonesia's Code of Criminal Procedure (KUHAP), currently under deliberation, offers a critical opportunity to codify single-interview provisions and audiovisual recording requirements for child victim testimony into the general procedural framework rather than leaving them to scattered special laws. The existing protection under Law Number 12 of 2022 on Sexual Violence Crimes (TPKS) should serve as a model for extending equivalent procedural safeguards to all categories of violence against children.

Future research should move beyond the normative analysis conducted in this study and address three specific empirical gaps. First, a multi-site study comparing the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation across different regional and institutional contexts, contrasting, for instance, metropolitan police units with well-resourced facilities against rural or remote units operating under the constraints documented at Kupang City would generate evidence-based benchmarks for national policy. Second, longitudinal research tracking the psychological outcomes of child victims who experienced child-friendly justice procedures against those who did not would provide direct empirical evidence for the causal relationship between procedural safeguards and secondary victimization, which this study could only establish normatively. Third, evaluative research assessing the implementation of the 2024 Direktorat PPA-PPO at the resort level is urgently needed to determine whether institutional restructuring has translated into measurable improvements in child victim protection or whether the existing capacity constraints persist under a different organizational label.

REFERENCES

- Aprilianda, N., Ansofi, A., Maharani, F., Ferdian, A., & Solehuddin. (2025). Re-conceptualizing child victim rights: A normative and comparative analysis of victim impact statement in Indonesia's juvenile justice system. *Jambung Law Review*, 7(2), 442–467. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i2.30132>
- Bechmid, A. A. (2025). *Perlindungan anak dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak*. *Juris Studia: Jurnal Kajian Hukum*.
- Campbell, R. (2005). What really happened? A validation study of rape survivors' help-seeking experiences with the legal and medical systems. *Violence and Victims*, 20(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1891/vivi.20.1.55>
- Chandra, T. Y. (2023). Penerapan restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Al-Maslahah: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Pranata Sosial*, 11(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.30868/am.v11i01.3827>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2009). *General Comment No. 12 (2009): The right of the child to be heard (CRC/C/GC/12)*. United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/4ae562c52.html>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2013). *General Comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration (CRC/C/GC/14)*. United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/51a84b5e4.html>
- Council of Europe. (2011). *Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on child-friendly justice*. Council of Europe Publishing. <https://rm.coe.int/16804b2cf3?>
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia, Komisi VIII. (2023, November 13). *Polri diminta perkuat Unit Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak*. DPR RI. <https://pks.id/content/polri-diminta-perkuat-unit-perlindungan-perempuan-dan-anak>
- Finkelhor, D., Turner, H., LaSelva, D., & Green, A. (2020). Lifetime victimization and adversity among children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 107, 104602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104602>
- Fitriani, R. (2016). Peranan penyelenggara perlindungan anak dalam melindungi dan memenuhi hak-hak anak. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, 11(2), 250–258. <https://ejournalunsam.id/index.php/jhsk/article/view/42>
- Ghoni, M. R., & Pujiyana. (2020). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berhadapan dengan hukum melalui implementasi diversifikasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 2(3), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v2i3.331-342>
- Goodman-Brown, T. B., Edelstein, R. S., Goodman, G. S., Jones, D. P. H., & Gordon, D. S. (2003). Why children tell: A model of children's disclosure of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(5), 525–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(03\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(03)00037-1)
- Ibrahim, J. (2019). *Teori dan metodologi penelitian hukum normatif*. Bayumedia Publishing.
- Ingranjubun, Y., Toule, E. R. M., & Wadjo, H. Z. (2023). Diversi dalam penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Pattimura Legal Journal*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.47268/pela.v2i3.10676>
- J-CEKI: Jurnal Cendekia Uiniah. (2025). *Peranan Unit Pelayanan Perempuan dan Anak Satreskrim Polresta Kupang Kota dalam perlindungan terhadap anak korban pengalihan diseksi kekerasan*. *J-CEKI*, 5(1). <https://al-haramjournal.id/index.php/J-CEKI/article/download/12445/9372/31091>
- Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia (Kemen PPPA). (2024). *Analisis Tematik Survei Nasional Pengalaman Hidup Anak dan Remaja (SNPHAR) 2024*. Kemen PPPA. <https://www.kemenpppa.go.id/siaran-pers/analisis->

- [tematik-snphar-2024-tunjukan-separuh-anak-indonesia-alami-kekerasan-kemen-pppa-serukan-kolaborasi-nasional](#)
- Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia (Kemen PPPA). (2025). *Data SIMFONI-PPA: Sistem Informasi Online Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak Tahun 2024–2025*. Kemen PPPA. <https://www.kemenpppa.go.id/siaran-pers/simfoni-ppa-v3-tingkatkan-layanan-perlindungan-perempuan-dan-anak>
- Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2025). *Laporan Tahunan KPAI 2024: Jalan Terjal Perlindungan Anak — Ancaman Serius, Generasi Emas Indonesia*. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/laporan-tahunan-kpai-jalan-terjal-perlindungan-anak-ancaman-serius-generasi-emas-indonesia>
- Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2024, Mei). *KPAI dan Polda Metro Jaya konferensi pers tentang kasus kekerasan seksual terhadap anak balita*. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/kpai-dan-polda-metro-jaya-konferensi-pers-tentang-kasus-kekerasan-seksual-terhadap-anak-balita>
- Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2026, Juni). *KPAI dorong intervensi khusus untuk anak di wilayah 3T: Hak kesehatan tidak boleh ditentukan oleh tempat anak dilahirkan*. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/kpai-dorong-intervensi-khusus-untuk-anak-di-wilayah-3t-hak-kesehatan-tidak-boleh-ditentukan-oleh-tempat-anak-dilahirkan>
- Lubis, M. A. (2025). Comparative implementation of child protection law between Indonesia and the Netherlands: A study of juvenile justice system. *Meta Hukum: Jurnal Hukum dan Kebijakan Masyarakat*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.47652/metahukum.v4i3.890>
- Marzuki, P. M. (2021). *Penelitian hukum* (Edisi revisi). Kencana.
- Kilkelly, U., & Playster, S. (2023). Rights of the child in the child justice system. *Youth Justice*, 23(2), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14732254231185820>
- Mubarak, N. (2023). Perlindungan hukum anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam perspektif fiqh jinayah. *Al-Jinayah: Jurnal Hukum Pidana Islam*, 9(2), 195–218. <https://doi.org/10.15642/aj.2023.9.2.195-218>
- Muliani, S., Kasim, A., Ahmad, J., & Nonci, N. (2023). Reformulasi syarat pelaksanaan diversifikasi dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 5(2), 358–373. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v5i2.358-373>
- Nova, E., & Afriyal, R. (2023). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak. *UNES Journal of Swara Justisia*, 6(4), 480–493. <https://doi.org/10.31933/ujsi.v6i4.298>
- Nugraha, A. S., Mahmutarom, & Prakosa, A. P. (2025). Effectiveness of implementing restorative justice in resolution of child crimes. *International Journal of Law Reconstruction*, 9(2), 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.26532/ijlr.v9i2.48901>
- Nurhala, L. D., & Hanapi, Y. (2023). Kajian perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia*, 9(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.35912/jihham.v3i1.1801>
- Orth, U. (2002). Secondary victimization of crime victims by criminal proceedings. *Social Justice Research*, 15(4), 313–325. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021210323461>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., & Saimina, I. D. S. (2022). The role of social control and optimization of restorative justice policy on juvenile offending. *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan*, 11(1), 109–133. <https://doi.org/10.25216/jhp.11.1.2022.109-133>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Muminin, A., & Saputra, R. (2026). *Family as a safe space: A descriptive examination of psychological safety, parenting practices, and parent-child emotional*

- relationships. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary*, 4(4). <https://greenpub.org/IJAM/article/view/1883>
- Pertiwi, Y. W., Hutahaean, E. S. H., Muminin, A., & Reza, I. F. (2025). *Deviant behavior in religious schools: The interplay of psychological safety, sanctions, and academic competence*. <https://journal.staihabbulwathan.id/index.php/alishlah/article/view/6491>
- Pinandito, R. A., & Sugibarto, R. (2023). Legal protection for children in conflict with the law through virtual courts: Implementation of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Jurnal Akta*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.30659/akta.v10i1.30027>
- Putri, D. D. P. (2023). Sounding the justice for child: Does restorative justice matters? *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 4(3), 303–324. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.v4i3.68106>
- Republik Indonesia. (1945). *Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945*. <https://www.dpr.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2012). *Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Republik Indonesia. (2014). *Undang-Undang Nomor 35 Tahun 2014 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 23 Tahun 2002 tentang Perlindungan Anak*. <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id>
- Saputri, R. M., Wahyuni, F., & Muhsin, M. (2024). Analisis kebijakan dalam hukum pidana perlindungan anak pada pembenahan sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Selodang Mayang*, 10(2), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.47521/selodangmayang.v10i2.407>
- Senandi, W. A. A., & Krey, T. H. M. Y. (2024). Analisis tentang penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana. *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*. <https://doi.org/10.55551/jip.v6i1.430>
- Soekanto, S., & Mamudji, S. (2018). *Pengertian hukum, normatif: Suatu tinjauan singkat*. RajaGrafinda Persada.
- Sutanto, P., & Bahaditya, R. (2024). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak sebagai pelaku tindak pidana dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *UNES Law Review*, 6(4), 10361–10367. <https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i4.2022>
- United Nations. (1989). *Convention on the Rights of the Child*. United Nations Treaty Series, 1577, 3. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-child>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2009). *Justice in matters involving child victims and witnesses of crime: Model law and related commentary*. United Nations. https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/hb_justice_in_matters.pdf
- Van Niekerk, S., & Coetzee, L. (2020). Secondary victimisation of child victims in the criminal justice system. *Child Abuse Research in South Africa*, 21(1), 20–31.
- Walklate, S. (2018). *Victimology: The victim and the criminal justice process* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Wemmers, J. A. (2018). *Victims in the criminal justice system*. Routledge.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049338>
- Zweigert, K., & Kötz, H. (1998). *An introduction to comparative law* (3rd ed.; T. Weir, Trans.). Oxford University Press.

**6. Bukti Konfirmasi Artikel Accepted
(29 Juni 2026)**

5. Feedback from reviewers and responses to reviewers

Manuscript ID #1017

Dear Editors/Reviewers

We really appreciate and express our gratitude for the review/comments/suggestions from the editor/reviewer for our manuscript. The table below is the list of Editors & Reviewers comment/suggestion and our related response.

Regards

Authors

No.	Editor/Reviewer Comment	Authors Response
1	Reviewer A: Abstract: Please clarify the novelty and unique contribution of the study in the abstract. Introduction: Please clarify the novelty and specific contribution of this study more explicitly. Although the research gap has been identified, the distinction between this study and previous research could be emphasized further. Result and Discussion: 1. The discussion identifies several implementation gaps; however, the inclusion of concrete examples or recent cases from Indonesia would strengthen the analysis. 2. Figure 1 interpretation. The conceptual model is informative. However, its practical implications for improving child protection policies and justice practices could be discussed more explicitly. Conclusion: The conclusion is well aligned with the study objectives and findings. However, a brief recommendation for future research	Abstract: Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the abstract to explicitly state the novelty and unique contribution of the study. Specifically, we added a sentence emphasizing that the study examines child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and highlights its contribution in linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. This revision clarifies the distinctive focus and contribution of the research. Introduction: Thank you for the comment. We have revised the Introduction to more explicitly state the novelty and contribution of the study by emphasizing the integration of child-friendly justice and secondary victimization within a single analytical framework, as well as its relevance to the

		Indonesian criminal justice system. Result and Discussion: 1. Thank you for the suggestion. We have strengthened the discussion by incorporating recent evidence from Indonesia, including examples of repeated questioning of child victims during criminal proceedings and the uneven implementation of child-friendly justice procedures across regions. 2. Thank you for the comment. We have revised the discussion by explicitly highlighting the practical implications of the proposed model for child protection policies and justice practices, including the strengthening of child-friendly facilities, specialized training for justice personnel, and improved inter-agency coordination to prevent secondary victimization. Conclusion: Thank you for the suggestion. A brief recommendation for future research has been added to the conclusion section, emphasizing the need for empirical studies on the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation and its implications for child protection policies and justice practices.
--	--	---

2	Reviewer B: Title: The title format does not match the template used. The paragraph format should be left-aligned. Please review the journal template used and adjust accordingly. Abstract: The abstract format is not entirely correct. It should be italicized. Please review the journal template used. Correct and adjust it accordingly. Keywords: The keyword formatting is also not entirely correct. It should be italicized. Please review the journal template you are using. Correct and adjust accordingly. Introduction: Most paragraphs simply explain laws and general theories. The article only cites WHO and international research, while national data on violence against children is not presented. Method: The legal analysis techniques are not explained in detail. The author only mentions interpretation, comparison, and evaluation. However, he does not explain how these processes are carried out. Result and Discussion: Critical analysis of law enforcement officials, institutional capacity, and implementation barriers is needed. The discussion remains highly theoretical. There are no case examples from Indonesia, court decisions, or practical examples of child victim investigations. Conclusion: The conclusion is still a rehash of the discussion results. Policy implications, legal reform recommendations, and an agenda for further research are also included.	Title: Thank you for your valuable comment. The title formatting has been revised to comply with the journal template, including the required left-aligned paragraph format. Abstract: Thank you for your valuable comment. The abstract formatting has been revised to comply with the journal template, including the use of italic formatting. Keywords: Thank you for your valuable comment. The keyword formatting has been revised to comply with the journal template, including the use of italic formatting. Introduction: Thank you for this observation. We have revised the Introduction by adding national data from Indonesia's official sources to complement the WHO and international literature already cited. Specifically, we inserted: (1) SNPHAR 2024 data (Kemen PPPA & BPS), showing that 50.78% of Indonesian children aged 13–17 have experienced at least one form of violence, added at the end of paragraph 1 to link the constitutional guarantee with empirical reality; and (2) SIMFONI-PPA data (19,628 cases in 2024, an upward trend since 2021) together with the KPAI Annual Report 2024 (2,057 complaints, mostly involving biological parents
---	---	--

		as perpetrators), added in paragraph 4 alongside the WHO and Finkelhor et al. citations. These additions, sourced directly from official government publications, have been included in the reference list with links, so that the discussion is now grounded in both international and national evidence. Method: Thank you for this observation. We have revised the Method section to explain how each technique was applied: interpretation followed Marzuki's (2021) grammatical, systematic, and teleological techniques, illustrated with specific provisions; comparison followed Zweigert and Kötz's (1998) functional approach, checking national provisions against five functional indicators from the Council of Europe (2011) and UNODC (2009); and evaluation assessed the gap between normative provisions and their documented implementation. The corresponding reference (Zweigert & Kötz, 1998) has been added to the bibliography. Result and Discussion: Thank you for this observation. We have revised the discussion by adding critical analysis of institutional capacity and concrete Indonesian examples in two places: (1) in section (a), we discuss the shortage of women police officers in Unit PPA (3% of Polri's force), uneven UPTD PPA distribution outside Java, and a 2024 Polda Metro Jaya - KPAI case involving a toddler victim of sexual exploitation; and (2) in section (b), we include field data from Unit PPA
--	--	--

		Kupang City showing how rising caseloads and limited personnel make repeated
--	--	--

		interviewing a structural problem rather than a procedural choice. Corresponding references have been added to the bibliography. Conclusion: Thank you for this observation. We have added two paragraphs to the conclusion: one presenting concrete policy implications and legal reform recommendations, including uniform facility standards, mandatory investigator training, formalized interagency SOP, and a recommendation to codify single-interview provisions into the ongoing KUHAP revision using Law Number 12 of 2022 (TPKS) as a model; and one specifying three empirical research priorities: a multi-site comparative study, longitudinal research on psychological outcomes, and evaluation of the 2024 Direktorat PPA-PPO restructuring at the resort level.
--	--	---

3	Reviewer C: Introduction: A final proofreading is recommended to reduce several repetitive explanations regarding child-friendly justice and secondary victimization so that the narrative becomes more concise. Method: Please ensure consistency in the terminology used for the legal research approach and avoid unnecessary repetition in the explanation of the analytical procedures. Conclusion: It is recommended to make it slightly more concise by emphasizing the principal findings and policy implications while avoiding repetition of points already discussed.	Introduction: Thank you for the suggestion. We have consolidated the repeated explanations of secondary victimization and child-friendly justice into single unified paragraphs and removed redundant concluding sentences to improve conciseness. Method: Thank you. We have standardized the terminology by consistently using normative legal research throughout the section and clarified library research as a data collection technique within that approach. The duplicated concluding sentences have also been removed and consolidated into a single closing statement. Conclusion: Thank you for the suggestion. The first two paragraphs have been merged into a single concise statement of principal findings, redundant concluding sentences removed, and the conclusion now moves directly from findings to policy implications.
---	---	---

6. Manuscript Accepted and Sending it to production

Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences

← Back to Submissions

Notifications

[GIJLSS] Editor Decision

2026-06-29 11:24 AM

Anggreany Haryani Putri, Endang Hadrian, Trias Saputra:

The editing of your submission, "Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/authorDashboard/submission/1017>

[Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences](#)

Revisions

Q Search Upload File

7169	REVISI 2-Implementation+of+Child-Friendly+Justice+Principles_revisi.docx	June 24, 2026	Article Text
7527	REVIEW+3-Implementation+of+Child-Friendly+Justice+Principles.docx	June 29, 2026	Article Text

Review Discussions

Add discussion

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

< ⬇️ 🗑️ 📧 ⋮

[GIJLSS] Editor Decision Eksternal

Kotak Masuk ☆

 Herman Bakir 29 Jun kepada me, Endang, Trias ▾ 😊 ↩️ ⋮

 Terjemahkan ke Indonesia ✕

Anggreany Haryani Putri, Endang Hadrian, Trias Saputra:

The editing of your submission, "Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/authorDashboard/submission/1017>

Anggreany Haryani Putri, Endang Hadrian, Trias Saputra:

The editing of your submission, "Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/authorDashboard/submission/1017>

7. Feedback from reviewers and responses to reviewers

Manuscript ID #1017

Dear Editors/Reviewers

We really appreciate and express our gratitude for the review/comments/suggestions from the editor/reviewer for our manuscript. The table below is the list of Editors & Reviewers comment/suggestion and our related response.

Regards

Authors

No.	Editor/Reviewer Comment	Authors Response
-----	-------------------------	------------------

1	Reviewer A: Abstract: Please clarify the novelty and unique contribution of the study in the abstract. Introduction: Please clarify the novelty and specific contribution of this study more explicitly. Although the research gap has been identified, the distinction between this study and previous research could be emphasized further. Result and Discussion: 3. The discussion identifies several implementation gaps; however, the inclusion of concrete examples or recent cases from Indonesia would strengthen the analysis. 4. Figure 1 interpretation. The conceptual model is informative. However, its practical implications for improving child protection policies and justice practices could be discussed more explicitly. Conclusion: The conclusion is well aligned with the study objectives and findings. However, a brief recommendation for future research	Abstract: Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the abstract to explicitly state the novelty and unique contribution of the study. Specifically, we added a sentence emphasizing that the study examines child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and highlights its contribution in linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. This revision clarifies the distinctive focus and contribution of the research. Introduction: Thank you for the comment. We have revised the Introduction to more explicitly state the novelty and contribution of the study by emphasizing the integration of child-friendly justice and secondary victimization within a single analytical framework, as well as its relevance to the
---	--	---

		Indonesian criminal justice system. Result and Discussion: 3. Thank you for the suggestion. We have strengthened the discussion by incorporating recent evidence from Indonesia, including examples of repeated questioning of child victims during criminal proceedings and the uneven implementation of child-friendly justice procedures across regions. 4. Thank you for the comment. We have revised the discussion by explicitly highlighting the practical implications of the proposed model for child protection policies and justice practices, including the strengthening of child-friendly facilities, specialized training for justice personnel, and improved inter-agency coordination to prevent secondary victimization. Conclusion: Thank you for the suggestion. A brief recommendation for future research has been added to the conclusion section, emphasizing the need for empirical studies on the effectiveness of child-friendly justice implementation and its implications for child protection policies and justice practices.
--	--	---

2	Reviewer B: Title: The title format does not match the template used. The paragraph format should be left-aligned. Please review the journal template used and adjust accordingly. Abstract: The abstract format is not entirely correct. It should be italicized. Please review the journal template used. Correct and adjust it accordingly. Keywords: The keyword formatting is also not entirely correct. It should be italicized. Please review the journal template you are using. Correct and adjust accordingly. Introduction: Most paragraphs simply explain laws and general theories. The article only cites WHO and international research, while national data on violence against children is not presented. Method: The legal analysis techniques are not explained in detail. The author only mentions interpretation, comparison, and evaluation. However, he does not explain how these processes are carried out. Result and Discussion: Critical analysis of law enforcement officials, institutional capacity, and implementation barriers is needed. The discussion remains highly theoretical. There are no case examples from Indonesia, court decisions, or practical examples of child victim investigations. Conclusion: The conclusion is still a rehash of the discussion results. Policy implications, legal reform recommendations, and an agenda for further research are also included.	Title: Thank you for your valuable comment. The title formatting has been revised to comply with the journal template, including the required left-aligned paragraph format. Abstract: Thank you for your valuable comment. The abstract formatting has been revised to comply with the journal template, including the use of italic formatting. Keywords: Thank you for your valuable comment. The keyword formatting has been revised to comply with the journal template, including the use of italic formatting. Introduction: Thank you for this observation. We have revised the Introduction by adding national data from Indonesia's official sources to complement the WHO and international literature already cited. Specifically, we inserted: (1) SNPHAR 2024 data (Kemen PPPA & BPS), showing that 50.78% of Indonesian children aged 13–17 have experienced at least one form of violence, added at the end of paragraph 1 to link the constitutional guarantee with empirical reality; and (2) SIMFONI-PPA data (19,628 cases in 2024, an upward trend since 2021) together with the KPAI Annual Report 2024 (2,057 complaints, mostly involving biological parents
---	---	--

		as perpetrators), added in paragraph 4 alongside the WHO and Finkelhor et al. citations. These additions, sourced directly from official government publications, have been included in the reference list with links, so that the discussion is now grounded in both international and national evidence. Method: Thank you for this observation. We have revised the Method section to explain how each technique was applied: interpretation followed Marzuki's (2021) grammatical, systematic, and teleological techniques, illustrated with specific provisions; comparison followed Zweigert and Kötz's (1998) functional approach, checking national provisions against five functional indicators from the Council of Europe (2011) and UNODC (2009); and evaluation assessed the gap between normative provisions and their documented implementation. The corresponding reference (Zweigert & Kötz, 1998) has been added to the bibliography. Result and Discussion: Thank you for this observation. We have revised the discussion by adding critical analysis of institutional capacity and concrete Indonesian examples in two places: (1) in section (a), we discuss the shortage of women police officers in Unit PPA (3% of Polri's force), uneven UPTD PPA distribution outside Java, and a 2024 Polda Metro Jaya - KPAI case involving a toddler victim of sexual exploitation; and (2) in section (b), we include field data from Unit PPA Kupang City showing how rising caseloads
--	--	---

		and limited personnel make repeated
--	--	-------------------------------------

		interviewing a structural problem rather than a procedural choice. Corresponding references have been added to the bibliography. Conclusion: Thank you for this observation. We have added two paragraphs to the conclusion: one presenting concrete policy implications and legal reform recommendations, including uniform facility standards, mandatory investigator training, formalized interagency SOP, and a recommendation to codify single-interview provisions into the ongoing KUHAP revision using Law Number 12 of 2022 (TPKS) as a model; and one specifying three empirical research priorities: a multi-site comparative study, longitudinal research on psychological outcomes, and evaluation of the 2024 Direktorat PPA-PPO restructuring at the resort level.
--	--	---

3	Reviewer C: Introduction: A final proofreading is recommended to reduce several repetitive explanations regarding child-friendly justice and secondary victimization so that the narrative becomes more concise. Method: Please ensure consistency in the terminology used for the legal research approach and avoid unnecessary repetition in the explanation of the analytical procedures. Conclusion: It is recommended to make it slightly more concise by emphasizing the principal findings and policy implications while avoiding repetition of points already discussed.	Introduction: Thank you for the suggestion. We have consolidated the repeated explanations of secondary victimization and child-friendly justice into single unified paragraphs and removed redundant concluding sentences to improve conciseness. Method: Thank you. We have standardized the terminology by consistently using normative legal research throughout the section and clarified library research as a data collection technique within that approach. The duplicated concluding sentences have also been removed and consolidated into a single closing statement. Conclusion: Thank you for the suggestion. The first two paragraphs have been merged into a single concise statement of principal findings, redundant concluding sentences removed, and the conclusion now moves directly from findings to policy implications.
---	---	---

8. Manuscript Accepted and Sending it to production

Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences

← Back to Submissions

Notifications

[GIJLSS] Editor Decision

2026-06-29 11:24 AM

Anggreany Haryani Putri, Endang Hadrian, Trias Saputra:

The editing of your submission, "Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/authorDashboard/submission/1017>

[Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences](#)

Revisions

Q Search Upload File

7169	REVISI 2-Implementation+of+Child-Friendly+Justice+Principles_revisi.docx	June 24, 2026	Article Text
7527	REVIEW+3-Implementation+of+Child-Friendly+Justice+Principles.docx	June 29, 2026	Article Text

Review Discussions

Add discussion

< ⬇️ 🗑️ ✉️ ⋮

[GIJLSS] Editor Decision Eksternal

Kotak Masuk ☆

 Herman Bakir 29 Jun kepada me, Endang, Trias ▾

 Terjemahkan ke Indonesia ✕

Anggreany Haryani Putri, Endang Hadrian, Trias Saputra:

The editing of your submission, "Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/authorDashboard/submission/1017>

Anggreany Haryani Putri, Endang Hadrian, Trias Saputra:

The editing of your submission, "Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS/authorDashboard/submission/1017>

7. Bukti Konfirmasi Artikel Published Online (30 Juni 2026)

1. Manuscript Published



GIJLSS

Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences

+62 81210467572 <https://research.e-greenation.org/GIJLSS> greenation.info@gmail.com



[Home](#) [anggreany2026](#)

[CURRENT](#) [ARCHIVES](#) [ABOUT](#)

[Q SEARCH](#)

[HOME](#) / [ARCHIVES](#) /
VOL. 4 NO. 2 (2026): (GIJLSS) GREENATION INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (MAY - JUNE 2026) / Articles

Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

Anggreany Haryani Putri
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Endang Hadrian
Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Trias Saputra
Universitas Pelita Bangsa, Bekasi, Indonesia.

[FULL ARTICLE PDF](#)

PUBLISHED

2026-06-30

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/gijlss.v4i2.1017>

Keywords: Child-Friendly Justice, Secondary Victimization, Child Victims of Violence, Criminal Justice System, Child Protection

ABSTRACT

Child victims of violence involved in the criminal justice system are vulnerable to secondary victimization due to various legal procedures that may cause psychological distress and retraumatization. This condition highlights the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles as a means of safeguarding children throughout legal proceedings. This study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. This study contributes to the literature by examining child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and by linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Research data were collected through library research, encompassing legislation, international legal instruments, scholarly literature, and

HOW TO CITE

Haryani Putri, A., Hadrian, E., & Saputra, T. (2026). Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence. *Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 501-517. <https://doi.org/10.38035/gijlss.v4i2.1017>

[More Citation Formats](#)

ISSUE

[Vol. 4 No. 2 \(2026\): \(GIJLSS\)](#)
[Greenation International](#)
[Journal of Law and Social](#)
[Sciences \(May - June 2026\)](#)

SECTION

Articles

Accredited SINTA 2



COVER



CALL FOR REVIEWER

ISSN

ISSN Online :
2986-3155

ISSN 2986-3155

9 772986 315003

ISSN Cetak :
2986-3856

ISSN 2986-3856

regulations; however, their implementation continues to face challenges, including limited child-friendly facilities, inadequate professional competence among law enforcement officials, and insufficient support services. The application of child-friendly interview rooms, single-interview procedures, psychological assistance, identity protection, and the involvement of trained personnel has been shown to contribute to reducing the risk of secondary victimization. Therefore, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles is essential to establishing a criminal justice system that is more responsive to the protection and recovery of child victims of violence.

REFERENCES

- Aprilianda, N., Ansori, A., Maharani, F., Ferdian, A., & Solehuddin. (2025). Re-conceptualizing child victim rights: A normative and comparative analysis of victim impact statement in Indonesia's juvenile justice system. *Jambura Law Review*, 7(2), 442–467. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i2.30132>
- Bachmid, A. A. (2025). Perlindungan anak dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Juris Studia: Jurnal Kajian Hukum*.
- Campbell, R. (2005). What really happened? A validation study of rape survivors' help-seeking experiences with the legal and medical systems. *Violence and Victims*, 20(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1891/vivi.20.1.55>
- Chandra, T. Y. (2023). Penerapan restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak di Indonesia. *Al-Mashlahah: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Pranata Sosial*, 11(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.30868/am.v11i01.3827>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2009). General Comment No. 12 (2009): The right of the child to be heard (CRC/C/GC/12). United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/4ae562c52.html>
- Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2013). General Comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration (CRC/C/GC/14). United Nations. <https://www.refworld.org/docid/51a84b5e4.html>
- Council of Europe. (2011). Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on child-friendly justice. Council of Europe Publishing. <https://rm.coe.int/16804b2cf37>.
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia, Komisi VIII. (2023, November 13). Polri diminta perkuat Unit Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak. DPR RI. <https://pks.id/content/polri-diminta-perkuat-unit-perlindungan-perempuan-dan-anak>
- Finkelhor, D., Turner, H., LaSelva, D., & Green, A. (2020). Lifetime victimization and adversity among children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 107, 104602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104602>
- Fitriani, R. (2016). Peranan penyelenggara perlindungan anak dalam melindungi dan memenuhi hak-hak anak. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, 11(2), 250–258. <https://ejournalunsam.id/index.php/jhsk/article/view/42>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Copyright :

Authors who publish their manuscripts in this journal agree to the following conditions:

1. Copyright in each article belongs to the author.
2. The author acknowledges that the Greenation International Journal of Law and Social Sciences (GIJLSS) has the right to be the first to publish under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) (Attribution 4.0 International CC BY 4.0).
3. Authors can submit articles separately, arrange the non-exclusive distribution of manuscripts that have been published in this journal to other versions (for example, sent to the author's institutional repository, publication in a book, etc.), by acknowledging that the manuscript has been published for the first time at GIJLSS.

GIJLSS MENU

Peer Reviewers

Peer Review Process

Focus and Scope

Publication Ethics

Author Guidelines

Plagiarism Policy

Article Processing Charge

Open Access Statement

Copyright and License

Statistics

Template

Digital Archiving Policy

Histori Jurnal

Indexing

Journal Visitor



Tools



Ghoni, M. R., & Pujiyono. (2020). Perlindungan hukum terhadap anak yang berhadapan dengan hukum melalui implementasi diversi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 2(3), 331–342.

<https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v2i3.331-342>

Goodman-Brown, T. B., Edelstein, R. S., Goodman, G. S., Jones, D. P. H., & Gordon, D. S. (2003). Why children tell: A model of children's disclosure of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(5), S25–S40.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(03\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(03)00037-1)

Hukum Indonesia, 5(2), 358–373. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v5i2.358-373>

Ibrahim, J. (2019). Teori dan metodologi penelitian hukum normatif. Bayumedia Publishing.

Ingratubun, Y., Toule, E. R. M., & Wadjo, H. Z. (2023). Diversi dalam penanganan anak yang berkonflik dengan hukum dalam sistem peradilan pidana anak. *Pattimura Legal Journal*, 2(3).

<https://doi.org/10.47268/pela.v2i3.10676>

J-CEKI: Jurnal Cendekia Ilmiah. (2025). Peranan Unit Pelayanan Perempuan dan Anak Satreskrim Polresta Kupang Kota dalam perlindungan terhadap anak korban pencabulan disertai kekerasan. *J-CEKI*, 5(1). <https://al-haramjournal.id/index.php/J-CEKI/article/download/12445/9372/31091>

Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia (Kemen PPPA). (2024). Analisis Tematik Survei Nasional Pengalaman Hidup Anak dan Remaja (SNPHAR) 2024. Kemen PPPA. <https://www.kemenpppa.go.id/siaran-pers/analisis-tematik-snpnar-2024-tunjukan-separuh-anak-indonesia-alami-kekerasan-kemen-pppa-serukan-kolaborasi-nasional>

Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia (Kemen PPPA). (2025). Data SIMFONI-PPA: Sistem Informasi Online Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak Tahun 2024–2025. Kemen PPPA. <https://www.kemenpppa.go.id/siaran-pers/simfoni-ppa-v3-tingkatkan-layanan-perlindungan-perempuan-dan-anak>

Kilkelly, U., & Pleysier, S. (2023). Rights of the child in the child justice system. *Youth Justice*, 23(2), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14732254231185820>

Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2024, Mei). KPAI dan Polda Metro Jaya konferensi pers tentang kasus kekerasan seksual terhadap anak balita. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/kpai-dan-polda-metro-jaya-konferensi-pers-tentang-kasus-kekerasan-seksual-terhadap-anak-balita>

Komisi Perlindungan Anak Indonesia (KPAI). (2025). Laporan Tahunan KPAI 2024: Jalan Terjal Perlindungan Anak — Ancaman Serius Generasi Emas Indonesia. KPAI. <https://www.kpai.go.id/publikasi/laporan-tahunan-kpai-jalan-terjal-perlindungan-anak-ancaman-serius-generasi-emas-indonesia>



Support By



2.PDF Manuscript Published



Implementation of Child-Friendly Justice Principles in the Criminal Justice System: Preventing Secondary Victimization among Child Victims of Violence

Agneswati Heriati Part¹, Endang Hidayat², Yuni Supriati³
¹Universitas Bhayangkara Iskandara Park, Sidoarjo, Indonesia, agneswati.herati@bhayangkara.ac.id
²Universitas Bhayangkara Iskandara Park, Sidoarjo, Indonesia, endang.hidayat@bhayangkara.ac.id
³Universitas Pahlola Jember, Jember, Indonesia, yuni.supriati@pahlola.ac.id

*Corresponding Author: agneswati.herati@bhayangkara.ac.id

Abstract: Child victims of violence involved in the criminal justice system are vulnerable to secondary victimization due to various legal procedures that may cause psychological distress and re-traumatization. This research highlights the importance of implementing child-friendly justice principles as a means of safeguarding children throughout legal proceedings. This study aims to analyze the implementation of child-friendly justice principles within the Indonesian criminal justice system and to identify their contribution to preventing secondary victimization among child victims of violence. This study contributes to the literature by examining child-friendly justice as a preventive framework against secondary victimization and by linking international child protection standards with the Indonesian criminal justice system. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Research data were collected through library research, encompassing legislation, international legal instruments, scholarly literature, and relevant previous studies. Data were analyzed qualitatively through interpretation, comparison, and evaluation of legal provisions and concepts related to child protection. The findings indicate that child-friendly justice principles have been incorporated into various national regulations; however, their implementation continues to face challenges, including limited child-friendly facilities, inadequate professional competence among law enforcement officials, and insufficient support services. The application of child-friendly interview rooms, single-question procedures, psychological assistance, identity protection, and the involvement of child protection has been shown to contribute to reducing the risk of secondary victimization. Therefore, strengthening the implementation of child-friendly justice principles is essential to establishing a criminal justice system that is more responsive to the protection and recovery of child victims of violence.

Keywords: Child-Friendly Justice, Secondary Victimization, Child Victims of Violence, Criminal Justice System, Child Protection.